4.	. Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply.	1/1 point
	Gradient checking is useful if we are using one of the advanced optimization methods (such as in fminunc) as our optimization algorithm. However, it serves little purpose if we are using gradient descent.	
	Computing the gradient of the cost function in a neural network has the same efficiency when we use backpropagation or when we numerically compute it using the method of gradient checking.	
	Using gradient checking can help verify if one's implementation of backpropagation is bug-free.	
	Correct If the gradient computed by backpropagation is the same as one computed numerically with gradient checking, this is very strong evidence that you have a correct implementation of backpropagation.	
	For computational efficiency, after we have performed gradient checking to	
	verify that our backpropagation code is correct, we usually disable gradient checking before using backpropagation to train the network.	
	✓ Correct Checking the gradient numerically is a debugging tool: it helps ensure a corre	
5.	. Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply.	1/1 point
	Suppose we are using gradient descent with learning rate α . For logistic regression and linear regression, $J(\theta)$ was a convex optimization problem and thus we did not want to choose a learning rate α that is too large. For a neural network however, $J(\Theta)$ may not be convex, and thus choosing a very large value of α can only speed up convergence.	
	$ \begin{tabular}{ll} \hline ω & If we are training a neural network using gradient descent, one reasonable "debugging" step to make sure it is working is to plot $J(\Theta)$ as a function of the number of iterations, and make sure it is decreasing (or at least non-increasing) after each iteration. $	
	\checkmark Correct Since gradient descent uses the gradient to take a step toward parameters with lower cost (ie, lower $J(\Theta)$), the value of $J(\Theta)$ should be equal or less at each iteration if the gradient computation is correct and the learning rate is set properly.	
	Suppose that the parameter $\Theta^{(1)}$ is a square matrix (meaning the number of rows equals the number of columns). If we replace $\Theta^{(1)}$ with its transpose $(\Theta^{(1)})^T$, then we have not changed the function that the network is computing.	