§1 2020-05-27

§1.1 Universal Functions

Definition 1.1. A binary function $U: \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ is said to be <u>universal</u> for the class of computable unary functions if

- 1. $\forall n, U_n : x \mapsto U(n,x)$ is computable. U_n is called a <u>section</u> of U. This is called currying, when you split a function that takes multiple parameters into nested unary functions.
- 2. \forall unary computable $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, $\exists n$ such that $U_n = f$, i.e. $\forall x \ U_n(x) = f(x)$

Note that in this definition U doesn't have to be computable.

Note 1.2. The list of programs is countable.

Theorem 1.3

There is a binary computable function $U: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to N$ such that U is a universal function for all unary computable functions. i.e. one turing machine that can simulate all the others.

Proof. Consider your favorite programming language (YFPL), and enumerate all legal programs, $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n, \ldots$

 $U(n,x)=p_n(x)$. So U is an "interpreter" while n is the code of the algorithm. \square

Note 1.4. "Code" comes from the days of early computability theory because every program could be coded up as a number.

§1.2 Total Computable Universal Function

Does there exist a <u>total</u> computable universal function for the class of <u>total</u> computable unary functions. Total means it will be defined on all inputs, so everything must terminate. No!

Let U be any total computable function of two arguments. Define d(n) = U(n, n) + 1. $\forall n, \ d(n) \neq U_n(n)$ so $\forall d \neq U_n$. Can't guarantee all terminating algorithms, or must allow some options to not terminate.

Think about why doesn't this argument work for partial functions? Because U(n, n) might be undefined, in which case U(n, n) + 1 is still undefined.

§1.3 Compositional Programming

We want to program Compositionally. If f, g rae computable functions, then $g \circ f$ is also computable. The map that figures out $g \circ f$ should be total computable.

Definition 1.5. Let S be any countable set. A map $\nu : \mathbb{N} \to S$ is called a <u>numbering</u> of S if ν is surjective.

A value of n such that $\nu(n) = s$ is called a code number for s.

Note the indefine article. There can be multiple such n for a given element.

We want to show that for the "right kind" of universal function U, there is a computable function with the following properties.

$$c: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$$

$$\forall p,q,x \in \mathbb{N}, \ (U_p \circ U_q)(x) = U(p,U(q,x)) = U(c(p,q),x) = U_{c(p,q)}(x)$$

Note 1.6. A set S is computable if there is a <u>total</u> computable function f, such that f(n) = 1 if $n \in S$ and f(n) = 0 if $n \notin S$.

Note that computable function doesn't have to be "computable" set. Only total computable function.

Definition 1.7. Let U be a universal computable function. It is called a <u>Godel</u> universal function if \forall binary computable functions V, \exists a total computable unary function $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall m, x \in \mathbb{N}$, $V(m, x) = U(\sigma(m), x)$ (σ will depend on V).

I stopped taking notes because I realized there was a handout online with detailed notes on today's lecture.

§1.4 Primitive Recursive Functions

Godel. These roughly correspond to a programming language with <u>bounded</u> search. i.e can't use while loops, only loops that run a set number of times.

Fortran for loops for example. Provably terminating.

Ackerman gave an example of a provably terminating function that was not primitive recursive.

This makes sense based on the proof above, whereby it's impossible to produce a universal total computable function for the class of total computable unary functions.

Kleene: PRF + unbounded search gives partial recursive functions. They include the power of while loops. Proved that these are equivalent to turing machines and lambda calculus.

§1.5 Degrees of Unsolvability