CSE 158/258

Web Mining and Recommender Systems

Assignment 1

- Two recommendation tasks
- Due Nov 18 (four weeks from today)
- Submissions should be made on Kaggle, plus a short report to be submitted to gradescope

Data

Assignment data is available on:

http://cseweb.ucsd.edu/classes/fa19/cse258a/files/assignment1.tar.gz

Detailed specifications of the tasks are available on:

http://cseweb.ucsd.edu/classes/fa19/cse258a/files/assignment1.pdf (or in this slide deck)

Data

Training data: 200k book reviews from Goodreads

userID,bookID,rating u79354815,b14275065,4 u56917948,b82152306,5 u97915914,b44882292,5 u49688858,b79927466,5 u08384938,b05683889,2 u13530776,b86375465,4 u46307273,b92838791,5 u18524450,b35165110,2 u69700998,b17128180,5 u43359569,b34596567,5

Tasks

1. Estimate **whether** a particular book would be read

u65407115-b69897799 -> 0/1?

f(user,item) -> true/false

Tasks – CSE158 only

2. Estimate the **category** of a book based on its review

{'n_votes': 0, 'review_id': 'r24440074', 'user_id': 'u08070901', 'review_text': 'Pretty decent. The ending seemed a little rush but a good ending to the first trilogy in this series. The fact that most of the time it is a military fantasy makes it interesting. Also all of the descriptions of food just make me hungry.', 'rating': 5, 'genreID': 2, 'genre':

fantasy_paranormal'}

f(user,item) -> category

Tasks – CSE258 only

2. Estimate the **rating** given a user/book pair

u12927896-b38220226 -> 0..5

f(user,item) -> star rating

Evaluation

1. Estimate whether a book will be read or not

Categorization Accuracy (fraction of correct classifications):

CategorizationAccuracy
$$(\hat{r},r) = \sum_{u,i} \delta(\hat{r}_{u,i} = r_{u,i})$$
predictions (0/1)

Read (1) and

test set of read / non-read backs

Non-read (0) books)

non-read books

Evaluation (158 task 2)

2. Estimate the category of a review

Categorization Accuracy (fraction of correct classifications): **5 categories** have been selected and are mapped to numbers from 0-4 (see baselines.py)

Categorization
Accuracy
$$(\hat{r},r) = \sum_{u,i} \delta(\hat{r}_{u,i} = r_{u,i})$$
 predictions (0-4)

groundtruth category

test set of reviews

Evaluation (258 task 2)

2. Estimate what rating a user would give to a book

$$\mathrm{RMSE}(f) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{u,i,t \in \mathrm{test \ set}} (f(u,i,t) - r_{u,i,t})^2}$$
 model's prediction ground-truth

(just like the Netflix prize)

Test data

It's a secret! I've provided files that include lists of tuples that need to be predicted:

pairs_Read.txt pairs_Category.txt pairs_Rating.txt

Test data

Files look like this

(note: not the actual test data):

```
userID-bookID, prediction

u10867277-b35018725,4

u58578865-b45488412,3

u53582462-b60611623,2

u58775274-b02793341,4

u52022406-b80770760,1

u77792103-b62925951,1

u86157817-b67402445,2

u60596724-b61972458,2

u30345190-b26955550,5

u27548114-b46455538,5
```

Test data

But I've only given you this:

(you need to estimate the final column)

```
userID-bookID, prediction u10867277-b35018725 u58578865-b45488412 u53582462-b60611623 u58775274-b02793341 u52022406-b80770760 u77792103-b62925951 u86157817-b67402445 u60596724-b61972458 u30345190-b26955550 u27548114-b46455538
```

1151025274-h82629707

last column missing

Baselines

I've provided some simple baselines that generate valid prediction files

(see baselines.py)

Baselines

- 1. Estimate whether a book will be read by a user
 - Rank books by popularity in the training data
- Return 1 if a test item is among the top 50% of most popular books, or 0 otherwise

Baselines

2. Estimate the category of a book

Look for certain words in the review (e.g. if the word "fantasy" appears, classify as "Fantasy")

Baselines

2. Estimate what rating a user would give to a book

Use the global average, or the user's personal average if we have seen that user before

Kaggle

I've set up a competition webpage to evaluate your solutions and compare your results to others in the class:

https://inclass.kaggle.com/c/cse158258-fa19-read-prediction https://inclass.kaggle.com/c/cse158-fa19-category-prediction https://inclass.kaggle.com/c/cse258-fa19-rating-prediction

The leaderboard only uses 50% of the data – your final score will be (partly) based on the other 50%

Marking

Each of the two tasks is worth **10%** of your grade. This is divided into:

- 5/10: Your performance compared to the simple baselines I have provided. It should be easy to beat them by a bit, but hard to beat them by a lot
 - 3/10: Your performance compared to others in the class on the held-out data
 - 2/10: Your performance on the *seen* portion of the data. This is just a consolation prize in case you badly overfit to the leaderboard, but should be easy marks.
 - 5 marks: A **brief** written report about your solution. The goal here is not (necessarily) to invent new methods, just to apply the right methods for each task. Your report should just describe which method/s you used to build your solution

Fabulous prizes!

Much like the Netflix prize, there will be an award for the student with the lowest MSE/highest accuracy on Monday Nov.

18th

(estimated value US\$1.29)

Homework

Homework 3 is intended to get you set up for this assignment

What worked last year, and what did I change?

Questions?