# Q1 (6%) Top-k Query

A real estate database contains information about 10 apartments available for sale, characterized by their ratings (a<sub>1</sub>, out of 10) and prices (a<sub>2</sub>, in thousands of dollars), as listed in the table below.

The database employs the following aggregation function (f):

$$f=0.7 imes a_1+0.3 imes a_2$$

to rank these apartments, where higher scores indicate better options.

### **Apartment Data Table**

Apartment	Rating (a <sub>1</sub> )	Price (a <sub>2</sub> )
Α	10	5
В	1	9
С	3	3
D	7	8
Е	9	6
F	6	1
G	2	2
Н	5	7
1	4	10
J	8	4

# **Questions**

- a) Prepare two lists of apartments, sorted in descending order of a<sub>1</sub> and a<sub>2</sub>, respectively.
- b) Apply the Threshold Algorithm (TA) to determine the two best apartments according to the aggregation function f. Provide a step-by-step explanation of your process.
- c) Apply the No Random Access (NRA) Algorithm to determine the two best apartments according to the aggregation function f. Provide a step-by-step explanation of your process.
- d) Compare the TA and NRA solutions in terms of the number of iterations required. Which algorithm is more efficient in this case, and why?

# Solution

#### a)

Rank	By Rating (a <sub>1</sub> )	Rating	By Price (a <sub>2</sub> )	Price
1	A	10	1	10
2	Е	9	В	9
3	J	8	D	8
4	D	7	Н	7
5	F	6	Е	6
6	Н	5	А	5
7	I	4	J	4
8	С	3	С	3
9	G	2	G	2
10	В	1	F	1

### b) TA

Step	Accessed Items	f-score Calculations	Current Top-2	Threshold Calculation	Stop Condition
1	A (a <sub>1</sub> =10), I (a <sub>2</sub> =10)	f(A)=0.7×10+0.3×5=8.5 f(I)=0.7×4+0.3×10=5.8	A(8.5), I(5.8)	T=min(0.7×10+0.3×10, 0.7×10+0.3×10)=10	10 > 5.8 → Continue
2	E (a1=9), B (a2=9)	f(E)=0.7×9+0.3×6=8.1 f(B)=0.7×1+0.3×9=3.4	A(8.5), E(8.1)	T=min(0.7×9+0.3×9, 0.7×10+0.3×9)=9	9 > 8.1 → Continue
3	J (a1=8), D (a2=8)	f(J)=0.7×8+0.3×4=6.8 f(D)=0.7×7+0.3×8=7.3	A(8.5), E(8.1)	T=min(0.7×8+0.3×8, 0.7×10+0.3×8)=8	8 > 8.1 → Continue
4	D (a1=7), H (a2=7)	f(D)=7.3 (already calculated) f(H)=0.7×5+0.3×7=5.6	A(8.5), E(8.1)	T=min(0.7×7+0.3×7, 0.7×10+0.3×7)=7	7 ≤ 8.1 → <b>STOP</b>

**Final top-2:** A (8.5), E (8.1)

### c) NRA

- 1. Initialize:
  - o Seen: {}
  - o Top-2: []
  - For each item, maintain lower and upper bounds
- 2. Access first items from both lists (A from  $a_1$ , I from  $a_2$ )
  - A: lower = upper = 8.5

- I: lower = upper = 5.8
- o Top-2: [A, I]
- 3. Next items (E from a<sub>1</sub>, B from a<sub>2</sub>)
  - E: lower = upper = 8.1
  - B: lower = upper = 3.4
  - o Top-2: [A, E]
- 4. Next items (J from a<sub>1</sub>, D from a<sub>2</sub>)
  - $\circ$  J: lower = 0.7×8 + 0.3×1 = 5.9, upper = 0.7×8 + 0.3×10 = 8.6
  - D: lower =  $0.7 \times 1 + 0.3 \times 8 = 3.1$ , upper =  $0.7 \times 10 + 0.3 \times 8 = 9.4$
  - Check if any items can be discarded:
    - Worst score in top-2: 8.1
    - B (3.4), C, F, G, H cannot surpass 8.1
  - o Top-2 remains [A, E]
- 5. Termination when top-2 are confirmed:
  - A and E have exact scores
  - No other items can surpass them

**Final top-2:** A (8.5), E (8.1)

#### d) Comparison

Algorithm	Iterations	Random Access	Efficient?
TA	3	Yes	More efficient here
NRA	3	No	Less efficient for few candidates

**TA is more efficient** in this case because the number of required accesses is low and random access is allowed, leading to earlier convergence.

# Q2 (4%) Big Text Data

Suppose that a corpus with a dictionary of words  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$  contains 3 documents, and the term frequencies (in brackets) for these documents are shown below.

# **Document Term Frequencies**

Doc ID	Terms (frequency)
1	α(3), β(0), γ(2), δ(0)
2	α(1), β(0), γ(1), δ(0)
3	α(0), β(0), γ(1), δ(2)

# **Questions**

- a) Derive the tf-idf vectors for the three documents, based on the formulas discussed in the lecture notes (P. 26).
- b) Consider the following string X, which is formed by concatenating terms  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ , i.e.,

$$X = \gamma \alpha \beta \gamma$$

Suppose that X is used to query the documents above. Which of these documents should be ranked first, using the similarity function shown in P.22 of the lecture notes?

#### **Solution**

a)

$$ext{IDF}(t) = \ln\left(rac{N}{df_t + 1}
ight) + 1$$

- $df(\alpha) = 2 \rightarrow idf(\alpha) = \ln(3/(2+1)) + 1 = \ln(1) + 1 = 0 + 1 = 1$
- $df(\beta) = 0 \rightarrow idf(\beta) = \ln(3/(0+1)) + 1 = \ln(3) + 1 \approx 1.099 + 1 \approx 2.099$
- $df(\gamma) = 3 \rightarrow idf(\gamma) = \ln(3/(3+1)) + 1 = \ln(0.75) + 1 \approx -0.288 + 1 \approx 0.712$
- $df(\delta) = 1 \rightarrow idf(\delta) = \ln(3/(1+1)) + 1 = \ln(1.5) + 1 \approx 0.405 + 1 \approx 1.405$

#### **TF-IDF vectors:**

• Doc 1:

$$\alpha$$
: 3 × 1 = 3

$$\beta$$
:  $0 \times 2.099 = 0$ 

$$y: 2 \times 0.712 = 1.424$$

$$\delta$$
: 0 × 1.405 = 0

$$\rightarrow$$
 [3, 0, 1.424, 0]

• Doc 2:

$$\alpha$$
: 1 × 1 = 1

$$\beta$$
: 0 × 2.099 = 0

$$\gamma$$
: 1 × 0.712 = 0.712

$$\delta$$
: 0 × 1.405 = 0

$$\rightarrow$$
 [1, 0, 0.712, 0]

• Doc 3:

$$\alpha$$
: 0 × 1 = 0

$$\beta$$
: 0 × 2.099 = 0

$$y: 1 \times 0.712 = 0.712$$

$$\delta$$
: 2 × 1.405 = 2.81

$$\rightarrow$$
 [0, 0, 0.712, 2.81]

b)

To determine which document should be ranked first using  $X = \gamma \alpha \beta \gamma$  as the query, I'll calculate the cosine similarity between the query vector and each document's tf-idf vector.

First, let me create the query vector for  $X = \gamma \alpha \beta \gamma$ :

•  $\alpha$  occurs once:  $tf(\alpha) = 1$ 

•  $\beta$  occurs once:  $tf(\beta) = 1$ 

• y occurs twice: tf(y) = 2

•  $\delta$  occurs zero times:  $tf(\delta) = 0$ 

Converting to tf-idf:

•  $\alpha$ : 1 × 1 = 1

•  $\beta$ : 1 × 2.099 = 2.099

•  $y: 2 \times 0.712 = 1.424$ 

•  $\delta$ :  $0 \times 1.405 = 0$ 

Query vector: [1, 2.099, 1.424, 0]

Now calculating cosine similarity with each document: Now calculating cosine similarity with each document:

• **Doc 1** [3, 0, 1.424, 0]:

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(q, d1) &= \frac{1 \times 3 + 2.099 \times 0 + 1.424 \times 1.424 + 0 \times 0}{\sqrt{(1^2 + 2.099^2 + 1.424^2 + 0^2)} \times \sqrt{(3^2 + 0^2 + 1.424^2 + 0^2)}} \\ &= \frac{3 + 0 + 2.028}{\sqrt{7.434} \times \sqrt{11.028}} \\ &= \frac{5.028}{2.727 \times 3.321} \\ &= \frac{5.028}{9.056} \\ &= 0.555 \end{aligned}$$

• **Doc 2** [1, 0, 0.712, 0]:

$$\cos(q,d2) = rac{1 imes 1 + 2.099 imes 0 + 1.424 imes 0.712 + 0 imes 0}{\sqrt{(1^2 + 2.099^2 + 1.424^2 + 0^2) imes \sqrt{(1^2 + 0^2 + 0.712^2 + 0^2)}}} = rac{1 + 0 + 1.014}{\sqrt{7.434} imes \sqrt{1.507}} = rac{2.014}{2.727 imes 1.228} = rac{2.014}{3.349} = 0.601$$

• **Doc 3** [0, 0, 0.712, 2.81]:

$$\begin{array}{l} \cos(q,d3) = \frac{1\times 0 + 2.099\times 0 + 1.424\times 0.712 + 0\times 2.81}{\sqrt{(1^2 + 2.099^2 + 1.424^2 + 0^2)}\times\sqrt{(0^2 + 0^2 + 0.712^2 + 2.81^2)}} \\ = \frac{0 + 0 + 1.014 + 0}{\sqrt{7.434}\times\sqrt{8.403}} \\ = \frac{1.014}{2.727\times2.899} \\ = \frac{1.014}{7.906} \\ = 0.128 \end{array}$$

Since Doc 2 has the highest similarity score of 0.601, followed by Doc 1 with 0.555, and Doc 3 with 0.128, **Doc 2 should be ranked first**.