

The reading passage raises several doubts on/explores the fact that/addressess the theories that 文章主观点. However, the lecturer respectively contradicts all of the assertions in the passage by using three specific points as supports.

Firstly, the reading passage suggests that 文章论点 1, for that 文章论据 1. By contrast, the lecturer challenges the statement by arguing that 听力论点 1. According to his/her viewpoint, 听力论据 1, which implies 听力论据 1 解释. (Moreover, 论据 1' & 1' 解释)

Secondly, the reading passage alleges that 文章论点 2, since 文章论据 2. On the other hand, the lecturer contends that 听力论点 2. He/she explains that 听力论据 2. As a consequence, 听力论据 2 解释.

Thirdly, the reading passage considers that 文章论点 3, because 文章论据 3. Even so, the professor rejects the claim by pointing out that 听力论点 3. He/she thinks 听力论据 3, therefore, 听力论据 3 解释.