ICSI 311 Assignment 4 – The Parser Part 3

This assignment is extremely important – (nearly) every assignment after this one uses this one!

If you have bugs or missing features in this, you will need to fix them before you can continue on to new assignments. This is very typical in software development outside of school.

You must submit .java files. Any other file type will be ignored. Especially ".class" files.

You must not zip or otherwise compress your assignment. Brightspace will allow you to submit multiple files.

You must submit every file for every assignment.

You must submit buildable .java files for credit.

Introduction

Order of operations is a critical part of building your parser. Let's start by reviewing.

You've all seen these memes →

These all revolve around understanding order of operations. Many of us learned in grade school:

Order of operations was invented in 1912

People in 2021:

PEMDAS (Please excuse my dear Aunt Sally):

Parenthesis

Exponents

Multiplication & Division

Addition & Subtraction

 $6 \div 2(1+2) =$



Of course, there are operations in C-like languages that this doesn't take into account, like ++, --, etc. But let's look at how we could deal with just this, to start.

The traditional way to deal with these in Parsers is the Expression-Term-Factor pattern:

Expression: TERM {+ | - TERM}

Term: FACTOR {* | / FACTOR}

Factor: number | (EXPRESSION)

A couple of notation comments:

| means "or" - either + or -, either * or /

{} means an optional repeat. That's what allows this to parse 1+2+3+4

Think of these as functions. Every CAPTIALIZED word is a function call.

Consider our meme expression above: 6/2*(1+2)

We will start by looking at expression. Expression starts with a TERM. We can't do anything until we resolve TERM, so let's go there.

A term starts with FACTOR. Again, we can't do anything until we deal with that.

A factor is a number or a (EXPRESSION). Now we can look at our token (hint: MatchAndRemove). We see a number. OK – our factor is a number. We "return" that. Remember that we got to factor from term. Let's substitute our number in:

TERM: FACTOR(6) {* | / FACTOR}

Now we deal with our optional pattern. Is the next character * or /? Yes! Now is the next thing a factor?

It turns out that it is. Let's substitute that in:

TERM: FACTOR(6) / FACTOR(2)

But remember that our pattern is a REPEATING pattern (hint: loop):

TERM: FACTOR(6) / FACTOR(2) {* | / FACTOR}

We see the * and call factor. But this time, the factor is not a number but a parenthetical expression.

Factor: number | (EXPRESSION)

Factor calls expression.

Expression calls term, term calls factor, factor returns the number 1. Term doesn't see a * or / so it passes the 1 up. Expression sees the + and calls term. Term calls factor which returns the 2. Expression doesn't see a + - value so ends the loop and returns 1+2.

So, remember that we were here:

TERM: FACTOR(6) / FACTOR(2) * FACTOR

Our factor is (1+2). That can't be broken down. That math HAS to be done before can multiply or divide. That's what enforces order of operations.

In code, this looks like something like this (pseudo-code):

```
Node Factor()
```

```
Node Term()
      left = Factor()
      do
            op = MatchAndRemove(TIMES)
            if (op.isEmpty) op=MatchAndRemove(DIVIDE)
            if (op.isEmpty) return left
            right = Factor()
            left = MathOpNode(left, op, right)
     while (true)
Node Expression()
      left = Term()
            op = MatchAndRemove(PLUS)
            if (op.isEmpty) op=MatchAndRemove(MINUS)
            if (op.isEmpty) return left
            right = Term()
            left = MathOpNode(left, op, right)
     while (true)
```

What is this "MathOpNode"? It's "just" a new node type that holds two other nodes (left and right) and an operation type: *, /, +, -. Notice that the loops use the result of one operation as the left side of the next operation. This is called left associative – the left most part is done first. Right associativity is the opposite – the rightmost part is done first, then we work our way left.

Generally, notice the pattern here – we call a function that is the lowest level of precedence. That function uses results from a higher level of precedence. The lower level can't do anything until the higher level is resolved. That "magic" is what enforces order of operation.

Details

There are a LOT of levels of precedence in AWK:

Expressions in Decreasing Precedence in awk				
Syntax	Name	Associativity		
(expr)	Grouping (DONE!)	N/A		
\$expr	Field reference (DONE!)	N/A		
lvalue ++ lvalue	Post-increment Post-decrement	N/A N/A		
++ lvalue lvalue	Pre-increment (DONE!) Pre-decrement	N/A N/A		
expr ^ expr	Exponentiation	Right		

! expr	Logical not (DONE!)	N/A
+ expr	Unary plus (DONE!)	N/A
- expr	Unary minus (DONE!)	N/A
expr * expr	Multiplication	Left
expr / expr	Division	Left
expr % expr	Modulus	Left
expr + expr	Addition	Left
expr - expr	Subtraction	Left
expr expr	String concatenation	Left
expr < expr	Less than	None
expr <= expr	Less than or equal to	None
expr != expr	Not equal to	None
expr == expr	Equal to	None
expr > expr	Greater than	None
expr >= expr	Greater than or equal to	None
expr ~ expr	ERE match	None
expr!~ expr	ERE non-match	None
expr in array	Array membership	Left
(index) in array	Multi-dimension array membership	Left
expr && expr	Logical AND	Left
expr expr	Logical OR	Left
expr1 ? expr2 : expr3	Conditional expression	Right
lvalue ^= expr	Exponentiation assignment	Right
lvalue %= expr	Modulus assignment	Right
lvalue *= expr	Multiplication assignment	Right
lvalue /= expr	Division assignment	Right
lvalue += expr	Addition assignment	Right
lvalue -= expr	Subtraction assignment	Right
lvalue = expr	Assignment	Right

Source: https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/utilities/awk.html#tab41

Last assignment, we created the two hardest methods. Now we will fill in the rest.

Start by removing the call in ParseOperation to ParseBottomLevel().

Going through the rest of the chart is easier. The next level is PostIncrement/Decrement (example: x++ or y--). The patterns are:

ParseBottomLevel() INC → Operation(result of ParseBottomLevel, POSTINC)
ParseBottomLevel() DEC → Operation(result of ParseBottomLevel, POSTDEC)

else return ParseBottomLevel().

The right associative methods are a little more complex than the left. Consider:

2^3^4. Left associative would be: (2^3)^4. This is 4096.

2³4. Right associative would be 2⁽³4). This is 2⁸¹ which is ... very large.

There are two similar ways to build this – either use a Stack OR use recursion (which uses the built-in call stack).

The operations marked as "None" are terminal – there is no associativity because they can't recur. You can't have 3<4<5 or a ~ `hello` ~ `world`

Implement the rest of the chart by creating methods that follow the patterns above. A few hints:

- 1) expr is usually the next highest level of priority.
- 2) index and array are both the next highest level of priority (the matches).
- 3) Make sure to throw exceptions if the input is INVALID. That's different from "not what I am looking for" in this method. +-* is invalid, for example. You will see this when a Parse_____ returns an empty Optional when you expect a value.
- 4) Write good exception error messages to help you debug.
- 5) My solution for the previous assignment and this one together is about 400 lines of fairly repetitive code.
- 6) Ternary will require a new node type (TernaryNode) because it has a Boolean expression, a true case and a false case.

To simplify the assignments, I created an AssignmentNode (Node target, Node expression). But how do we handle something like: a+=5

I split this into two parts – AssignmentNode and OperationNode. I would create this as:

AssignmentNode (a, OperationNode(a + 5))

We can now test with full expressions. Let's leave ParseOperation public so that we can write unit tests against it.

Rubric	Poor	ОК	Good	Great
Code Style	Few	Some good	Mostly good	Good naming, non-trivial
	comments,	naming, some	naming, most	methods well commented,
	bad names	necessary	necessary	static only when necessary,
	(0)	comments (3)	comments (6)	private members (10)
Unit Tests	Don't exist (0)	At least one (3)	Missing tests (6)	All functionality tested (10)
Post Increment	Doesn't exist	Attempted(3)		Accepts tokens
/ Decrement	(0)			appropriately and
				generates OperationNode
				or returns partial result (5)
Exponents	Doesn't exist	Attempted(5)		Accepts tokens
	(0)			appropriately and
				generates OperationNode
				or returns partial result (10)
Factor	Doesn't exist	Attempted(3)		Accepts tokens
	(0)			appropriately and
				generates OperationNode
				or returns partial result (5)
Term	Doesn't exist	Attempted(3)		Accepts tokens
	(0)			appropriately and
				generates OperationNode
				or returns partial result (5)
Expression	Doesn't exist	Attempted(3)		Accepts tokens
	(0)			appropriately and
				generates OperationNode
				or returns partial result (5)
Concatenation	Doesn't exist	Attempted(3)		Accepts tokens
	(0)			appropriately and
				generates OperationNode
				or returns partial result (5)
Boolean	Doesn't exist	Attempted(3)		Accepts tokens
Compare	(0)			appropriately and
				generates OperationNode
				or returns partial result (5)
Match	Doesn't exist	Attempted(3)		Accepts tokens
	(0)			appropriately and
				generates OperationNode
				or returns partial result (5)
Array	Doesn't exist	Attempted(3)		Accepts tokens
membership	(0)			appropriately and

			generates OperationNode or returns partial result (5)
AND	Doesn't exist	Attempted(3)	Accepts tokens
	(0)		appropriately and
			generates OperationNode
			or returns partial result (5)
Or	Doesn't exist	Attempted(3)	Accepts tokens
	(0)		appropriately and
			generates OperationNode
			or returns partial result (5)
Ternary	Doesn't exist	Attempted(5)	Accepts tokens
	(0)		appropriately and
			generates OperationNode
			or returns partial result (10)
Assignment	Doesn't exist	Attempted(5)	Accepts tokens
	(0)		appropriately and
			generates AssignmentNode
			or returns partial result (10)