

Scheduling - Stanford CS 221 Fall 2017-2018

Rohit Apte

2017-11-03

0 Problem 0: Warmup

- a Let's create a CSP. Suppose you have n light bulbs, where each light bulb $i = 1, \dots, n$ is initially off. You also have m buttons which control the lights. For each button $j = 1, \dots, m$, we know the subset $T_j \subseteq 1, \dots, n$ of light bulbs that it controls. When button j is pressed, it toggles the state of each light bulb in T_j (For example, if $3 \in T_j$ and light bulb 3 is off, then after the button is pressed, light bulb 3 will be on, and vice versa). Your goal is to turn on all the light bulbs by pressing a subset of the buttons. Construct a CSP to solve this problem. Your CSP should have m variables and n constraints. For this problem only, you can use n -ary constraints. Describe your CSP precisely and concisely. You need to specify the variables with their domain, and the constraints with their scope and expression. Make sure to include T_j in your answer.

A light bulb can be either off (0) or on (1). So if we have 2 bulbs the possible states are (0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1).

Variables: We define the variable X_i to be one of m switches. The values of X_j ($j = 1, \dots, m$) are a tuple of size n where each column is a 1 if that switch toggles the bulb and 0 otherwise. Formally

$X_j = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ where $x_i =$

$$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in T_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Constraints: We have n constraint where $\text{constraint}(i)$ implies that the sum of column i for all our variables X_j should be odd (i.e. the sum of columns mod 2 = 1). We will have n constraints since our tuple is size n .

- b Let's consider a simple CSP with 3 variables and 2 binary factors:

where $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \{0, 1\}$ and t_1, t_2 are XOR functions (that is $t_1(X) = x_1 \oplus x_2$ and $t_2(X) = x_2 \oplus x_3$).

- b.i How many consistent assignments are there for this CSP?

There are 2 consistent assignments. See table below.

X_1	X_2	X_3	t_1	t_2	<i>Consistent</i>
0	0	0	0	0	No
0	0	1	0	1	No
0	1	0	1	1	Yes
0	1	1	1	0	No
1	0	0	1	0	No
1	0	1	1	1	Yes
1	1	0	0	1	No
1	1	1	0	0	No

- b.ii To see why variable ordering is important, let's use backtracking search to solve the CSP without using any heuristics (MCV, LCV, AC-3) or lookahead. How many times will backtrack() be called to get all consistent assignments if we use the fixed ordering X_1, X_3, X_2 ? Draw the call stack for backtrack(). (You should use the Backtrack algorithm from the slides. The initial arguments are $x=\emptyset$, $w = 1$, and the original Domain.)

In the code, this will be BacktrackingSearch.numOperations.

Backtrack will be called 9 times (including the initial call). We will initially call backtrack on \emptyset with $w = 1$. The call stack is as follows

```
Backtrack( $\{\emptyset\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
   $X_1 = 0$ 
   $\delta = 1$ 
  Backtrack( $\{X_1 = 0\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
     $X_3 = 0$ 
     $\delta = 1$ 
    Backtrack( $\{X_1 = 0, X_3 = 0\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
       $X_2 = 0$ 
       $\delta = 0$ 
      continue
       $X_2 = 1$ 
       $\delta = 1$ 
      Backtrack( $\{X_1 = 0, X_3 = 0, X_2 = 1\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
        COMPLETE ASSIGNMENT. Update best and return
     $X_3 = 1$ 
     $\delta = 1$ 
    Backtrack( $\{X_1 = 0, X_3 = 1\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
       $X_2 = 0$ 
       $\delta = 0$ 
      continue
       $X_2 = 1$ 
       $\delta = 0$ 
      continue
   $X_1 = 1$ 
```

```

 $\delta = 1$ 
Backtrack( $\{X_1 = 1\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
   $X_3 = 0$ 
   $\delta = 1$ 
  Backtrack( $\{X_1 = 1, X_3 = 0\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
     $X_2 = 0$ 
     $\delta = 0$ 
    continue
     $X_2 = 1$ 
     $\delta = 0$ 
    continue
   $X_3 = 1$ 
   $\delta = 1$ 
  Backtrack( $\{X_1 = 1, X_3 = 1\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
     $X_2 = 0$ 
     $\delta = 0$ 
    Backtrack( $\{X_1 = 1, X_3 = 1, X_2 = 0\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
      COMPLETE ASSIGNMENT. Update best and return
     $X_2 = 1$ 
     $\delta = 0$ 
    continue

```

- b.iii To see why lookahead can be useful, let's do it again with the ordering X_1, X_3, X_2 and AC-3. How many times will Backtrack be called to get all consistent assignments? Draw the call stack for backtrack()

Backtrack will be called 7 times including the initial call. The call stack is as follows

```

Backtrack( $\{\emptyset\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
   $X_1 = 0$ 
   $\delta = 1$ 
  Backtrack( $\{X_1 = 0\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
    Enforce arc consistency on neighbors
    Add  $X_1 = 0$  to set
    While Set is nonempty
      Remove  $X_1 = 0$  from set
      Enforce arc consistency on  $X_2$ . Domain of  $X_2 = \{1\}$ 
      Domain changed so add  $X_2 = 1$  to set
      Remove  $X_2 = 1$  from set.
      Enforce arc consistency on  $X_3$ . Domain of  $X_3 = \{0\}$ 
      Domain changed so add  $X_3 = 0$  to set
      Remove  $X_3 = 0$  from set.
      Set is empty so return

```

```

 $X_3 = 0$ 
 $\delta = 1$ 
Backtrack( $\{X_1 = 0, X_3 = 0\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
     $X_2 = 1$ 
     $\delta = 1$ 
    Backtrack( $\{X_1 = 0, X_3 = 0, X_2 = 1\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
        COMPLETE ASSIGNMENT. Update best and return

 $X_1 = 1$ 
 $\delta = 1$ 
Backtrack( $\{X_1 = 1\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
Enforce arc consistency on neighbors
    Add  $X_1 = 1$  to set
    While Set is nonempty
        Remove  $X_1 = 1$  from set
        Enforce arc consistency on  $X_2$ . Domain of  $X_2 = \{0\}$ 
        Domain changed so add  $X_2 = 0$  to set
        Remove  $X_2 = 0$  from set.
        Enforce arc consistency on  $X_3$ . Domain of  $X_3 = \{1\}$ 
        Domain changed so add  $X_3 = 1$  to set
        Remove  $X_3 = 1$  from set.
        Set is empty so return
     $X_3 = 1$ 
     $\delta = 1$ 
    Backtrack( $\{X_1 = 1, X_3 = 1\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
         $X_2 = 0$ 
         $\delta = 0$ 
        Backtrack( $\{X_1 = 1, X_3 = 1, X_2 = 0\}, 1, \{0, 1\}$ )
            COMPLETE ASSIGNMENT. Update best and return

```

1 Problem 1: CSP Solving

2 Problem 2: Handling n -ary factors

So far, our CSP solver only handles unary and binary factors, but for course scheduling (and really any non-trivial application), we would like to define factors that involve more than two variables. It would be nice if we could have a general way of reducing n -ary constraint to unary and binary constraints. In this problem, we will do exactly that for two types of n -ary constraints. Suppose we have boolean variables X_1, X_2, X_3 , where X_i represents whether the i -th course is taken. Suppose we want to enforce the constraint that $Y = X_1 \vee X_2 \vee X_3$, that is, Y is a boolean representing whether at least one course has been taken. For reference, in `util.py`, the function `get_or_variable()` does such a reduction. It takes in a list of variables and a target value, and re-

turns a boolean variable with domain $[True, False]$ whose value is constrained to the condition of having at least one of the variables assigned to the target value. For example, we would call `get_or_variable()` with arguments $(X_1, X_2, X_3, True)$, which would return a new (auxiliary) variable X_4 , and then add another constraint $[X_4 = True]$. The second type of n -ary factors is constraints on the sum over n variables. You are going to implement reduction of this type but let's first look at a simpler problem to get started:

- a Suppose we have a CSP with three variables X_1, X_2, X_3 with the same domain $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and a ternary constraint $[X_1 + X_2 + X_3 \leq K]$. How can we reduce this CSP to one with only unary and/or binary constraints? Explain what auxiliary variables we need to introduce, what their domains are, what unary/binary factors you'll add, and why your scheme works. Add a graph if you think that'll better explain your scheme.**
Hint: draw inspiration from the example of enforcing $[X_i = 1 \text{ for exactly one } i]$ which is in the first CSP lecture.

We know that X_i has domain $\{0, 1, 2\}$. Let us define a new variable A_i as follows
 Factors

Initialization: $A_0 = 0$

Processing: $A_i = A_{i-1} + X_i$

Final output: $A_4 \leq K$

We now need to pack A_{i-1} and A_i into one variable B_i where B_i represents the tuple (A_{i-1}, A_i) .

Factors

Initialization: $B_1[1] = 0$

Processing: $B_i[2] = B_i[1] + X_i$

Final output: $B_4[2] \leq K$

Consistency: $B_{i-1}[2] = B_i[1]$

3 Problem 3: Course Scheduling

- a see submission.py
- b see submission.py
- c Now try to use the course scheduler for the winter and spring (and next year if applicable). Create your own profile.txt and then run the course scheduler: `python run_p3.py profile.txt` You might want to turn on the appropriate heuristic flags to speed up the computation. Does it produce a reasonable course schedule? Please include your profile.txt and the best schedule in your writeup; we're curious how it worked out for you!

I ran mine on a simple premise - I am an SCPD student and haven't done a college course since I graduated in 2001. I wanted to see if I could take a 6 month sabbatical, how many courses I could take in as a part time student at Stanford. This is my profile file

```
# Unit limit per quarter.
```

```
minUnits 6
```

```
maxUnits 12
```

```
# These are the quarters that I need to fill. It is assumed that
```

```
# the quarters are sorted in chronological order.
```

```
register Win2017
```

```
register Spr2018
```

```
# Courses I've already taken
```

```
taken CS221
```

```
taken MATH51
```

```
taken CS106B
```

```
taken CS124
```

```
taken CS107
```

```
taken CS103
```

```
# Courses that I'm requesting
```

```
request CS223A
```

```
request CS347
```

```
request CS341
```

```
request CS110
```

```
request CS802
```

```
request CS801
```

```
request CS227B
```

```
request CS1U
```

```
request CS1C
request CS248 in Win2017
```

This is the schedule I get. Its reasonable.

WARNING: missing prerequisite of CS248 -- CS148: Introduction to Computer Graphics and Imaging; you should add it as 'taken' or 'request'

Found 1696 optimal assignments with weight 1.000000 in 124295 operations

First assignment took 4719 operations

Here's the best schedule:

Quarter	Units	Course
Win2017	5	CS110
Win2017	4	CS248
Spr2018	3	CS341
Spr2018	3	CS227B