# Introduction to Functional Analysis

Notes taken by Runqiu Ye Carnegie Mellon University

Spring 2025

# Contents

1	Banach space theory	3
	1.1 Quotient spaces, Baire category and uniform boundedness	3
<b>2</b>	Hilbert space theory	4
	2.1 Basic Hilbert space theory	4

### 1 Banach space theory

#### 1.1 Quotient spaces, Baire category and uniform boundedness

**Theorem.** Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a **seminorm** on a vector space V. If we define  $E = \{v \in V : \|v\| = 0\}$ , then E is a subspace of V, and the function on V/E defined by

$$||v + E|| = ||v||$$

for any  $v + E \in V/E$  defines a **norm**.

**Theorem** (Baire Category Theorem). Let M be a complete metric space, and let  $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a collection of closed subsets of M such that  $M = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n$ . Then at least one of the  $C_n$  contains an open ball  $B(x,r) = \{y \in M : d(x,y) < r\}$ .

**Theorem** (Uniform Boundedness Theorem). Let B be Banach space and V a normed vector space. Let  $\{T_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{B}(B,V)$ . Then if for all  $b \in B$  we have  $\sup_n \|T_n b\| < \infty$  (that is, this sequence is pointwise bounded), then  $\sup_n \|T_n\| < \infty$  (the operator norms are bounded).

*Proof.* For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$C_k = \left\{ b \in B : ||b|| \le 1, \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||T_n b|| \le k \right\}.$$

This set is closed for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , but by assumption, we have

$$\{b \in B : ||b|| \le 1\} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k.$$

The left hand side is a closed subset of B, and is thus a complete metric space. By Baire Category Theorem, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $C_k$  contains an open ball  $B(b_0, \delta_0)$ . Then, if  $b \in B(0, \delta_0)$ , we have  $b_0 + b \in B(b_0, \delta_0)$  and thus

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} ||T_n(b_0+b)|| \le k.$$

It follows that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||T_n b|| \le \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||T_n (b_0 + b)|| + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||T_n b_0|| \le 2k.$$

Suppose ||b|| = 1, then  $\frac{\delta_0}{2}b \in B(0, \delta_0)$  and thus for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\left\| T_n \left( \frac{\delta_0}{2} b \right) \right\| \le 2k.$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}||T_n||\leq \frac{4k}{\delta_0}.$$

## 2 Hilbert space theory

#### 2.1 Basic Hilbert space theory

**Definition** (Pre-Hilbert space). A **pre-Hilbert** space H is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  with a **Hermitian** inner product, which is a map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the following properties.

1. For all  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in C$  and  $v_1, v_2, w \in H$ , we have

$$\langle \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2, w \rangle = \lambda_1 \langle v_1, 2 \rangle + \lambda_2 \langle v_2, w \rangle.$$

- 2. For all  $v, w \in H$ , we have  $\langle v, w \rangle = \overline{\langle w, v \rangle}$ .
- 3. For all  $v \in H$ , we have  $\langle v, v \rangle \geq 0$ , with equality if and only if v = 0.

**Definition.** Let H be a pre-Hilbert space. For all  $v \in H$ , we define

$$||v|| = \langle v, v \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

**Theorem** (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality). Let H be a pre-Hilbert space. For all  $u, v \in H$ , we have

$$|\langle u, v \rangle| \le ||u|| \, ||v||.$$

*Proof.* Define  $f(t) = ||u + tv||^2$ . Notice that

$$f(t) = \langle u + tv, u + tv \rangle$$

$$= \langle u, u \rangle + t^2 \langle v, v \rangle + t \langle u, v \rangle + t \langle v, u \rangle$$

$$= ||u||^2 + t^2 ||v||^2 + 2t \operatorname{Re}(\langle u, v \rangle).$$

This implies that

$$0 \le f(t_{\min}) = ||u||^2 - \frac{\text{Re}(\langle u, v \rangle)^2}{||v||^2}.$$

It follows that

$$|\operatorname{Re}(\langle u, v \rangle)| \le ||u|| ||v||.$$

This is almost what we want. To finish up, first note that if  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$  then there is nothing to prove, so suppose  $\langle u, v \rangle \neq 0$ , and define

$$\lambda = \frac{\overline{\langle u, v \rangle}}{|\langle u, v \rangle|}.$$

Note that we have  $|\lambda| = 1$  and we have the chain of equalities of real numbers:

$$|\langle u, v \rangle| = \lambda \langle u, v \rangle = \langle \lambda u, v \rangle = \text{Re} \langle \lambda u, v \rangle \le ||\lambda u|| ||v||.$$

However,  $\|\lambda u\| = \|u\|$ , so the proof is complete.