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Data is often stored in one of two paradigms: As relations (predicate-centered), e.g. in the SQL-queried [Deep Web](http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1211/paper1.pdf), or as graphs (object-centered), e.g. in the SPARQL-queried [Semantic Web](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Semantic_Web). This divide has also led to separate predicate-centered and object-centered rule paradigms for processing the data. Projects involving both relations and graphs have thus been impeded by the paradigm boundaries,  
from modeling to implementation. These boundaries can be dissolved with the integrated language Positional-Slotted, Object-Applicative (PSOA) RuleML. PSOA RuleML permits the application of a predicate (acting as a relation) to have an Object IDentifier (OID) ‒ typed by the predicate (acting as a class) ‒ and, orthogonally, the predicate's arguments to be positional or slotted. The resulting novel concept of a positional-slotted, object-applicative (psoa) atomic formula can be employed as follows:

1. Relationship (Prolog-like relation application): Predicate-centered, positional atom without an OID and with an ‒ ordered ‒ sequence of arguments
2. Shelf (Object-IDentified relationship): Object-centered, positional atom with an OID and with a sequence of arguments
3. Pairship (RIF-like named-argument term): Predicate-centered, slotted atom without an OID and with an ‒ unordered ‒ multi-set of slots (each being a pair of a name and a filler)
4. Frame (F-logic-like typed graph-node OID and its outgoing-arrow slots): Object-centered, slotted atom with an OID and with a multi-set of slots

Via atoms, rules of the form {relationship|shelf|pairship|frame} if {relationship|shelf|pairship|frame}\* can be built, where a conclusion, consisting of any of the four kinds of psoa atoms, is derived from a condition of conjuncts (here indicated by a "\*") of arbitrary psoa atoms. Exemplifying the advanced form of (conclusion-)existential rules, frames (whose existential OIDs are generated on-the-fly for each rule invocation) can be derived as needed from a conjunction of relationships (cf. the [Family Example](http://wiki.ruleml.org/index.php/Grailog#Family_Example)).

PSOA RuleML uses visualization ([Grailog](http://wiki.ruleml.org/index.php/Grailog)), presentation, and serialization syntaxes. Its [model-theoretic semantics](http://www.cs.unb.ca/~boley/talks/SemanticsPsoaRules-talk-UNB2011.pdf) blends (OID-over-)slot distribution and integrated psoa terms. Efficient implementations, instantiating the [PSOATransRun](http://wiki.ruleml.org/index.php/PSOA_RuleML#PSOATransRun) framework, translate PSOA RuleML knowledge bases and queries to TPTP (PSOA2TPTP) or Prolog (PSOA2Prolog). A [tutorial-style overview](http://www.cs.unb.ca/~boley/talks/PSOAObjRelDataRules-talk.pdf) gives details of the language.

A use case on bidirectional SQL-PSOA-SPARQL transformation for interoperability will be presented.  
Its core transformation between the relational paradigm (SQL) and the graph paradigm (SPARQL) is expressed in a language-internal manner within PSOA RuleML itself.