

Now we come to our main task: Thm 51.1 and its dual analogue: Thm 45.5 (Thm 45.2 isn't necessarily true, and both of them tell us the homology isomorphism is preserved in Hom and \otimes in chain level. We might teach Thm 7. C and D free. $\Psi: C \rightarrow D$ inducing homology. Thm 7 is true, so does Ψ^* (i.e. all cohomology dimensions) isomorphisms in all dimensions. Ψ^* does (Step 1' Lemma 45.3) $0 \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{f} C_2 \rightarrow C_3 \rightarrow 0$

Pf. Step 1' We first do for a short free exact sequence (Claim). Ψ^* does f^* (i.e. all cohomology dimensions) $0 \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{f} C_2 \rightarrow C_3 \rightarrow 0$ But universal coefficient $H^*(C_3; G) \cong \text{Hom}(H_1(C_3), G) \oplus \text{Ext}(H_0(C_3), G)$ depends on this (Thm 5.1) $= 0$ (Thm 5.1) $\Rightarrow f^* = 0$ (Step 2) We then prove

(Claim) if f induce homology isomorphisms all dimensions, step 2. Same as Thm 7's (Step 2) \Rightarrow $f^* = 0$

\Rightarrow $f \otimes 1_G$

Pf. It suffices to prove $H_p(C_3; G) = 0$. This part is wrong (① depends on f) \Rightarrow Ψ^* containing $C_p \oplus D_p$ as a direct summand $\Rightarrow C_p \otimes 0, G$ and to commute Ψ^* to a homotopy, we need $C_p \rightarrow D_{p+1}$ (→ $H_p(C_1; G) \cong H_p(C_2; G)$). ② Not using Ψ^* directly thus D_p containing C_{p-1} as a direct summand \Rightarrow $H_p(C_2; G) = 0$ (Thm 5.1) \Rightarrow $H_p(C_3; G) = 0$ by universal coefficient (Thm 5.1) \Rightarrow $H_p(C_3; G) \cong (H_p(C_3) \otimes G) \oplus (H_p(C_3) \otimes F)$ $= 0$ by universal coefficient (Thm 5.1) \Rightarrow $H_p(C_3; G) = 0$

RK. Here \otimes not the free product but torsion product, a more usual notion is $\text{Tor}(H_p(C_3), G) = H_p(C_3) \otimes G$ (Claim) \Rightarrow Ψ^* is isomorphism in homology level

Step 2 We reduce to Step 1's case, we have a graph (Only need commutes up to a homotopy, i.e. $g \circ \Psi \simeq \Psi \circ f$) also, then by (Or $\Psi \circ g \simeq f$) $\Rightarrow f = g \circ \Psi \circ \Psi^* = g \circ \Psi^* = g \circ \Psi$ \Rightarrow $\Psi^* = 0$ (Step 1) \Rightarrow Ψ free $\Rightarrow j \circ \Psi$ is

From the construction directly, Ψ^* is isomorphism in homology level (Claim) \Rightarrow $\Psi^* = 0$ (Step 1) \Rightarrow Ψ free $\Rightarrow j \circ \Psi$ is

We omit its Geometric motivation here, to be more algebraic (algebraic mapping cylinder)

pro 8. C, G, F free, $H_p(C) \cong H_p(G)$ $\Rightarrow H_p(C, G) \cong H_p(G, G)$

pro 8. $\Rightarrow H_p(C, G) \cong H_p(C \otimes G, G)$ \Rightarrow $H_p(C, G) \cong H_p(C \otimes G, G)$

RK. Quite trivial in the view of universal coefficient.

Then we focus on when we changing these G , i.e. given a chain of G , we'll introducing Bockstein's homomorphism and Bockstein's spectral sequence next. (Bi-complex graded, exact couple)

Prop 9 and 9' Apply the zig-zag to $0 \rightarrow C_1 \otimes G \rightarrow C_1 \otimes G' \rightarrow (C_1 \otimes G) \otimes G'$ (Claim) \Rightarrow $H_p(C_3, G) = 0 \Leftrightarrow H_p(C_1, G) \cong H_p(C_2, G)$

and $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, G') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, G'')$ \Rightarrow $H_p(C_3) = 0 \Leftrightarrow H_p(C_1) \cong H_p(C_2)$.

$\beta_{\text{or}}: H_p(C; G'') \rightarrow H_{p-1}(C; G)$ and $\beta^*: H_p(C; G'') \rightarrow H_p(C; G)$ are Bockstein homomorphism

Lemma 9.2 tells us their duality: It commutes with the Poincaré duality (Ψ to a sign)

RK. $0 \rightarrow C_1 \otimes G \rightarrow C_1 \otimes G' \rightarrow (C_1 \otimes G) \otimes G'' \rightarrow 0$ is just the tensor, thus the previous observation is natural and generalise of two sequences.

The motivation of Bockstein is arithmetic, the first our concern is $B_p \otimes G = 0 \otimes G = 0$; $\beta_p \otimes 1_G$ ($\text{Hom}(G, G) = \text{Hom}(1_G, G)$)

is 0 $\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$ or $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$ $\Rightarrow B_p \otimes G = \mathbb{Z}_p$, $\text{Hom}(G, G) = \mathbb{Z}_p$

or $0 \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{\text{id}} C \rightarrow C \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$ for C free; for topology, we can recover \mathbb{Z}_p -coefficient from \mathbb{Z} -coefficient, this is nontrivial.

\mathbb{Z}_p -coefficient carries less information than \mathbb{Z} : we can always $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p = B_p \Rightarrow H_p(C_3) = 0$ (Claim)

do by universal coefficient.

As the discussion upper, given $0 \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{\text{id}} C \rightarrow C \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$ with its long exact sequence, then any three countinull objects have two in common.

$\text{Hom}((C_p)_p, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C_p, G) \oplus \text{Hom}(1_p, G) \cong B_p \oplus \text{Hom}(1_p, G)$

Abstract. The Grassmannian is central to the study of Schubert calculus, K-theory. Here we'll mainly do the Schubert cell decomposition and compute the homology by CW-complex; And then we will deal with the cohomology especially computing its cohomology ring; at last, we sketch another computation of the (co)homology theory of it, and introduce some applications and open problems. We summarize the course by study, compute it.

Notice. All homology has coefficient \mathbb{Z} for simplicity, we'll not concern its relation with de Rham or Delbeault cohomology

We admit that: Grassmannian is a manifold, thus homology manifold, it's also a variety by Plücker embedding/relativization

Some details, especially these details on combinations, we'll omit them

Theorems as Leray-Hirsch, Poincaré duality... ; technique as Leray-Serre spectral sequence, Chern class... will be used without preparations

It's sad that this thesis will not contain some related topics, such as the classifying space, enumerative geometry...

The Grassmannian we denote $Gr(n, m)$ here, and usually we let it over \mathbb{C} , sometimes \mathbb{R} or others ($m = \infty$ is admitted)

Def 1. (Grassmannian) For $n \leq m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, The Grassmannian $Gr(n, V) := \{\Lambda \subset V \text{ as subspace} \mid \dim \Lambda = n\}$, where $\dim V = m$. $Gr(n, m)$ over \mathbb{K} is defined to be $Gr(n, \mathbb{K}^m)$; $Gr(n, \infty) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_m Gr(n, m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Gr(n, m)$

By Notice ②, we have it's a manifold, and variety

PART I The CW-structure and Homology for the grassmannian

In this part, we make it clear for the CW-structure of $Gr(n, m)$, but we find it hard to give $H_k Gr(n, m)$ in general, thus we only compute $Gr(2, 4)$ in particular, explicitly: the general case of coefficient \mathbb{G} still open now, the boundary map is almost a pure combinatoric problem

Def 2. (Schubert symbol) We need to encode the elements $\Lambda \in Gr(n, m)$ by some tuples

Let $\Lambda = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, thus Λ can be represented as a $(\bar{n} \times m)$ -matrix by linear algebra, we can make it equivalent a row-reduced matrix \tilde{x} , then, we define the Schubert symbol $\lambda(\Lambda) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ a index, where λ_i represents the column coordinate of "1" in the i th row vector. $\lambda(\Lambda) = \lambda(A)$ doesn't depend on A by our linear algebra, denoted as λ for convenience. For example, $\Lambda \in Gr(3, 7)$ a plane has the matrix row-reduced as $\begin{bmatrix} * & * & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ then $\lambda(\Lambda) = (3, 4, 6)$

Def 4. (Schubert cell) $\Omega_\lambda^0 = \{\Lambda \in Gr(n, m) \mid \lambda(\Lambda) = \lambda\}$ is the Schubert cell

Showing Ω_λ^0 form a cellular decomposition isn't a trivial thing

Lemma 5. $\Omega_\lambda^0 \approx D^{n-i}$ the open disk of dimension $\sum_{j \neq i} (\lambda_j - i)$, when we consider it over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

If, $\dim \Omega_\lambda^0 =$ The number of "*" in a generic plane $\Lambda \in \Omega_\lambda^0 = (\lambda_1 - 1) + \dots + (\lambda_n - n)$

And homeomorphism just mapping these "*"s (A skeleth, the details are contained in Thm 6)

Then it's natural to consider $(\Omega_\lambda^0)^c$ closed: $(\Omega_\lambda^0)^c = \{\Lambda \in Gr(n, m) \mid \forall t \leq n, \dim(\Lambda \cap F_{t+}) = t\}$, where F_λ is the λ th flag of \mathbb{R}^m or \mathbb{C}^m $= \{\Lambda \in Gr(n, m) \mid \forall t \leq n: \dim(V \cap F_{t+}) \geq t\}$ is Zariski-closed, it's just the Schubert variety

Next is our main consequence

Thm 6. Ω_λ^0 gives a CW-structure on $Gr(n, m)$, let us assume it over \mathbb{C} here

PF. We deal with A, choose A such that it has all row vectors orthonormal, such form is uniquely corresponding to each λ , by our linear algebra; we denote it as \tilde{A} , ignoring the last zeros after the λ_i the coordinate of i th row, then every row is an element in a closed hemisphere $H_i \subset S^{(n-1)} \subset \mathbb{C}^m : H_i = \{x \in S^{(n-1)} \mid x_{n-i} \geq 0\}$ closed upper hemisphere

Example 7. The same as Example 3, $\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & 1 \\ * & * & 0 \\ * & 0 & 1 \\ * & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $v_1 = (*, *, 1) \in H_1 \subset S^2$

$$v_2 = (*, *, 0, 1) \in H_2 \subset S^3$$

$$v_3 = (*, *, 0, 0, 1) \in H_3 \subset S^5$$

We define $E_\lambda = \{(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in (S^{(n-1)})^n \mid v_1, \dots, v_n \text{ are orthonormal}, v_i \in H_i\}$

I claim: $\Omega_\lambda^0 \approx E_\lambda^0$, $E_\lambda \approx H_1^{(1)} \times \dots \times H_1^{(n-1)}$, $H_1^{(i)} \approx D^{(n-i)}$; where $H_1^{(i)} \subset S^{(n-1)}, x_1^{(i)} = (\lambda_{n-i}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$

Then complete the proof, next we prove the claim ①②③

Pf of the claim: ① $\Omega_\lambda^0 \cong E_\lambda^0$ as set is obvious: we just change the place of tuples; to see the continuity, we do by consider the topology of $Gr(n, m)$ as the quotient space of n -tuples of orthonormal vectors in \mathbb{C}^m //

② We have $E_\lambda \xrightarrow{P} H_1 = H^{(1)}$ the projection $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \mapsto v_1$, as our usual technique in Algebraic Geometry, we choose "a good fibre" $\pi: \mathbb{A}^{(n-1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, where $v_0 = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, let $\lambda^{(1)} = (\lambda_{n-1}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$, then: $E_\lambda \approx H^{(1)} \times \pi^{-1}(\lambda^{(1)}) \approx H^{(1)} \times F_{\lambda^{(1)}}$

By induction, and definition of $H_i^0 \subset S^{X^{i-1}}$, $X^i = (\lambda_{i+1} - i, \dots, \lambda_n - i)$ the hemisphere, then $E_\lambda \approx H_i^0 \times H_i^0 \times \dots \times H_i^{(n-i)}$ //
 (And note that the choice of fibre v_λ isn't matter: as in a hemisphere, we can by rotation, which is a homeomorphism)
 Now directly deal with $D_\lambda = D_{\lambda}^{(n-i)}$, we have the characteristic map $\Phi_\lambda: D_\lambda \rightarrow \text{Gr}(n, m)$ to be the composition:
 $D_\lambda \rightarrow H_1^{(0)} \times H_1^{(1)} \times \dots \times H_1^{(n-i)} \xrightarrow{\text{②}} E_\lambda \xrightarrow{\text{①}} \text{Gr}(n, m)$, and $\Phi_\lambda|_{D_\lambda}: D_\lambda \rightarrow H_1^{(0)} \times H_1^{(1)} \times \dots \times H_1^{(n-i)} \xrightarrow{\text{②}} E_\lambda \xrightarrow{\text{①}} \text{Gr}(n, m)$
 $\Phi_\lambda|_{D_\lambda}: D_\lambda \rightarrow \partial H_1^{(0)} \times \dots \times \partial H_1^{(n-i)} \xrightarrow{\text{③}} G(n, m)$, where $\partial H_i = S^{i-2}$ denotes the dimension

And we show Φ_λ homeomorphism inductively: denote X^i as the i -skeleton

Attaching every $(i+1)$ -cell $\Omega_\lambda^i \in X^{i+1}$ via $\Phi_\lambda|_{\partial \Omega_\lambda^i}: \partial \Omega_\lambda^i \rightarrow X^i$, the resulting space $Y = \partial D_\lambda \sqcup \bigcup_{i=0}^n X^i \approx X^i$ naturally
 $\Rightarrow X^{i+1}$ also a CW-subcomplex // (For $m=\infty$, this way still makes sense)

Such an abstract proof only shows how to compute the $H_*(\text{Gr}(n, m))$ vaguely, indeed the computation of a concrete formula of $H_*(\text{Gr}(n, m))$ is still open problem, combinatorially. (Although for any Grassmannian, the algorithm is available.)

Next we compute $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$, the trivial Grassmannian for example:

Example 8. $H_p(\text{Gr}(2, 4)) = \begin{cases} 0 & p=0, 3, 5 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & p=1, 2 \\ \mathbb{Z} & p=4 \end{cases}$ It follows that $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$ is orientable

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}; p=1, 2$$

$$\mathbb{Z}; p=4$$

Computation: By Thm 6, $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$ has a 0-cell, a 1-cell, two 2-cell, a 3-cell, a 4-cell, we describe them later

$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$, the computation of ∂_1 by attach cells into X^{P^1} as in the proof

$p=1: H_1(\text{Gr}(2, 4)) = \frac{\text{Ker} \partial_1}{\text{Im} \partial_2}$, I claim: $\text{Ker} \partial_1 = \mathbb{Z}$ by $\text{Ker} \partial_1 = \mathbb{Z}$ is trivial, we attach 1-cell to 0-cell from Thm 6,
 $\text{Im} \partial_2 = 2\mathbb{Z}$ by the first 2-cell consisting all lines in plane not meeting the 0-cell

$$\Rightarrow H_1(\text{Gr}(2, 4)) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} //$$

$$p=2: H_2(\text{Gr}(2, 4)) = \frac{\text{Ker} \partial_2}{\text{Im} \partial_3}$$

To attach 3-cell to the

X^2 , especially, the two disjoint 2-cells, we need divide the

3-cell into two disjoint 2-cells, with the antipodal identified in the equator:

thus the two 2-cells new are joined by a 1-cell, namely the equator, after the identification, these "new" two 2-cells, 1-cell coincide with X^2 , thus the generator is just single, and order 2 by same computation in $p=2 \Rightarrow H_2(\text{Gr}(2, 4)) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} //$

$p=3: H_3(\text{Gr}(2, 4)) = \frac{\text{Ker} \partial_3}{\text{Im} \partial_4} = 0$ is trivial: by the attach process of 3-cell, the boundary, i.e. $\text{Ker} \partial_3$ is just two-times of the generator of $H_2(\text{Gr}(2, 4))$, homological to 0 $\Rightarrow \text{Ker} \partial_3 = \text{Im} \partial_4 //$

$p=4: H_4(\text{Gr}(2, 4)) = \text{Ker} \partial_4 = \mathbb{Z}$ also trivial: we had shown that the boundary homological to 0 $\Rightarrow \partial_4 = 0 //$ \square

PART II The cohomology ring of Grassmannian

Q9. Why we not dealing the usual cohomology group here? When we compute $H^*(\text{Gr}(n, m))$ or $H^*(\text{Gr}(n, \infty))$, we apply to technique of vector bundle and Eilenberg sequence, which not makes sense when computing cohomology groups; and the cellular cohomology differs with cellular homology up to $\text{Hom}(\square, \mathbb{F})$ chain-level, thus nothing to discuss about; and $H^*(\text{Gr}(n, m))$ also can be computed by De Rham-Cech double complex, which we omit it here, but at all, its concrete formula is still open; also be careful when apply Poincaré duality: not all Grassmannian orientable, the trivial example is RP^2

Our main task is computation of $H^*(\text{Gr}(n, \infty)) = \mathbb{Z}[C_1(E^1) \dots C_n(E^n)]$, where $C_i(E^i)$ is the i -th Chern class of the tautological bundle over $\text{Gr}(n, \infty)$; $H^*(\text{Gr}(n, m)) = \mathbb{Z}[C_1(E^1) \dots C_n(E^n), C(Q^1) \dots C(Q^m)]$, where E^n still the tautological bundle, and Q^i defined to be $\text{Gr}(n, m) \times \mathbb{CP}^m$; $C(Q^i) = C(C(E^i)) = 1 + C(E) + C_n(E)$ for the vector bundle E over some space X

Something interesting is Conjecture 10. $H^*(\text{Gr}(n, m)) = \frac{\mathbb{R}[P_1 \dots P_{i-j}, \bar{P}_1 \dots \bar{P}_j]}{(P \bar{P} = 1)}$ ($P_j \in H^{2j}(\text{Gr}(n, m), \mathbb{R})$ the Pontryagin class); $(n, m) = (2j, 2i)$
 We choose \mathbb{R} -coefficient here $\frac{\mathbb{R}[P_1 \dots P_{i-j}, \bar{P}_1 \dots \bar{P}_j, \sigma_w]}{(P \bar{P} = 1, \sigma_w^2)}$ (σ_w is the Schubert class); $(n, m) = (2j+1, 2i+2)$

As an imitation of $H^*(\text{Gr}(n, m))$, as $H^*(\text{BO}(n), \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}[P_1 \dots P_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}]$ arises naturally

This is an example: Although we have universal coefficient theorem, derive \mathbb{R} -coefficient from \mathbb{Z} -coefficient is still not trivial thing

Next we start to prove ①, we first do some preparations

Def 11. (Tautological bundle over (in)finite Grassmannian) $\pi_1: E_m^n \rightarrow \text{Gr}(n, m)$; $E_m^n = \{(\Lambda, v) \in \text{Gr}(n, m) \times \mathbb{C}^m \mid v \in \Lambda\}$

For p sufficiently small and $p \leq p$, $H^p(\mathrm{Gr}(n+1)) = 0 \Rightarrow H^{p+2n+2}(\mathrm{Gr}(n+1)) \cong H^{p+2n+2}(\mathrm{Gr}(n))$ isomorphism
 $\Rightarrow \forall x \in H^{p+2n+2}(\mathrm{Gr}(n+1))$, $\Phi(x) =$ a polynomial of $C(E^n) \cdots C(E^m)$ by induction hypothesis, thus we prove it for p sufficiently small. I claim: all p hold this, we do induction again, assuming that $(p+2n)$ -dimensional had been done
If we prove the claim, the main induction completes as we done $(n+1)$ -case

Pf of of claim: we have $0 \rightarrow H^p(\mathrm{Gr}(n+1)) \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} H^{p+2n+2}(\mathrm{Gr}(n+1)) \xrightarrow{\Phi} H^{p+2n+2}(\mathrm{Gr}(n)) \rightarrow 0$

$\mathrm{Ker} \Phi \cong H^p(\mathrm{Gr}(n+1))$, and $\mathrm{Ker} \Phi = \{x - \text{a polynomial of } C(E^n) \cdots C(E^m)\}$

$\Rightarrow x - p(C(E^n) \cdots C(E^m)) = y_{\mathrm{Gr}(n)}(E^m)$ for $\exists y \in H^p(\mathrm{Gr}(n+1))$

By the secondary induction hypothesis, $y = g(C(E^{n+1}) \cdots C(E^{n+1})) \Rightarrow x$ is represented as a polynomial of $C(E^n) \cdots C(E^m)$ and uniqueness trivially holds \square (This way is the double-induction)

Next we prove ②, but we make a Remark to compare $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(n, m))$ and $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(n))$

(This only holds for ③)

Rk15 Can we do $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(n)) = H^*(\lim_m \mathrm{Gr}(n, m)) = \lim_m H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(n, m))$? We can do as $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(n, m))$ is torsion-free, and $H^{2n+1}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, m)) = 0$; we should notice that the class $C(E^n)$ and $C(E^m)$ arise naturally as in Leray-Hirsch theorem; and the following proof must involve the flag: a standard way to study the Grassmannian, we'll apply Whitney product formula and splitting principle

Def6. (complete) flag $\pi: E \rightarrow X$, the flag $\mathrm{Fl}(E) = \{(x, \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n) \mid \lambda_i \in E_i, \dim \lambda_i = i\}$

Rk20 $\mathrm{Fl}(E) \cong \mathrm{Z}(C(E)), C(E)$ $\rightarrow H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(n, m))$ Step 1: π^* is injective the flag has a natural structure of bundle: $\mathrm{Fl}(E) \xrightarrow{\pi} X$

We do pullback $\pi^* Q \rightarrow Q$, then we have a graph: $\mathrm{Fl}(E) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \mathrm{Fl}(Q) \rightarrow Q$ let us explain $\mathrm{Fl}(Q^*) = \mathrm{Fl}(\mathrm{pt} \times Q^m)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \square & \downarrow \pi & \nearrow Q \rightarrow \\ \mathrm{Fl}(Q) & \xrightarrow{\pi} X & \\ \square & \downarrow \pi^* & \nearrow Q^* = \{(1, \lambda_0 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n, w) \mid w \in Q^m / \lambda\} \\ \mathrm{Fl}(\mathrm{pt} \times Q^m) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} X & \cong \mathrm{Fl}(Q^m) / \cong \mathrm{Fl}(\mathrm{pt} \times Q^m) \end{array}$$

Now we apply the splitting formula to both sides of the equation $\mathrm{Fl}(Q^*) \cong \mathrm{Fl}(\mathrm{pt} \times Q^m)$ in the homology-level:

$$H^*(\mathrm{Fl}(\mathrm{pt} \times Q^m)) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_m]}{(1 + x_i - 1)}, H^*(\mathrm{Fl}(Q^*)) \cong H^*(\mathrm{Fl}(E)) [y_1, \dots, y_{m-n}]$$

\Rightarrow They equal to $\frac{\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_m]}{(\prod_i (1 + x_i) - C(E), \prod_i (1 + x_i) - C(E))} \Leftrightarrow \prod_{i=m-n}^m \prod_{j=1}^{m-i} (1 + x_j) = \prod_{i=m-n}^m (1 + x_i) = 1 \Leftrightarrow C(E) C(Q) = 1$ the only restriction

By replace notations, we find π^* -injective //

Step 2: π^* is surjective. We run by induction on n . Check to proof of Thm 14① the equation holds without gap for $2n-1 \leq m$, as the only using m is taking a large $N \rightarrow \infty$ the direct limit, and for $2n-1 > m$, the Grassmannian considered as a orthogonal projector we have a homeomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}(n, m) \cong \mathrm{Gr}(m-n, m)$ and $\psi^* E^{m-n} = Q_n$, thus nothing to prove then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \wedge \mapsto \wedge & & \psi^* Q_{m-n} = E^n \\ \wedge \mapsto \wedge & & \end{array}$$

Next, we check for $2n-1 \leq m$: consider ψ -in sequence, again: $\cdots \rightarrow H^{p-2n}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, m)) \rightarrow H^p(\mathrm{Gr}(n, m)) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^p(E^n) \rightarrow H^{p+2n+1}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, m))$
(Here we use $(p-2n, p)$ instead of $(p, p+2n)$ for later computation)

I claim: $H^p(Q_{n-1, 0}) \cong H^p(E^n)$ (Here $Q_{n-1, 0}$ also means that delete the zero section)

Then $\cdots \rightarrow H^{p-2n}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, m)) \rightarrow H^p(\mathrm{Gr}(n-1, m)) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^p(Q_{n-1, 0}) \rightarrow H^{p+2n+1}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, m)) \rightarrow \cdots$ This graph relates the induction hypothesis, the two Chern classes, we have these formulas naturally:

$$\pi_0^* C_i(E^n) = C_i(E^n); \pi_0^* C_j(Q_{n-1, 0}) = C_j(Q_{n-1, 0}) = (\pi_0^{-1})^* \pi_0^* C_j(Q_{n-1, 0})$$

$$= (\pi_0^{-1})^* (\pi_0^* C_j(E^{n-1})) \text{ Then all the same as the pf of Thm 14① //}$$

Left is proving the claim: indeed $Q_{n-1, 0} \cong E^n$ given by $f: E^n \rightarrow Q_{n-1, 0}, f: Q_{n-1, 0} \rightarrow E^n$

Rk17. $\mathrm{Gr}(n, m)$ is a homogeneous space, by Leray-Serre spectral $(\lambda, v) \mapsto (\lambda \wedge \lambda^\perp, v), (\lambda, v) \mapsto (\lambda \oplus w, w) \square$

PART III. Application: coefficient $\mathbb{Z}_{\sqrt{2}}$ sequence also makes sense

Thm18. $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(n), \mathbb{Z}_{\sqrt{2}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\sqrt{2}}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, when $\mathrm{Gr}(n)$ over \mathbb{C} , these generators coincide the Chern class

Pf. Cubum acutum in duos cubos, aut quadratoquadratum in duos cubos quadratoquadratos. Et medieval generaliter nullam in infinitum ultra quadratum potestatem in duos eritudem nominis fas est dividere acutus rei demonstrationem mirabiliter sane detinet. Hanc marginis exiguitas non ceperat.

Skeletof of the proof, the natural map $h_n: (\mathrm{RP})^n \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n)$ as the classifying map, the homology level $h_n^*: H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(n)) \rightarrow H^*(\mathrm{RP})^n$ and $H^*(\mathrm{RP})^n \cong \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is done, we need to prove that ① h_n^* injective ② $\mathrm{Im} h_n^*$ generated by n symmetric functions

Reference: Tharistatis, Math at Milnor