

Oppermann's Parity Law: Quadratic Residue Symmetry Breaking in Half-Intervals via the Negation Involution

Ruqing Chen

GUT Geoservice Inc., Montréal, Canada

ruqing@hotmail.com

Repository: <https://github.com/Ruqing1963/oppermann-parity-law>

February 2026

Abstract

We refine the Spin Asymmetry Theorem of [5] by analyzing the distribution of quadratic residues in the *left* and *right halves* of Legendre intervals, motivated by Oppermann's conjecture on primes in half-intervals. For $n \geq 2$ with $p = 2n - 1$ prime, the interior of $\mathcal{I}_n = [(n-1)^2, n^2]$ splits at the midpoint $n(n-1)$ into a left half \mathcal{L}_n and a right half \mathcal{R}_n , each containing $n-1$ integers. We prove that when n is *even* (equivalently $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$), the right half \mathcal{R}_n has *exactly zero* quadratic residue skewness modulo p : the number of QR classes equals the number of NR classes. The proof uses the negation involution $x \mapsto p-x$ on $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$, which swaps QR \leftrightarrow NR when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, combined with the fact that \mathcal{R}_n is closed under this involution. Consequently, the global skewness $N^- - N^+ = 1$ from [5] is carried *entirely* by the left half. The theorem is verified computationally for all 87 qualifying even $n \leq 500$. We also prove a complementary result for odd n ($p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$): the right half skewness is always even and generically nonzero.

1 Introduction

1.1 Oppermann's conjecture

Oppermann's conjecture (1882) strengthens Legendre's conjecture by asserting that for every $n \geq 2$, there exists a prime in *each* of the two half-intervals

$$(n^2 - n, n^2) \quad \text{and} \quad (n^2, n^2 + n).$$

Equivalently, using our indexing of the Legendre interval $\mathcal{I}_n = [(n-1)^2, n^2]$, the conjecture demands primes on *both* sides of the midpoint $n(n-1)$.

In the companion paper [5], we proved the Spin Asymmetry Theorem: the interior of \mathcal{I}_n (when $p = 2n - 1$ is prime) has exactly one more quadratic non-residue than quadratic residue modulo p . This global asymmetry was left undissected—it was not known how the skewness distributes between the two halves.

1.2 Main result: the Parity Law

We prove (Theorem 4.4) that for even n , the global skewness is distributed in the most extreme possible way: the right half carries *zero* skewness, and the left half carries *all* of the asymmetry.

The proof reveals a clean algebraic mechanism: the negation involution $\iota : x \mapsto p - x$ on $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ swaps QR \leftrightarrow NR precisely when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ (i.e., when n is even), and the residue classes of \mathcal{R}_n are closed under ι . This forces perfect QR/NR pairing in \mathcal{R}_n .

1.3 Context within the Titan Project

This paper continues the algebraic programme initiated in [5], building on the conductor rigidity framework of [1, 2, 3] and the function field resolution in [4].

2 Setup and Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 (Half-intervals). For $n \geq 2$, the *left half* and *right half* of the Legendre interval $\mathcal{I}_n = [(n-1)^2, n^2]$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_n &= \{(n-1)^2 + 1, \dots, n(n-1)\}, \\ \mathcal{R}_n &= \{n(n-1) + 1, \dots, n^2 - 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

Each half has cardinality $n-1$. The full interior is $\mathcal{I}_n^\circ = \mathcal{L}_n \cup \mathcal{R}_n$.

Definition 2.2 (Local skewness). For a set S of integers and an odd prime p , define

$$\text{Skew}_p(S) = \#\{x \in S : \left(\frac{x}{p}\right) = -1\} - \#\{x \in S : \left(\frac{x}{p}\right) = +1\}.$$

From [5], we know $\text{Skew}_p(\mathcal{I}_n^\circ) = 1$ whenever $p = 2n-1$ is prime. By additivity:

$$\text{Skew}_p(\mathcal{L}_n) + \text{Skew}_p(\mathcal{R}_n) = 1. \tag{1}$$

3 The Anchor Position

By [5, Lemma 2.4], the interior \mathcal{I}_n° contains a unique multiple of p (the *anchor*, with Legendre symbol 0). Its position determines which half inherits the anchor.

Lemma 3.1 (Anchor offset). *Let $n \geq 2$ with $p = 2n-1$ prime. The unique multiple of p in \mathcal{I}_n° is located at offset*

$$\delta = \begin{cases} \frac{3n-2}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even (i.e., } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}), \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd (i.e., } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}), \end{cases}$$

from the left endpoint $(n-1)^2$. In particular:

- (i) If n is even, then $\delta \geq n$, so the anchor lies in \mathcal{R}_n .
- (ii) If n is odd, then $\delta < n$, so the anchor lies in \mathcal{L}_n .

Proof. The anchor m satisfies $m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $(n-1)^2 < m < n^2$. Its offset from $(n-1)^2$ is $\delta = m - (n-1)^2 \equiv -(n-1)^2 \equiv -n^2 \pmod{p}$ (using $(n-1)^2 \equiv n^2 \pmod{p}$ from [5]).

From $4n^2 = (2n-1)(2n+1) + 1$, we get $4n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, so $n^2 \equiv 4^{-1} \pmod{p}$.

Case n even ($p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$): $4^{-1} \equiv (p+1)/4 \pmod{p}$ since $4 \cdot (p+1)/4 = p+1 \equiv 1$. Thus $\delta \equiv -\frac{p+1}{4} \equiv \frac{3p-1}{4} = \frac{3(2n-1)-1}{4} = \frac{3n-2}{2} \pmod{p}$. Since $n \leq (3n-2)/2 \leq 2n-2$ for $n \geq 2$, δ falls in the right half.

Case n odd ($p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$): $4^{-1} \equiv (3p+1)/4 \pmod{p}$ since $4 \cdot (3p+1)/4 = 3p+1 \equiv 1$. Thus $\delta \equiv -\frac{3p+1}{4} \equiv \frac{p-1}{4} = \frac{n-1}{2} \pmod{p}$. Since $1 \leq (n-1)/2 \leq n-1$ for $n \geq 3$, δ falls in the left half. \square

4 The Negation Involution and the Parity Law

4.1 The involution

Definition 4.1. The *negation involution* on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is the map $\iota(x) = p - x \pmod{p}$. On the nonzero elements, ι is a fixed-point-free involution (since p is odd, $\iota(x) = x$ implies $2x \equiv 0$, hence $x \equiv 0$).

Lemma 4.2 (Spin-flip lemma). *For $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$:*

$$\left(\frac{p-a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ +\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. $\left(\frac{p-a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$. By the classical evaluation, $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2}$, which is -1 when $p \equiv 3$ and $+1$ when $p \equiv 1$. \square

4.2 Involution closure of the right half

Lemma 4.3. *Let $n \geq 2$ with $p = 2n - 1$ prime. Let S_R denote the set of residues modulo p of elements of \mathcal{R}_n , and let $S_R^* = S_R \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\iota(S_R^*) = S_R^*$; that is, S_R^* is closed under the negation involution.*

Proof. The elements of \mathcal{R}_n have offsets $n, n+1, \dots, 2n-2$ from $(n-1)^2$. Since $(n-1)^2 \equiv r \pmod{p}$ (where $r = n^2 \pmod{p}$), the residues of \mathcal{R}_n are $\{r+n, r+n+1, \dots, r+2n-2\} \pmod{p}$, a set of $n-1$ consecutive residue classes.

For $a = r+j$ with $n \leq j \leq 2n-2$, we have $\iota(a) = p - r - j$. From the proof of Lemma 3.1: $r \equiv n^2 \equiv 4^{-1} \pmod{p}$, so $p - 2r \equiv p - 2 \cdot 4^{-1} \equiv p - (p+1)/2 \equiv (p-1)/2 = n-1 \pmod{p}$ (when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$; the $p \equiv 1$ case is similar).

Thus $\iota(a) = (n-1)-j+r \pmod{p}$, and we need to check that $(n-1)-j$ maps $\{n, \dots, 2n-2\}$ to itself modulo p . Indeed, as j ranges from n to $2n-2$, $(n-1)-j$ ranges from -1 to $-(n-1)$, which modulo $p = 2n-1$ is $2n-2$ down to n . This is exactly the same set.

The argument is identical for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (using the corresponding value of 4^{-1}). \square

4.3 The main theorem

Theorem 4.4 (Oppermann Parity Law). *Let $n \geq 2$ be an even integer with $p = 2n - 1$ prime. Then:*

- (i) *The right half \mathcal{R}_n contains $(n-2)/2$ quadratic residues, $(n-2)/2$ quadratic non-residues, and 1 multiple of p :*

$$\text{Skew}_p(\mathcal{R}_n) = 0.$$

- (ii) *The left half \mathcal{L}_n contains $(n-2)/2$ quadratic residues and $n/2$ quadratic non-residues:*

$$\text{Skew}_p(\mathcal{L}_n) = 1.$$

- (iii) *The global skewness $N^- - N^+ = 1$ is carried entirely by the left half.*

Proof. Since n is even, $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ (Lemma 3.1).

(i) By Lemma 3.1(i), the anchor lies in \mathcal{R}_n . The remaining $n-2$ elements of \mathcal{R}_n have nonzero residues forming the set S_R^* . By Lemma 4.3, S_R^* is closed under ι . Since $n-2$ is even (as n is even), ι partitions S_R^* into $(n-2)/2$ orbits of size 2. By Lemma 4.2, each orbit $\{a, \iota(a)\}$ contains one QR and one NR (since $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$). Therefore $N_R^+ = N_R^- = (n-2)/2$ and $\text{Skew}_p(\mathcal{R}_n) = 0$.

(ii) Follows from $\text{Skew}_p(\mathcal{L}_n) = 1 - \text{Skew}_p(\mathcal{R}_n) = 1$ via (1).

(iii) Immediate from (i) and (ii). \square

4.4 The complementary case: odd n

Theorem 4.5. Let $n \geq 3$ be an odd integer with $p = 2n - 1$ prime. Then the right half skewness $\text{Skew}_p(\mathcal{R}_n)$ is always even (but generically nonzero).

Proof. When n is odd, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and the anchor lies in \mathcal{L}_n (Lemma 3.1(ii)). Thus \mathcal{R}_n has $n - 1$ elements, all with nonzero residues. By Lemma 4.3, S_R^* is still closed under ι , and since $n - 1$ is even, ι partitions S_R^* into $(n - 1)/2$ pairs. But now $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, so by Lemma 4.2, ι preserves the Legendre symbol: each pair $\{a, \iota(a)\}$ consists of two QRs or two NRs. Hence N_R^+ and N_R^- are both even, and $\text{Skew}_p(\mathcal{R}_n) = N_R^- - N_R^+$ is even. \square

Remark 4.6. The contrast between even and odd n is entirely controlled by the single arithmetic invariant $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)$:

n parity	$p \bmod 4$	$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)$	ι action on QR/NR
even	3	-1	swaps QR \leftrightarrow NR \Rightarrow pairing \Rightarrow skewness = 0
odd	1	+1	preserves QR, NR \Rightarrow no cross-pairing \Rightarrow skewness even

5 Computational Verification

5.1 Methodology

For each n with $p = 2n - 1$ prime, the scanner computes the Jacobi symbol $\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)$ for every integer x in each half-interval, tallies positive/negative/zero spins, and records the skewness $N^- - N^+$ for each half.

5.2 Results for even n

Table 1: Half-interval spin distribution for even n ($p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$). In every case, $\text{Skew}(\mathcal{R}_n) = 0$ and the anchor lies in \mathcal{R}_n .

n	p	N_L^+	N_L^-	N_L^0	Skew_L	N_R^+	N_R^-	Skew_R
2	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
4	7	1	2	0	1	1	1	0
6	11	2	3	0	1	2	2	0
10	19	4	5	0	1	4	4	0
12	23	5	6	0	1	5	5	0
16	31	7	8	0	1	7	7	0
22	43	10	11	0	1	10	10	0
100	199	49	50	0	1	49	49	0

Proposition 5.1. Theorem 4.4 has been verified computationally for all 87 even values of $n \leq 500$ with $2n - 1$ prime. In every case, $\text{Skew}_p(\mathcal{R}_n) = 0$ and $\text{Skew}_p(\mathcal{L}_n) = 1$.

5.3 Results for odd n

Table 2: Half-interval spin distribution for odd n ($p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$). The right half skewness is always even but varies. The anchor lies in \mathcal{L}_n .

n	p	N_L^+	N_L^-	N_L^0	Skew_L	N_R^+	N_R^-	Skew_R
3	5	1	0	1	-1	0	2	2
7	13	3	2	1	-1	2	4	2
9	17	5	2	1	-3	2	6	4
15	29	9	4	1	-5	4	10	6
21	41	13	6	1	-7	6	14	8

6 Structural Interpretation

6.1 The right half as an algebraically neutral zone

For even n , the right half \mathcal{R}_n is in a state of *perfect algebraic equilibrium*: its quadratic residue distribution is exactly symmetric. This is not a statistical accident; it is forced by the involution ι acting as a QR/NR swap on a closed domain.

The left half, by contrast, carries the full topological defect: it is the sole repository of the global skewness +1. This asymmetry between the halves echoes the asymmetry in Oppermann’s conjecture itself, which treats the left and right half-intervals as structurally distinct.

6.2 Obstruction interpretation

A hypothetical “prime vacuum” in \mathcal{R}_n (all elements composite) would require $n - 1$ consecutive composites maintaining *exact* QR/NR balance modulo p . While the Parity Law proves this balance is algebraically mandated (regardless of whether primes are present), the *multiplicative* realization of such a balanced configuration by composites alone faces additional constraints not captured by the residue structure.

We emphasize that the Parity Law does not by itself prove Oppermann’s conjecture. It establishes a structural framework—the algebraic neutrality of \mathcal{R}_n and the defect-carrying role of \mathcal{L}_n —within which the conjecture can be studied.

6.3 Limitations

The Parity Law applies only when $p = 2n - 1$ is prime, which restricts to roughly half of all n values. When p is composite, the Jacobi symbol replaces the Legendre symbol, the QR/NR counts are no longer simply $(p - 1)/2$ each, and the involution argument does not directly apply. Extending the framework to composite p is an open problem.

7 Discussion

7.1 Relation to character sums

The Parity Law can be restated as a character sum identity. For even n with $p = 2n - 1$ prime:

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_n} \left(\frac{x}{p} \right) = N_R^+ - N_R^- = 0.$$

This is a *partial character sum* over $n - 1$ consecutive integers. While partial character sums are typically bounded by $O(\sqrt{p} \log p)$ via the Pólya–Vinogradov inequality, here we obtain an *exact* evaluation of zero—a much stronger result, albeit for a specific sub-interval determined by the arithmetic of n .

7.2 Connection to Paper IX

The Spin Asymmetry Theorem of [5] established the global identity $N^- - N^+ = 1$. The present paper decomposes this identity into local contributions:

$$\underbrace{1}_{\text{left}} + \underbrace{0}_{\text{right}} = 1 \quad (\text{even } n), \quad \underbrace{1-R}_{\text{left}} + \underbrace{R}_{\text{right}} = 1 \quad (\text{odd } n, R \text{ even}).$$

The even case is maximally rigid; the odd case distributes the skewness in a manner that remains to be fully understood.

7.3 Open questions

- (1) *Odd n skewness.* For odd n , the right half skewness $\text{Skew}_p(\mathcal{R}_n)$ is always a positive even integer in our data. Is it always positive? Is there a closed-form expression in terms of class numbers or character sums?
- (2) *Sub-interval character sums.* Can the exact vanishing of the partial character sum over \mathcal{R}_n be derived from classical results on Gauss sums or Jacobi sums?
- (3) *Composite lengths.* When $p = 2n - 1$ is composite, can a modified involution argument yield analogous (approximate) parity constraints?
- (4) *Multiplicative refinement.* Among the $(n-2)/2$ QR–NR pairs in \mathcal{R}_n (for even n), how many pairs consist of a prime and a composite? This would connect the algebraic structure to the distribution of primes in the half-interval.

Data and code availability

Source code and the L^AT_EX manuscript are available at <https://github.com/Ruqing1963/oppermann-parity-1>. The companion Paper IX repository is at <https://github.com/Ruqing1963/legendre-spin-asymmetry>.

References

- [1] R. Chen, “Conductor incompressibility for Frey curves associated to prime gaps,” Zenodo, 2026. <https://zenodo.org/records/18682375>
- [2] R. Chen, “On Landau’s fourth problem: conductor rigidity and Sato–Tate equidistribution for the $n^2 + 1$ family,” Zenodo, 2026. <https://zenodo.org/records/18683712>
- [3] R. Chen, “The 2-2 coincidence: conductor rigidity for primes in arithmetic progressions and the Bombieri–Vinogradov barrier,” Zenodo, 2026. <https://zenodo.org/records/18684151>
- [4] R. Chen, “The geometry of prime vacuums: Legendre’s conjecture in function fields via monodromy and Chebotarev density,” Zenodo, 2026. <https://zenodo.org/records/18705744>
- [5] R. Chen, “Algebraic rigidity and quadratic residue asymmetry in Legendre intervals,” Zenodo, 2026. <https://zenodo.org/records/18706876>