#### Random Walk & Variance Ratio Test

### **Christopher Ting**

http://www.mysmu.edu/faculty/christophert/

⊠: christophert@smu.edu.sg

6828 0364 否: G: LKCSB 5036

January 20, 2017

### **Table of Contents**

- Learning Objectives
- Random Walk Models
- Variance Ratio Test

## **Learning Objectives**

- <sup>6</sup> Understand the concept of random walk and its application on the study of return time series.
- **o** Describe variance ratio test of random walk and calculate the test statistics for inferences.

### **Random Walk**

A random walk is a walk where the direction of each step is chosen at random.

lacktriangle Let  $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_t$  be a time series of i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$ . Let  $S_0$  be any starting point.

$$S_t = S_0 + Z_1 + \dots + Z_t, \qquad t \ge 1.$$

 $\odot$  Conditional mean and variance are proportional to t.

$$\mathbb{E}(S_t|S_0) = S_0 + \mu t$$

$$\mathbb{V}(S_t|S_0) = \sigma^2 t$$

 $\bullet$  The parameter  $\mu$  is called the drift, and the parameter  $\sigma$  is called the volatility, which is responsible for diffusion.

### **Geometric Random Walk**

 $\Re$  Recall that  $\ln \left(1 + R_t(q)\right) = r_t + r_{t-1} + \cdots + r_{t-q+1}$ . So

$$\frac{P_t}{P_{t-q}} = 1 + R_t(q) = \exp(r_t + \dots + r_{t-q+1}).$$

 $\Re$  Let q = t, we have

$$P_t = P_0 \exp(r_t + r_{t-1} + \dots + r_1)$$

 $\Re$  If  $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_t$  are i.i.d. and for time t,

$$r_t \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2),$$

then  $P_t$  is lognormal for all t. This stochastic process is known as geometric random walk with parameters  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

## **Lognormal Geometric Random Walk**

- Big Assumptions
- i.i.d.
- 2 Each  $r_t$  is a normally distributed random variable

- Consequences
  - Log returns are uncorrelated.
  - 2 Log returns cannot be forecasted.

## Mean and Variance of Lognormal Random Variable

#### \* Mean

The expected value of price is

$$\mathbb{E}(P_t|P_0) = P_0 \exp\left(\left(\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t\right)$$

#### \* Variance

The price variance is

$$V(P_t|P_0) = P_0^2 e^{(2\mu + \sigma^2)t} (e^{\sigma^2 t} - 1)$$

### Information Set and Random Walk

 $\leadsto$  A better forecast of next-period price  $P_{t+1}$  is obtainable as the conditional expectation based on information  $\phi_t$  available at t:

$$\mathbb{E}_t(P_{t+1}) \equiv \mathbb{E}(P_{t+1} | \phi_t)$$

→ A random walk is a process that exhibits no preference in the direction it is taking for the next time step. Thus, no "pattern" can be deciphered from a time series of random walks.

→ If the price process is a random walk, i.e, the probability of up move is the same as the probability of down move:

$$P_{t+1} = P_t + e_{t+1}$$

where  $e_{t+1}$  is a noise process with zero mean.

 $\leadsto$  The noise  $e_{t+1}$  is not correlated with  $P_t$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}\big(e_{t+1}\big|P_t\big)=0$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}_t(P_{t+1}) = \mathbb{E}_t(P_t + e_{t+1}) = P_t.$$

# Log Price and Random Walk

 $\succ$  Consider a random variable  $\xi_{t+1}>0$  such that  $\mathbb{E}_tig(\xi_{t+1}ig)=1$  and

$$P_{t+1} = P_t \xi_{t+1}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}_t(P_{t+1}) = P_t$$

$$r_{t+1} = \ln P_{t+1} - \ln P_t = \ln P_t + \ln \xi_{t+1} - \ln P_t$$
  
=  $\ln \xi_{t+1}$ 

## **Assumptions**

 $\ \square$  If the daily log return  $r_t$  is treated as a random variable, the variance of a sum of q daily log returns in sequel is

$$\mathbb{V}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{q} r_t\right) = \sum_{t=1}^{q} \mathbb{V}(r_t) + 2\sum_{t=1}^{q} \sum_{s < t} \mathbb{C}(r_s, r_t).$$

- Two assumptions are made
  - **1** Zero covariance:  $\mathbb{C}(r_s, r_t) = 0$  for any  $s \neq t$
  - 2 Homoskedasticity:  $\mathbb{V}(r_t) = \sigma^2$
- Under these two assumptions,

$$\mathbb{V}(r_t(q)) := \mathbb{V}\left(\sum_{t=1}^q r_t\right) = q\sigma^2.$$

#### **Variance Ratio**

Definition of variance ratio

$$VR(q) := \frac{\mathbb{V}(r_t(q))}{q\sigma^2},$$

- $\square$  VR(q) should be equal to one when the conditions of log returns being serially uncorrelated and homoskedastic are satisfied.
- ☐ The variance ratio test is a test of

$$H_0: VR(q) - 1 = 0$$
 versus  $H_1: VR(q) - 1 \neq 0$ 

 $\ \square$  If the null hypothesis cannot be rejected, then it means that the two assumptions are consistent with the reality. Conversely, a rejection of  $H_0$  implies that at least one of the two assumptions is inconsistent with reality.

# Sample Mean and Variance of Daily Log Returns

☐ To set up the framework for inference, we recall a few definitions and facts. The sample mean of daily log returns is estimated as usual,

$$\widehat{r}_1 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} r_t.$$

But the sample variance of daily log returns is instead estimated as

$$\widehat{\sigma}_1^2 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left( r_t - \widehat{r}_1 \right)^2.$$

The subscript of 1 in  $\hat{r}_1$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_1^2$  is meant to indicate that these estimates are for daily log returns.

#### **Distribution of Variance Estimate**

 $oldsymbol{\square}$  By the law of large numbers, as  $T\longrightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(\widehat{\sigma}_{1}^{2}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(r_{t} - \widehat{r}_{1}\right)^{2}\right) \longrightarrow \sigma^{2}$$

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\sigma}_{1}^{2}) = \frac{1}{T^{2}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{V}\left(\left(r_{t} - \widehat{r}_{1}\right)^{2}\right) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{V}(\sigma^{2}x^{2}) = \frac{\sigma^{4}}{T} \mathbb{V}(x^{2}),$$

where  $x \sim N(0,1)$ , and  $\mathbb{V}\big(x^2\big)$  is the variance of the chi-square random variable with 1 degree of freedom, which equals 2.

 $lue{}$  By the central limit theorem, as  $T\longrightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\sigma}_1^2 - \sigma^2) \sim N(0, 2\sigma^4)$$

### **Estimation of** *q***-Daily Log Return and Variance**

 $\Box$  The q-daily return is

$$r_{qj}(q) = \ln P_{qj} - \ln P_{q(j-1)},$$

for  $j=1,2,\ldots,M$ , where M is the maximum number of non-overlapping q-daily returns that are obtainable from T+1 prices starting from  $P_0$ .

- $f \square$  The sample average of  $r_{qj}(q)$  is simply q times of  $\widehat{r}_1$ , i.e.,  $q\widehat{r}_1$ .
- ☐ The sample variance is estimated as

$$\hat{\sigma}_q^2 = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (r_{qj}(q) - q\hat{r}_1)^2.$$

## **Asymptotic Limits**

 $\blacktriangle$  The asymptotic limits of the expected value and variance of  $\widehat{\sigma}_q^2$  are as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}(\widehat{\sigma}_q^2) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbb{E}\left(\left(r_{qj}(q) - q\widehat{r}_1\right)^2\right) \longrightarrow q\sigma^2;$$

$$\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{\widehat{\sigma}_q^2}{q}\right) = \frac{1}{M^2 q^2} \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbb{V}\left(\left(r_{qj}(q) - q\widehat{r}_1\right)^2\right) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{Mq^2} \mathbb{V}\left(q\sigma^2 x^2\right) = \frac{1}{M}\sigma^4 \mathbb{V}(x^2).$$

- ▲ As in Slide 13,
- $\blacktriangle$  By the central limit theorem, as  $M \longrightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sqrt{Mq} \left( \frac{\widehat{\sigma}_q^2}{q} - \sigma^2 \right) \sim N(0, 2q\sigma^4).$$

### **Test Statistics**

▼ To perform the test, we define the test statistics

$$J_d(q) := \frac{\widehat{\sigma}_q^2}{q} - \widehat{\sigma}_1^2;$$

$$J_r(q) := \frac{\widehat{\sigma}_q^2}{q\widehat{\sigma}_1^2} - 1 = \widehat{VR}(q) - 1.$$

**▼** Note that  $J_r(q) = \frac{J_d(q)}{\widehat{\sigma}_1^2}$ 

## **Asymptotic Distributions**

#### Theorem 3.1

The asymptotic distributions of  $\sqrt{Mq}J_d(q)$  and  $\sqrt{Mq}J_r(q)$  are normal with mean 0 and variances of, respectively,  $2(q-1)\sigma^4$  and 2(q-1):

$$\sqrt{Mq}J_d(q) \sim N(0, 2(q-1)\sigma^4);$$

$$\sqrt{Mq}J_r(q) \sim N(0,2(q-1)).$$

• In light of this theorem, for q > 2, the z score is computed as

$$Z_q = \sqrt{Mq} \frac{J_r(q)}{\sqrt{2(q-1)}} \sim N(0,1).$$

## **Case Study: Variance Tests on GE**

$\overline{q}$		2								10
Obs	22,776	11,388	7,592	5,694	4,555	3,796	3,253	2,847	2,530	2,277
$\widehat{\operatorname{VR}}(q)$	1	1.002	0.946	0.939	0.916	0.926	0.968	0.933	0.871	0.920
$Z_q$	_	0.20	-4.08	-3.74	-4.46	-3.53	-1.40	-2.69	-4.85	-2.86

Table: Results of variance ratio tests based on GE's daily log returns.

- Looking at  $Z_2$ , what can you infer?
- 2 Looking at  $Z_5$ , what can you infer?

### **Takeaways**

- Asset returns are likely to be not normally distributed
- The variance of return increases with the holding period
- > Statistical arbitrage is difficult but possible because prices are not strictly random walks.