Linear Regression

Andrew Nobel

April, 2020

General Setting: Real-Valued Response

New setting: Jointly distributed pair $(X,Y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$

- ▶ Feature vector X with values in \mathcal{X} (usually \mathbb{R}^p)
- Response Y is real-valued

Ex 1: Marketing (ISL)

- lacktriangleright X =money spent on different components of marketing campaign
- $ightharpoonup Y = {
 m gross} \ {
 m profits} \ {
 m from sales} \ {
 m of } \ {
 m marketed} \ {
 m item}$

Ex 2: Cost of housing

- $lacktriangleq X = {
 m geographic} \ {
 m and} \ {
 m demographic} \ {
 m features} \ {
 m of} \ {
 m a} \ {
 m neighborhood}$
- ▶ Y = median home price

Predicting the Response from the Features

Basic Components

- ▶ Jointly distributed pair $(X,Y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$
- ▶ Prediction rule is a map $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$. Idea: $\varphi(X)$ is an estimate of Y
- ▶ Squared loss $\ell(y',y) = (y'-y)^2$, error when y' is used to predict y
- ▶ Risk of prediction rule φ is expected loss

$$R(\varphi) = \mathbb{E}\ell(\varphi(X), Y) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X) - Y)^2$$

Overall goal: Find rule φ to minimize risk $R(\varphi)$

The Regression Function

Fact: Under the squared loss

$$R(\varphi) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X) - \mathbb{E}(Y|X)]^2 + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(Y|X) - Y]^2$$

Thus optimal prediction rule φ is the *regression function*

$$f(x) = \mathbb{E}(Y|X=x)$$

Signal plus noise model

$$Y = f(X) + \varepsilon$$
 where $\varepsilon \perp \!\!\! \perp X$, $\mathbb{E}\varepsilon = 0$, $\mathrm{Var}(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2$

In this case f is the regression function and

$$R(\varphi) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X) - f(X))^2 + \operatorname{Var}(\varepsilon)$$

Observations, Procedures, and Empirical Risk

Observations: $D_n = (X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$ iid copies of (X, Y)

Definition

- ▶ A regression procedure is a map $\varphi_n : \mathcal{X} \times (\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R})^n \to \mathbb{R}$
- $\hat{\varphi}_n(x) := \varphi_n(x:D_n)$, prediction rule based on observations D_n

Definition: The *empirical risk* or *training error* of a rule φ is given by

$$\hat{R}_n(\varphi) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \varphi(X_i))^2$$

Linear Regression

Two sides of the coin

- ▶ Linear models: How data is generated
- ▶ Linear prediction rules: How data is fit

Linear Regression Model

Model: For some coefficient vector $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)^t \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$

$$Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} X_j \beta_j + \varepsilon$$

where we assume that

- ightharpoonup arepsilon is independent of feature vector X
- $\mathbb{E}\varepsilon = 0$ and $Var(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2$

Note: *No assumption* about distribution of feature vector *X*

Convention: $X = (1, X_1, \dots, X_p)^t$, so linear model can be written

$$Y = X^t \beta + \varepsilon$$

Flexibility of Linear Model (from ESL)

Flexibility arises from latitude in defining the features $X = (1, X_1, \dots, X_p)^t$

Features can include

- Any numerical quantity (possibly taking a finite number of values)
- Transformations (square root, log, square) of numerical quantities
- ▶ Polynomial $(X_2 = X_1^2, X_3 = X_1^3)$ or basis expansions of other features
- Dummy variables to code qualitative inputs
- ▶ Variable interactions: $X_3 = X_1 \cdot X_2$ or perhaps $X_3 = \mathbb{I}(X_1 \ge 0, X_2 \ge 0)$

Linear Rules and Procedures

Definition: Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$

- Linear prediction rule has form $\varphi_{\beta}(x) = x^t \beta$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$
- Linear procedure φ_n produces linear rules from observations D_n

Notation: Linear rule φ_{β} fully determined by coefficient vector β

$$P(\beta) = \mathbb{E}(Y - X^t \beta)^2$$

$$\hat{R}_n(\beta) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - X_i^t \beta)^2$$

Underlying Distributions: Assumptions and Non-Assumptions

Fitting: Fitting linear models

- ▶ Data $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ fixed (non-random)
- No assumption about underlying distribution

Inference: For coefficients from OLS, Ridge, LASSO

- $y_i = x_i^t \beta + \varepsilon_i$ with x_j fixed and ε_j iid $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
- ▶ Conditions on the feature vectors x_j (design matrix)

Assessment: Test error, cross-validation

▶ Data from iid observations $(X_i, Y_i) \sim (X, Y)$

Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)

Given: Paired observations $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ define

- ▶ Response vector $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)^t$
- Design matrix X with ith row x_i^t

OLS: Identify the vector $\hat{\beta}$ minimizing the residual sum of squares (RSS)

$$n\,\hat{R}_n(\beta) = ||y - X\beta||^2$$

Motivation: If observations (x_i,y_i) generated from linear model with normal errors, $\hat{\beta}$ is the MLE of true coefficient vector

Least Squares Estimation of Coefficient Vector

Fact: If $\operatorname{rank}(X) = p$ then $\hat{R}_n(\beta)$ is strictly convex and has unique minimizer

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^t X)^{-1} X^t y$$
 (normal eqns)

- Minimization problem has closed form solution
- $\qquad \textbf{Assumption } \mathsf{rank}(X) = p \; \mathsf{ensures} \; X^t X \; \mathsf{is invertible, requires} \; n \geq p \\$
- ▶ OLS procedure yields linear rule $\varphi_{\hat{\beta}}(x) = x^t \hat{\beta}$
- ▶ Predicted values of response y given by $\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}$

Inference for OLS Coefficients in Gaussian Setting

Fact: Suppose $y_i = x_i^t \beta + \varepsilon_i$ with x_j fixed and ε_j iid $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$

1.
$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$
 with $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}_n(0, \sigma^2 I)$

2.
$$\hat{\beta} \sim \mathcal{N}_p(\beta, \sigma^2(X^t X)^{-1})$$

3. $||y-X\hat{\beta}||^2\sim\sigma^2\chi^2_{n-p-1}$ so one may estimate σ^2 by

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 := ||y - X\hat{\beta}||^2 / (n - p - 1)$$

- 4. If $\beta_j = 0$ then $T_j = \hat{\beta}_j / \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{(X^t X)_{jj}^{-1}} \sim t_{n-p-1}$. Use T_j to test if $\beta_j = 0$
- 5. Approx. 95% confidence interval for β_j is $(\hat{\beta}_j 1.96\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\beta}_j + 1.96\hat{\sigma})$

Penalized Linear Regression

OLS estimate $\hat{\beta}$ depends on $(X^tX)^{-1}$

- ▶ Inverse does not exist if p > n
- Small eigenvalues resulting from (near) collinearity among features can lead to unstable estimates, unreliable predictions

Alternative: Penalized regression

 Regularize OLS cost function by adding a term that penalizes large coefficients, shrinking estimates towards zero

Ridge Regression

Given: Paired observations $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \times \mathbb{R}$

Response vector y, design matrix X

Penalized cost function: For each $\lambda \geq 0$ define

$$\hat{R}_{n,\lambda}(\beta) = ||y - X\beta||^2 + \lambda ||\beta||^2$$

- $||y X\beta||^2$ measures fit of the linear model
- ▶ $||\beta||^2$ measures magnitude of coefficient vector
- λ controls tradeoff between fit and magnitude

Ridge Regression, cont.

Fact: If $\lambda > 0$ then $\hat{R}_{n,\lambda}(\beta)$ is strictly convex and has unique minimizer

$$\hat{\beta}_{\lambda} = (X^t X + \lambda I_p)^{-1} X^t y$$

- ▶ Eigenvalues of $X^tX + \lambda I_p =$ eigenvalues of X^tX plus λ .
- If $\lambda > 0$ then $X^t X + \lambda I_p > 0$ is invertible so $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$ is well defined
- ▶ If $\lambda_1 \le \lambda_2$ then $||\hat{\beta}_{\lambda_2}|| \le ||\hat{\beta}_{\lambda_1}||$. Penalty shrinks $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$ towards zero
- ▶ Ridge procedure yields linear rule $\varphi_{\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}}(x) = x^t \hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$
- ▶ Ridge regression is really a *family* of procedures, one for each λ

Ridge Regression as a Convex Program

Recall:
$$\hat{R}_{n,\lambda}(\beta) = ||y - X\beta||^2 + \lambda ||\beta||^2$$

Fact: Minimizing $\hat{R}_{n,\lambda}(\beta)$ is the Lagrangian form of the mathematical program

$$\min f(\beta) = \left|\left|y - X\beta\right|\right|^2 \text{ subject to } \left|\left|\beta\right|\right|^2 \leq t,$$

where t depends on λ

Note: Objective function and constraint set of the program are convex.

Selecting Penalty Parameter

Issue: Different parameters λ give different solutions $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$. How to choose λ ?

- ▶ Fix "grid" $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N\}$ of parameter values
- 1. Independent training set D_n and test set D_m
 - Find vectors $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \hat{\beta}_{\lambda_N}$ using training set D_n
 - Select vector $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda_{\ell}}$ minimizing test error $\hat{R}_m(\beta)$
- 2. Cross-validation
 - ▶ For each $1 \le \ell \le N$ evaluate cross-validated risk $\hat{R}^{\text{k-CV}}(\mathsf{Ridge}(\lambda_{\ell}))$
 - ▶ Select vector $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda_{\ell}}$ for which λ_{ℓ} minimizes cross-validated risk

Ridge Regression and Gaussian Linear Model

Setting: Suppose $y_i = x_i^t \beta + \varepsilon_i$ with x_j fixed and ε_j iid $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$

Ridge estimator $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$ shrinks OLS estimator/MLE $\hat{\beta}$ towards zero. For $\lambda > 0$

- ▶ Increased bias $\mathbb{E}\hat{\beta}_{\lambda} \neq \beta$
- ▶ Reduced variance $Var(\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}) < Var(\hat{\beta})$

Appropriate λ can reduce mean-squared error $\mathbb{E}||\hat{\beta}_{\lambda} - \beta||^2 < \mathbb{E}||\hat{\beta} - \beta||^2$