# Mathematical Studies Analysis

Notes taken by Runqiu Ye Carnegie Mellon University

Spring 2025

# Contents

1	Advanced topics in metric space theory	3
	1.1 Baire category	3
	1.2 Open Mapping Theorem	4
<b>2</b>	Practice problems	9
3	Measure and Integration	10

## 1 Advanced topics in metric space theory

#### 1.1 Baire category

**Definition.** Let X be a metric space.

- 1. We say that  $E \subset X$  is nowhere dense if  $(\overline{E})^{\circ} = \emptyset$ .
- 2. We say that  $E \subset X$  is meager in X if

$$E = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} E_{\alpha},$$

where A is a countable set and  $E_{\alpha} \subset X$  is nowhere dense for every  $\alpha \in A$ .

**Theorem.** Prove that the following are equivalent for  $E \subset X$ :

- 1. E is nowhere dense
- 2.  $\overline{E}$  is nowhere dense
- 3.  $(\overline{E})^c$  is open and dense in X.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (2). Suppose E is nowhere dense, then  $(\overline{E})^{\circ} = \emptyset$ . Note that the closure of  $\overline{E}$  is just  $\overline{E}$  itself. It follows that  $\overline{E}$  is also nowhere dense.

(2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (3). Suppose  $\overline{E}$  is nowhere dense. Note that  $\overline{E}$  is closed, so  $(\overline{E})^c$  is open. Let  $x \in X$  be arbitrary. Since  $\overline{E}$  is nowhere dense,  $x \notin (\overline{E})^\circ$ . This implies that for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $B(x, \varepsilon) \not\subset \overline{E}$ . This is equivalent to  $B(x, \varepsilon) \cap (\overline{E})^c \neq \emptyset$ . Hence,  $(\overline{E})^c$  is dense in X.

(3)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1). Suppose  $(\overline{E})^c$  is dense in X. Let  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. It follows that  $B(x,\varepsilon) \cap (\overline{E})^c \neq \emptyset$ . This is equivalent to  $B(x,\varepsilon) \not\subset \overline{E}$ . Therefore,  $(\overline{E})^\circ = \emptyset$  and E is nowhere dense.  $\square$ 

**Theorem** (Baire category thorem). Let X be a complete metric space. Suppose that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $U_n \subset X$  is open and dense in X. Prove that  $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n$  is dense in X. Hint: use the shrinking closed set property.

*Proof.* Consider any  $x \in X$  and arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it suffices to show that  $U_n \cap B(x,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now inductively choosing a sequence  $x_i \in X$  and  $\varepsilon_i > 0$  such that for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B[x_i, \varepsilon_i] \subset U_i$ ,  $B[x_{i+1}, \varepsilon_i] \subset B[x_i, \varepsilon_i] \subset B(x, \varepsilon)$ , and  $\varepsilon_i < 2^{-i}\varepsilon$ .

Since  $U_0$  is dense in X,  $B(x,\varepsilon)\cap U_0\neq\emptyset$ . Note that both  $U_0$  and  $B(x,\varepsilon)$  are open, so we can choose  $x_0\in B(x,\varepsilon)\cap U_0$  and  $\varepsilon_0>0$  so small that  $B[x_0,\varepsilon_0]\subset B(x,\varepsilon)\cap U_0$  and  $\varepsilon_0<\varepsilon$ . Now suppose for  $0\leq i\leq n$ , we have chosen  $x_i\in X$  and  $\varepsilon_i>0$  such that  $B[x_i,\varepsilon_i]\subset U_i$  and  $\varepsilon_i<2^{-i}\varepsilon$  for all  $0\leq i\leq n$ ,  $B[x_{i+1},\varepsilon_{i+1}]\subset B[x_i,\varepsilon_i]$  for all  $0\leq i< n$ . Since  $U_{n+1}$  is dense in X,  $B(x_n,\varepsilon_n)\cap U_{n+1}\neq\emptyset$ . Note also both  $U_{n+1}$  and  $B(x_n,\varepsilon_n)$  are open. Therefore, choose  $x_{n+1}\in B(x_n,\varepsilon_n)\cap U_{n+1}$  and  $\varepsilon_{n+1}>0$  so small that  $B[x_{n+1},\varepsilon_{n+1}]\subset B(x_n,\varepsilon_n)\cap U_{n+1}$  and  $\varepsilon_{n+1}<\frac{\varepsilon_n}{2}$ . It follows that  $B[x_{n+1},\varepsilon_{n+1}]\subset U_{n+1}$  and  $B[x_{n+1},\varepsilon_{n+1}]\subset B[x_n,\varepsilon_n]\subset B(x,\varepsilon)$ . Also,  $\varepsilon<\frac{\varepsilon_n}{2}<2^{-n-1}\varepsilon$ . Now we have successfully constructing the desired sequence.

Since X is complete,  $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} B[x_n, \varepsilon_n] = \{z\}$  for some  $z \in X$ . Note that for each n, we have  $z \in B[x_n, \varepsilon_n] \subset U_n$ . Also,  $z \in B[x_n, \varepsilon_n] \subset B(x, \varepsilon)$ . Therefore,  $z \in U_n \cap B(x, \varepsilon)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n$  is dense in X.

**Remark.** An equivalent statement of the theorem is the following:

Let X be a complete metric space and  $\{C_n\}$  a countable collection of closed subsets of X such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$ . Then at least one of the  $C_n$  contains an open ball.

#### 1.2 Open Mapping Theorem

#### Linear surjections

**Theorem** (Open mapping theorem). Let X, Y be Banach spaces over a common field and assume that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y)$ . Prove that the following are equivalent.

- 1. T is surjective.
- 2. There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_Y(0, \delta) \subset \overline{T(B_X(0, 1))}$ .
- 3. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_Y(0, \delta) \subset T(B_X(0, \varepsilon))$ .
- 4. T is an open map: if  $U \subset X$  is open, then  $T(U) \subset Y$  is open.
- 5. There exists  $C \geq 0$  such that for each  $y \in Y$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that Tx = y and

$$||x||_X \le C ||y||_Y.$$

HINT: Prove that  $(1) \implies (2) \implies (3) \implies (4) \implies (5) \implies (1)$ , keeping in mind the following suggestions.

- 1. For (1)  $\implies$  (2): Study the sets  $C_n = \overline{T(B_X(0,n))} \subset Y$  for  $n \geq 1$ .
- 2. For (2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (3): Prove that  $\overline{T(B_X(0,1))} \subset T(B_X(0,3))$  by considering  $y \in \overline{T(B_X(0,1))}$  and inductively constructing  $\{x_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \subset X$  such that  $\|x_j\|_X < 2^{-j}$  and  $y \sum_{j=0}^m Tx_j \in B_Y(0, 2^{-m-1}R)$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof. (1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (2). Following the hint, for  $n \ge 1$  let  $C_n = \overline{T(B_X(0,n))}$ . Then each of the  $C_n$  are closed. Since T is surjective,  $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ . Suppose for contradiction that each  $C_n$  are nowhere dense. It then follows that  $C_n^c$  are dense in Y. By Baire Category Theorem,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^c$  is dense in Y. However,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^c = (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n)^c = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Therefore, at least one  $C_n$  is not nowhere dense. That is, there exists some  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\overline{T(B_X(0,n))}$  contains an open ball. However, this is the same set as  $n\overline{T(B_X(0,1))}$ . Therefore,  $\overline{T(B_X(0,1))}$  contains an open ball  $B_Y(y_0, 4r)$  for some  $y_0 \in Y$  and r > 0.

Let  $y_1 = Tx_1$  for some  $x_1 \in B_Y(0,1)$  such that  $||y_0 - y_1|| < 2r$ . It follows that  $B_Y(y_1,2r) \subset B_Y(y_0,4r) \subset T(B_X(0,1))$ . For any  $y \in Y$  such that ||y|| < r, we have

$$y = -\frac{1}{2}y_1 + \frac{1}{2}(2y + y_1) = -T\left(\frac{x_1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}(2y + y_1).$$

However, notice that

$$\frac{1}{2}(2y+y_1) \subset \frac{1}{2}B_Y(y_1,2r) \subset \frac{1}{2}\overline{T(B_X(0,1))} = \overline{T(B_X(0,\frac{1}{2}))}.$$

It follows that

$$y = -T\left(\frac{x_1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}(2y + y_1) \in -T\left(\frac{x_1}{2}\right) + \overline{T(B_X(0, \frac{1}{2}))}.$$

Note that  $-T(\frac{x_1}{2}) \in T(B_X(0,\frac{1}{2}))$ . Therefore,  $y \in \overline{T(B_X(0,1))}$ . Since y is arbitrary with ||y|| < r, we have  $B_Y(0,r) \subset \overline{T(B_X(0,1))}$ .

(2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (3). Following the hint, we first show  $\overline{T(B_X(0,1))} \subset T(B_X(0,3))$ . By assumption, we have  $B_Y(0,R) \subset \overline{T(B_X(0,1))}$  for some R > 0. It follows from homogeneity that for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$2^{-m}B_Y(0,R) = B_Y(0,2^{-m}R) \subset 2^{-m}\overline{T(B_X(0,1))} = \overline{T(B_X(0,2^{-m}))}.$$

Let  $y \in \overline{T(B_X(0,1))}$  and pick  $x_0 \in X$  with  $\|x\| < 1$  such that  $\|y - Tx\| < 2^{-1}R$ . Now suppose we have chosen  $x_j$  for  $0 \le j \le m$  such that  $\|x_j\| < 2^{-j}$  and  $y - \sum_{j=0}^m Tx_j \in B_Y(0, 2^{-m-1}R)$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . By the inclusion above, we can pick  $x_{m+1} \in X$  with  $\|x_{m+1}\| < 2^{-m-1}$  such that

$$\left\| y - \sum_{j=0}^{m} Tx_j - Tx_{m+1} \right\| = \left\| y - \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} Tx_j \right\| < 2^{-m-2}R.$$

Therefore,  $y - \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} Tx_j \in B_Y(0, 2^{-m-2})R$ . This completes the inductive construction, and we have found a sequence  $\{x_j\}$  such that  $\|x_j\| < 2^{-j}$  and  $y - \sum_{j=0}^m Tx_j \in B_Y(0, 2^{-m-1}R)$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} ||x_j|| \le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} = 2,$$

so  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j$  converges absolutely. Since X is Banach,  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j$  converges to some  $x \in X$  with  $||x|| \le 2$ . Also, since  $y - \sum_{j=0}^{m} Tx_j \in B_Y(0, 2^{-m-1}R)$ , taking the limit where m approaches infinity we obtain

$$y = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} Tx_j = T\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j\right) = Tx.$$

Therefore,  $y \in T(B_X(0,3))$  and thus  $\overline{T(B_X(0,1))} \subset T(B_X(0,3))$ .

Now for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\overline{T(B_X(0,1))} \subset \frac{\varepsilon}{3}T(B_X(0,3)) = T(B_X(0,\varepsilon))$ . By assumption, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_Y(0,\delta) \subset \overline{T(B_X(0,1))}$ . Therefore,

$$B_Y\left(0,\frac{\delta\varepsilon}{3}\right) = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}B_Y(0,\delta) \subset \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\overline{T(B_X(0,1))} \subset T(B_X(0,\varepsilon)).$$

(3)  $\Longrightarrow$  (4). Let  $U \subset X$  be open and  $y \in T(U)$ . There exists  $x \in U$  such that Tx = y. Since U is open, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B_X(x,\varepsilon) \subset U$ . By assumption, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_Y(0,\delta) \subset T(B_X(0,\varepsilon))$ . It follows that

$$B_Y(y,\delta) = y + B_Y(0,\delta) \subset Tx + T(B_X(0,\varepsilon)) = T(x + B_X(0,\varepsilon)) \subset T(U).$$

Therefore, T(U) is open and T is an open map.

(4)  $\Longrightarrow$  (5). Since T is an open map,  $T(B_X(0,1))$  is open. Also, T(0)=0 so there exists r>0 such that  $B_Y(0,r)\subset T(B_X(0,1))$ . Now let  $y\in Y$ . Then,  $\frac{r}{2\|y\|}y\in B_Y(0,r)$  and there exists  $x\in B_X(0,1)$  such that  $Tx=\frac{r}{2\|y\|}y$ . It follows that

$$T\left(\frac{2\|y\|}{r}x\right) = y,$$

and since  $x \in B_X(0,1)$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{2\|y\|}{r} x \right\| = \frac{2\|y\| \|x\|}{r} < \frac{2}{r} \|y\|.$$

Letting  $C = \frac{2}{r}$  completes the proof.

(5)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1). Since for each  $y \in Y$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that Tx = y, T is surjective.

#### Linear homeomorphisms, norm equivalence, and closed graphs

**Theorem.** Let X and Y be Banach spaces and suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  is a bijection. Prove that  $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(Y,X)$ , and in particular T is a linear (and thus bi-Lipschitz) homeomorphism.

*Proof.* Since  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  is a bijection, T is a surjection. It follows that T is an open map. In particular, for any  $U \subset X$  open,  $T(U) = (T^{-1})^{-1}(U)$  is open. Therfore,  $T^{-1}$  is continuous and thus T is a linear homeomorphism.

**Theorem.** Let X be a vector space that is complete when equipped with both of the norms  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$ . Prove that if there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that  $\|x\|_2 \le C_1 \|x\|_1$  for all  $x \in X$ , then there exists a constant  $C_0 > 0$  such that  $C_0 \|x\|_1 \le \|x\|_2 \le C_1 \|x\|_1$  for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T: X_1 \to X_2$ , where  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are X equipped with norms  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$ , respectively, be the identity map. Then for any  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\|_1 = 1$ , we have

$$||Tx||_2 = ||x||_2 \le C_1 ||x||_1 = C_1.$$

Therefore,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2)$ . T is also surjective. Therefore, there exists a constant  $C \geq 0$  such that each  $||x||_1 \leq C ||x||_2$ . Hence, for each  $x \in X$ 

$$\frac{1}{C} \|x\|_1 \le \|x\|_2 \le C_1 \|x\|_1.$$

Letting  $C_0 = \frac{1}{C}$  completes the proof.

**Theorem.** Let X and Y be Banach spaces and let  $T: X \to Y$  be linear (just the algebraic condition). Prove that the following are equivalent

- 1. T is continuous, i.e.  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y)$ .
- 2. The graph of T,  $\Gamma(T) = \{(x, Tx) : x \in X\} \subset X \times Y$ , is closed in  $X \times Y$ , where  $X \times Y$  is endowed with any of the usual p-norms.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Longrightarrow$  (b). Let  $\{(x_n, Tx_n)\}$  be a convergent sequence in  $\Gamma(T)$ . Since X is Banach,  $x_n \to x$  for some  $x \in X$ . Since  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = T\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n\right) = Tx.$$

Therefore,  $(x_n, Tx_n) \to (x, Tx) \in \Gamma(T)$ , and thus  $\Gamma(T)$  is closed.

(b)  $\Longrightarrow$  (a). Let  $\pi_1: \Gamma(T) \to X$  and  $\pi_2: \Gamma(T) \to Y$  by  $\pi_1(x, Tx) = x$  and  $\pi_2(x, Tx) = Tx$ . Since  $\Gamma(T)$  is a closed in Banach space Y,  $\Gamma(T)$  is Banach space. It is clear that both  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are bounded linear maps. Moreover,  $\pi_1$  is a bijection. It follows that  $S = \pi_1^{-1}$  is a bounded linear map. Therefore,  $T = \pi_2 \circ S$  is a bounded linear map.

#### Linear injections with closed range

**Theorem.** Let X and Y be Banach spaces and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ . Prove the following are equivalent.

- 1. T is injective and range(T) is closed.
- 2.  $T: X \to \operatorname{range}(T)$  is a linear homeomorphism.
- 3. There exists  $C \ge 0$  such that  $||x||_X \le C ||Tx||_Y$  for all  $x \in X$ .

HINT: Prove that  $(1) \implies (2) \implies (3) \implies (1)$ .

- *Proof.* (1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (2). If T is injective and range(T) is closed, then  $\Gamma(T) = \{(x, Tx) : x \in X\}$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ . Therefore,  $T : X \to \text{range}(T)$  is a bounded linear map. Since T is injective, this map is actually bijective from X to range(T). Therefore, T is a linear homeomorphism.
- (2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (3). Since T is a bijective bounded linear map, from X to range(T). There exists a contant  $C \ge 0$  such that for each  $y \in \text{range}(T)$  there exists a unique  $x \in X$  such that Tx = y and  $||x|| \le C ||y|| = C ||Tx||$ . Since T is a bijection,  $||x|| \le C ||Tx||$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (3)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1). Let  $x \in X$  be such that Tx = 0. It follows that  $||x|| \le C ||Tx|| = 0$ . Therefore, x = 0 and T is injective. To show that range(T) is closed, consider a convergent sequence  $\{y_n\} \subset \text{range}(T)$  with  $y_n = Tx_n$ . Since for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$||x_n - x_m|| \le C ||T(x_n - x_m)|| = C ||y_n - y_m||,$$

 $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy. Since X is Banach,  $x_n \to x$  for some  $x \in X$ . Therefore, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$||y_n - Tx|| = ||T(x_n - x)|| \le ||T|| ||x_n - x||,$$

and  $y_n \to Tx$ . Hence, range(T) is closed and the proof is complete.

**Theorem.** Let X and Y be Banach spaces over a common field. Then, the following subsets of  $\mathcal{L}(X;Y)$  are open:

- 1.  $\{T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y) : T \text{ is surjective}\},\$
- 2.  $\{T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y) : T \text{ is injective with closed range}\},\$
- 3.  $\mathcal{H}(X;Y) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y) : T \text{ is a homeomorphism}\}.$

Proof. 1. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y)$  be surjective. By open mapping theorem, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_Y(0,\delta) \subset TB_X(0,1)$ . By homogeneity we have  $B_Y(0,r) \subset TB_X(0,\alpha r)$  for all r > 0 where  $\alpha = \delta^{-1}$ . Now let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y)$  be such that  $||T - S|| < \beta < (2\alpha)^{-1}$ . Claim S is surjective.

Let  $y \in Y$ , inductively construct sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$ . First let  $y_0 = y$ . Then,  $\|y_0\| \in B(0,2\|y_0\|)$ . Select  $x_0 \in X$  be such that  $Tx_0 = y_0$  and  $\|x_0\| \le 2\alpha \|y_0\|$ . Suppose we have selected  $y_i$ ,  $x_i$  for  $0 \le i \le n$ . Set  $y_{n+1} = y_n - Sx_n$  and select  $x_{n+1}$  be such that  $Tx_{n+1} = y_{n+1}$  and  $\|x_{n+1}\| \le 2\alpha \|y_{n+1}\|$ . Then, we have

$$||y_{n+1}|| = ||Tx_n - Sx_n|| \le ||T - S|| \, ||x_n|| < 2\alpha\beta \, ||y_n||$$

and

$$||x_{n+1}|| = 2\alpha ||y_{n+1}|| \le 2\alpha ||T - S|| ||x_n|| < 2\alpha\beta ||x_n||.$$

Note that  $2\alpha\beta < 1$  and X is Banach, define

$$x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N} x_n.$$

Also note that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = 0$ . It follows that

$$Sx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Sx_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (y_n - y_{n+1}) = y_0 - \lim_{n \to \infty} y_{n+1} = y.$$

Therefore S is surjective and the set of surjective bounded linear maps are open.

2. Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y)$  is injective with closed range. Then, closed range theorem gives C > 0 such that  $||x|| \leq C ||Tx||$  for all  $x \in X$ . Now supose  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y)$  is such that  $||T - S|| < (2C)^{-1}$ . Claim that S is also injective with closed range. Indeed,

$$||x|| \le C ||Tx|| \le C ||Sx|| + C ||(T - S)x||$$
  
  $\le C ||Sx|| + \frac{1}{2} ||x||.$ 

This shows that  $||x|| \le 2C ||Sx||$  for all  $x \in X$ . By closed range theorem, S is injective with closed range. This implies that the set of injective bounded linear operator with closed range is open.

3. This directly follows from

$$\mathcal{H}(X;Y) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y) : T \text{ is surjective}\} \cap \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y) : T \text{ is injective with closed range}\}.$$

**Theorem.** Let X and Y be Banach spaces over a common field. Then the following holds.

1. The sets

$$\mathcal{L}_R(X;Y) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y) : \text{there exists } S \in \mathcal{L}(Y;X) \text{ such that } ST = I_X\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_L(X;Y) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y) : \text{there exists } S \in \mathcal{L}(Y;X) \text{ such that } TS = I_Y\}$$

are open.

2. The following inclusion holds:

$$\mathcal{L}_L(X;Y) \subset \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y) : T \text{ is surjective}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_R(X;Y) \subset \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y) : T \text{ is injective with closed range}\}.$$

3. The sets  $\mathcal{L}_L(X;Y) \setminus \mathcal{L}_R(X;Y)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_R(X;Y) \setminus \mathcal{L}_L(X;Y)$  are open.

Proof. 1. Let  $T_0 \in \mathcal{L}_R$  and  $S_0 \in \mathcal{L}(Y;X)$  be such that  $T_0S_0 = I_Y$ . Note that  $I_X \in \mathcal{H}(X)$  and when  $\|P\| < 1$  for  $P \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , we have  $I_X + P \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ . Suppose now  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y)$  and  $\|T\| < \|S_0\|^{-1}$ . It follows that  $I_X + S_0T \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ . For such T, we then have

$$T_0 + T = T_0(I_X + S_0T).$$

Also,

$$(T_0 + T)(I_X + S_0 T)^{-1} S_0 = T_0 (I_X + S_0 T)(I_X + S_0 T)^{-1} S_0 = T_0 S_0 = I_Y.$$

Therefore,  $T_0 + T \in \mathcal{L}_R$  for  $T \in B(T_0, ||S_0||^{-1})$  and  $\mathcal{L}_R$  is open.

Now let  $T_0 \in \mathcal{L}_L$  and  $S_0 \in \mathcal{L}(Y;X)$  be such that  $S_0T_0 = I_X$ . Again, for  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y)$  with  $||T|| < ||S_0||^{-1}$ , we have

$$T_0 + T = (I_X + TS_0)T_0.$$

and

$$S_0(I_X + TS_0)^{-1}(T_0 + T) = I_X.$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{L}_R$  is also open.

2. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}_R$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(Y;X)$  be such that  $TS = I_Y$ . Then for any  $y \in Y$  let x = Sy. It follows that Tx = TSy = y. Also,  $||x|| \le ||S|| \, ||y||$  so the 4th item in open mapping theorem guarantees that T is surjective. Hence,  $\mathcal{L}_L \subset \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y) : T \text{ is surjective}\}$ .

Now let  $T \in \mathcal{L}_L$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(Y; X)$  such that  $ST = I_X$ . Now for any  $x \in X$ , we have  $||x|| = ||STx|| \le ||S|| ||Tx||$ . Then the closed range theorem guarantees that T is injective with closed range. Hence,  $\mathcal{L}_R \subset \{T \in \mathcal{L}_R(X; Y) : T \text{ is injective with closed range}\}.$ 

3. \*\*\*TO-DO\*\*\*

# 2 Practice problems

#### Problem 1

Suppose  $\omega:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty]$  any function such that  $\omega(x)=0$  if and only if  $x=0,\,\omega$  continuous at 0, and  $\omega$  is nondecreasing. For  $f:X\to Z$  define

$$[f]_{\omega} = \sup \left\{ \frac{d(f(x), f(y))}{\omega(d(x, y))} : x, y \in X, x \neq y \right\}$$

and the space

$$C^{0,\omega}(X;Z) = \{f: X \to Z \mid [f]_{\omega} < \infty\}.$$

1. Prove that  $C^{0,\omega}(X;Z) \subset C^0(X;Z)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . It follows that for any  $x \neq y$  we have

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \le [f]_{\omega}\omega(d(x, y)).$$

Since  $\omega(0) = 0$ ,  $\omega$  continuous at 0, and  $\omega$  is nondecreasing, we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $0 \le t < \delta$  implies  $0 \le \omega(t) < \varepsilon$ . Therefore,  $d(x,y) < \delta$  implies  $d(f(x),f(y)) < \varepsilon[f]_{\omega}$ . Since  $[f]_{\omega} < \infty$ , f is continuous and  $C^{0,\omega}(X;Z) \subset C^0(X;Z)$ .

2. Suppose Z Banach. Show that  $||f||_{C^{0,\omega}} = ||f||_{C^0} + [f]_{\omega}$  is a norm on  $C_b^{0,\omega}(X;Z) = C_b^0(X;Z) \cap C^{0,\omega}(X;Z)$ , and that  $C_b^{0,\omega}(X;Z)$  is complete with respect to this norm.

*Proof.* It is easy to show that  $\|\cdot\|_{C^{0,\omega}}$  is indeed a norm on  $C_b^{0,\omega}(X;Z)$ . Now we show that  $C_b^{0,\omega}(X;Z)$  is complete with respect to this norm. Suppose  $\{f_n\}\subset C_b^{0,\omega}$  Cauchy. Then it is also Cauchy in  $C_b^0$ . Therefore there is  $f\in C_b^0$  such that  $f_n\to f$  under  $C^0$  norm. Remain to show  $[f-f_n]_\omega\to 0$ . Let  $x,y\in X$  and  $x\neq y$  and  $m,n\geq N$  implies  $[f_m-f_n]_\omega<\varepsilon$ . Then,

$$\frac{\|f_m(x) - f_m(y) - f_n(x) + f_n(y)\|_Z}{\omega(d(x,y))} < \varepsilon.$$

Take  $m \to \infty$  and take supremum of all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$  completes the proof.

- 3. Suppose that X is compact and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , show that  $B_{C^{0,\omega}(X;\mathbb{R}^{d})}[0,1] \subset C^{0}(X;\mathbb{R}^{d})$  is compact.
- 4. Suppose X compact and infinte, and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that  $B_{C^{0,\omega}}[0,1] \subset C^{0,\omega}$  is not compact. Conclude that id:  $(C^{0,\omega}, \|\cdot\|_{C^0}) \to (C^{0,\omega}, \|\cdot\|_{C^{0,\omega}})$  is not continuous. Also conclude that  $(C^{0,\omega}, \|\cdot\|_{C^0})$  is not complete.
- 5. Another way to see this last fact is to first prove  $C^{0,\omega}(X;\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a strict subset of  $C^0(X;\mathbb{R}^d)$ . It is helpful to study the sets  $E_n = \{f \in C^0(X;\mathbb{R}^d) : [f]_{\omega} \leq n\}$ . Show that  $C^{0,\omega}(X;\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $C^0(X;\mathbb{R})$ . Use this to show that  $C^{0,\omega}(X;\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in  $C^0(X;\mathbb{R})$ , and conclude  $(C^{0,\omega}(X;\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{C^0})$  is not complete.

## 3 Measure and Integration

**Theorem.** Let (X, d) be metric space with  $(\mathcal{E}, \gamma)$  such that all sets in  $\mathcal{E}$  are open. Assume that  $mu^*$  is a metric outer measure on X such that either

- 1.  $\mu^*$  is generated by  $(\mathcal{E}, \gamma)$ , or
- 2.  $\mu^* = \mu_d^*$  is generated by  $(\mathcal{E}_{\delta}, \gamma_{\delta})$ .

Further suppose that  $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$  where  $A_n \subset X$  is such that  $\mu^*(A_n) < \infty$ . Then the following holds:

- 1. The gauge covers X in case 1 and is fine in case 2.
- 2. In both cases,  $\mu^*$  is cover-regular. More precisely, for each  $A \subset X$ , there is  $G \in G_{\delta}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(X) \subset \mathfrak{M}$  such that  $A \subset G$  and  $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(G)$ .
- 3. In both cases, the following are equivalent for  $E \subset X$ :
  - (a)  $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ , i.e. E is measurable.
  - (b) there exists  $G \in G_{\delta}(X)$  such that  $E \subset G$  and  $\mu^*(G \setminus E) = 0$ .
  - (c) there exists  $F \in F_{\sigma}$  such that  $F \subset E$  and  $\mu^*(E \setminus F) = 0$ .

Proof. Step 0. We know  $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$  for some  $\mu^*(A_n) < \infty$ . For case (1), we can pick  $\{E_{n,m}\} \subset \mathcal{E}$  such that  $A_n \subset \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} E_{n,m}$ . Then  $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n,m} E_{n,m}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{E}$  covers X. For case (2), note that  $\mu^*(A_n) < \infty$  and  $\mu_d^*(A_n) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \mu_{\delta}^*(A_n)$  for each  $\delta > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for each  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\{E_{n,m}\} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\delta}$  such that  $A_n \subset \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} E_{n,m}$ . Then,  $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n,m} E_{n,m}$ . Therefore,  $(\mathcal{E}, \gamma)$  is fine.

We have the following observations:

- 1.  $\mu^*$  is a metric outer measure. This miplies that  $\mathcal{B}(X) \subset \mathfrak{M}$ .
- 2.  $G_{\delta}(X) \cup F_{\sigma}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(X) \subset \mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mu^*(A) = 0$  implies  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ .
- 3. By previous lemma and all sets in  $\mathcal{E}$  are open, we know for each  $A \subset X$  there is  $E \in G_{\delta}(X)$  such that  $A \subset E$  and  $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(E)$ . In particular,  $\mu^*$  is cover regualr.

Step 1: start on (3).

For (b)  $\Longrightarrow$  (a), suppose (b) holds for  $E \subset X$ . Then  $E = G \setminus (G \setminus E) \in \mathfrak{M}$  since  $\mu^*(G \setminus E) = 0$ .

For (c)  $\implies$  (a), suppose (c) holds for  $E \subset X$ . Then  $E = F \cup (E \setminus F) \in \mathfrak{M}$  since  $\mu^*(E \setminus F) = 0$ .