

Setting over  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e. complex semi-simple Lie algebra. Gores its representation  
 $\Leftrightarrow$  compact Lie group over  $\mathbb{C}$  (origin the algebraically closed field) its representation cuspidal modular  
 char = 0 (Langlands) distinct with semi-simple Lie group! It's another angle theory  
 algebraically  $L/K$  Galois,  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$  with its  $f: G \rightarrow GL(V)$   
 closed.  $L(s, \rho)$  is the Artin's L-function.  $\Leftrightarrow$  automorphic rep of  $GL(A_{\mathbb{A}})$  irreducible unitary.  
 (product)  $\Rightarrow \exists$  a cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi_{\text{cusp}}$  of  $GL(A_{\mathbb{A}})$   
 $(AK = \prod K_v)$  the adèle) is  $\pi_{\text{cusp}} \otimes \pi_v$  when  $\pi_v$  is semi-simple.  
 (3)  $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$  turns to  $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$  (finite left, almost all in  $M(0)$ )  
 Then (Hard Lefschetz) the representation of  $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$  is just  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  the cohomology ring (with  $\mathbb{G}$ -vect structure)  
 (2) The highest weight vectors (intersection of  $W \cap K^P$ )  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$   
 of  $sl_2(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  (the primitive cohomology).  
 is turned out to be  $P(X, \mathbb{C})$  (Hard Lefschetz operator dual).  $\Leftrightarrow$  the counting operator (It's a trivial fact once one know the Lefschetz decomposition  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_i H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{C})$ )  
 (It's important in Hodge theory.)  
 In General, see Voisin (Hodge theory)  $\Leftrightarrow$  L:  $\bullet \mapsto \bullet \wedge \omega$  ( $\omega$  is symplectic form)  
 (1)  $L_i: \bullet \mapsto \bullet \wedge \omega^i$   $\Leftrightarrow$   $\Lambda^i = \star \circ L^i \circ \star$   $\Leftrightarrow$  trying to determine  $\Lambda = L$  as a multiplication  
 In General, see Voisin (Hodge theory)  $\Leftrightarrow$  L:  $\bullet \mapsto \bullet \wedge \omega$  ( $\omega$  is symplectic form)  
 (1)  $L_i: \bullet \mapsto \bullet \wedge \omega^i$   $\Leftrightarrow$   $\Lambda^i = \star \circ L^i \circ \star$   $\Leftrightarrow$  trying to determine  $\Lambda = L$  as a multiplication  
 First let's explain what is semi-simple Lie algebra. Def1 L semi-simple  $\Leftrightarrow L = \bigoplus_i L_i$  (If  $L = \bigoplus_i L_i$ , then  $L_i$  is the maximal solvable ideal)  
 (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is easy:  $\Leftrightarrow$  simple  $\Rightarrow$  semi-simple is by definition  
 and  $\text{Rad}(L_1 + L_2) = \text{Rad}(L_1) \oplus \text{Rad}(L_2)$  (can't replace by semi-simple)  $\Leftrightarrow$  Killing form non-degenerate, non-trivial ideal  
 (left as Ex2.)  
 Ex2 (By replacing  $L_1$  by  $\frac{L_1}{J(L)}$ ,  $L_2$  by  $\frac{L_2}{J(L)}$ ) it suffices showing  $L_1 \cap L_2 = J(L)$ . (Later explained) (Rk.  $\star$  is same as vector space case)  
 Let  $J \trianglelefteq L$  solvable, then  $J \cap L_1 = \{0\}$  and  $J \cap L_2 = \{0\}$ , otherwise  $L_1 / L_2$  is not simple  $\Rightarrow P(J) = B(J) = 0 \Rightarrow J = 0$  (Ex.  $sl_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus sl_2(\mathbb{C})$  is semi-simple?) (Note!  $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ )  
 $\Rightarrow L$  has a collection of ideals  $J \trianglelefteq L \Rightarrow L = J_1 \oplus \dots \oplus J_n$  (Rk. For  $L(G)$  the Lie algebra associated with a Lie group  $L(G) = T_0 G = \text{Lie}(G)$ )  
 We'll use the Killing form and Cartan's criterion to depict semi-simple Lie algebra. For this, we quickly review Engel + Lie + Jordan-Chevalley.  
 Thm2. A. (Engel)  $\forall L$  ad-nilpotent  $\Rightarrow L$  nilpotent.  
 (2)  $L \subset gl(V)$ ,  $\dim V < \infty$ ,  $V \neq 0$   
 if  $L$  consist of nilpotent endomorphisms  $\Rightarrow \exists v \in V$ , s.t.  $L.v = 0$  iff  $L$  solvable  $\Rightarrow \exists v \in V$ , s.t.  $L.v = v$   
 Both form and proof are simply the same, we omit their proof. (Rk. For  $L(G)$  the Lie algebra associated with a Lie group  $L(G) = T_0 G = \text{Lie}(G)$ )  
 Thm4.A. (Jordan-Chevalley)  $\forall L \in \text{End}(V) \Rightarrow L = L_s + L_n$  (Jordan-Chevalley decomposition)  $\forall x \in L$  nilpotent  $\Rightarrow x = x_s + x_n$  (nilpotent part)  $\forall x \in L$  semi-simple  $\Rightarrow x = x_s + x_n$  (semi-simple part omitted)  
 Recall:  $x \in \text{End}(V)$  semi-simple  $\Leftrightarrow$  the roots of its minimal poly.  $\text{End}(V)/\mathbb{C}$  is distinct and  $x_s x_n = x_n x_s$  (Engel's theorem)  
 $\Leftrightarrow$  diagonalizable (Rk. Omitted, but later used)  
 Thm5. (1)  $L$  solvable,  $\exists$  a chain of ideals of  $L$ ,  $0 = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \dots \subset L_n = L$   
 (2)  $L$  solvable,  $\forall x \in [L]$   $\Rightarrow$  ad  $x$  nilpotent (Recall, ad:  $L \rightarrow \text{Der}(L)$ )  
 (3)  $sl_n(\mathbb{C})$  semi-simple ( $sl(V)$  semi-simple)  
 (4)  $\text{ad } x = \text{ad } x_s + \text{ad } x_n = (\text{ad } x_s) + (\text{ad } x_n)$  (Eq.  $(xy)s = (x+y)s + (x+y)n = x_s y_s + x_n y_n$ )  
 (5)  $\text{Der}(gl) \subset gl(gl)$  is stable under  $\text{ad}$  when  $[x, y] = T_{[x]}(B)$  (Ex4.6)  $\rightarrow$   
 the  $J$ -C decomposition  
 (6)  $\text{ad}(L)$  by Lie,  $\text{ad}(L)$  stabilizes flag in  $V$ , we pullback this flag into  $L$   
 (7) Using (1), let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  ( $x_i \in L_i$ ) is basis of  $L$ , using Engels matrix ad  $L$  is upper triangle  $\Rightarrow \text{ad } L$  ad  $L$  is strictly upper triangle (Check:  $S$  solvable)  $\Rightarrow S$  solvable  
 $\Rightarrow \text{ad } x$  is nilpotent for  $x \in [L]$  (Rk.  $L$  is semi-simple)  
 (8)  $Z(L) \subset L$  is trivial; I claim,  $I \subset$  diagonal matrix  $\subset Z(L)$  to complete the proof (Both  $L$ ,  $B$  solvable)  
 by Hint of Ex4.6) Taking  $B$  the maximal solvable subalgebra of  $L$ , then  $L \subset B$  (Why?  $L \subset B$  also subalgebra, and solvable)

5)  $\delta S \text{Der} g_b$ ,  $S = U + V$  ( $U = \delta S, V = \delta_{\text{par}}$ ), only show  $\tau \in \text{Der} g_b$   
 then  $x \in g_b, y \in g_b$ , let  $x \in g_b, y \in g_b$  (then  $V = S - U \in \text{Der} g_b$ )  
 $\Rightarrow \delta_{\text{par}}(y) = \delta g_b(x,y)$  due to  $x, y \in g_b$   
 and  $\delta g_b(y, \delta_{\text{par}}(x)) = \delta_{\text{par}}(xy) + x(\delta y) = \delta g_b(xy)$   $\square$

The we introduce the Killing form, it's the core technique in Killing-Cartan's classification of semi-simple Lie algebra.  
 then we start study the representation  $R_k$  but it fails for them  $\Rightarrow$  Case: then such bilinear form can be degenerate  
 (Check it by calculation!) It's a grading:  $g_{k_1}, g_{k_2} \subset g_{k_1+k_2}$

Def 6. (Killing form)  
 $K: L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (Range)  $L \times L \rightarrow \text{End}(L) \times \text{End}(L)$   
 $(x, y) \mapsto \text{tr}(\text{ad}x \text{ad}y)$   $\downarrow K \quad \downarrow \text{tr}$   $\downarrow m = 0$   
 and  $L \times L \rightarrow L \times L$   $\downarrow \text{End}(L)$   $\downarrow \text{End}(L)$   
 $\text{dim } L \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow K \sim \mathbb{R}$   $\downarrow \text{assoc.} \quad \downarrow \text{mean } K$   $\downarrow \text{tr}$   $\downarrow \mathbb{C}$

It's nondegenerate:

Def 7.  $\beta: L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a symmetric bilinear form is nondegenerate  
 if  $S = \cap \text{Ker}(\beta(-, y)) = \{0\}$

hey  
 $K$  is nondegenerate  $\Leftrightarrow$   $\beta(x_i, x_j)_{i,j} \neq 0$  first writing  $\beta(x_i, x_j)_{i,j}$  as matrix

Thm 8 (Cartan's criterion)  $L \subset g(V)$  subalgebra,  $\dim V < \infty$  (and, if  $\text{tr}(\text{ad}x \text{ad}y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in L$ ,  $L$  solvable)  
 $\text{tr}(\text{ad}x \text{ad}y) = 0$  for  $\forall x \in [L], y \in L \Leftrightarrow L$  solvable

For proving this, we need

Lemma 10.  $A \subset B \subset g(V)$  subspace,  $\dim V < \infty$

$M = \text{Fix}_g g(V) ([B] \subset A^{\perp})$ ;  $X \in M$  s.t.  $\text{tr}(xy) = 0, \forall y \in M$

$\Rightarrow X$  nilpotent

If, By J-C decomposition  $X = S + n$ , choice a basis  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $V$ , s.t.,  $S: V \rightarrow V$  is the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space,  $E = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{P_{a_1}, \dots, P_{a_n}\}$ ,  $J$  claim,  $E = 0 \Rightarrow S = 0 \Rightarrow X = n$  nilpotent

We prove  $\forall f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$   $f|E^*$  is zero: let  $y \in g(V)$  is the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $\{e_i\}$  the corresponding basis of  $g(V)$

$\Rightarrow g(Ey) = (a_i - a_j) e_{ij} \Rightarrow \exists b(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ , s.t.  $a_i(a_i - a_j) = f(a_i) - f(a_j)$   $\Rightarrow$  We hope show  $f|E$  applying  $\text{tr}(xy) = 0$

$\text{ad}y(e_i) = f(a_i) - f(a_j) e_{ij}$  is by Lagrange polynomial  $\Rightarrow b(X)$  without constant term

We use the another Jordan-Chevalley:  $\forall x \in g(V)$   $\exists p(x)$  for  $PX \in \mathbb{C}[X]$

$\Rightarrow b(X)$  is of  $\text{ad}x \Rightarrow \exists L(X)$  is of  $\text{ad}x$ ;  $\text{ad}x: B \rightarrow A$

$\Rightarrow \text{tr}(xy) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum a_i f(a_i) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{ad}y: B \rightarrow A \Rightarrow y \in M$

$\Rightarrow \sum a_i f(a_i) = 0, f(a_i) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \Rightarrow f(a_i) = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0 \Rightarrow$

If of this,  $\Rightarrow$  Take  $A = [L] \subset B = L \subset g(V), M = \text{Fix}_g g(V)[L] \subset [L] \supset L$ , if we generalise  $y \in L$  to  $y \in M$ , we apply th by Lemma  $\Rightarrow \forall x \in [L]$  nilpotent  $\Rightarrow [L]$  nilpotent  $\Rightarrow L$  nilpotent  $\square$

$\square$  (The converse of Thm 5(2); check definition)

If, some texts replace  $[L]$  by  $L$  itself  
 then "not holds":  $\square$ . Verify that:  $L$  is 1-dim solvable Lie algebra  $\subset g(L)$  the  $\{1\} \text{Id}$  but  $\text{tr}(xy) \neq 0$

$\text{ad}L \subset g(L)$  is a solvable subalgebra  $\Rightarrow \forall X \in [L]$  is a strictly upper matrix by Lie  $\Rightarrow \text{tr}(xy) = 0 \square$

Lemma 11.  $I \leq L$  ideal,  $K_I$  is the killing form in  $I$

$\Rightarrow K_I = R|_{I \times I}$  (i.e.  $K_I(x, y) = K(x, y), \forall x, y \in I$ )

If, take a basis of  $I$ , extends to  $L$   $\Rightarrow$  Ex 8. Can we always do this, s.t.

$x \in I \Rightarrow \text{ad}x: L \rightarrow I \subset L$  is the form

$(Ax, Bx), y \in I \Rightarrow \text{ad}x \text{ad}y$  is  $(AxAy, BxBy)$

$\Rightarrow K(x, y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}x \text{ad}y) = K_I(x, y) \square$

Finally, we prove the Cartan's second criterion  $\square$  If,  $\square$   $S$  denote the radical of  $\alpha \Rightarrow \text{ad}x \text{ad}y = \text{tr}(\text{ad}x \text{ad}y) = 0, x \in S$ ,  
 $\Rightarrow \alpha$  is solvable  $\Rightarrow S$  solvable  $\Rightarrow S \subset L \Rightarrow S = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha$  non-degenerate  $\Rightarrow S = 0$  now,  $I$  claim,  $S$  is maximal abelian in  $L$ ,  $I$  abelian,  $x \in I, y \in L \Rightarrow I \subset L \subset L \subset I \Rightarrow (\text{ad}x \text{ad}y)^2: L \rightarrow [L] = 0$  (by Abelian)  $\Rightarrow \text{ad}x, \text{ad}y$  nilpotent  $\Rightarrow \alpha = 0$

$$E.g. (1) \text{gl}(n) \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(2) \text{sl}(n) \quad R_{\text{sym}} = 2n \text{tr}(M)$$

$$(3) \text{gl}(n) \quad R_{\text{sym}} = 2n \text{tr}(M)$$

$$E.g. \text{Check them.}$$

Then we study the representation theory of Lie algebra. (Recall  $p: L \rightarrow \text{gl}(V)$  is a rep of  $L$ )  
Def 2 ( $\mathbb{Q}$ -module)  $V \otimes \mathbb{Q}\text{Vect}_S$  is  $L$ -module if linearity and  $[x, y]_v = (x, y) - y, [x, y]_v = 0$  (for action  $L \times V \rightarrow V$ )  
It's not a surprising thing. It's just modify the concrete formula when changing  $xy$  and  $[xy]$ . Is there category equivalence  
e.g. Algebraic analysis usual involves  $D$ -module. Def:  $X$  smooth variety, over  $\mathbb{C}$

Consider  $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{A}^1$ , the line. They're classified the sheaf of globally generated by  $\mathcal{F}(X)(\mathbb{C})$  (vector fields)  
 $D_X$ -module  $(DX)[\log(x)] + (DX, \frac{1}{x}) = M$ , by Weyl's theorem  $M$  is  $D_X$ -module s.t. [linear reductive = reductive]  
prove:  $D_X[\log(x)] = M$  Then we call a semi-simple Lie algebra is linearly reductive.

The relative notions are inherited from representation. Except (D2), other two holds (D3)  $\nabla_{[X, Y]}(m) = [X, \nabla_Y(m)]$  (D4)  $\nabla_{[X, Y]}(m) = X\nabla_Y(m) - Y\nabla_X(m)$   
proving Weyl's completely reducible theorem. Thus  $M$  is  $D_X$ -module  $\Leftrightarrow M$  induce a flat connection.  
decompose to irreducible  $\Leftrightarrow$  existence of complement  $W \oplus W^\perp = V$   $\nabla: M \rightarrow D_X \otimes \mathbb{C}M$   
Thm 13. The rep of semi-simple Lie algebra is complete reducible

Rk. Why we not outline finite-dimensional here? Semi-simple  $\Leftrightarrow$  compact (Peter-Weyl), Factor into finite-dim case.  
then Thm 13 allows us to study simple Lie algebra/connected compact Lie group enough. For proving, we use the Casimir (a people idea)

Lemma 14.  $p: L \rightarrow \text{gl}(V)$  representation  $\Rightarrow p(L) \subset \text{sl}(V)$

E. When  $L$  simple,  $[L, L] = L$  by ideal  $\neq 0$ ;  $L$  semi-simple, by decompose  $L = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n \Rightarrow [L, L] = L$  also

$$L \subset \text{gl}(V) \Rightarrow [L, L] = [\text{gl}(V), \text{gl}(V)] = \text{sl}(V) \Rightarrow L \subset \text{sl}(V)$$

In study on non-degenerate bilinear form  $\beta: L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  taking basis  $(x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  another, s.t.  $\beta(x_i, y_j) = \delta_{ij}$   
then for  $\forall \varphi: L \rightarrow \text{gl}(V)$ , let  $C_\varphi(\varphi) = \sum \varphi(x_i)\varphi(y_i) \in \text{End}(V)$ ; let  $B = C_\varphi(\text{Id}, y) = \text{tr}(C_\varphi(C_\varphi(\varphi)))$  (will check it's non-degenerate)  
we denote  $G_\varphi$  then the Casimir element of  $\varphi$ :  $[B, L] = g_\varphi(L)$ ,  $V = \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $\text{Id}: L \rightarrow \text{gl}(V) \Rightarrow C_\text{Id} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}I_2$  (it motivates that Prop 15. (1)  $C_\varphi$  (or  $C_\varphi(\varphi)$ ) commuting with  $\varphi(L)$  (2)  $\text{tr}(C_\varphi) = \dim L$  (3) When  $\varphi$  irreducible,  $C_\varphi: x_i \mapsto \frac{\dim L}{\dim V} x_i$ , i.e.  $C_\varphi = \dim L$

Prop 15. (1)  $\forall V \otimes L, [C_\varphi(x_i)C_\varphi(y_j)] = \sum [C_\varphi(x_i)x_i(y_j)] + \sum (x_i(y_j))C_\varphi(x_i) = \sum a_{ij} \varphi(x_i)\varphi(y_i) + \sum b_{ij} \varphi(x_i)\varphi(y_j)$   
( $a_{ij}, b_{ij}$  is the structure constants) and  $a_{ij} = \sum_{k, l} \alpha_{ik} \beta(x_k, y_l) = \beta([x_i, y_j]) = \beta(x_i, y_j) - \beta(y_j, x_i) = -\beta_{ji}$   
 $\Rightarrow [C_\varphi(x_i)C_\varphi(y_j)] = 0$  (2)  $\sum \text{tr}(C_\varphi(x_i)\varphi(y_j)) = \sum \beta(x_i, y_j) = \dim L$  (3) By Schur

For not faithful case, we modify  $\varphi \rightarrow \ker \varphi \hookrightarrow L \rightarrow \text{gl}(V)$ ,  $\ker \varphi \subset L \Rightarrow \ker \varphi = L \oplus \dots \oplus L$

$\Rightarrow \varphi: L' \rightarrow \text{gl}(V)$  faithful, thus the Prop 15(3) provide us to using  $L' = L \oplus \dots \oplus L_r \oplus L_{r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus L_s = \ker \varphi \oplus L'$  replace  $L$ .

Rk of Thm of Weyl (I think it's long and technical, give a schematic discussion: the  $V, V^*$  appear in which class?)

$\forall W \subset V$ , we need find its complement, consider  $W^\perp = \{v \in V \mid v \in W^\perp\}$  so Step 1 reduce to codim 1.

and  $W \subset \text{Hom}(V, W)$ , s.t.  $\text{Hom}(W) \times \text{Hom}(V, W) \times \text{Hom}(V, W) \times \text{Hom}(V, W) = 0$

$W \subset V = \ker(\varphi) = \text{Hom}(V, W)$

Thus we reduce to this case:  $W = \ker(\varphi) = \text{Hom}(V, W) \Rightarrow \text{codim}(W, V) = 1$  i.e.  $0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$

$W \subset V$  irreducible of codimension 1 ( $\in \ker(\varphi) \setminus \{0\}$ ) Thus we can assume  $W \subset V$  is of codimension 1

and faithful representation (to use up). (Why? This give  $V = W \oplus G(f)$  this case,  $V = W \oplus \text{ker}f$ , check it)

(Faithful by the modification upper, irreducibility is by why?)

Step 2 Reduce to irreducible (For schur)

$W \subsetneq V$  is nonzero sub- $L$ -module  $\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ , induction on dimension of  $\frac{V}{W}$  (or  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = (\dim \frac{V}{W}) + 1$ )

$\Rightarrow$  it splits (due to the lower dimension  $\frac{W}{W}$  splits  $\Rightarrow$  higher dimension  $\frac{V}{W}$  splits as following arrangement)  $\frac{W}{W} \oplus \frac{V}{W} \cong \frac{V}{W}$

$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow V \rightarrow \frac{V}{W} \rightarrow 0$  split again  $\Rightarrow W$  has complement in  $V$ , thus  $\frac{V}{W} \cong \frac{V}{W}$

Step 3 Prove the reduced case (by dimension)  $\Rightarrow$  We fine complement of  $W$  in  $V$  If we find complement of  $W$  in  $V$

$\boxed{W \rightarrow V}$  Solar (By Schur.)

Rk. This pure algebraic proof  $0 \rightarrow \ker C \rightarrow V \rightarrow \frac{V}{W} \rightarrow 0$   $\text{Tr}(C) = \dim L$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{V}{W} = 1$   $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$

Given by Bourbaki, the original proof is using the Lie group part.  $\dim \frac{$

Def 16. (Reductive)  $L$  is reductive  $\Leftrightarrow Z(L) = \mathbb{C}I$

Ex 4. Prove this equivalence  $\Leftrightarrow L = L_1 \oplus L_2$ , s.t.,  
and show reductive although  $L_1$  semi-simple,  $L_2$  abelian  
weaker than semi-simple it holds the Weyl's completely  
reducible

Def 17. (Toral subalgebra)  $T \leq L$  is toral

$\Leftrightarrow T$  contains only semi-simple elements

Thm 18. For  $L$  semi-simple (even reductive), we have

Toral subalgebra  $\Leftrightarrow$  Cartan subalgebra

Rk (Existence)  $T \leq L$  is self-normalizing

Both char=0, algebraically closed removed will break the Existence!

Rk, if  $[g, g] \in \mathbb{C}I$ ,  $\forall g \in G$

Rk, there are more fine definitions, such as Cartan 1-algebra, 2-algebra and Weyl's

Rk, Cartan  $\Leftrightarrow$  diagonal action but coincides for semi-simple

$\Leftrightarrow$  only semi-simple (the last  $\Leftrightarrow$  is due to one not diagonal)

(the normalizer/the centralizer, I see it will use the root system)

(We used Engel). by some operation will thus transform the covering

Ex 17. Study to pf of Thm 8 in detail (and pf case of reductive)

Def 19. (Maximal toral subalgebra) Topological!  $H \leq L$

Def 20. (Root, Root space, Root vector).

$L = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} L_\alpha = \{x \in L \mid [hx] = (\alpha, h)x, \forall h \in H\}$

$H^* \cap \Phi = \{\alpha \in \Phi \mid L_\alpha \neq 0\}$  the root

thus the decomposition of partition into two parts:

$\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$  the Cartan/root space decomposition

space corresponding to  $\Phi$ .

$\exists ! h_\alpha \in H$ , the root vector for  $\alpha$ , s.t.

$\langle \alpha, h \rangle = \chi(h_\alpha, h), \forall h \in H$ .

$\Phi$  is a root system, i.e.  $\Phi \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t. ( $\text{here } E = H^*$ )

(R1)  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle < 0$ ,  $\Phi$  span  $E$ , (R2)  $\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $\alpha \in \Phi \Rightarrow \alpha = \pm \beta$

(R3)  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha, \beta \in \Phi$

This is our next task, for proving this

Prop 22. (1)  $C(H) = H$ ; (2)  $\exists$  1 root vector for  $\forall \alpha \in \Phi$ ; (3)  $\Phi$  holds the (R1) ~ (R4)

Rk. Left to the next speaker

Rk. (1) By Prop 20, we can recover  $L$  by  $\Phi$  and  $H$ ; (2) The root vectors has their weight and maximal vectors are used in their representation; (3)  $\alpha \in \Phi$  is simple root  $\Leftrightarrow \alpha \in \Phi^+$  and  $\alpha \neq \beta + \gamma$  for  $\beta, \gamma \in \Phi^+$

Ex 18. Let  $L$  be the Lie algebra associates  $\Phi^+ = \{Q \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle Q, X_0 \rangle > 0\}$  ( $X_0 \in H$ , s.t.  $\langle Q, X_0 \rangle \neq 0, \forall Q \in \Phi^+$ )

to  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$ , show that (1) Compute  $\Phi$  of  $L$

(2)  $X_0 = \text{diag}(1, 0, -1) \in L$  s.t.  $\langle Q, X_0 \rangle \neq 0, \forall Q \in \Phi$

(3) Compute  $\Phi^+$  and  $\Delta$  (the all simple roots)

A. Ex 18,  $\Phi^+ = \{a_1 + a_2, a_1 + a_2 + a_3\}$ ,  $\Delta = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$

Ex 19. (1)  $\alpha$  indeed  $\chi(h_\alpha, h_\beta) < 0$

(2) Right!

Def 21. (Weyl chambers and Weyl group (Lie algebra))

The reflecting hyperplanes  $P_\alpha = \{g \in E \mid \langle \alpha, g \rangle = 0\}$

is the reflection in (R3), they cut  $E$  into finite

regions:  $E = \bigcup P_\alpha = \bigcup E_i$ ,  $E_i$  called the Weyl chambers

of  $L$   $i \in \mathbb{N}$

$W \subset GL(E)$  generated by  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Phi}$  as group, formula (1) If of Lie's thm (2) Abstract Jordan decomposition and it coincides usual

the set  $\Phi$ , called Weyl group (it's a lie group & about  $GL(E)$ ) when semi-simple

(1) Weyl group send one Weyl chamber to another

(2) Weyl group transforms different basis of  $\Phi$  (3)  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\text{new}} = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\text{old}}$

I omitted topics  $\rightarrow$  using to classify (Willing-Hall and geometric meaning)

Def 16' (Reductive)  $G$  is reductive  $\Leftrightarrow T \cap G$  is reductive

Rk. A reductive lie group isn't same as reductive algebraic group

for over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $G$  connected linear algebraic group

let  $G = G(\mathbb{R})$  the  $\mathbb{R}$ -rational points of  $G$ ,  $G$  is Lie group

If  $G$  is unipotent ( $\forall g \in G$   $g^{-1} \in G$  nilpotent),  $G$  can be reductive but,

$G$  can't be reductive. This is non-trivial, but an easy part is?

Def 17' (Torus)

TC  $G$  is torus  $\Leftrightarrow$   $T$  is compact connected abelian subgroup

$\Leftrightarrow T \cong \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$  (When  $G$  compact and

$\Leftrightarrow$   $L$  simple, then

A maximal torus  $T$  is the maximal torus of  $G$

Ex 16.  $T \subset G$  maximal,  $N_G(T) = g \in G \mid gtg^{-1} \in T$

Rk, there are more fine definitions, such as Cartan 1-algebra, 2-algebra and Weyl's

and Weyl's  $W(G)$  is the Weyl group of  $T$  (the analogue in Lie algebra)

$\Leftrightarrow$  only semi-simple (the last  $\Leftrightarrow$  is due to one not diagonal) (the normalizer/the centerizer, I see it will use the root system)

(last occurs in Ex 17, about transform the covering)

Ex 17. Study to pf of Thm 8 in detail (and pf case of reductive)

Def 19. (Maximal toral subalgebra) Topological!  $H \leq L$

Def 20. (Root, Root space, Root vector).

$L = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} L_\alpha = \{x \in L \mid [hx] = (\alpha, h)x, \forall h \in H\}$

Ex 21. By  $T$  is torus  $\Rightarrow T$  is commutative

$\Rightarrow T \cap H = H$  is abelian Lie algebra

let  $L^c = L \oplus iL$ ,  $H^c = H \oplus iH$

Over  $\mathbb{R}$  case (complexification)  $\chi_R = iL$

$\Rightarrow L^c = C(H^c) \oplus iL^c$

$\Rightarrow L = C(H) \oplus iL$ ,  $\lambda$  is the root of decomposition

$\langle \alpha, h \rangle = \chi(h_\alpha, h), \forall h \in H$

$\Rightarrow \text{Radix } \chi(H)$  is a space of commuting self-adjoint operators

on  $L \Rightarrow$  decompose into simultaneous eigenspaces

Rk. The  $\alpha$  in  $L$  is given by involution in  $G$ )

$\Rightarrow \text{Radix } \chi(H)$  is a space of commuting self-adjoint operators

on  $L \Rightarrow$  decompose into simultaneous eigenspaces

Rk. The reflection is over  $\mathbb{R}$ , for this, forget the almost complex structure of  $L$ )

Rk. By Ex 18 consider these general facts:  $\text{Radix } \chi(H)$  are the two root

systems irreducible representations

$\chi(Q) = \chi(h_\alpha, h_\beta) \geq 0$  to  $E, E'$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then prove:

(1)  $\Delta$  is a basis of  $T^*$

If right, prove it; false, modify it

$\varphi: E \rightarrow E', \text{st. } \varphi(Q) =$

and  $\langle \varphi(Q), \varphi(Y) \rangle = \langle Q, Y \rangle$

Summary. I had introduced that

(1) Description of semi-simple, (2) The rep of semi-simple Lie algebra: Weyl's

(3) A quick overview of completely irreducible

Weyl's study on rep of Lie group/Lie algebra

One may study later: (1) Weyl's integral/charistic formulas about Lie group

regions; (2) More about the weights, maximal vectors, root system (Chap III)

and (3) Weyl's representation.

Ex 18.  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle h_\alpha, h_\beta \rangle$  is a bilinear form

Scans全能王創建

Problems, Prob1 (2004, Hämmerli)  $H^1(W; T)$  is the cohomology with coefficient in  $T$  maximal torus

$\Rightarrow \text{Out}(N(T)) \cong H^1(W; T) \times \text{Out}(G)$  (automorphism is auto-innernauto)

Prob2 (2008, Morita)  $\Sigma_g$  is closed oriented surface,  $H = H_1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $H_Q = H_1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Q})$

①  $\bar{H} \in H$  the 1-homological class  $\leftrightarrow$  1-dim submanifold of  $\Sigma_g$  is the core idea of Dolbeault school Then define the pairing  
(dually, the [RaI III Ex 7.4] tells us that 1-cohomological class  $\leftrightarrow$  1-codim subvariety)

$\#_{\omega}$  is a symplectic perfect pairing, and by perfect, it induces  $H \cong H^k$ ,  $H_Q \cong H_Q^k$   
Besides the Poincaré duality.

②  $\mathfrak{g}_q$  is the graded Lie algebra generated by  $H$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_q = \mathfrak{g}_q \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , compute  $\mathfrak{g}_q(n)$ , in particular, show that  $\mathfrak{g}_q(2) = \Lambda^2 H_Q \ni \omega$

③ Define two basic Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_q = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_q(n) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \{ \text{DG Hom}(H_Q, H_Q^{n+1}) \mid D(\alpha) = 0 \}$ ;  $\mathfrak{g}_q^+ = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_q(n)$  (on the symplectic class)

prove that  $H_1(\mathfrak{g}_q^+) \subseteq \Lambda^2 H_Q$   $\mathfrak{g}_q^+ = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_q(n) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \{ \text{DG Hom}(H_Q, \mathfrak{g}_q(n+1)) \mid D(\alpha) = 0 \}$ ;  $\mathfrak{g}_q^+ = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_q(n)$

Prob3 (Moment map, 0.1.1 / McDuff)

Set.  $G$  a Lie group,  $L$  its associated Lie algebra,  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  non-singular projective variety  $\Rightarrow$  Kähler  $\Rightarrow$  symplectic

Def. (Moment map)  $\mu: X \rightarrow L^*$ :  $G \curvearrowright X$  preserve symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $X$ , it induces the infinitesimal action  $L \curvearrowright X$  by the Lie algebraic homomorphism  $L \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(X) = \Gamma(X, \Omega_X)$  (vector fields), then  $\mu: X \rightarrow L^*$  is a  $G$ -equivariant

$$\iota \mapsto (\iota \mapsto \iota_X)$$

and  $\mu_G(\iota)(x) = \iota_{\mu(x)}(x)$  generalized to vector bundle

Now  $G$  act on  $X$  by a Lie group representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$ , then prove: Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence Then = GIT quotient ( $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , and  $\text{Aut}(X) = \text{PGl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ ) ①  $\exists \mu: X \rightarrow L^*$  this case ② the symplectic quotient  $\mu^{-1}(0)/X \cong X/G$  the categorical quotient (Kempf-Ness, 1980)

Talk2 Next we'll study more Lie algebra, mainly associated algebraic group, for this, we introduce some preliminary:

Mainly. ① The cohomology of Lie algebra, and applications; ② Basic algebraic group; ③ Lie algebra associated to an affine algebraic

Correspondence of algebraic group - Lie algebra; \* ④ the geometry of action and quotient

Setting over  $\mathbb{C}$  still.

Def1. (Chevalley-Eilenberg complex)  $\mathcal{C}(L, M)$  the  $C$ -E complex ( $M$  is  $L$ -module) field,  $\mathcal{U}$  the universal enveloping algebra, i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}(L, M) = \{ \varphi: L \times \dots \times L \rightarrow M \text{ multilinear skew-symmetric} \}$  and (0) boundary map, show that  $H^*(L, M) = \text{Ext}^1(L, M)$   
 $d: \mathcal{C}(L, M) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{ad}}(L, M); \varphi(x_1 \dots x_p) = \sum (-1)^{i+j} [\varphi(x_i \dots x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} \dots x_p)] + \sum (-1)^{i+j} \varphi([x_i, x_j], x_{i+1} \dots x_p)$

By this (0) chain, we define  $H^*(L, M)$  as usual Ex2. By concrete computation in lower degree, show that

Once you do some calculation (e.g., Ex2), you'll ①  $H^0(L, M) = M^L = \{ m \in M \mid lm = 0, \forall l \in L \}$  ②  $H^1(L, M) = \text{Der}(L, M) \rightarrow \text{Inn}(L, M)$

find Lie cohomology is hard to compute! Even ③  $H^2(L, M) = \text{Ext}^2(L, M) = \underline{\text{e}}(L, M)$  (I think this can be found in R)

cheating:  $\underline{\text{e}}(L, M)$  does a cochain complex is a hard work, thus we

④ Define some notion for calculation, ⑤ Checking  $d^2 = 0$ , ⑥ Prove some vanishing (extension  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow L' \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ )

Def2.  $\forall x \in L$ , define  $\theta(x) = \mathcal{O}(L, M) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(L, M)$

$$\theta(x)(\varphi)(l_1 \dots l_p) = x \varphi(l_1 \dots l_p) - \sum \varphi([x, l_i] \dots l_p) \quad \theta(x)(\varphi)(l_1 l_2) = \varphi([x, l_1], l_2)$$

i.e.,  $\theta: L \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{C}(L, M))$ ;  $\iota: L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{C}(L, M), \mathcal{C}^{\text{ad}}(L, M))$

(and set  $f^*: \alpha \mapsto f \circ \alpha$ ,  $f: M \rightarrow N$  as usual)

Prop3. ①  $\theta(0) = (l \mapsto d + l)l$ ; ②  $\iota([x, y]) = [\theta(x)y, l]$ ; ③  $\theta([x, y]) = [\theta(x)y, l] + \theta(y)[x, l]$ ; ④  $\iota(d\theta(x)) = 0$  if  $f$  is not only a Vect $\mathbb{C}$  map, but also  $L$ -Mod map  $\Rightarrow f^* = f \circ \iota$

Pf. I only prove ③ (The hardest), others left to reader: [Ex3. Prove ①②④, generalize ⑤ into  $f$  only Vect $\mathbb{C}$  map]

③ Induction on  $p$ ,  $p=0$  is evident;

Ex3.  $\theta([\theta(x)y]) = \theta(\theta(x)y)l(z) - l([\theta(x)y]l(z))$  by ② (induction due to  $l(z)$  down 1-dimension)

$[\theta(x)\theta(y)]l(z) - l([\theta(x)y]l(z)) = \theta(x)[\theta(y)l(z)] - \theta(y)[\theta(x)l(z)] - l([\theta(x)\theta(y)]l(z))$  adding together  $l([\theta(x)\theta(y)]l(z)) + l([\theta(y)l(z)]l(x)) + l([\theta(x)l(z)]l(y))$   
|| by ② || by ② again similarly  $= (z)[\theta(x)\theta(y)] + (z)[\theta(y)\theta(x)]$

$\Rightarrow \forall z \in L, \theta(\theta(x)\theta(y)) = \theta(x)\theta(y)l(z) + \theta(y)\theta(x)l(z) + l([\theta(x)\theta(y)]l(z))$

$\theta(x)\theta([y, z]) = \theta(x)\theta(y)l(z) + \theta(x)\theta(z)l(y)$

$= l(x)[\theta(y)\theta(z)] + l([\theta(y)\theta(z)]\theta(x)) + l([\theta(y)\theta(z)]\theta(x))$

$\Rightarrow \theta(\theta(y)\theta(z)) = [\theta(x)\theta(y)\theta(z)]l(z)$

Prop4.  $\mathcal{C}(L, M)$  is a cochain complex Ex.  $(\varphi)_* d^2 = (d\varphi)_* d = (d\varphi)_* d - d(d\varphi)_* = d\varphi \circ d - d \circ d\varphi = d(d\varphi) - d(d\varphi)$

Thm5. (Vanishing of Lie algebra cohomology)  $d(\theta(x) - d(\theta(x) - d(x))) = d\theta(x) - d\theta(x) + d^2(x) = d^2(x) \Rightarrow d(d\theta(x)) = d^2(x)$

① Fix  $p \geq 0$ , if  $M$  irreducible  $L$ -module,  $H^p(L, M) = 0$  ②  $L$  semi-simple,  $M$  irreducible and  $M \neq k$  ③  $L$  semi-simple,  $M$  finite-dim (Whitehead)

$\Rightarrow H^p(L, M) = 0$ , for all  $W$  finite-dim  $L$ -module.  $\Rightarrow H^p(L, M) = 0, \forall p > 0$   $\Rightarrow H^p(L, M) = 0, p=1, 2$

Pf. (1) Induction on  $\dim W$ ,  $W = \mathbb{C}$ , nothing to prove;

$\dim W = n$  and  $W$  not irreducible  $\Rightarrow \exists W_1 \subsetneq W$  nonzero and  $0 \rightarrow W_1 \rightarrow W \rightarrow \frac{W}{W_1} \rightarrow 0$  induce LFS  $[H^*(L, W_1)] \rightarrow H^*(L, W)$

$\Rightarrow H^*(L, W) = 0$  [2]  $W_1$  irreducible

(2)  $p: L \rightarrow gl(n)$  a irreducible representation, and Casimir element  $C_p: V \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ , and  $[d(C_p)] = 0$  by Prop 2.6

Choose basis  $(x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \Rightarrow C_p = \sum p(x_i) p(y_i)$ , take a  $\theta \in Z^2(L, V)$  (Frob) and chs  $C^*(L, V)$  by  $\alpha_1 = \sum p(x_i) p(y_i)$

$\Rightarrow d\alpha_1([l_1, l_2]) = \sum \sum (-1)^{j+k} [p(l_1) p(l_2)] \cdot \delta(y_j, l_1, l_2) + \sum \sum p(x_k) p(y_j) \delta(l_1, l_2) - \sum \sum p(x_k) \delta(l_1, l_2) [y_j, l_1, l_2]$

(check this step! Using Eqs 2.6 Generalise,  $[d^k]^*([d])([l_1, l_2]) = ([d^k]^*([l_1]))[l_2] - ([d^k]^*([l_2]))[l_1] = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} \delta([l_1], [l_2])$ )

(and  $p(x)^* = p(x)$  to give this formula)

$= \sum \sum (-1)^{j+k} [l_1, l_2, l_1, l_2] + (C_p)^*([l_1, l_2]) - \sum \sum \delta(x_k, l_1, l_2) = (C_p)^*([l_1, l_2])$

Using, we learnt fact about semi-simple Lie algebra in Talk 1, prove  $= 0$   $\Rightarrow B^*(L, V) = Z^2(L, V) \rightarrow H^*(L, V) = 0$

(3) By (1)(2), it suffices to prove  $M = \mathbb{C}$  case, for  $p=1, 2$ , this is a direct computation for lower dimension! Frob. Do some computation we only prove  $p=2$  case:  $\forall \alpha \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{C}), (\tilde{\alpha})^2([l_1, l_2, l_3]) = -2([l_1, l_2], l_3) + \alpha([l_1, l_3], l_2) - \alpha([l_2, l_3], l_1)$  for  $p=3$  case to fit  $\tilde{\alpha} \in C^*(L, L^*)$ ;  $\tilde{\alpha}([l_1, l_2, l_3]) = \alpha([l_1, l_2])$   $\Rightarrow \delta(x_k, l_1, l_2) = (l_1, l_2, l_1) - (l_2, l_2, l_1) - (l_1, l_2, l_2) = 0$   $\Rightarrow H^*(L, \mathbb{C}) = 0$   $\Rightarrow \alpha([l_1, l_2, l_3]) = -2([l_1, l_2], l_3) + \alpha([l_1, l_3], l_2) - \alpha([l_2, l_3], l_1) = 0$

$\Rightarrow d\tilde{\alpha} = 0, \tilde{\alpha} \neq 0 \Rightarrow H^*(L, \mathbb{C}) = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\alpha} \in B^1(L, \mathbb{C}) \Rightarrow \exists \beta \in C^1(L, \mathbb{C}), \tilde{\alpha} = \beta \Rightarrow \tilde{\alpha} = d\beta = 0 \Rightarrow B^1(L, \mathbb{C}) = 0$

$\Rightarrow \beta([l_1, l_2]) = \alpha([l_1, l_2]) = 0$ , then we consider  $\beta$  as  $C^1(L, \mathbb{C}) \Rightarrow d\beta = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha \in B^2(L, \mathbb{C}) \Rightarrow Z^2(L, \mathbb{C}) = B^2(L, \mathbb{C})$

A interesting application: reprove the Nagy's complete reducibility thm (By Chevalley & Eilenberg)  $\Rightarrow H^2(L, \mathbb{C}) = 0$

Pf.  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow \frac{W}{V} \rightarrow 0$

But  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_L(V, V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_L(\frac{W}{V}, V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_L(\frac{W}{V}, \frac{W}{V}) \rightarrow H^1(L, \text{Hom}_L(\frac{W}{V}, V))$

$\rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_L(\frac{W}{V}, V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_L(\frac{W}{V}, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_L(\frac{W}{V}, W) \rightarrow 0$

But not  $\text{Hom}_L(\frac{W}{V}, V) = 0$ !

original exact sequence  $\Rightarrow W = V \oplus V$

Before coming to algebraic group, let's see a interesting ex: over their  $\mathbb{C}$  Lie algebra

Defn. ( $p$ -Lie algebra)/restricted Lie algebra The definition is valid in any field (even ring), but what's more trivial is when over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . With char  $p$ , the natural additional structures "p-th-power operation", called  $p$ -map  $\lambda^p: L \rightarrow L$ , s.t.

such addition structure fit  $(\lambda^p)^p = (\lambda^p)^p$  naturally

Ex: Compute out,  $\mathbb{F}_5$  case, what  $S_i$  are for  $p$ -map;

(Hint,  $S_1(l_1, l_2) = [l_1, \lambda^p(l_2)], S_2(l_1, l_2) = \frac{1}{2}([l_1, l_2], l_2] + [l_1, l_2, l_2])$

+  $\frac{1}{2}[[l_1, l_2], l_2] + [[l_1, l_2], l_2, l_2])$ ,  $S_3(l_1, l_2) = \frac{1}{3}([l_1, l_2], [l_1, l_2])$

+  $\frac{1}{3}[[l_1, l_2], [l_1, l_2]] + [[l_1, l_2], [l_1, l_2], l_2])$ ,  $S_4 = \frac{1}{4}[[l_1, l_2], [l_1, l_2], [l_1, l_2]]$

2) Guess the form of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  case.

The AG theory of ( $p$ -) Lie algebra. (X)

Let  $L$  is Lie- $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra, then we define its associated vector

bundle  $L = \text{Spec } \text{Sym}(\mathcal{L}^*) \Rightarrow L$  is a Lie- $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra

Now let  $S$  the base scheme of  $\text{char } p$ , then  $L$  has  $p$ -map similarly (Jacobson, 1937)

defined but existence is nontrivial!

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gen}}$  is the moduli stack of  $n$ -dim Lie algebras;  $\mathcal{L}_n$  the coarse moduli then  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{gen}}/\mathcal{L}_n$  is a restricted Lie algebra

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{gen}} = [L_n/\mathcal{L}_n]$  the quotient stack over space

then we study the Algebraic group.

Defn. (Group object, Frob) Fix a category  $\mathcal{C}$

$G \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$  is a group object, together with  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{Set}} \text{Grp}$

$\hookrightarrow \mathcal{C} \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$

$h_a: G \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Set}$

$C \mapsto \text{Hom}(C, G) = G(C) \in \text{Grp}$  (also morphisms)

$\hookrightarrow$  Write as several diagrams

Ex. Using 5 graphs to depict group object

①  $(\lambda^p)^p = \lambda^{p^2}$ , ②  $\text{ad}(\lambda^p)^p = (\text{ad}(\lambda))^p$ , ③  $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^p = \lambda_1^p + \lambda_2^p$

when  $S_i$  is polynomial, s.t.  $+ \sum S_i(l_1, l_2)$

$iS_i(l_1, l_2)$  is  $\lambda^i$  coefficient of  $\text{ad}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^p$  (i.e.)

$\text{ad}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^p|_{l_1} = \sum iS_i(l_1, l_2) \lambda_1^{i-1}$

E.g. (Important) (Restricted Lie algebra of derivations)

$\text{Der}(L) \subset g(L)$

$\text{Der}(L) = \{D \in g(L) | D^p(D(l_1, l_2)) = \sum f_i(l_1, l_2) D^{p-1}(l_i)\}$

$\Rightarrow \text{Der}(L)$  is the restricted Lie algebra of derivations of  $g(L)$

Replace  $\text{Gal}(E/F)$  by proper  $\text{Aut}(L)$  in Galois theory (X)

Let  $E/F$  be a finite purely inseparable extension, s.t.  $\text{char } E/F = p$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gen}}/E$  is a restricted Lie algebra

over  $E$ , s.t.  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{gen}}/E$  is differential module

the intermediate fields in  $E$  containing  $F$   $\hookrightarrow$

the restricted sub-Lie-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{gen}}/F$

Defn. (Action of group objects, FGA)

A left action of  $G \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Grp}$  on  $F: Y \in \mathcal{C}$  set is a

natural transformation  $G \times F \rightarrow F$ , s.t.  $\forall C \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ ,

induce  $G(C) \times F(C) \rightarrow F(C)$  is the usual group action

$\Leftrightarrow G(C) \times F(C) \rightarrow G(C) \times F(C) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{gen}}(C)$  Using 2 diagram on

$F(C) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(C)$  (use to depict left act)

掃描全能王 創建

still setting over  $\mathbb{C}$ , for later discussion of reductive. Now (affine) algebraic group is simply the group object in  $(\text{Aff}_c)$   $\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .  
 The reason of relationship between Lie algebra and algebraic group is by functor  $F: \text{Lie}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $G$  connected algebraic group  
 it's fully faithful but not fully, thus we modify to a new functor  $\text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(L)$ , it's fully faithful  $\Rightarrow$  the study of  $L$   
 let's explain the Tannaka duality.

Def 9. (Monoidal category)  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1)$  s.t.  $\otimes$  is a monoidal functor. The functor of  $(B, \otimes_B, 1_B)$  and  $(C, \otimes_C, 1_C)$  is  
 $(1_G \otimes_B 1_C)$  unit,  $\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$

$(A, \otimes, 1)$  is a monoidal object, s.t.  $e: 1 \rightarrow A$  satisfy the unitality and associativity

Def 10. (Left module) A left module of a monoidal object  $A$   $f: A \otimes B \rightarrow B$  s.t.  $f \circ (\otimes_A \otimes_B) = \otimes_B \circ f$   $\Rightarrow$  the study of  $\text{Rep}(L)$

Def 11. (Comodule)  $(A, \otimes, 1)$  is a monoidal object, s.t.  $e: 1 \rightarrow A$  satisfy the unitality and associativity

Def 12. (Left comodule) A left comodule of a monoidal object  $A$   $f: A \otimes B \rightarrow B$  s.t.  $f \circ (\otimes_A \otimes_B) = \otimes_B \circ f$   $\Rightarrow$  the study of  $\text{Rep}(L)$

Def 13. (Left bimodule)  $(A, \otimes, 1)$  is a monoidal object, s.t.  $f: A \otimes B \rightarrow B$  s.t.  $f \circ (\otimes_A \otimes_B) = \otimes_B \circ f$   $\Rightarrow$  the study of  $\text{Rep}(L)$

Def 14. (Left monoidal functor)  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a monoidal functor if  $F(\otimes)$  is a monoidal functor,  $F(1)$  is a monoidal object,  $F(e)$  is a monoidal natural transformation between two monoidal functors

Def 15. (Symmetric)  $\beta: \otimes \rightarrow \otimes$  is a braiding on  $\otimes$  is a monoidal natural transformation between two monoidal functors

Def 16. (Tensor category)  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1)$  is tensor if  $\otimes$  linear on Hom,  $1$  is simple

Def 17. (Tannaka duality) It has many version, now I choose one:  $\mathcal{C}$  is tensor if it's over  $(\mathbb{C}, \otimes, 1)$

for  $F: \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  the forgetful functor,  $G = \text{Aut}(F)$

$\Rightarrow L = \bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{C}} \text{End}(F(V))$   $\forall V, W \in \text{Rep}(G)$ ,  $F(V) \otimes F(W) \xrightarrow{\text{def}} F(V \otimes W)$   $\Rightarrow$  Only prove second " $\Rightarrow$ ":  $A = G(\mathbb{C})$  the regular finite

ring, the comodule  $A(V; F(W)) \xrightarrow{\text{def}} F(V \otimes A)$ , compatible with  $M: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$

Then we return back to concrete.

Def 18. A (affine) algebraic group is

① Group object in  $(\text{Aff}_c)$   $\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}$ ; ② Representable functor  $G: \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\text{opp}}$

③ An algebraic group with a multiplication, inverse. (The action is done categorically!).

E.g. (Easy but important!)  $\mathbb{G}_m$  and  $\mathbb{G}_m^d$ .

$\mathbb{G}_m = (A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mu: A_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}^1; \cdot: A_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}^1); \mathbb{G}_m^d = (A_{\mathbb{C}}^1, \mu: A_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}^1; \cdot: A_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}^1)$

They're basic material for affine  $G$ ;  $T^n = \mathbb{G}_m^d$  is the algebraic torus

E.g.,  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is an algebraic group. It's basic due to  $\mathbb{G}_m$  is polynomial

Def 19.  $\det: \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  is polynomial

$\Rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \text{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) - \det^{-1}(0)$  morphism

is affine open  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\} = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \mid \det(X) = 0\}$

then the product of matrix makes  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  to an algebraic group

Def 20. A collection of definitions:

①  $H \leq G$  closed immersion is a closed subgroup of  $G$ ,  $G \times H \rightarrow G$  induce  $H \times H \rightarrow H$  by restriction; (An abstract subgroup is)

② The homomorphism just as the homomorphism of group objects; ③  $G$  is semi-simple as in tensor category with group structure

④  $G$  is reductive  $\Leftrightarrow$  The only subgroup of  $G$  is torus; ⑤  $G$  is solvable  $\Leftrightarrow$  if it has a filtration of normal subgroups, quasitriangular

Def 21. ①  $U, V \subset G$  open  $\Rightarrow U \cap V$ ; ②  $H \leq G$  abstract subgroup  $\Rightarrow H \leq G$  algebraic subgroup; ③  $H \leq G$  abstract subgroup,  $H$  closed  $\Rightarrow \text{Aut}(H) \leq \text{Aut}(G)$ ,  $H \leq G$  algebraic subgroup, if  $\text{Aut}(H) \subset H \Rightarrow \text{Aut}(H) = H$ ; give a counterexample  $\Rightarrow H \leq G$  algebraic subgroup

s.t.  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$  but  $\varphi(H) \not\subset H$

Then we comes to build relation of Algebraic group and Lie algebra.  $\text{associativity} + \text{unitality}$  They're useful for dual representation

At first, due to we need an analogue of tangent structure of Lie group, first recall things about smooth tangent space and differential structure

This is one way to dealing; but I take another approach more abstract: Hopf algebra (it's role is just differential, keeping in mind!)

Def 22. (Coalgebra)  $G$ -coalgebra is  $C_G: \Delta: C \rightarrow C \otimes C, \varepsilon: C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  s.t.  $\Delta \circ \varepsilon = \text{id}_C$  and  $\varepsilon \circ \Delta = \text{id}_{C \otimes C}$

Morphisms of coalgebra is  $C \xrightarrow{f} D$ . By associativity, we have  $\Delta \circ f = f \circ \Delta$  &  $\epsilon \circ f = f \circ \epsilon$ . This is nothing new but a representation of one act  $\text{Con} \Rightarrow f \circ g \in \text{Hom}(C, A)$ .  $f \circ g)(c) = \sum f(c_i)g_i$ . The Sweedler's notation for  $(\otimes, \Delta, \epsilon)$  is  $\Delta(c) = c_1 \otimes c_2$ ,  $\epsilon(c) = c_0$ . Simplicity:  $\Delta^n = (\Delta \otimes \text{id} \dots \otimes \text{id}) \Delta$ . A coalgebra  $(C, \Delta)$  ( $\epsilon$ ,  $\Delta$  is  $G$ -algebra homomorphism) is a bialgebra.

Def 8. A bialgebra, with  $\text{Id}_C \in \text{End}_k(C)$ , is invertible under  $\otimes$  (the category  $\mathcal{H}_C$ ).  
 $\hookrightarrow C$  is Hopf algebra. Note that  $\text{Id}_C$  may non-trivial, denoted by the antipode  $S_C$ .  
 Def 9. The  $C$ -comodule is merely monoidal. Generally, we write again here  
 $(\text{this is by present } \Delta: C \rightarrow C \otimes C)$   
 $\rightarrow C = \sum_{i \in I(C)} C_i \quad C \mapsto C \otimes C_i$   
 $= \sum_{i \in I(C)} S(C_i) \text{ and } C_i = S^{-1}(C_i)$

the morphism of comodule similar for equivalently  $C \rightarrow \otimes C$

We have the dual representation of  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .  
 Representation  $\rightarrow$  Dual representation of  $\text{Rep}(G)$   
 $\text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\text{Aut}(G))$  and action  $G \curvearrowright \text{Rep}(R)$ .

We denote  $\text{Mod}_C$ ,  $\text{Mod}^C$  for left/right  $C$ -comodule category. Ex. Check that  $\text{Mod}_C$ ,  $\text{Mod}^C$  are abelian, and  $C$  is injective-comonade of itself.  
 Turn back to  $G$  affine algebraic group  $[10]$  defined with action  $\Rightarrow$  this motivates our  $[Thm 2]$ .

$\phi : K[G] \rightarrow K[G \times G]$  and  $\varepsilon : K[G] \rightarrow K$  ( $K = \mathbb{C}$  all)  $\Rightarrow ((G, \Delta, \varepsilon))$  is a Hopf algebra.

$\Delta \downarrow$   $S\mathbb{I}$  and the ~~inverse~~ inverse of  $Id_{K[G]}$   $\text{Prop 20}$  Check upper block of  $\mathbb{H}$  induce  $\psi: K[\mathbb{H}] \rightarrow K[G]$  of  $K[G] \otimes K[G]$  is  $S: K[G] \rightarrow K[G]$   $\text{Prop 21}$  associative:  $f(f(x)) = f(x)$  bialgebra.

$\text{K}(G) \otimes \text{K}(H)$  is 8:  $\text{K}(G) \rightarrow \text{K}(H)$   
 $f \mapsto (f \otimes f): x \mapsto f(gx)$

Thus prop 20 gives us a functor, denote of the category of affine algebraic group  $\mathcal{G}[-]: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^P$  the coassociative

$\mathcal{G} \rightarrow [FG]$ ; but a amazing fact is the following  $f(x) = f(y^1y) = \sum f_1(y^1)f_2(y) = \sum g_jf_j(y^1)f_2(y) = \sum g_jf_j(y)$

Theorem 2.  $\mathcal{G}^{-1}$  is an equivalence of categories, with inverse is the  $\text{Max}(-) : \mathcal{H}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$

8k. Fine learnt theory of  $C^*$ -algebra will familiar with these

Ex. The weak theory of  $C^*$ -algebra will familiar with these two formula! They're nothing new but Gelfand-Naimark theorem. In fact, Gelfand's exist, Review the G-N theorem and modify its proof to prove. Then, this theory is just a representation theory.

Def 2. An affine algebraic group,  $\underline{L(G) = T_e(G)}$  is the associated Lie algebra, with  $[-, -] : L(G) \wedge L(G) \rightarrow L(G)$  is given by:  
 $T \in L(G)$  and  $S \in L(G)$ . The relation of these algebra  $L(G)$  lies that:  $T \circ S = T(S) \Rightarrow [T, S] = T(S) - S(T)$

$\tau, \sigma \in \mathbb{G}_2(\mathbb{K}[G]) = \mathbb{K}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[G]^{\vee}$  (The relation of Hopf algebra tells us that: let  $\eta = \frac{\eta_{\text{left}}}{\eta_{\text{right}}} = \eta \otimes \eta^{\vee}$  (the dual ring))

$$\text{check\_for\_T}(fg) = \sum \sigma(fg_i) \cdot T(fg_j) - T(fg_i) \cdot \sigma(fg_j) = \sum \sigma(fg_i) T(fg_j) - T(fg_i) \sigma(fg_j) = \sum (f_i(e) \sigma(g_j) + g_i(e) \sigma(f_j)) (f_i(e) T(g_j) + g_i(e) T(f_j)) - \sum f_i(e) T(g_j) + g_i(e) T(f_j) = f(e) [T(f) T(g) - g(e) T(f)] \text{ by induction rule.}$$

Rk,  $\mathcal{O}_G/H$  induce  $L(G)$  — (it is obvious.) We then prove that  $L(G\backslash G/H) \cong g(H)$ , although one might know it in theory of

Ex6. If with char = p (def the differential) then (G/H) think as a Lie group; we need some prepare work for this;  
 and the  $T_x(G/H)$  is  $\{0\}$  if  $x \in H$ .  
 Prob23.  $(G/H) \times (H \times H)$  (char  $G/H$  is well-defined).

and the Frobenius map  $F: G_m \rightarrow G_m$ , compute  $\det_F: k \rightarrow k$  (check  $G \times H$  is well-defined)  
 $x \mapsto x^p$  if  $\det_G: L(G) \oplus L(H) \xrightarrow{\cong} L(G \times H)$   
if, set  $\det_F: L(G) \oplus L(H) \rightarrow L(G \times H)$

Lemma 24.  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}}(n) : \mathcal{L}(G) \oplus \mathcal{L}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(G)$

$d(\bullet, \circ): L(G) \rightarrow L(G)$   $(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto \sigma \circ \tau$   
 $\tau \mapsto \tau^{-1}$  ( $m, i$ , is the multiplication and inverse.)

PF.  $d_k(m)(\sigma, \tau)(f) = (\sigma, \tau)(f \circ m) = (\sigma \otimes \tau + \varepsilon_{\sigma \tau})(\sum f_i \otimes g_i)$ . The homomorphism can be checked easily [2].

$\hat{L}(G, X, H) = \sum_i \sigma(f_i) f_i(x) + \tau(f_i) T(f_i)$ , what about the  $L(G, X, H)$  looks like?  
 Def 2.6 (Optimal module and some representation).

Why rational  $G$ -module theory is due to the representation of  $G$  isn't finite-dimensional. (e.g. regular representation)

(Locally finite representation) A  $G$ -vector space  $V$ ,  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  st.  $\forall v \in V, \exists W \subset V, W$  is  $G$ -invariant

**Def.** A representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a homomorphism  $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ , where  $V$  is a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The elements  $\rho(X) = \rho_x$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  are called the **matrix coefficients** of the representation.

At  $\sqrt{v}, V(\theta)$ , the function  $\theta \mapsto \langle x, \theta v \rangle$  is the representative function.

$\Rightarrow$  A rational  $G$ -module is locally finite and of VBS  $[KG]$ , thus given a  $\mathbb{C}$ -rational  $G$ -module  $M$ , we may consider it as a  $[KG]$ -comodule by  $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} : M \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} [KG]$  induced by action  $\psi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ .

Def 25.  $M$  is rational Gr-module, action  $G \times M \rightarrow M$ ,  $\text{Ad}_G: M \rightarrow M \otimes M[G]$

Ex & Verify:  $\psi^*$  does an action  $\Rightarrow$  the differential of  $\psi^*$ , denoted  $d\psi^*: L(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes M \rightarrow M$ , this defines  $L(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow M$

Thm 29.  $\lambda x : L(L(N)) \rightarrow g(x)$ ,  $\bullet (g(x)(N) = T(x))$  is justification (I can't understand the proof!)

Ex, By a trivial dimension-counting, it suffices to prove the injectivity:  $\tau \cdot v = 0 \Rightarrow \forall x \in V^*, v \otimes x, \tau(x)v = 0 \Rightarrow \text{det}(v - x\lambda) \cdot e \text{ generates the maximal ideal } \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda} \leqslant \text{GL}(V)$ , then if  $\text{det}(v - x\lambda) = 0 \Rightarrow x \cdot v = 0, \forall v \in V$  a polynomial  $\Rightarrow \tau|_{\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda}} = 0 \Rightarrow \tau(v) = 0 \Rightarrow \tau = 0 \Rightarrow$  injective  $\square$

Ex, Show that:  $L(\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow L(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$  Ex2, The adjoint representation  $\text{Ad}: \text{GL}(V) \times \mathfrak{gl}(V) \rightarrow \text{gl}(V)$

Then we focus on the action & representation of algebraic group. We except to prove:  
Thm1, ① (Kostant-Peterson) If  $G$  is an algebraic group and unipotent,  $\text{G}/\text{U}$  regularly  $\Rightarrow \forall p \in X, \text{G}(p) \subset X$  is closed  
②  $\dim G = \dim G^0 + \dim S^0$ ,  $\forall x \in X$  ③ the orbit map  $\text{G} \rightarrow \text{G}/\text{G}^0$  is separated  $\Rightarrow \text{G}(p) \cong \text{G}/\text{G}^0$  (Geometric quotient)  
Def3, (Categorical quotient and Geometric quotient)  $\pi: L(G) \rightarrow T_p(\text{G}(p))$  surjective  $\Leftrightarrow \text{Ker}(\pi) = L(G^0)$   
Set  $G \curvearrowright X$   $\Leftrightarrow \pi$  is submersive and  $\text{G}(p) \cong \text{G}/\text{G}^0$  (the definition of Geometric quotient)

①  $G$  is categorical quotient if  $G \curvearrowright Y$  trivial (or open map) ②  $Y$  is a geometric quotient if  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  surjective and satisfy the universal properties:  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$  for  $Y$  s.t.,  $G \curvearrowright Y$  trivial, submersive(open), its fibres are all  $G$ -orbit;  
Prop2, A geometric quotient may not exist,  $\exists! \pi: Y \rightarrow X$  (then  $Y$  can be considered as the orbit space)  $\Leftrightarrow Y \cong G^0$ .

Ex3, By showing Geometric  $\Rightarrow$  Categorical. (Due to the time limit, we omit the proof of Thm1)  
This is by covering  $X$  affine  $\square$  Ex1,  $\text{Gm} \curvearrowright \mathbb{A}^2$  by  $t(x,y) = (tx, t^2y)$   
Guess: there're more facts later: What about its categorical quotient?  
homogeneous space/ $G$ -principal bundle hint, Exist and  $\pi: \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is the affine GIT, projective GIT, moduli problem...  
can be learnt then.

Prob1, (Moduli of  $p$ -Lie algebra) (Bouillet, 2022) Set  $L \rightarrow S$  is the Lie algebra vector bundle

Define functor  $F: \text{Sch}_S \rightarrow \text{Set}^P$  Show that  $F$  is representable by an affine scheme  $X$   
 $T \mapsto F(T)$  mapping on  $L \times_S T \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_S T$   $X$  is formally homogeneous (i.e.  $\text{Ext}^1_X \rightarrow X \times_S X$  is isomorphism) under  $E = \text{Hom}(L, T)$   
 $\exists L' \subset L$  invertible  $\exists Q: L \rightarrow \text{End}(L) \cong \text{G}_m$  by  $x \mapsto (d(x))^{-1} \mapsto S(x)$  is map of vector bundles  $(e, x) \mapsto (e, x \cdot x)$  (fib means the following map  $x \mapsto x^p$ )

It induces  $\tilde{\alpha}: L \rightarrow L$  prove that:  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is  $p$ -mapping

$$x \mapsto \tilde{\alpha}(x) \text{ and if } \text{rank}(L) = 2 \Rightarrow \tilde{\alpha} \text{ unique}$$

Prob2, (Tannaka duality for Geometric stacks) (Luire, 2004)

A (Cartesian) stack  $X$  is geometric if it's quasi-compact and the diagonal map  $X \xrightarrow{\Delta} X \times_{\text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}} X$  is representable affine.  
The category  $\mathcal{Q}_S\text{-Mod}$  is defined, the category  $\mathcal{Q}\text{-Coh}(X)$  (Think  $X$  category) (Think  $X$  quasi-affine).  
Now set  $(S, \mathcal{O})$  a ringed topos, local for étale topology,  $\forall E \in S, \forall \mathcal{O}(E) \rightarrow R_i$  i.e.,  $|I| < \infty \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(E) \rightarrow \prod R_i$  fully faithful for finite.  $\mathcal{Q}_S\text{-Mod}$  are complete & abelian ( $\Rightarrow \exists E_i \rightarrow E$  in  $S$  and factorization  $\mathcal{O}(E) \rightarrow R_i \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(E_i)$  and  $\prod E_i \rightarrow$  tensor category); ② Categorical equivalence  $\text{Hm}(S, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hm}(\mathcal{O}\text{-Coh}(X), \mathcal{Q}_S\text{-Mod})$

Prob3, (Abelian varieties)

Abelian variety is a connected complete (projective) algebraic group, when over  $\mathbb{C}$  it's just a complex torus  $\mathbb{C}^n/\Lambda$ .  
① One dimension abelian variety  $\Leftrightarrow$  elliptic curve; ② The moduli  $M_{1,1}$  of elliptic curves  $\cong \mathbb{H}^2/\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$   
③ The moduli  $M_g$  of genus  $g$  abelian variety ( $\mathbb{H}^g$  is the upper-half plane)  
 $\cong \mathbb{H}/\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , which is quasi-projective, for the  $\mathbb{H}$  is the upper-half plane (Arapura, 2012)