

1. (a) Assume that the rectangle is aligned with y-axis and x-axis.

Set the (X_{\min}, Y_{\min}) point of the rectangle, and the length (parallel to the y-axis) is l , width is w (parallel to the x-axis)

Therefore we are going to minimize lw .

\because The rectangle contains all of the point

\therefore if $\vec{y}^i = [y_1^i, y_2^i]^T$,

then $X_{\min} \leq y_1^i$ and $Y_{\min} \leq y_2^i$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$X_{\min} + w \geq y_1^i$ and $Y_{\min} + l \geq y_2^i$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

Therefore the problem will be:

minimize lw

subject to $X_{\min} \leq y_1^i$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$Y_{\min} \leq y_2^i$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$y_1^i \leq X_{\min} + w$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$y_2^i \leq Y_{\min} + l$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$\vec{y}^i = [y_1^i, y_2^i]^T$

$\vec{y}^i \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$X_{\min}, Y_{\min}, y_1^i, y_2^i, l, w \in \mathbb{R}$

This problem is Nonlinear optimization because the objective function is nonlinear.

(b) This will change the objective function lw to $l+w$

The problem will become:

minimize $2(l+w)$

subject to $X_{\min} \leq y_1^i$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$Y_{\min} \leq y_2^i$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$y_1^i \leq X_{\min} + w$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$y_2^i \leq Y_{\min} + l$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$\vec{y}^i = [y_1^i, y_2^i]^T$

$\vec{y}^i \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$X_{\min}, Y_{\min}, y_1^i, y_2^i, l, w \in \mathbb{R}$

let's take $x_{\min} = (y_1^i)_{\min}$ and $y_{\min} = (y_1^i)_{\min}$, $x_{\min} + w = (y_1^i)_{\max}$ and $y_{\min} + l = (y_1^i)_{\max}$

$\therefore w = (y_1^i)_{\max} - (y_1^i)_{\min}$ and $l = (y_2^i)_{\max} - (y_2^i)_{\min}$

Now prove this is the optimal solution:

if $x_{\min} \neq (y_1^i)_{\min}$, then x_{\min} must $< (y_1^i)_{\min}$,

$\therefore x_{\min} + w' \geq y_1^i$ for all i , so $x_{\min} + w' \geq (y_1^i)_{\max}$

$\therefore x_{\min} + w' - x_{\min} > (y_1^i)_{\max} - (y_1^i)_{\min}$

$\therefore w' > (y_1^i)_{\max} - (y_1^i)_{\min}$

$\therefore w' > w$

$\therefore w'$ is not a better solution, $w = (y_1^i)_{\max} - (y_1^i)_{\min}$ is the optimal solution

In a similar way, we can prove that $l = (y_2^i)_{\max} - (y_2^i)_{\min}$ is the optimal solution

So for this problem, the optimal value is $2[(y_2^i)_{\max} - (y_2^i)_{\min} + (y_1^i)_{\max} - (y_1^i)_{\min}]$,

and the optimal solutions are $w = (y_1^i)_{\max} - (y_1^i)_{\min}$ and $l = (y_2^i)_{\max} - (y_2^i)_{\min}$

This solution will be the **SAME** one in problem (a) because we assume that the rectangle is aligned with the x -axis and y -axis. And the constraints of two problem are the same. Also, the w and l we found in (b) are the minimum of w and l respectively. They are the smallest parameter.

Therefore, using the solution of w and l to compute lw in problem (a) will also be the minimum of lw . So they have the same solution.

2. (a) Assume the company produces a product I, b product II, c product III
 \therefore the daily profit will be

$$(70-13)a + (62-8)b + (110-30)c = 57a + 54b + 80c$$

the constraints will be $\frac{1}{3}a + \frac{1}{4}b + \frac{1}{2}c \leq 150$

$$\frac{1}{5}a + \frac{1}{4}b + \frac{1}{4}c \leq 80$$

therefore, $\vec{c}^T = [-57, -54, -80]$ $\vec{x}^T = [a, b, c]$

$$\vec{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 150 \\ 80 \end{bmatrix}$$

so the problem will be

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \vec{c}^T \vec{x} \\ \text{s.t.} & \vec{A}\vec{x} \leq \vec{b} \\ & \vec{x} \geq 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{: here is minimization, therefore variable in } \vec{c} \\ \text{should be negative} \end{array}$$

$$\text{where } \vec{c} = \begin{bmatrix} -57 \\ -54 \\ -80 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix}, \vec{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 150 \\ 80 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Assume schedule t hours of overtime assembly labor.

therefore the daily profit will be $57a + 54b + 80c - 50t$

and one of the constraints will be $\frac{1}{3}a + \frac{1}{4}b + \frac{1}{2}c \leq 150 + t$

$$\text{which is } \frac{1}{3}a + \frac{1}{4}b + \frac{1}{2}c - t \leq 150$$

so the problem becomes

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \vec{c}^T \vec{x} \\ \text{s.t.} & \vec{A}\vec{x} \leq \vec{b} \\ & \vec{x} \geq 0 \end{array}$$

$$\text{where } \vec{c} = \begin{bmatrix} -57 \\ -54 \\ -80 \\ 50 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ t \end{bmatrix}, \vec{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & -1 \\ \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 150 \\ 80 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c) By using python, we get the result that $\vec{x}_{op} = [0, 40, 280]^T$, produce 0 product I, 40 product II, and 280 product III everyday, and the maximum daily profit is 24560 RMB

The code is attached.

3. Assume X_{ij} is the number of iron rods moved from building i to j .

∴ We got 6 variables. $X_{A1}, X_{A2}, X_{B1}, X_{B2}, X_{C1}, X_{C2}$

$$\therefore \vec{x} = [X_{A1}, X_{A2}, X_{B1}, X_{B2}, X_{C1}, X_{C2}]^T$$

Therefore we are going to minimize

$$(9X_{A1} + 6X_{A2} + 7X_{B1} + 4X_{B2} + 4X_{C1} + 6X_{C2}) \cdot 0.1$$

$$\therefore \vec{c} = [0.9, 0.6, 0.7, 0.4, 0.4, 0.6]^T$$

However, from the cost table, we can find that sometimes moving iron rods through a middle building will cost less than moving iron rods directly from one to another.

We found that when moving iron rods from A to 1, it cost less from A via C to 1 than directly from A to 1. Therefore, the cost from moving iron rods from A to 1 will be $0.1 \cdot (3+4) = 0.7$

$$\text{So, } \vec{c} = [0.7, 0.6, 0.7, 0.4, 0.4, 0.6]^T$$

Now consider the constraints:

The iron rods moved to building 1 and 2 need to meet the requirement. so $\sum_i X_{i1} \geq 8000$, $\sum_i X_{i2} \geq 6000 - 500 = 5500$, $i \in \{A, B, C\}$

The iron rods left in initial building should more than it needs.

which is $\sum_j X_{Aj} \leq 7000$, $\sum_j X_{Bj} \leq 6000 - 2000 = 4000$, $\sum_j X_{Cj} \leq 3500$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$

So the list of inequality:

$$X_{A1} + X_{B1} + X_{C1} \geq 8000, \text{ which is } -X_{A1} - X_{B1} - X_{C1} \leq -8000$$

$$X_{A2} + X_{B2} + X_{C2} \geq 5500, \text{ which is } -X_{A2} - X_{B2} - X_{C2} \leq -5500$$

$$X_{A1} + X_{A2} \leq 7000, X_{B1} + X_{B2} \leq 4000, X_{C1} + X_{C2} \leq 3500$$

therefore we can let $\vec{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -8000 \\ -5500 \\ 7000 \\ 4000 \\ 3500 \end{bmatrix}$

Therefore, the linear optimization problem will be:

minimize

$$\vec{c}^T \vec{x}$$

subject to

$$\vec{A} \vec{x} \leq \vec{b}$$

$$\vec{x} \geq \vec{0}$$

where $\vec{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -8000 \\ -5500 \\ 7000 \\ 4000 \\ 3500 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\vec{c} = [0.7, 0.6, 0.7, 0.4, 0.4, 0.6]^T$$

$$\vec{x} = [x_{A1}, x_{A2}, x_{B1}, x_{B2}, x_{C1}, x_{C2}]^T$$

Using Python, we get the solution:

$$\vec{x} = [4500, 1500, 0, 4000, 3500, 0]$$

$$A \rightarrow 1 : 4500$$

$$A \rightarrow 2 : 1500$$

$$B \rightarrow 1 : 0$$

$$B \rightarrow 2 : 4000$$

$$C \rightarrow 1 : 3500$$

$$C \rightarrow 2 : 0$$

the cost is 7050 AMB

4. (a) Assume that $X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the } i\text{th item is allocated to the } j\text{th person} \\ 0, & \text{if the } i\text{th item isn't allocated to the } j\text{th person, or } i=j \end{cases}$

\because the dogs cannot be separated, so we see two dogs as one item

w_i implies the value of the i -th item

therefore we are going to minimize $\sum_{i=1}^{12} X_{i1} w_i - \sum_{i=1}^{12} X_{i3} w_i$

the constraints will be $\sum_{j=1}^3 X_{ij} = 1 \quad i \in \{1, \dots, 12\}$ This makes sure one item will only be allocated to one person
 $X_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$

$\sum X_{i1} w_i \geq \sum X_{i2} w_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, 12\}$ This makes sure that

$\sum X_{i2} w_i \geq \sum X_{i3} w_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, 12\}$ Cassandra > Danielas > Béla

therefore the problem will be:

$$\text{minimize} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{12} X_{i1} w_i - \sum_{i=1}^{12} X_{i3} w_i$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \sum_{j=1}^3 X_{ij} = 1 \quad i \in \{1, \dots, 12\}$$

$$X_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, 12\}, \quad j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

$$\sum X_{i1} w_i \geq \sum X_{i2} w_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, 12\}$$

$$\sum_i X_{i2} w_i \geq \sum X_{i3} w_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, 12\}$$

where the item list is:

	i th	
Sketch by Mondrian	1	$w_1 = 8$
Bust of Alexander the Great	2	$w_2 = 0.5$
Yuan Dynasty Chinese Vase	3	$w_3 = 3.5$
911 Porsche	4	$w_4 = 6$
Diamonds	5, 6, 7	$w_5 = 1.2 = w_6 = w_7$
Louis XV Sofa	8	$w_8 = 0.3$
Jack Russell Race Dogs	9	$w_9 = 0.3 \times 2 = 0.6$
Ancient Sculpture	10	$w_{10} = 1$
Sailing Boat	11	$w_{11} = 2$
Harley Davidson Motorbike	12	$w_{12} = 1$

(b) Using Python, we found that it's impossible to **TOTALLY** equally distributed, but there is an optimal solution:

Sketch by Mondrian	1×	8	Daniela
Bust of Alexander the Great	1×	0.5	Daniela
Yuan Dynasty Chinese Vase	1×	3.5	Cassandra
911 Porsche	1×	6	Bela
Diamonds	3×	1.2	Bela x1, Cassandra x2
Louis XV Sofa	1×	0.3	Daniela
Jack Russell Race Dogs	2×	0.3 ($\times 2 = 0.6$)	The will mentions that the dogs can not be separated. Bela
Ancient Sculpture	1×	1	Bela
Sailing Boat	1×	2	Cassandra
Harley Davidson Motorbike	1×	1	Cassandra

Therefore Cassandra gets value of 8.9 (10000\$)

Daniela gets value of 8.8 (10000\$)

Bela gets value of 8.8 (10000\$)

the difference of value between Cassandra and Bela is 0.1 (10000\$)

The code is attached

5. Define $f(i, j) = \begin{cases} (i, j), & \text{if room } i \text{ and room } j \text{ are connected} \\ (0, 0), & \text{if room } i \text{ and room } j \text{ are not connected} \\ \text{OR } i=j \end{cases}$

Define $X_{(i,j)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if there is a guard between the } i\text{-th and } j\text{-th room} \\ 0, & \text{if there is no guard between the } i\text{-th and } j\text{-th room} \\ \text{OR } (i,j) = (0,0) \end{cases}$

Therefore we are going to minimize $\sum X_{f(i,j)} \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, 10\}$

because we want to minimize the total number of guards

Now we consider the constrain. All room must be supervised by at least one guard, so for one specific i , $\sum_{j=1}^{10} X_{f(i,j)} \geq 2$. We use 2 because if $X_{(i,j)}=1$, then $X_{(j,i)}=1$ too.

Therefore, the optimization problem can be formulated as:

$$\text{minimize} \quad \sum_{i,j} X_{f(i,j)}, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 10\}$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{10} X_{f(i,j)} \geq 2, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 10\}$$

$$X_{(i,j)} \in \{0, 1\}$$

$$f(i, j) = \begin{cases} (i, j), & \text{if room } i \text{ and room } j \text{ are connected} \\ (0, 0), & \text{if room } i \text{ and room } j \text{ are not connected} \\ \text{connected OR } i=j \end{cases}$$

room list:	1st	A	6th	F
	2nd	B	7th	G
	3rd	C	8th	H
	4th	D	9th	I
	5th	E	10th	J