

## Problem Set #1: Supervised Learning

### Problem 1 Linear Classifiers (Logistic Regression and GDA)

Consider two datasets provided in the following files:

- i. data/ds1\_{train,valid}.csv
- ii. data/ds2\_{train,valid}.csv

Each file contains  $m$  examples, one example per row. The  $i$ -th row contains columns  $x_0^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x_1^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y^{(i)} \in \{0, 1\}$ . Use logistic regression and GDA to perform binary classification.

(a) Average empirical loss for logistic regression:

$$J(\theta) = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \log(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})),$$

where  $y^{(i)} \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = g(\theta^T x)$  and  $g(z) = 1/(1 + e^{-z})$ .

The gradient of the function

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_j} = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (y^{(i)} - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) x_j^{(i)}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial \theta_k \partial \theta_j} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) (1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) x_k^{(i)} x_j^{(i)}.$$

Hence, The Hessian  $H$  of this function is

$$H = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) (1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) x^{(i)} (x^{(i)})^T.$$

Now, for any vector  $z$ , using Einstein's summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z^T H z &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) (1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) z_k x_k^{(i)} x_j^{(i)} z_j \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) (1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) (x^T z)^2 \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $H$  is PSD, and  $J$  is convex.

(b) **Coding problem.**

(c) To show that GDA results in a classifier that has a linear decision boundary, we want to show

$$p(y = 1 \mid x; \phi, \mu_0, \mu_1, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(\theta^T x + \theta_0))}$$

for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  as functions of  $\phi$ ,  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mu_0$ , and  $\mu_1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} p(y = 1 \mid x) &= \frac{p(x \mid y = 1)p(y = 1)}{p(x \mid y = 1)p(y = 1) + p(x \mid y = 0)p(y = 0)} \\ &= \frac{\phi \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_1))}{\phi \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_1)) + (1 - \phi) \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_0)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_0))} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1-\phi}{\phi} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_0)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_0) + \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu_1))} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1-\phi}{\phi} \exp(-((\mu_1 - \mu_0)^T \Sigma^{-1}x + \frac{1}{2}(\mu_0^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_0 - \mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1)))}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired form, where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \Sigma^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0), \\ \theta_0 &= \frac{1}{2}(\mu_0^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_0 - \mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1) - \log \frac{1 - \phi}{\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

(d) The log-likelihood of the data is

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\phi, \mu_0, \mu_1, \Sigma) &= \log \prod_{i=1}^m p(x^{(i)} \mid y^{(i)}; \mu_0, \mu_1, \Sigma) p(y^{(i)}; \phi) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\} \left( -\frac{1}{2}(x^{(i)} - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x^{(i)} - \mu_1) + \log \phi \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 0\} \left( -\frac{1}{2}(x^{(i)} - \mu_0)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x^{(i)} - \mu_0) + \log(1 - \phi) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{m}{2} \log |\Sigma| + C, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is some constant independent of the parameters.

Let  $\nabla_{\phi} \ell = 0$ , we have

$$\phi = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\}.$$

Let  $\nabla_{\mu_1} \ell = 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\} \Sigma^{-1} x^{(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\} \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1,$$

and thus

$$\mu_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\}x^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\}}, \quad \mu_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 0\}x^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 0\}}.$$

To derive  $\Sigma$ , recall that  $\nabla_A \log |A| = (A^{-1})^T$ , so we have

$$\nabla_{\Sigma^{-1}} \ell = -\frac{m}{2} \Sigma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (x^{(i)} - \mu_{y^{(i)}})(x^{(i)} - \mu_{y^{(i)}})^T.$$

Hence,

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (x^{(i)} - \mu_{y^{(i)}})(x^{(i)} - \mu_{y^{(i)}})^T.$$

We conclude that the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\}, \\ \mu_0 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 0\}x^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 0\}}, \\ \mu_1 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\}x^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{y^{(i)} = 1\}}, \\ \Sigma &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (x^{(i)} - \mu_{y^{(i)}})(x^{(i)} - \mu_{y^{(i)}})^T. \end{aligned}$$

(e) **Coding problem.**

(f) See jupyter notebook for plots.

(g) See jupyter notebook for plots. On Dataset 1 GDA perform worse than logistic regression. This might be the case because for Dataset 1, the distribution of features are not quite multivariate normal.

(h) \*\*\* TO-DO \*\*\*

■

**Problem 2 Incomplete, Positive-Only Labels**

Dataset without full access to labels. In particular, we have labels only for a subset of positive examples. All negative examples and the rest of positive examples are unlabeled. Assume dataset  $\{(x^{(i)}, t^{(i)}, y^{(i)})\}_{i=1}^m$  where  $t^{(i)} \in \{0, 1\}$  is true label and where

$$y^{(i)} = \begin{cases} 1 & x^{(i)} \text{ is labeled} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

All labeled examples are positive, which is to say  $p(t^{(i)} = 1 \mid y^{(i)} = 1) = 1$ . Goal is to construct a binary classifier  $h$  of true label  $t$  which only access to partial labels  $y$ . That is, construct  $h$  such that  $h(x^{(i)}) \approx p(t^{(i)} = 1 \mid x^{(i)})$  as closely as possible, using only  $x$  and  $y$ .

- (a) Suppose each  $y^{(i)}$  and  $x^{(i)}$  conditionally independent given  $t^{(i)}$ :

$$p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid t^{(i)} = 1, x^{(i)}) = p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid t^{(i)} = 1).$$

That is, labeled examples are selected uniformly at random from positive examples.

Want to show  $p(t^{(i)} = 1 \mid x^{(i)}) = p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid x^{(i)})/\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . As  $p(\cdot \mid x^{(i)})$  is a conditional measure, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid x^{(i)}) &= p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid t^{(i)} = 1, x^{(i)})p(t^{(i)} = 1 \mid x^{(i)}) \\ &\quad + p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid t^{(i)} = 0, x^{(i)})p(t^{(i)} = 0 \mid x^{(i)}) \\ &= p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid t^{(i)} = 1, x^{(i)})p(t^{(i)} = 1 \mid x^{(i)}) \\ &= p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid t^{(i)} = 1)p(t^{(i)} = 1 \mid x^{(i)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $p(t^{(i)} = 1 \mid x^{(i)}) = p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid x^{(i)})/\alpha$  where  $\alpha = p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid t^{(i)} = 1)$ .

- (b) Estimate  $\alpha$  using a trained classifier  $h$  and a held-out validation set  $V$ . Let  $V_+ = \{x^{(i)} \in V \mid y^{(i)} = 1\}$ . Assuming  $h(x^{(i)}) \approx p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid x^{(i)})$  for all  $x^{(i)}$ . Want to show

$$h(x^{(i)}) \approx \alpha \text{ for all } x^{(i)} \in V_+.$$

May assume that  $p(t^{(i)} = 1 \mid x^{(i)}) \approx 1$  when  $x^{(i)} \in V_+$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} h(x^{(i)}) &\approx p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid x^{(i)}) \\ &= p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid t^{(i)} = 1, x^{(i)})p(t^{(i)} = 1 \mid x^{(i)}) \\ &\approx \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) **Coding problem.**

- (d) **Coding problem.**

(e) **Coding problem.** Estimate the constant  $\alpha$  using validation set.

$$\alpha \approx \frac{1}{|V_+|} \sum_{x^{(i)} \in V_+} h(x^{(i)}).$$

To plot the decision boundary, we need to calculate the rescaled  $\theta$ , write  $\theta_*$ . The new decision boundary is given by  $\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{1+\exp(-\theta^T x)} = \frac{1}{2}$ . We have

$$\theta^T x + \log\left(\frac{2}{\alpha} - 1\right) = 0.$$

This is equivalent to  $\theta_*^T x = 0$ . This shows that  $\theta_*$  and  $\theta$  differs only in the 0-th index by a constant  $\log\left(\frac{2}{\alpha} - 1\right)$ . ■

**Problem 3 Poisson Regression**