



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA  
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA  
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

---

## COS301 Mini Project Functional Architecture Requirements

**Group Name:** Group 7\_a

Roger Tavares 10167324

Thinus Naude 13019602

Kabelo Kgwete 11247143

Sylvester Mpanganer 11241617

Maphuti Setati 12310043

Ruth Ojo 12042804

Axel Ind 12063178

Lindelo Mapumulo 12002862

Maria Qumalo 29461775

**Git repository link:**

[https://github.com/thinusn/  
COS301MiniProjectArchitectureRequirements](https://github.com/thinusn/COS301MiniProjectArchitectureRequirements)

Final Version

March 8, 2015

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Architecture requirements</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Architectural scope . . . . .	3
2.2	Critical quality requirements . . . . .	4
2.2.1	Scalability . . . . .	4
2.2.2	Security . . . . .	4
2.2.3	Usability . . . . .	4
2.2.4	Integrability . . . . .	4
2.3	Important quality requirements . . . . .	6
2.3.1	Performance . . . . .	6
2.4	Performance requirements . . . . .	6
2.4.1	Plug-ability(Maintainability) . . . . .	8
2.4.2	Monitor-ability . . . . .	9
2.5	Nice to have quality requirements . . . . .	10
2.5.1	Reliability and Availability . . . . .	10
2.5.2	Testability . . . . .	10
2.6	Integration and access channel requirements . . . . .	11
2.7	Architectural constraints . . . . .	12
<b>3</b>	<b>Architectural patterns or styles</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Architectural tactics or strategies</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Use of reference architectures and frameworks</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Access and integration channels</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Technologies</b>	<b>17</b>

# 1 Introduction

This document was compiled by our group during our meetings and was produced as a whole by the team.

This document contains specifications of the software architecture requirements. This is the infrastructure upon which the application functionality will be developed. The following non-functional requirements are addressed in depth with supporting diagrams (when necessary):

- Access and Integration requirements.
- Architectural responsibilities.
- Quality requirements.
- Architecture constraints as specified by the client.

## **2    Architecture requirements**

### **2.1   Architectural scope**

## **2.2 Critical quality requirements**

### **2.2.1 Scalability**

Description:

Justification:

Mechanism:

1. Strategy:
2. Architectural Pattern:

### **2.2.2 Security**

Description:

Justification:

Mechanism:

1. Strategy:
2. Architectural Pattern:

### **2.2.3 Usability**

Description:

Justification:

Mechanism:

1. Strategy:
2. Architectural Pattern:

### **2.2.4 Integrability**

Description:

Justification:

Mechanism:

1. Strategy:
2. Architectural Pattern:

## 2.3 Important quality requirements

### 2.3.1 Performance

#### Description

Performance is an indication of the responsiveness of a system to execute some action(s) within a specific time interval. This time interval is usually measured in terms of latency or throughput.

**Latency:** the time it takes the system to respond to any event(s).

**Throughput:** the number of events executed within a given amount of time by the system.

A system with high performance maximizes throughput and minimizes latency.

#### Justification

We chose performance as an Important quality requirement because the over or under performance of a system will influence other quality attributes of the system. If the system has increased latency and decreased throughput, due to the systems inability to handle increased load (scalability), the performance, responsiveness and usability of the system will suffer.

#### Strategy

- The system needs to be hardware and software fault tolerant (to a certain degree). The system needs to continue working/running as intended but possibly at a reduced level, rather than breaking/stopping completely.
- The system needs to be responsive. The time it takes for a request to be sent back to a user should be minimized.
- The UI presented to the user needs to be clean, dynamic and use minimal resources. An example would be to use minified JavaScript files and compressed images.
- The performance of the system needs to be optimal, memory or processor intensive tasks should run/execute when there are the least number of active users in order to minimize the impact these tasks will have on performance.

- The system needs to have a coping mechanism when there is a sudden change of environment. (E.g. can handle 100 connections suddenly there is 10000 connections). Performance will suffer if this is not taken into consideration.
- The system should deliver intermediate results or updates to the user when executing a request. For instance, a web page that submits a form via AJAX can have a status/busy indicator to let the user know his/her request is being processed.
- When there is increased database server processing
- In order to keep latency low and throughput high the system needs to cache objects/frequently requested database results This will ensure that frequent objects and queries aren't repeated or fetched over and over again wasting system resources and increasing database server processing.
- An important aspect of performance is to ensure the scalability of the system is optimal. Because an increase in performance directly affects the systems scalability, and in turn the lack of scalability also affects performance.



### 2.3.2 Plug-ability(Maintainability)

The system as a whole should be designed and developed in such a way that it is modular allowing for additional modules to be added or even removed.

The reason why this is an important quality requirement is because the system should allow the addition of new plug-ins(modules) or the removal of old modules that are no longer required or obsolete. This allows for a more adaptable system as it can be adjusted to a specific users needs. By ensuring plug-ability the system will also be much easier to test. Diagnostics of potential flaws and module clashes can now be eliminated as the system can be tested as individual modules and even as a whole allowing for multiple module configurations.

Mechanisms:

1. (a) There are multiple strategies that can ensure plug-ability one such strategy as mentioned above is to subdivide the system into separate interconnect-able modules or plug-ins.  
  
(b) Another strategy is to split the system into many services that communicate with one another to perform the required functional requirements.
2. The best Architectural pattern to realize this requirement would be the micro-kernel, this is because this pattern allows for a plug-and-play infrastructure meaning that modules can easily be added or removed or even rolled back to previous versions without affecting other services on the system. This is done by using the Internal servers of the micro-kernel.

### **2.3.3 Monitor-ability**

Description:

Justification:

Mechanism:

1. Strategy:
2. Architectural Pattern:

## **2.4 Nice to have quality requirements**

### **2.4.1 Reliability and Availability**

Description:

Justification:

Mechanism:

1. Strategy:
2. Architectural Pattern:

### **2.4.2 Testability**

Description:

Justification:

Mechanism:

1. Strategy:
2. Architectural Pattern:

## 2.5 Integration and access channel requirements

## 2.6 Architectural constraints

### **3 Architectural patterns or styles**

## 4 Architectural tactics or strategies

## **5 Use of reference architectures and frameworks**



## 6 Access and integration channels

## 7 Technologies