```
Midtern 2
LON my honor, I have heither received nor given any
  unauthorized assistance on this examination.
. 99 of all widgets have an expectation of working.
  In a sample of 1,000, 30 dun't work.
   Let total expected probability to work be pr. 99
   Let widgeti in sample be n 5 1,000
   Let widget: that did work be x = 970 (1,000 - 30)
   So, the working probability of the sumple is 5: 97
             = -6.4516
     .0031
    27(+ ) 6:45161
    2[1-7(+ 6, 4516)
    1000 (97) 970 (.03) 30
```



0

3 Binary means either Dorl, two choices 2 choices, 10 times, so 2¹⁰ × 1,024 potential strings. Since cach individual value that a i a chance of being a 0 and: I chance of being a 1 then with a length of 10, the expected amount is 50s and 5 1s. So: 55 0's 5 5 1's 10 (All 0s 5 10, All 1s 20, 0 sol5 is the averages Expected value ot à 15 15 0 4. The value of X can range from 10 (All Os) 10 20 [All 1s] So the possible values are: - X 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 Diff. from mean Variance i

18 ExEXI.15 (mcg) Pr[X218]: 15 = 5

```
6. Tchebyshev's Inequality
PC[1X1:218] < ExIIRIZI
    Pr.[]X-u/2k]
     1. Chernoff Bound
    Pr[]>(EX[T]] (PBILIEX [T]
                                    Ex (1) 5 15 (mean)
                            C<sup>5</sup> L2 (increase from 15 to 18)
                            B(c)=c/n(c)-c1
                             B(1.2)=1.21~1.21-1.21 5.0188
     Pr[T > (1.2)(15)] ( p-(.0188)(15)
                     -. 281
 8. Either Oor I for each of the 100 values, so
     2100 combos. The absolute lowest Y can be is 100, where
    all valuèrare Ds. The absolute highest is 200, where
     all values are 1s. Y can be affered at a fineur rate
    between 100 to 200 with each singular value
    change. So, the expected value falls in the middle
  9 Y can range from 100 to 200
     F&(Y) 5 M 5 150 N 5 101 = {100, 101, 102, 1, 200}
     \sigma^2 = \sum_{x=-1}^{\infty} (x_1 - 150)^2 = 85.850 = 850
```

1

10. Markov's Inequality

Pr[Y ? a] < \(\frac{\mathbb{Ex[X]}{\alpha} \) a = 180 \(\mathbb{Ex[Y]} \) = 150 (mean) Pr[Y: 180] 5 1/50 5 5 Tchebysher's Inequality
Pr[1Y1>180] < Excision Pr[14.-u1: k]: k: 180 u: 150 (mean) 07 = Var 5 850 Pr[1Y-150]2180] < \\ \frac{850}{32,400} \, 5 \\ \frac{85}{3,240} 12 Chernoff Bound
Pr[T > (Ex[T]) < e -BIN) Ex[T] Ex[T] 5 150 (mean) C51,2 (incréase from 150 to 180) B(c) = c/n(c) - c = 1 8(1.2), 1.2/2(1.2)-1.2,1=,0188 Pr[T3 (1,2)(150)] (e-1:0188)(150) Pr[] 180] 5 e · 2.82 13. True, Chernoff is exponential, and apply only Histributions of Juni of independent random O variables in the real interval of [0,1] so they ore sharper results.

False, Tchebysher is useful since it can be applied of to any probability distribution where mean and variance are defined but Chernoff

```
for n'2, an = an .. + ban .. 3,
  For h 50:
            Ja, - La,
  Multiply 4 sa, & az by 2 then add with other
equation.
(4 s a, 1 a, 1(2) -> 18 s 2a, 12a,
      18 5 2a, + 2a,
   a_n = a_1 (r_1)^n + a_2 (r_2)^n
         = ( \frac{5}{13}) \( \gamma + \left( \frac{48}{13} \right) \left( - 2 \right) \\ \]
```