

## Lecture 17: Convergence in Distribution

Mathematical Statistics I, MATH 60061/70061

Tuesday November 16, 2021

Reference: Casella & Berger, 5.5.3

# Convergence in distribution

A sequence of random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , **converges in distribution** to a random variable  $X$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x)$$

at all points  $x$  where  $F_X(x)$  is continuous.

# Convergence in distribution

A sequence of random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , **converges in distribution** to a random variable  $X$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x)$$

at all points  $x$  where  $F_X(x)$  is continuous.

- We only need to consider the convergence at  $x$  that is a continuity point of  $F_X$ .
- It is really the CDFs that converge, not the random variables.

## Example: Maximum of Uniforms

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are iid  $\text{Unif}(0, 1)$  and  $X_{(n)} = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} X_i$ . For every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(|X_{(n)} - 1| \geq \epsilon) &= P(X_{(n)} \geq 1 + \epsilon) + P(X_{(n)} \leq 1 - \epsilon) \\ &= 0 + P(X_{(n)} \leq 1 - \epsilon) \\ &= P(X_i \leq 1 - \epsilon, i = 1, \dots, n) \\ &= (1 - \epsilon)^n, \end{aligned}$$

which goes to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So,  $X_{(n)}$  *converges in probability* to 1.

## Example: Maximum of Uniforms

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are iid  $\text{Unif}(0, 1)$  and  $X_{(n)} = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} X_i$ . For every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}P(|X_{(n)} - 1| \geq \epsilon) &= P(X_{(n)} \geq 1 + \epsilon) + P(X_{(n)} \leq 1 - \epsilon) \\&= 0 + P(X_{(n)} \leq 1 - \epsilon) \\&= P(X_i \leq 1 - \epsilon, i = 1, \dots, n) \\&= (1 - \epsilon)^n,\end{aligned}$$

which goes to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So,  $X_{(n)}$  *converges in probability* to 1.

However, if we take  $\epsilon = t/n$ , we then have

$$\begin{aligned}P(X_{(n)} \leq 1 - t/n) &= (1 - t/n)^n \rightarrow e^{-t} \\&\Rightarrow P(n(1 - X_{(n)}) \leq t) \rightarrow 1 - e^{-t}.\end{aligned}$$

This means, the random variable  $n(1 - X_{(n)})$  *converges in distribution* to  $\text{Expo}(1)$ .

# Convergence in probability & convergence in distribution

If the sequence of random variables,  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , converges in probability to a random variable  $X$ , the sequence also converges in distribution to  $X$ ,

$$X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{d} X.$$

*The converse is not true in general. It is true when the limiting random variable is a constant.*

# Convergence in probability & convergence in distribution

If the sequence of random variables,  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , converges in probability to a random variable  $X$ , the sequence also converges in distribution to  $X$ ,

$$X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{d} X.$$

*The converse is not true in general. It is true when the limiting random variable is a constant.*

Suppose that  $X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  for all  $n$  and that  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Obviously,  $F_{X_n}(x) \rightarrow F_X(x)$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . However, this does not guarantee that  $X_n$  will be *close to  $X$  with high probability*.

E.g., if  $X_n$  and  $X$  are independent, then  $Y = X_n - X$  is a  $\mathcal{N}(0, 2)$  random variable. For  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $P(|X_n - X| \leq \epsilon) = P(|Y| \leq \epsilon)$  is a constant. This does *not* converge to 1.

# Convergence in probability & convergence in distribution

If  $X_n$  converges in distribution to a constant  $\mu$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \leq x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < \mu \\ 1 & \text{if } x > \mu. \end{cases}$$



# Convergence in probability & convergence in distribution

If  $X_n$  converges in distribution to a constant  $\mu$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \leq x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < \mu \\ 1 & \text{if } x > \mu. \end{cases}$$

For every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(|X_n - \mu| \geq \epsilon) &= P(X_n - \mu \geq \epsilon) + P(X_n - \mu \leq -\epsilon) \\ &= P(X_n \geq \mu + \epsilon) + P(X_n \leq \mu - \epsilon) \\ &= 1 - P(X_n < \mu + \epsilon) + P(X_n \leq \mu - \epsilon) \\ &\leq 1 - P(X_n < \mu + \epsilon/2) + P(X_n \leq \mu - \epsilon) \\ &\rightarrow 1 - 1 + 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $X_n$  converges in probability to  $\mu$ .

# Central limit theorem

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , be a sequence of iid random variables with  $E(X_i) = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Then

$$Z_n = \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1),$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

# Central limit theorem

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , be a sequence of iid random variables with  $E(X_i) = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Then

$$Z_n = \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1),$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

To prove the central limit theorem, we will assume that the MGF of  $X_i$  exists. This assumption is not necessary, but it does make the proof easier. A more general proof would involve **characteristic functions** that we do not cover.

We will show that the MGF of  $Z_n$  converges to  $M_Z(t) = e^{t^2/2}$ , the MGF of  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

Define

$$Y_i = \frac{X_i - \mu}{\sigma},$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and let  $M_Y(t)$  denote the common MGF of  $Y$  (since  $X_i$ 's are iid,  $Y_i$ 's are iid). Note that the expected value and variance of  $Y_i$  are  $E(Y_i) = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(Y_i) = 1$ .

Express  $Z_n$  in terms of  $Y_i$ 's:

$$Z_n = \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i.$$

The MGF of  $Z_n$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_{Z_n}(t) &= E(e^{tZ_n}) = E\left(e^{\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}\right) \\ &= E\left(e^{\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} Y_1} e^{\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} Y_2} \dots e^{\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} Y_n}\right) \\ &= \left(E\left(e^{\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} Y_1}\right)\right)^n \\ &= (M_Y(t/\sqrt{n}))^n. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding  $M_Y(t/\sqrt{n})$  in a Taylor series around 0, we have

$$M_Y(t/\sqrt{n}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_Y^{(k)}(0) \frac{\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} - 0\right)^k}{k!},$$

where

$$M_Y^{(k)}(0) = \left. \frac{d^k}{dt^k} M_Y(t) \right|_{t=0}.$$

We have

$$M_Y^{(0)}(0) = M_Y(0) = 1$$

$$M_Y^{(1)}(0) = E(Y) = 0$$

$$M_Y^{(2)}(0) = E(Y^2) = 1.$$

Therefore, the expansion becomes

$$M_Y(t/\sqrt{n}) = 1 + \frac{(t/\sqrt{n})^2}{2!} + R_Y(t/\sqrt{n}),$$

where the remainder term

$$R_Y(t/\sqrt{n}) = \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} M_Y^{(k)}(0) \frac{\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} - 0\right)^k}{k!}.$$

An application of Taylor's Theorem (see Theorem 5.5.21, CB) shows that, for fixed  $t \neq 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_Y(t/\sqrt{n})}{(t/\sqrt{n})^2} = 0.$$

Since  $t$  is fixed, we also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_Y(t/\sqrt{n})}{(1/\sqrt{n})^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n R_Y\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = 0,$$

The above is also true at  $t = 0$  since  $R_Y(0/\sqrt{n}) = 0$

Thus, for any fixed  $t$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( M_Y \left( \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right)^n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{(t/\sqrt{n})^2}{2!} + R_Y \left( \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right]^n \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{t^2}{2} + n R_Y \left( \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right) \right]^n \\ &= e^{t^2/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $e^{t^2/2}$  is the the MGF of the  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  distribution, the theorem is proved.

# Normal approximation to the sample proportion

Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are iid  $\text{Bern}(p)$ , where  $0 < p < 1$ . Recall that  $E(X_1) = p$  and  $\text{Var}(X_1) = p(1 - p)$ .

For Bernoulli random variables,  $X_i$ 's are zeros and ones, so  $\bar{X}_n$  is a **sample proportion** (i.e., the proportion of ones in the sample).

The central limit theorem says that

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - p) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, p(1 - p)),$$

or

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - p}{\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1),$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This is the foundation for the inference of categorical data.



# Slutsky's Theorem

Suppose that  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} a$ , where  $a$  is a constant. Then

- ①  $Y_n X_n \xrightarrow{d} aX$ .
- ②  $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + a$ .

# Slutsky's Theorem

Suppose that  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} a$ , where  $a$  is a constant. Then

①  $Y_n X_n \xrightarrow{d} aX$ .

②  $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + a$ .

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , be a sequence of iid random variables with  $E(X_i) = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . The CLT says

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1),$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In practice, we do not know  $\sigma$  and use the sample standard deviation  $S$  to replace  $\sigma$  for inference calculations.

By Slutsky's Theorem, we can show that

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$