# CMSC 23010 Homework 1 Design

Ruolin Zheng

April 3, 2019

### 1 Phase 1

The program will read in a text file representing an adjacency matrix and fill in the dist array. dist has 0's along the main diagonal; integer values in [-1000, 1000] everywhere else for connected vertices i, j; and 10000000 for disconnected ones. Run with ./shortest\_paths -f FILE for Serial; and ./shortest\_paths -t NUM\_THREADS -f FILE for Parallel.

**Precondition:** The text file should be correctly formatted. The input graph should not contain negative cycles (as specified by the Floyd-Warshall algorithm.) For the Parallel version, we may assume that the number of vertices is a multiple of the number of threads.

### 2 Phase 2

# 2.1 Serial Implementation

# 2.2 Parallel Implementation

The version illustrated below is very easy to implement, yet may incur an overhead as the main thread executes the k loop and spawns T threads for a total of N times.

#### Algorithm 2: Parallel

```
1 for k \leftarrow 1 to N do

// spawn T threads and assign a chunk of size N/T to each

for t \leftarrow 1 to T do

for i \leftarrow (t-1)N/T to tN/T do

for j \leftarrow 1 to N do

for j \leftarrow 1 to N do

if dist[i][j] > dist[i][k] + dist[k][j] then

dist[i][j] \leftarrow dist[i][k] + dist[k][j];
```

### 2.3 Design Questions

- Module: pthread.h library for parallelism; shortest\_paths.c contains all functions.
- Assignment of Tasks to Threads: The Parallel version fixes the intermediate node k and assigns N/T source nodes to each thread.
- **Invariant:** The invariant for the **Serial** version is in the outermost loop: for every i, j, dist[i][j] holds the minimum distance among the distances of all possible paths which use only the intermediate vertices up to k. **Parallel** relies on the same invariant.
- Data Structure: A 2D-array dist for both Serial and Parallel.
- Data and Synchronization: For Parallel, dist must be a shared variable among all threads. All threads must wait until all source nodes have been processed as in line 3-6 before returning to the main thread to increment k.

# 2.4 Correctness Testing

#### 2.4.1 Hypothesis

For both Serial and Parallel, the following invariant holds: for every i, j, dist[i][j] contains the minimum distance among the distances of all possible paths which use only the intermediate nodes up to k. The **Proof** follows from the fact that k is in the outermost loop; thus, all i, j pairs would have been computed (and the minimum recorded) for a fixed k before we increment k to k+1. In addition, we update dist[i][j] as soon as we identify a shorter path which uses only the intermediate nodes up to k.

#### 2.4.2 Testing Plan

We generate random test input files with test.py. We stress the invariant by testing on:

• A graph where all vertices are disconnected; we expect no update to any dist[i][j], all entries remain 10000000 (∞) save for the 0's along the main diagonal.

- (Test During Development Phase) An arbitrary graph; we check that for each iteration of k, the updated entries dist[i][j] must be smaller than that in iteration k-1.
- (Test After Development) A small, arbitrary graph; we compute with brute force  $O(N^N)$  all possible path costs for each i, j, take the min, and test against our program output.

For error handling, we note that **Floyd-Warshall** produces wrong output on a graph with negative cycles; hence we test:

• A graph with negative cycles; we should expect negative values instead of 0's along the diagonal of the output. This indicates invalid input as noted in **Precondition**.

For **Parallel**, we require the threads be synchronized such that all i's must have been processed before k is incremented.

### 2.5 Performance Testing

#### 2.5.1 Hypothesis

The time complexity of **Serial** is  $O(N^3)$ . For **Parallel**, we leave the outermost k loop unchanged and apply parallelism to the two inner loop. Suppose **Serial** runs the two loops in  $N^2$  amount of time, then **Parallel** requires  $N^2/T$  amount of time by distributing approximately N/T sources i's to each of its thread. Thus the time complexity of **Parallel** is  $O(N*N^2/T) = O(N^3/T)$ . The inner loop speedup by Amdahl's Law is  $S = \frac{1}{1-1+1/T} = T$ . Suppose N = 16, T = 2, then S = 2, meaning that **Parallel** is 2x faster than **Serial**. To conclude, the performance speedup of **Parallel** is proportional to T but may grow at a decreasing rate for large N.

#### 2.5.2 Testing Plan and Experiment Hypotheses

- Parallel Overhead: With T = 1, Parallel incurs a constant overhead cost of spawning a single thread for N times, and thus should be slower by an amount proportional to N than Serial for all N.
- Parallel Speedup: From the Hypothesis above, Parallel should be T factors faster than Serial for all N. However, it incurs a total overhead of spawning TN threads; thus, for larger N, Parallel's speedup may be less significant.

# 3 Phase 3

The program will write the updated dist array to a text file.