

## Lecture 07: Exponential Families

Mathematical Statistics I, MATH 60061/70061

Tuesday September 21, 2021

Reference: Casella & Berger, 3.4

# Exponential families

A family of PDFs or PMFs indexed by  $\theta$  is called an **exponential family** if it can be expressed as

$$f(x \mid \theta) = h(x)c(\theta) \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\theta)t_i(x) \right), \quad \theta \in \Theta,$$

where  $\Theta$  is the set of all values of  $\theta$  (parameter space),  $h(x) \geq 0$  and  $t_1(x), \dots, t_k(x)$  are real-valued functions of observation  $x$  (not depending on  $\theta$ ), and  $c(\theta) \geq 0$  and  $w_1(\theta), \dots, w_k(\theta)$  are functions of the possibly vector-valued  $\theta$  (not depending on  $x$ ).

Note that the expression for  $f$  may not be unique.

# Exponential families

Many common families introduced in the previous lectures are exponential families. These include

- Continuous families: Normal, Gamma, Beta
- Discrete families: Binomial, Poisson, Negative Binomial

To verify that a family of PDFs or PMFs is an exponential family, we must identify the functions  $h(x)$ ,  $c(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ ,  $w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ , and  $t_i(x)$  and show that the family has the given form

$$f(x \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(x)c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})t_i(x) \right).$$

# Binomial exponential family

Let  $n$  be a positive integer and consider the  $\text{Bin}(n, p)$  family with  $0 < p < 1$ . Then the PMF for this family, for  $x = 0, \dots, n$  and  $0 < p < 1$ , is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x | p) &= \binom{n}{x} p^x (1 - p)^{n-x} \\ &= \binom{n}{x} (1 - p)^n \left( \frac{p}{1 - p} \right)^x \\ &= \binom{n}{x} (1 - p)^n \exp \left( \log \left( \frac{p}{1 - p} x \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

# Binomial exponential family

Define

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{x} & x = 0, 1, \dots, n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad t_1(x) = x,$$

$$c(p) = (1 - p)^n, \quad w_1(p) = \log \left( \frac{p}{1 - p} \right), \quad 0 < p < 1.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x | p) &= \binom{n}{x} (1 - p)^n \exp \left( \log \left( \frac{p}{1 - p} \right) x \right) \\ &= h(x) c(p) \exp[w_1(p) t_1(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

So, the Binomial family with  $0 < p < 1$  and a fixed  $n$  is an exponential family ( $k = 1$ ).

# Moments of an exponential family

Exponential families have many nice properties. The following result is a useful calculational shortcut for moments of an exponential family.

If  $X$  is a random variable with PDF or PMF from an exponential family and  $w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ 's are differentiable functions, then

$$E \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} t_i(X) \right) = - \frac{\partial \log c(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j},$$

$$\text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} t_i(X) \right) = - \frac{\partial^2 \log c(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j^2} - E \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial^2 w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j^2} t_i(X) \right),$$

where  $\theta_j$  is the  $j$ th component of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

From the exponential family expression for  $f$ ,

$$\log f(X | \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log h(X) + \log c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) t_i(X)$$

Differentiating this expression leads to

$$\frac{\partial \log f(X | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{\partial \log c(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} t_i(X)$$

Taking expectation, we obtain

$$E \left( \frac{\partial \log f(X | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} \right) = \frac{\partial \log c(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} + E \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} t_i(X) \right)$$

If  $f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta})$  is a PDF (the proof for PMF is similar), then the left side of the previous expression is

$$\begin{aligned} E \left( \frac{\partial \log f(X | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} \right) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \log f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta}) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} dx \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta}) dx \\ &= \frac{\partial 1}{\partial \theta_j} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We interchanged the differentiation and integration, which is justified under the exponential family assumption.

This proves the first result.



Note that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log f(X | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \left[ \frac{\frac{\partial f(X | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j}}{f(X | \boldsymbol{\theta})} \right] = \frac{\frac{\partial^2 f(X | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j^2}}{f(X | \boldsymbol{\theta})} - \left[ \frac{\frac{\partial f(X | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j}}{f(X | \boldsymbol{\theta})} \right]^2$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} E \left( \frac{\partial^2 \log f(X | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j^2} \right) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\frac{\partial^2 f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j^2}}{f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta})} - \left[ \frac{\frac{\partial f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j}}{f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta})} \right]^2 \right\} f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta}) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j^2} dx - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\partial \log f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} \right]^2 f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta}) dx \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\partial \log c(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} t_i(x) \right]^2 f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta}) dx \\ &= -\text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} t_i(X) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the first result.

Then the second result follows from

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log f(X | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \log c(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j^2} + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial^2 w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j^2} t_i(X)$$

## Binomial mean and variance

$$\begin{aligned}f(x | p) &= \binom{n}{x} (1-p)^n \exp \left( \log \left( \frac{p}{1-p} x \right) \right) \\&= h(x) c(p) \exp[w_1(p) t_1(x)].\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dp} w_1(p) &= \frac{d}{dp} \log \frac{p}{1-p} = \frac{1}{p(1-p)} \\ \frac{d}{dp} \log c(p) &= \frac{d}{dp} n \log(1-p) = \frac{-n}{1-p},\end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$E \left( \frac{1}{p(1-p)} X \right) = \frac{n}{1-p} \Rightarrow E(X) = np.$$

The variance identity works in a similar manner.

# Normal exponential family

If  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , then  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mu, \sigma)$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x \mid \mu, \sigma) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu x}{\sigma^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$h(x) = 1 \text{ for all } x$$

$$c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = c(\mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$w_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 1/\sigma^2, \quad w_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mu/\sigma^2$$

$$t_1(x) = -x^2/2, \quad t_2(x) = x$$

So, this Normal family is an exponential family with  $k = 2$ .

# Normal mean and variance

With

$$c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = c(\mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right),$$

$$w_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 1/\sigma^2, \quad w_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mu/\sigma^2, \quad t_1(x) = -x^2/2, \quad t_2(x) = x$$

we obtain  $E(X) = \mu$  from equation

$$-\frac{\partial \log c(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mu} = \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\partial w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mu} t_i(X)\right) = E\left(\frac{X}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Also,

$$-\frac{\partial \log c(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{\mu^2}{\sigma^3} + \frac{1}{\sigma} = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\partial w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \sigma} t_i(X)\right) = E\left(\frac{X^2}{\sigma^3} - \frac{2\mu X}{\sigma^3}\right)$$

Using  $E(X) = \mu$ , we obtain from this equation that  $\text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2$ .

# Natural exponential family

If  $\eta_i = w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k)$ , the form of the exponential family becomes

$$f(x \mid \boldsymbol{\eta}) = h(x)c^*(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i t_i(x) \right),$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  is called the natural parameter.

- The set of  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ 's for which  $f(x \mid \boldsymbol{\eta})$  is a well-defined PDF or PMF is called the **natural parameter space**.
- The natural parameter space is *convex*, among other useful mathematical properties.

# Full or curved exponential families

In the exponential family representation,

$$f(x \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(x)c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) t_i(x) \right), \quad \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta,$$

if the dimension of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is  $k$ , then the family is a **full exponential family**. If the dimension of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is less than  $k$ , the family is a **curved exponential family**.

- An example of a full exponential family is  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ .
- An example of a curved exponential family is  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \mu^2)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ .