Initial Proposal: Text-Image Retrieval for Fashion Recommendation

Rushil Randhar

April 17, 2025

1. Introduction & Problem Statement

Modern e-commerce platforms predominantly use collaborative filtering (CF)—leveraging user—item co-purchase or co-view patterns—to recommend products. While CF excels at surfacing popular or "people-like-you" items, it struggles with cold-start scenarios (new users or new items), and cannot capture nuanced style cues or "vibes" beyond transactional data. Content-based approaches add metadata (e.g. categories, attributes) but require manual tagging and still miss the implicit, aesthetic qualities that drive fashion choices.

Recent advances in transformer-based embedding models (e.g. CLIP, Sentence-BERT, and the newer TULIP encoder) enable direct mapping of free-form text into a rich semantic space aligned with image or caption embeddings. This "vibe search" paradigm lets users describe what they want—"vintage-inspired floral midi dress" or "edgy streetwear bomber jacket"—and retrieves items whose embeddings lie closest to that description.

Project Goal: Build and evaluate a text to image retrieval benchmark on a standard fashion dataset, comparing embedding models (CLIP, SBERT, TULIP), and demonstrate how transformer embeddings unlock "vibe"-based recommendations that go beyond traditional CF.

2. Data Sources

- Fashion200k: 200 000 fashion product images with human-written captions; standard train/val/test splits with ground-truth for recall@K.
- (Possible Alternative) FashionIQ: 30 000 triplets (query text, positive image, negative image) for a 3-way accuracy evaluation.
- Data access through the Hugging Face datasets library or direct download.

3. Embedding Model Options

First, I compared three off-the-shelf text-embedding approaches:

- 1. CLIP (ViT-B/32) joint image/text space; strong zero-shot performance.
- 2. Sentence-BERT (all-miniLM) lightweight, optimized for sentence similarity.
- 3. **TULIP** recent text encoder with state-of-the-art semantic alignment on fashion captions. Based on the available research I decided to select TULIP for its superior recall@K on a held-out validation split.

4. Pipeline

1. Precompute embeddings:

• Encode all test-split captions and images.

2. Indexing:

• Build a FAISS index over image embeddings or caption embeddings.

3. Retrieval:

ullet For each text query, compute its embedding and retrieve top-K nearest neighbors from FAISS.

4. Service Wrap:

• Package retrieval in a single Modal endpoint or Flask endpoint.

5. Evaluation

- Recall@K (K = 1, 5, 10) on Fashion200k test split.
- Alternative check for Triplet accuracy on FashionIQ: fraction of times the positive image ranks above the negative.
- Inter-annotator check (Alternative): validate a small set of retrievals via pairwise judgments.

6. Deliverables

- Codebase: scripts/notebooks for data loading, embedding, FAISS index, retrieval, and evaluation.
- \bullet Results notebook: tables and plots of recall @K and triplet accuracy.
- Presentation slides: including
 - Pipeline diagram
 - Recall@K curve
 - t-SNE/UMAP of embeddings
 - Example query-retrieval grids