Lecture 11: Introduction to Hypothesis Testing

Mathematical Statistics II, MATH 60062/70062

Tuesday March 1, 2022

Reference: Casella & Berger, 8.1-8.2.1

Hypothesis testing problem

We observe $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim f_X(x \mid \theta)$, where $\theta \in \Theta$.

A statistical hypothesis is a statement about a population parameter θ . This statement specifies a collection of possible values of θ , i.e., the collection of distributions that X can possibly have.

Hypothesis testing problem

We observe
$$X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim f_X(x \mid \theta)$$
, where $\theta \in \Theta$.

A statistical hypothesis is a statement about a population parameter θ . This statement specifies a collection of possible values of θ , i.e., the collection of distributions that X can possibly have.

In a hypothesis testing problem, two complementary hypotheses are called the **null hypothesis** (H_0) and the **alternative hypothesis** (H_1) . Typically, we write

$$H_0: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta_0$$
 versus $H_1: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta_0^c$

where Θ_0 is some subset of the parameter space and $\Theta_0^c=\Theta\setminus\Theta_0$ is its complement.

Suppose X_1, \ldots, X_n are iid $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma_0^2)$, where $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ and σ_0^2 is known.

Two common hypothesis testing examples are

- $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$, where θ_0 is a specified value of θ . In this case, H_0 specifies a single distribution $\mathcal{N}(\theta_0, \sigma_0^2)$, and is called a **simple** (or **sharp**) hypothesis.
- $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$ versus $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$. In this case, H_0 specifies a family of distributions $\{\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma_0^2): \theta \leq \theta_0\}$, and is called a **composite** (or **compound**) hypothesis.

Hypothesis testing procedure

A hypothesis testing procedure or hypothesis test is a rule that specifies

- For which sample values the decision is made to accept H_0 as true.
- **2** For which sample values H_0 is rejected and H_1 is accepted as true.

The subset of the sample space for which H_0 will be rejected is called the **rejection region** or **critical region**. The complement of the rejection region is called the **acceptance region**.

Hypothesis testing procedure

A **hypothesis testing procedure** or **hypothesis test** is a rule that specifies

- lacktriangle For which sample values the decision is made to accept H_0 as true.
- **②** For which sample values H_0 is rejected and H_1 is accepted as true.

The subset of the sample space for which H_0 will be rejected is called the **rejection region** or **critical region**. The complement of the rejection region is called the **acceptance region**.

Typically, a hypothesis test is specified in terms of a **test statistic** $W(X_1, ..., X_n) = W(\mathbf{X})$, a function of the sample.

Suppose X_1, \ldots, X_n are iid $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$, where $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ and $\sigma^2 > 0$. Both parameters are unknown.

Consider testing

$$H_0: \sigma^2 = 10$$
 versus $H_1: \sigma^2 \neq 10$.

Suppose X_1, \ldots, X_n are iid $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$, where $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ and $\sigma^2 > 0$. Both parameters are unknown.

Consider testing

$$H_0: \sigma^2 = 10$$
 versus $H_1: \sigma^2 \neq 10$.

In this problem,

$$W_1 = |S^2 - 10|$$
$$W_2 = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}$$

are both reasonable test statistics.

Suppose X_1, \ldots, X_n are iid $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$, where $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ and $\sigma^2 > 0$. Both parameters are unknown.

Consider testing

$$H_0: \sigma^2 = 10$$
 versus $H_1: \sigma^2 \neq 10$.

In this problem,

$$W_1 = |S^2 - 10|$$
$$W_2 = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}$$

are both reasonable test statistics.

An advantage of working with W_2 is that we know its sampling distribution when H_0 is true.

Hypothesis testing methods

Methods of finding tests

- Likelihood ratio tests
- Bayesian tests

Methods of evaluating tests

- Error probability
- Power function
- Size and level
- Most powerful tests

Likelihood ratio tests

Recall: Suppose that $X=(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$ is a random sample from $f_X(x\mid\theta)$, where $\theta\in\Theta$. The **likelihood function** is

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = f_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{X}(x_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

where $f_X(x \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is the common population distribution.

Likelihood ratio tests

Recall: Suppose that $X=(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$ is a random sample from $f_X(x\mid\theta)$, where $\theta\in\Theta$. The **likelihood function** is

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = f_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{X}(x_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

where $f_X(x \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is the common population distribution.

The likelihood ratio test (LRT) statistic for testing

$$H_0: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta_0$$
 versus $H_1: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta_0^c$

is

$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta_0} L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x})}{\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x})}$$

Likelihood ratio tests

Recall: Suppose that $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a random sample from $f_X(x \mid \theta)$, where $\theta \in \Theta$. The **likelihood function** is

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = f_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{X}(x_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

where $f_X(x \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is the common population distribution.

The likelihood ratio test (LRT) statistic for testing

$$H_0: {m heta} \in \Theta_0 \quad {
m versus} \quad H_1: {m heta} \in \Theta_0^c$$

is

$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta_0} L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x})}{\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x})}$$

An LRT is any test that has a rejection region of the form $R = \{x : \lambda(x) \le c\}$, where c is any number satisfying $0 \le c \le 1$.

• The numerator of $\lambda(\boldsymbol{x})$ is

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta_0} L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = L(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_0 \mid \boldsymbol{x}),$$

where $\hat{\theta}_0$ is the **restricted MLE** over Θ_0 .

• The denominator of $\lambda(x)$ is

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = L(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mid \boldsymbol{x}),$$

where $\hat{\theta}$ is the **unrestricted MLE**.

Obviously, $0 \le \lambda(x) \le 1$. The ratio is *small* if there are parameter points in Θ_0^c for which the observed sample x is much more likely than for any point in Θ_0 . In this situation, the LRT criterion says H_0 should be rejected.