

**STOR 415, Fall 2019**  
**Solutions to Homework Assignment No. 3**

1. (a)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & z = 3x_1 + x_2 \\ \text{s.t} & x_1 \geq 3, \\ & x_1 + x_2 \leq 4, \\ & 2x_1 - x_2 = 3, \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Not in standard form or canonical form. Convert it into standard form to obtain the following LP:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & z = 3x_1 + x_2 \\ \text{s.t} & x_1 - s_1 = 3, \\ & x_1 + x_2 + s_2 = 4, \\ & 2x_1 - x_2 = 3, \\ & x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

(b)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & z = 3x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \\ \text{s.t} & x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \geq 10, \\ & x_1 + x_2 \leq 4, \\ & x_1 \geq 0, \quad x_2 \leq 0, \quad x_4 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Not in standard form or canonical form. Convert it into standard form to obtain the following LP:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & z = 3x_1 - \hat{x}_2 + x_3^+ - x_3^- + x_4 \\ \text{s.t} & x_1 - 2\hat{x}_2 + x_3^+ - x_3^- + x_4 - s_1 = 10, \\ & x_1 - \hat{x}_2 + s_2 = 4, \\ & x_1, \hat{x}_2, x_3^+, x_3^-, x_4, s_1, s_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

(c)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & z = x_1 + x_2 - x_3 \\ \text{s.t} & x_1 + x_3 + x_4 = 10, \\ & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 6, \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

In standard form, but not in canonical form. (The second equation does not isolate a variable.)

(d)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & z = x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3 + x_4 \\ \text{s.t} & x_1 + x_3 + x_4 + s_1 = 10, \\ & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + s_2 = 6, \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, s_1, s_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

In canonical form. The first equation isolates  $s_1$ , and the second equation isolates  $s_2$ .

2. (a) Choice 1:  $B(1)=1, B(2)=2$ : basic solution  $x = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ . It is a nondegenerate BFS.  
 Choice 2:  $B(1)=1, B(2)=3$ : basic solution  $x = (0, 0, 2, 0)$ . It is a degenerate BFS.  
 Choice 3:  $B(1)=1, B(2)=4$ : basic solution  $x = (1, 0, 0, -1)$ . It is not a BFS.  
 Choice 4:  $B(1)=2, B(2)=3$ : basic solution  $x = (0, 0, 2, 0)$ . It is a degenerate BFS. (Same as the BFS produced in choice 2)  
 Choice 5:  $B(1)=3, B(2)=4$ : basic solution  $x = (0, 0, 2, 0)$ . It is a degenerate BFS. (Same as the BFS produced in choices 2 and 4)
- (b)  $x_3 = 2 - 2x_1$  and  $x_4 = x_2 - x_1$ .

The projection is

$$\{(x_1, x_2) \mid x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_1 \leq 1, x_2 - x_1 \geq 0\}$$

- (c) The set has two vertices at  $(0,0)$  and  $(1,1)$ . It has three edges, including the line segment connecting the two vertices, and two vertical halflines starting from  $(0,0)$  and from  $(1,1)$  respectively.
- (d) No, it is unbounded because the objective value can be made arbitrarily large by choosing  $x_2$  to be an arbitrarily large number.
3. (a) Each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  is associated with a basic feasible solution:

$$x_i = b/a_i, \quad x_j = 0 \text{ for each } j \neq i.$$

All basic feasible solutions can be obtained in such a way.

- (b)  $M_i = b/a_i$ .
- (c) The optimal value is

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, n} \frac{bc_i}{a_i}.$$

Justification (optional). Let

$$\lambda = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} \frac{c_i}{a_i}.$$

We have  $c_i \leq \lambda a_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then for any feasible solution  $x$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda a_i x_i = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = \lambda b,$$

in which we have used the facts that  $x_i \geq 0$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and that  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = b$ . This shows that  $\lambda b$  is an upper bound for the objective value of any feasible solution. On the other hand, if we let  $i_0$  to be the index that has the largest value  $\frac{c_i}{a_i}$  among all indices  $i$ , then the BFS associated with  $i_0$  attains the objective value  $\lambda b$ . Thus,  $\lambda b$  is the optimal value.