Daily Coding Problem #244

Problem

This problem was asked by Square.

The Sieve of Eratosthenes is an algorithm used to generate all prime numbers smaller than `N`. The method is to take increasingly larger prime numbers, and mark their multiples as composite.

For example, to find all primes less than `100`, we would first mark `[4, 6, 8, ...]` (multiples of two), then `[6, 9, 12, ...]` (multiples of three), and so on. Once we have done this for all primes less than `N`, the unmarked numbers that remain will be prime.

Implement this algorithm.

Bonus: Create a generator that produces primes indefinitely (that is, without taking `N` as an input).

Solution

Despite being very old, the Sieve of Eratosthenes is a fairly efficient method of finding primes. As described above, here is how it could be implemented:

```
def primes(n):
    is_prime = [False] * 2 + [True] * (n - 1)
```

```
    is_prime = [False] * 2 + [True] * (n - 1)

    for x in range(n):
        if is_prime[x]:
            for i in range(2 * x, n, x):
                is_prime[i] = False

    for i in range(n):
        if is_prime[i]:
            yield i
```

There are a few ways we can improve this. First, note that for any prime number `p`, the first useful multiple to check is actually p^2, not `2 * p`! This is because all numbers `2 * p`, `3 * p`, ..., `i * p` where `i < p` will already have been marked when iterating over the multiples of 2, 3, ..., `i` respectively.

As a consequence of this we can make another optimization: since we only care about p^2 and above, there is no need for `x` to range all the way up to `N`: we can stop at the square root of `N` instead.

Taken together, these improvements would look like this:

```
def primes(n):
    is_prime = [False] * 2 + [True] * (n - 1)

    for x in range(int(n ** 0.5)):
        if is_prime[x]:
            for i in range(x ** 2, n, x):
                is_prime[i] = False

    for i in range(n):
        if is_prime[i]:
            yield i
```

Finally, to generate primes without limit we need to rethink our data structure, as we can no longer store a boolean list to represent each number. Instead, we must keep track of the lowest unmarked multiple of each prime, so that when evaluating a new number we can check if it is such a multiple, and mark it as composite. This is a good candidate for a heap-based solution.

Here is how we could implement this. We start a counter at `2` and incrementally move up through the integers. The first time we come across a prime number `p`, we add it to a min-heap with priority p^2 (using the optimization noted above), and `yield` it. Whenever we come across an integer equal with this priority, we pop the corresponding key and reinsert it with a new priority equal to the next multiple of `p`.

For integers between `2` and `10`, we would perform the following actions:

```
2: push [4, 2], yield 2
3: push [9, 3], yield 3
4: pop [4, 2], push [6, 2]
5: push [25, 5], yield 5
6: pop [6, 2], push [8, 2]
7: push [49, 7], yield 7
8: pop [8, 2], push [10, 2]
9: pop[9, 3], push [12, 3]
```

An important thing to note is that at any given time the next composite number will be first in the heap, so it suffices to check and update only the highest-priority element.

```
import heapq


def primes():
    composite = []
    i = 2

    while True:
        if composite and i == composite[0][0]:
            while composite[0][0] == i:
                multiple, p = heapq.heappop(composite)
                heapq.heappush(composite, [multiple + p, p])

        else:
            heapq.heappush(composite, [i*i, i])
            yield i

        i += 1
```

The time complexity is the same as above, as we are implementing the same algorithm.

However, at the point when our algorithm considers an integer `N`, we will already have popped all the composite numbers less than `N` from the heap, leaving only multiples of the prime ones. Since there are approximately `N / log N` primes up to `N`, the space complexity has been reduced to `O(N / log N)`.
