

I Ab initio methods for materials modeling

The description of matter from theoretical methods starts from the Hamiltonian of an interacting system of electrons and nuclei (with electrons coordinates \mathbf{r}_i and nuclei coordinates \mathbf{R}_α)

is formulated better, but still not perfect

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T}_e + \hat{U}_{e-e} + \hat{T}_n + \hat{V}_{n-e} + \hat{W}_{n-n} \quad (\text{I.1})$$

$$= - \sum_i \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|} - \sum_\alpha \frac{1}{2M_\alpha} \nabla_\alpha^2 - \sum_{i,\alpha} \frac{Z_\alpha}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_\alpha|} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \frac{Z_\alpha Z_\beta}{|\mathbf{R}_\alpha - \mathbf{R}_\beta|} \quad (\text{I.2})$$

where

- \hat{T}_e, \hat{T}_n are the kinetic energies of the electrons and nuclei respectively
- \hat{U}_{e-e} is the Coulomb interaction between the electrons
- \hat{V}_{n-e} is the Coulomb interaction between the electrons and the nuclei
- \hat{W}_{n-n} is the Coulomb interaction between the nuclei.

This very general problem consisting of both the electronic and nuclei degrees of freedom can be simplified in a first step by employing the Born-Oppenheimer approximation [1]. The approximation assumes the nuclei to be fixed point charges which create a potential for the N interacting electrons, so that the electronic part can be solved independently using the nuclei positions \mathbf{R} as a parameter

$$\hat{H}_{\text{BO}} = \hat{T}_e + \hat{U}_{e-e} + \hat{V}_{n-e} + \hat{W}_{n-n} \quad (\text{I.3})$$

$$= - \sum_i \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|} - \sum_i \sum_\alpha \frac{Z_\alpha}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_\alpha|} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \frac{Z_\alpha Z_\beta}{|\mathbf{R}_\alpha - \mathbf{R}_\beta|} . \quad (\text{I.4})$$

The terms \hat{V}_{n-e} and \hat{W}_{n-n} here are just a function of the electronic coordinates \mathbf{r} and a constant respectively, so they can then be combined into a potential $\hat{V}(\mathbf{r})$ for the interacting electrons, so that the Hamiltonian reads

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{U} + \hat{V} . \quad (\text{I.5})$$

I.1 Density Functional Theory

Obtaining solutions to the Schrödinger equation with the Hamiltonian I.4 is analytically impossible, as it produces a system of $3N$ coupled differential equations, with $N \sim N_{\text{Avogadro}} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{23})$. As such, the need for good approximations to obtain results for real world systems is high. One particularly successful approach is [Density Functional Theory \(DFT\)](#). In the

following section, the theoretical framework of **DFT** will be reviewed following the PhD thesis of Nicola Marzari [2], a more extensive discussion can be found in Richard Martins textbook on electronic structure [3].

I.1.1 Hohenberg-Kohn theorems

The start for DFT is the exact reformulation of the electronic structure problem by Hohenberg and Kohn [4]. This reformulation uses the ground state density of the electronic system $n_0(\mathbf{r})$ as the basic variable. To achieve this, Hohenberg and Kohn [4] formulated two theorems, which demonstrate that the ground state properties of an electronic system can be described using the ground state density (the proof of those theorems is omitted here, but can be found in the original publication [4] or the textbook by Martin [3, chapter 6.2]):

- I The external potential is a unique functional of the ground state density.
- II The ground state energy minimizes the energy functional,

$$E[n(\mathbf{r})] > E_0 \quad \forall n(\mathbf{r}) \neq n_0(\mathbf{r}).$$

These theorems proof the existence and uniqueness of the energy functional $E[n(\mathbf{r})]$, but a concrete expression for it cannot be given. As the ground state wave function is a functional of the ground state density, a formal definition of the energy functional can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} E[n(\mathbf{r})] &= \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi | \hat{T} + \hat{U} + \hat{V} | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi | \hat{T} + \hat{U} | \Psi \rangle + \int d\mathbf{r}' \Psi^*(\mathbf{r}') V(\mathbf{r}') \Psi(\mathbf{r}'). \end{aligned}$$

Defining the universal functional $F[n(\mathbf{r})] = \langle \Psi | T + U | \Psi \rangle$, which is material independent and writing $n(\mathbf{r}') = \Psi^*(\mathbf{r}') \Psi(\mathbf{r}')$, the energy functional becomes

$$E[n(\mathbf{r})] = F[n(\mathbf{r})] + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r}') n(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (\text{I.6})$$

This is just a formal definition, as all the formerly mentioned complication of the Hamiltonian **I.5** now lie in the functional $F[n(\mathbf{r})]$. With a known or well approximated universal functional $F[n(\mathbf{r})]$, the Hohenberg-Kohn theorems provide a great simplification for finding the ground state properties of a solid state system, as the problem is now only a variational problem with 3 spatial coordinates instead of $3N$ coordinates when trying to solve the full Hamiltonian.

I.1.2 Kohn-Sham equations

Kohn and Sham proposed an approach to handling interacting many-body systems by introducing an auxiliary non-interacting system of electrons [5]

$$H_0 = \sum_i^{N_e} \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + v_{\text{KS}}(\mathbf{r}_i). \quad (\text{I.7})$$

With a correction potential v_{KS} such that the ground state charge density for the auxiliary and the interacting system are the same. This introduces a new set of orthonormal wave functions, the solutions to the non-interacting problem Ψ_i . The density for this system is calculated as

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_e/2} |\Psi_i(\mathbf{r})|^2. \quad (\text{I.8})$$

The restriction of equality of the ground state densities for the interacting and the non-interacting system makes it possible to calculate all properties of the interacting system just by solving the non-interacting system (using the Hohenberg-Kohn theorems). This connection is shown in fig. I.1.




Figure I.1: Representation of the *KS* ansatz. This schema shows the connection between many-body properties on the left and the auxiliary *KS* system. HK_0 here denotes the Hohenberg-Kohn theorems applied to the auxiliary system [3, p. 137]

The kinetic energy of such a non-interacting problem can be easily calculated as sum over all electrons

$$T_S[n(\mathbf{r})] = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_e/2} \int d^3r \Psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \Delta \Psi_i(\mathbf{r}). \quad (\text{I.9})$$

With the help of this system and the classical electrostatic energy

$$E_H[n(\mathbf{r})] = \frac{1}{2} \int \int d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \frac{n(\mathbf{r}_1)n(\mathbf{r}_2)}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} \quad (\text{I.10})$$

an ansatz for the total energy functional in eq. I.6 can be written as

$$E[n(\mathbf{r})] = T_S[n(\mathbf{r})] + E_H[n(\mathbf{r})] + E_{XC}[n(\mathbf{r})] + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r}') n(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (\text{I.11})$$

where now $E_{XC}[n(\mathbf{r})]$ is a functional of the density accounting for all exchange and correlation effects not present in the non-interacting electron system. The success of *DFT* lies in the fact that E_{XC} contributes only a small part of the total energy and can be approximated in a useful manner.

Using that form of $E[n(\mathbf{r})]$, from the variational problem (with a Lagrange parameter introduced to ensure the orthonormality of the states Ψ_i)

$$\delta \left(E[n(\mathbf{r})] - \sum_j \lambda_j \left[\int d^3r |\Psi_j|^2 - 1 \right] \right) = 0 \quad (\text{I.12})$$

source, more explanation

a set of single particle, Schrödinger-like equations can be derived:

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r' \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + \frac{\delta E_{XC}}{\delta n(\mathbf{r})} + V\right) \Psi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \lambda_i \Psi_i(\mathbf{r}). \quad (\text{I.13})$$

Schrödinger-like in this context means, that with the identification of the Hartree potential $V_H = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r' \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$ and the exchange-correlation potential $V_{XC} = \frac{\delta E_{XC}}{\delta n(\mathbf{r})}$ the potential v_{KS} in eq. I.7 is

$$v_{KS} = V_H + V_{XC} + V = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r' \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + \frac{\delta E_{XC}}{\delta n(\mathbf{r})} + V. \quad (\text{I.14})$$

Eq. I.13 become the **KS** equations

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + v_{KS}\right) \Psi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon_i \Psi_i(\mathbf{r}). \quad (\text{I.15})$$

Importantly, the potential v_{KS} depends on the solutions $\Psi_i(\mathbf{r})$, as V_H and V_{XC} include the density $n(\mathbf{r})$. The problem thus is a self-consistency problem, meaning the density used for calculating the potentials and the obtained solution only agree for the exact solution. Arriving at a solution consists then of iterating the process of obtaining a new set of potentials from the solution and solving the **KS** equations again.

Something something good for computation, similarly to hartree fock methods?

I.1.3 Pseudopotentials and basis set

In order to represent the states and operators in eq. I.13, a basis set has to be chosen. Bloch's theorem states that in the case of a periodic external potential, which makes the Hamiltonian commute with translation operators for translation by a lattice vector, the common eigenstates of these operators are:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \Psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} u_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (\text{I.16})$$

where \mathbf{k} is the quasi-momentum and $u_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ has the periodicity of the unit cell. A natural choice to represent $u_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ is the discrete set of plane waves $|\mathbf{G}\rangle$

$$\langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{G} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} e^{i\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \quad (\text{I.17})$$

$$\Rightarrow \Psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{G}} c_{n\mathbf{k},\mathbf{G}} e^{i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} \quad (\text{I.18})$$

right like that? do the plane waves have the periodicity of the unit cell?

where \mathbf{G} is a reciprocal lattice vector. With that choice of basis set, the kinetic energy is easily calculated:

$$\langle \Psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) | -\nabla^2 | \Psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{G}} c_{n\mathbf{k},\mathbf{G}}^2 |\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|^2 \quad (\text{I.19})$$

Another important consequence of this choice of basis set is that the electron density (eq. I.8) now becomes an integral over the Brillouin zone, which for numerical computation has to be approximated by a sum over a finite set of \mathbf{k} points.

concrete formula for that?

One problem of this choice of basis set lies in the fact that the lower energy core electrons are very localized around the cores and as such need a lot of basis functions. Because the higher orbitals have to be orthogonal to the lower orbitals, they must also have features on the length

scale of the core electrons, which means more basis functions are needed to describe them accurately.

Importantly, the core electrons don't contribute much to the electronic structure problem, so an approach to make the calculations more economically is to introduce an effective screened potential which describes the nuclear potential as well as the states close to the nucleus. These potentials are called **Pseudopotentials (PP)** and the orbitals constructed with them are smooth functions and can be accurately represented with a small number of basis functions. This fact can be used in computation by introducing a *cutoff energy* E_{cutoff} which limits the number of basis functions by using only expansion coefficients with $|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}| \leq E_{cutoff}$. Besides the number of \mathbf{k} points, this is the second variable which can influence the computation time of **DFT** calculations.

With Fast Fourier Transforms **FFT**, an efficient algorithm exists to transform from (discrete) real to (discrete) reciprocal space so every expectation value can be calculated in the optimal representation: in reciprocal space for the kinetic energy and in real space for the pseudopotentials.

should be $(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G})$ to the power of 2 right? also maybe concrete formula for that

talk about scf loop here!




Figure I.2: Flowchart of an algorithm to iteratively solve the **KS** equations with the use of fourier transform

I.2 Density Functional Perturbation Theory

Maybe a bit more introduction?

Within the framework of [DFT](#) a treatment of lattice vibrations can also be derived. This theory will be outlined in this section, following the review article by Baroni et al. [\[6\]](#).

I.2.1 Sternheimer equation and Hellman-Feynman theorem

missing

In a first step, two results namely the Sternheimer equation to describing corrections to a wave function and the Hellman-Feynman theorem

The idea of perturbation theory is to treat a quantum system as an easily solvable system \hat{H}_0 experiencing a small perturbation \hat{H}_1 . The Hamiltonian for the perturbed system is then

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \lambda \hat{H}_1 \quad (\text{I.20})$$

with eigenstates und eigenvalues

$$\hat{H} |n\rangle = \epsilon_n |n\rangle . \quad (\text{I.21})$$

These eigenstates and eigenvalues can now be expanded in terms of the parameter λ ,

$$|n\rangle = |n^0\rangle + \lambda |n^1\rangle + \lambda^2 |n^2\rangle + \dots , \quad (\text{I.22})$$

$$\epsilon_n = \epsilon_n^{(0)} + \lambda \epsilon_n^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \epsilon_n^{(2)} + \dots . \quad (\text{I.23})$$

Inserting these expansion into eq. [I.21](#) and sorting by order of λ^n gives then

$$0^{\text{th}} \text{ order: } H_0 |n^0\rangle = \epsilon_n^{(0)} |n^0\rangle \quad (\text{I.24})$$

$$1^{\text{st}} \text{ order: } H_0 |n^1\rangle + H_1 |n^0\rangle = \epsilon_n^{(1)} |n^0\rangle + \epsilon_n^{(0)} |n^1\rangle \quad (\text{I.25})$$

$$2^{\text{nd}} \text{ order: } H_0 |n^2\rangle + H_1 |n^1\rangle = \epsilon_n^{(0)} |n^2\rangle + \epsilon_n^{(1)} |n^1\rangle + \epsilon_n^{(2)} |n^0\rangle \quad (\text{I.26})$$

\vdots

Multiplying eq. [I.25](#) with $\langle n^0|$ from the left leads then to the first order energy correction via

$$\langle n^0| H_0 |n^1\rangle + \langle n^0| H_1 |n^0\rangle = \epsilon_n^{(1)} \langle n^0|n^0\rangle + \epsilon_n^{(0)} \langle n^0|n^1\rangle \quad (\text{I.27})$$

$$\implies \epsilon_n^{(1)} = \langle n^0| H_1 |n^0\rangle . \quad (\text{I.28})$$

The first order correction to the eigenstates, also known as the *Sternheimer equation* can be calculated by rearranging eq. [I.25](#)

$$(H_0 - \epsilon_n^{(0)}) |n^1\rangle = -(H_1 - \epsilon_n^{(1)}) |n^0\rangle . \quad (\text{I.29})$$

The Hellman-Feynman theorem [\[7\]](#) links the derivative of the eigenvalue of a Hamiltonian \hat{H}_λ depending on a continuous parameter λ with the derivative of the Hamiltonian with respect to that same parameter. Starting from the Schrödinger equation

$$\hat{H}_\lambda |\psi_\lambda\rangle = E_\lambda |\psi_\lambda\rangle \quad (\text{I.30})$$

the derivative of E_λ with respect to λ can be calculated using the product rule

$$\frac{\partial E_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \langle \psi_\lambda | \hat{H}_\lambda | \psi_\lambda \rangle \quad (\text{I.31})$$

$$= \langle \frac{\partial \psi_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} | \hat{H}_\lambda | \psi_\lambda \rangle + \langle \psi_\lambda | \hat{H}_\lambda | \frac{\partial \psi_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} \rangle + \langle \psi_\lambda | \frac{\partial \hat{H}_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} | \psi_\lambda \rangle . \quad (\text{I.32})$$

\hat{H}_λ can now act on the states $|\psi_\lambda\rangle$ to the right or to the left in the first two terms

$$= E_\lambda \langle \frac{\partial \psi_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} | \psi_\lambda \rangle + E_\lambda \langle \psi_\lambda | \frac{\partial \psi_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} \rangle + \langle \psi_\lambda | \frac{\partial \hat{H}_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} | \psi_\lambda \rangle \quad (\text{I.33})$$

$$= E_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \langle \psi_\lambda | \psi_\lambda \rangle + \langle \psi_\lambda | \frac{\partial \hat{H}_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} | \psi_\lambda \rangle \quad (\text{I.34})$$

$$= E_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} 1 + \langle \psi_\lambda | \frac{\partial \hat{H}_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} | \psi_\lambda \rangle . \quad (\text{I.35})$$

With that the *Hellman-Feynman theorem* follows:

$$\frac{\partial E_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} = \langle \psi_\lambda | \frac{\partial \hat{H}_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} | \psi_\lambda \rangle \quad (\text{I.36})$$

I.2.2 Lattice vibrations from electronic structure

As discussed in the beginning of this chapter, nucleic and electronic degrees of freedom can be decoupled in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation. The connection between lattice dynamics and the electronic structure in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation was first pointed out by De Cicco and Johnson [8] and Pick, Cohen, Martin [9]. The lattice dynamics are determined by

$$\left(- \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2M_{\alpha}} \nabla_{\alpha}^2 + E(\mathbf{R}) \right) \Phi(\mathbf{R}) = \varepsilon \Phi(\mathbf{R}) , \quad (\text{I.37})$$

where \mathbf{R} is the set of all nuclear coordinates \mathbf{R}_{α} and $E(\mathbf{R})$ is the ground-state energy of the electronic Hamiltonian I.4, which depends parametrically on \mathbf{R} . The system is then in equilibrium, when the forces acting on the nuclei vanish

$$\mathbf{F}_{\alpha} = - \frac{\partial E(\mathbf{R})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{\alpha}} = 0 . \quad (\text{I.38})$$

The vibrational frequencies ω are determined by the Hessian of $E(\mathbf{R})$, usually called the matrix of interatomic force constants:

$$\det \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{\alpha} M_{\beta}}} \frac{\partial^2 E(\mathbf{R})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{\alpha} \partial \mathbf{R}_{\beta}} - \omega^2 \right| = 0 . \quad (\text{I.39})$$

Thus the calculation of the vibrational properties as well as the equilibrium geometry depend on the first and second derivatives of the energies $E(\mathbf{R})$. These derivatives can be calculated using the Hellman-Feynman theorem I.36, where the parameter λ is the nucleic coordinate \mathbf{R}_{α} . Keeping in mind that in the Born-Oppenheimer Hamiltonian I.4 only the Coulomb interaction

between the electrons and the nuclei and the Coulomb interaction between the nuclei have a dependence on the nucleic coordinates \mathbf{R} , the force acting on nucleus α is then

$$\mathbf{F}_\alpha = -\frac{\partial E(\mathbf{R})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_\alpha} = -\left\langle \Psi_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r}) \left| \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{\text{BO}}(\mathbf{R})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_\alpha} \right| \Psi_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle \quad (\text{I.40})$$

$$= -\left\langle \Psi_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r}) \left| \frac{\partial \hat{V}_{\text{n-e}}}{\partial \mathbf{R}_\alpha} + \frac{\partial \hat{W}_{\text{n-n}}}{\partial \mathbf{R}_\alpha} \right| \Psi_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle \quad (\text{I.41})$$

$$= -\int d\mathbf{r} n_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial V_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_\alpha} - \frac{\partial W_{\text{n-n}}}{\partial \mathbf{R}_\alpha}, \quad (\text{I.42})$$

where $n_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r})$ is the ground state electronic density corresponding to a nucleic configuration \mathbf{R} . The second derivative of $E(\mathbf{R})$ is then calculated using the product rule

$$\frac{\partial^2 E(\mathbf{R})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_\alpha \partial \mathbf{R}_\beta} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_\alpha}{\partial \mathbf{R}_\beta} = \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\partial n_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_\beta} \frac{\partial V_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_\alpha} + \int d\mathbf{r} n_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial^2 V_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_\alpha \partial \mathbf{R}_\beta} + \frac{\partial^2 W_{\text{n-n}}}{\partial \mathbf{R}_\alpha \partial \mathbf{R}_\beta}. \quad (\text{I.43})$$

The lattice dynamics are thus determined by the electronic density $n(\mathbf{r})$ and its linear response to a change in the nuclear geometry $\frac{\partial n_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_\beta}$

I.2.3 Density Functional Perturbation Theory

introduction missing

Eq. I.43 shows how

This approach is called **Density Functional Perturbation Theory (DFPT)**, developed by Baroni et al. [10] and Gonze [11].

In a first step, the electronic density I.8 will be linearized

$$\Delta n(\mathbf{r}) = 4 \text{Re} \left\{ \sum_i^{N_e/2} \Psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \Delta \Psi_i(\mathbf{r}) \right\} \quad (\text{I.44})$$

with the finite-difference operator regarding the parameter \mathbf{R} (the subscript has been omitted in eq. I.44)

$$\Delta^{\mathbf{R}} F = \sum_\alpha \frac{\partial F_{\mathbf{R}}}{\partial \mathbf{R}_\alpha} \Delta \mathbf{R}_\alpha. \quad (\text{I.45})$$

The variation of the **KS** orbitals can be obtained with the Sternheimer equation I.29

$$(H_0 - \epsilon_i) |\Delta \Psi_i\rangle = -(\Delta v_{\text{KS}} - \Delta \epsilon_i) |\Psi_i\rangle, \quad (\text{I.46})$$

where H_0 is the unperturbed **KS** Hamiltonian I.7, Δv_{KS} is the first-order correction to the potential v_{KS}

$$\Delta v_{\text{KS}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 r' \frac{\Delta n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + \left. \frac{\partial v_{\text{XC}}}{\partial n} \right|_{n=n(\mathbf{r})} + \Delta V \quad (\text{I.47})$$

self-consistency again

and $\Delta \epsilon_i = \langle \Psi_i | \Delta v_{\text{KS}} | \Psi_i \rangle$ is the first-order correction to the **KS** eigenvalue ϵ_i .