Stanford University, CS 106B Homework Assignment 7: Trailblazer

Thanks to Keith Schwarz, Dawson Zhou, Eric Roberts, Julie Zelenski, Nick Parlante, and Leonid Shamis (UC Davis) for creating and evolving this assignment and its predecessor, "Pathfinder." BasicGraph class and other modifications by Marty Stepp.

This assignment focuses on graphs, specifically on searching for paths in a graph. We provide you with several support files, but you should not modify them. Turn in the following file:


• trailblazer.cpp: code to perform graph path searches

Program Description:

This program displays various 2-dimensional worlds that represent either mazes or terrain and allows the user to generate paths within the world from one point to another. When you start up the program, you will see a graphical window containing a 2D maze, where white squares are open and black ones represent walls. The program is also able to display randomly generated terrain, where bright colors indicate higher elevations and darker colors represent lower elevations. Mountain ranges appear in bright white, while deep canyons are closer to black.

If you click on any two points in the world, the program will find a path from the starting position to the ending position. As it does so, it will color the vertices green, yellow, and gray based on the colors assigned to them by the algorithm. Once the path is found, the program will highlight it and display information about the path cost in the console. The user can select one of four path-searching algorithms in the top menu:

- depth-first search (DFS)
- breadth-first search (BFS)
- Dijkstra's algorithm
- A* search



The window also contains several controls. You can load mazes and terrains of different sizes (tiny, small, medium, large, and huge) from the bottom drop-down menu and then clicking the "Load" button.

In your trailblazer.cpp file, you must write the following functions for finding paths and creating mazes in a graph:


```
Vector<Vertex*> depthFirstSearch(BasicGraph& graph, Vertex* start, Vertex* end)
Vector<Vertex*> breadthFirstSearch(BasicGraph& graph, Vertex* start, Vertex* end)
Vector<Vertex*> dijkstrasAlgorithm(BasicGraph& graph, Vertex* start, Vertex* end)
Vector<Vertex*> aStar(BasicGraph& graph, Vertex* start, Vertex* end)
Set<Edge*> kruskal(BasicGraph& graph)
```

Each of the first four implements a path-searching algorithm taught in class. You should search the given graph for a path from the given start vertex to the given end vertex. If you find such a path, the path you return should be a list of all vertices along that path, with the starting vertex first (index 0 of the vector) and the ending vertex last.

If no path is found, return an empty vector. If the start and end vertices are the same, return a **one-element vector** containing only that vertex. Though the mazes and terrains in our main app are **undirected** graphs (all edges go both ways), your code should **not** assume this. You may assume that the graph passed has a valid state.

Our provided main client program will allow you to test each algorithm one at a time before moving on to the next. You can add more functions as helpers if you like, particularly to help you implement any recursive algorithms and/or to remove redundancy between some algorithms containing similar code.

The 2D world is represented by a BasicGraph, where each vertex represents a specific location on the world. If it is a maze, each location represents one square in the maze's grid-like world. Open squares are connected by edges to any other neighboring open squares that are directly adjacent to them (differ by +/- 1 row or column exactly). Black "wall" squares are not connected as neighbors to any other squares; no edges touch them. If the world is a terrain rather than a maze, each location represents some elevation between 0 (lowland) and 1 (high mountain peak). Terrain locations are connected to neighbors in all 8 directions including diagonal neighbors, but maze locations are only connected to neighbors directly up, down, left, and right.



Your code can treat mazes and terrains exactly the same. You should just think of each kind of world as a graph with vertices and edges that connect neighboring vertices. In this case, the vertices happen to represent 2D locations and neighbors happen to be directly up, down, left, right, etc., but your code does not utilize or rely on that information. Your path-searching algorithms will work on any kind of graph that might be passed to them.

Provided Code:

We provide you with a lot of starter code for this assignment. Here is a quick breakdown of what each file contains, though you do not need to examine or know about each file or its contents in order to complete the assignment.

- **trailblazer.h / .cpp**: We provide a skeleton version of these files where you will write your path-searching code for the assignment. You should not need to modify any other assignment files besides **trailblazer.cpp**.
- adapter.h / .cpp: Translates data to act as a bridge between the GUI and your graph algorithms.
- costs.h / .cpp: Defines functions for computing the cost of moving from square to square in terrains.
- trailblazergui.h / .cpp: The application's graphical user interface and main function to launch the program.
- types.h / .cpp: Defines some supporting types used by other provided code in the assignment.

Each vertex in the graph is represented by an instance of the Vertex structure, which has the following members:

Vertex member	Description
string name	vertex's name, such as "r34c25" or "vertex17"
Set <edge*> edges</edge*>	edges outbound from this vertex
double cost	cost to reach this vertex (initially 0)
bool visited	whether this vertex has been visited yet (initially false)
Vertex* previous	pointer to a vertex that comes before this one; initially NULL
<pre>void setColor(Color c)</pre>	sets this vertex to be drawn in the given color in the GUI, one of WHITE, GRAY, YELLOW, or GREEN
Color getColor()	returns color you set previously using setColor; initially WHITE
void resetData()	sets cost, visited, previous, and color back to their initial values
string toString()	returns a printable string representation of the vertex for debugging

The cost, visited, and previous member variables are for you to use in path-search algorithms. Several algorithms depend on being able to "mark" a vertex as visited, or to associate a cost with a vertex, or to keep pointers from one vertex to another to trace a path. Use these members in each vertex to keep track of such information. Call resetData on a vertex to wipe this data, or on the BasicGraph as a whole to wipe all such data for all vertices.

Each edge in the graph is represented by an instance of the **Edge** structure, which has the following members:

Edge member	Description
Vertex* start	the starting vertex of this edge
Vertex* finish	the ending vertex of this edge (i.e., finish is a neighbor of start)
double cost	cost to traverse this edge
string toString()	returns a printable string representation of the vertex for debugging

The vertices and edges are contained inside a BasicGraph object passed to each of your algorithm functions. See the Stanford C++ library documentation for descriptions of the members of the BasicGraph class. In addition to those members, BasicGraph includes all of the public members from its parent class Graph.

BasicGraph has a useful public member named resetData. You can call resetData on the graph at the *start* of any path-searching algorithm that wants to store data in the vertices, to make sure that no stale data is left in the vertices from some prior call. Call it at the <u>start</u> of your algorithm and <u>not at the end</u>, to ensure that any old state is cleaned out before your algorithm begins.

Graph Algorithm Details:

Coloring: In addition to searching for a path in each algorithm, we also want you to add some code to give colors to various vertices at various times. This coloring information is used by the GUI to show the progress of your algorithm and to provide the appearance of animation. To give a color to a vertex, call the color member function on that vertex's Vertex object, passing it a global color constant such as GRAY, YELLOW, or GREEN. For example:

Here is a listing of colors available and when you should use them:

- enqueued = yellow: Whenever you enqueue a vertex to be visited for the first time, such as in BFS and Dijkstra's algorithm when you add a vertex to a data structure for later processing, color it yellow (YELLOW).
- **visited = green:** Whenever your algorithm directly visits and examines a particular vertex, such as when it is dequeued from the processing queue in BFS or Dijkstra's algorithm, or when it is the starting vertex of a recursive call in DFS, color it green (GREEN).
- **eliminated = gray:** Whenever your algorithm has finished exploring a vertex and did not find a path from that vertex, and therefore is "giving" up on that vertex as a candidate, color it gray (GRAY). The only algorithm that explicitly "backtracks" like this is depth-first search (DFS). You don't need to set any vertices to gray in any other path-searching algorithms besides DFS.

The provided GUI has an animation **slider** that you can drag to set a delay between coloring calls. If the slider is not all the way to its left edge, each call to **color** on a vertex will pause the GUI briefly, causing the appearance of **animation** so that you can watch your algorithms run.

Depth-first search implementation notes: You can implement it recursively as shown in lecture, or non-recursively. The choice is up to you. A recursive solution can sometimes run slowly or crash on extremely large worlds; this is okay. You do not need to modify your DFS implementation to avoid crashes due to excessive call stack size.

Breadth-first search implementation notes: :Your code will need to regenerate the path that it finds, so look at the version of the algorithm pseudo-code from lecture that keeps track of paths along the way. One interesting note is that BFS and Dijkstra's algorithm behave exactly the same when run on a maze, but differently on a terrain. (Why?)

Dijkstra's algorithm implementation notes: The version of Dijkstra's algorithm suggested in the course reader is slightly different than the version we discussed in lecture and is less efficient. Your implementation of Dijkstra's algorithm should follow the version we discussed in lecture. The priority queue should store vertices to visit, and once you find the destination, you should reconstruct the shortest path back. See the lecture slides for more details.

Our pseudocode for Dijkstra's algorithm occasionally refers to "infinity" as an initial value when talking about the cost of reaching a vertex. If you want to refer to infinity in your code, you can use the double constant POSITIVE_INFINITY that is defined in trailblazer.h and visible to your code.

Both Dijkstra's algorithm and A* involve a **priority queue** of vertices to process, and furthermore, they each depend on the ability to **alter a given vertex's priority** in the queue as the algorithm progresses. To do this, call the **changePriority** member function on the priority queue and pass it the new priority to use. It is important to use this function here because otherwise there is no way to access an arbitrary element from the priority queue to find the one whose priority you want to change. You would have to remove vertices repeatedly until you found the one you wanted, which would be very expensive and wasteful. The new priority you pass must be at least as urgent as the old priority for that vertex (because the function bubbles a value upward in the priority queue's internal heap structure).

Note that the notion of a given vertex's current priority might be stored in two places in your code: in the **cost** field of the **Vertex** structure itself, and in the priority queue's ordering. You'll have to keep these two in sync yourself; if you update just the vertex, the priority queue won't know about it if you don't call **changePriority**, and vice versa. If the two values get out of sync, this can lead to bugs in your program.

A* implementation notes: As discussed in class, the A* search algorithm is essentially a variation of Dijkstra's algorithm that uses heuristics to fine-tune the order of elements in its priority queue to explore more likely desirable elements first. So when you are implementing A*, you need a **heuristic function** to incorporate into the algorithm. We supply you with a global function called **heuristicFunction** that accepts a pointer to two vertices v1 and v2 and returns a heuristic value from v1 to v2 as a **double**. You can assume that this is an *admissible heuristic*, meaning that it never overestimates the distance to the destination (which is important for A*). For example:

```
Vertex* v1 = graph.getVertex("foo");
Vertex* v2 = graph.getVertex("bar");
double h = heuristicFunction(v1, v2); // get A* heuristic between these vertices
```

You can compare the behavior of Dijkstra's algorithm and A* (or any pair of algorithms). First try performing a search between two points using Dijkstra's algorithm, then select A* and press the "Run" button at the top of the GUI window. This will repeat the same search using the currently selected algorithm. Run a search using Dijkstra's algorithm, switch the algorithm choice to "A*," then run that search to see how much more efficient A* is.

Your A* search algorithm should always return a path with the **same length and cost** as the path found by Dijkstra's algorithm. If you find that the algorithms give paths of different costs, it probably indicates a bug in your solution. For mazes, all three of BFS, Dijkstra's algorithm, and A* should return paths with the same length and cost.

Several **expected output files** have been posted to the class web site. If you have implemented each path-searching algorithm correctly, for DFS you should get any valid path from the start to the end; for BFS you should get the **same path lengths** as shown in the expected outputs posted on the class web site. For Dijkstra's and A* you should get the **same path costs** as shown in the expected outputs. But you do *not* need to exactly match our path itself, nor its "locations visited", so long as your path is a correct one. For Kruskal's algorithm (described next), your code must find a valid minimum spanning tree on the given graph. If there are several of equal total weight, any will suffice.

As mentioned previously, your code should **not** assume that the graph is undirected; we will test your code with directed graphs as well as undirected ones.

Random Maze Generation (Kruskal's Algorithm)


Your final task in this assignment is to implement **Kruskal's algorithm** for finding a minimum spanning tree. Your function should accept a graph as a parameter, and you should return a set of pointers to edges in the graph such that those edges would connect the graph's vertices into a minimum spanning tree. (Don't actually add/remove edges from the graph object passed in by calling addEdge, removeEdge, etc. on it. Just return the set of edges separately.) Specifically, your task is to write a function with the following signature:

```
Set<Edge*> kruskal(BasicGraph& graph)
```


Recall the pseudocode for Kruskal's algorithm from lecture:


```
kruskal(graph):
    Place each vertex into its own "cluster" (group of reachable vertices).
    Put all edges into a priority queue, using weights as priorities.
    While there are two or more separate clusters remaining:
        Dequeue an edge e from the priority queue.
        If the start and finish vertices of e are not in the same cluster:
            Merge the clusters containing the start and finish vertices of e.
            Add e to your spanning tree.
    Once the while loop terminates, your spanning tree is complete.
```

The specific application we'll Kruskal's algorithm to solve is the problem of generating new random mazes. As discussed earlier in this handout, you can think of a maze as a graph, where the vertices are connected as follows. The following figure would be a fully connected maze with no walls:




If you assign each edge a random weight and then run Kruskal's algorithm on the resulting graph, you will end up with a spanning tree; there will be exactly one path between each pair of vertices. For example, assigning the edges in the above graph weights as follows and running Kruskal's algorithm would produce the following result:





In the above tree, lines represent edges between connected neighbors, which are passable. Neighbors that are not connected by an edge can be thought of as having an impassable "wall" between them. You can turn the above tree into a maze by drawing lines in all of the empty space, as shown here:



Whenever you click the GUI's "Load" button with one of the "Random" options selected, our starter code will generate a maze of the given size with every vertex connected to all of its neighbors, as in the "fully connected" maze figure above. Our code will also randomly assign weights to each of these edges for you. Then we will pass the graph to your algorithm for you to find the minimum spanning tree. Once you return your set of edges, our starter code will process your set and fill in "walls" between any neighbors that are not directly connected by an edge. The resulting maze will be displayed in the GUI. Once you've generated a maze, you can run your path-finding algorithms to search for paths between two points in the maze.

Implementing this function raises some tricky questions, such as:

- How will you keep track of which nodes are in each cluster?
- How will you determine which cluster a node belongs to?
- How will you merge together two clusters?

Think about these issues yourself and come up with a clean and efficient way of solving the problem. Our own sample solution is able to generate "Large" sized mazes in a few seconds' time at most, and you should strive for similar efficiency. If your maze generation algorithm takes, say, close to a minute or more to finish, optimize it.

Development Strategy and Hints:

- Trace through the algorithms by hand on small sample graphs before coding them. Many bugs in coding these algorithms come from not perfectly understanding how they are supposed to behave. Being able to trace through them will make it easier to implement and debug them. See the lecture and section examples.
- Work **step-by-step**. Get each algorithm working before starting on the next one. You can test each function individually using our provided client program, even if others are blank or incomplete. We suggest working on DFS/BFS before Dijkstra's algorithm, and then doing A*, and finally Kruskal's algorithm.
- When writing Dijkstra's algorithm, be careful to keep track of which vertices are already in the priority queue and which vertices have not yet been enqueued. You cannot call **changePriority** on a vertex that is not already in the queue. You also cannot call **changePriority** with a priority that is less urgent (greater) than the vertex's existing priority in the queue; if you do either of these things, you will receive an error.
- Start out with **tiny worlds** first. It is much easier to trace your algorithm and/or print every step of its execution if the world is small. Once your output matches perfectly on tiny files, go to small, medium, large.
- Remember that edge costs are doubles, not ints.
- Although A* search enqueues vertices into the priority queue with a priority based on both the vertex candidate distances and their heuristic values, it tracks their candidate distances independently of their heuristic costs. When storing the candidate distance to a vertex, do not add the heuristic value in. The heuristic is only used when setting the priorities in the priority queue.
- Don't forget to adjust **previous** pointers in Dijkstra's algorithm or A* search after calling **changePriority**. Otherwise, though you'll dequeue the vertices in the proper order, your resulting path might end up incorrect.
- Dijkstra's algorithm has found the shortest path from the start vertex to the end only when the end vertex has been dequeued from the priority queue (that is, when it colors the vertex green). It is possible to *enqueue* the end vertex into the priority queue but still not have a shortest path to it, since there might be a shorter path to the end vertex that has not been considered yet. So don't stop your algorithm early when you enqueue the ending vertex; at that point in the code, you haven't found the best path to the end yet.
- When merging clusters together in Kruskal's algorithm, remember that *every* vertex in the same cluster as either endpoint (not just the endpoints themselves) should be merged together into one resulting cluster.

Style Guidelines and Grading:

In general, items mentioned in the "Implementation and Grading" from the previous assignment(s) specs also apply here. Please refer to those documents as needed. Note the instructions in the previous assignments about procedural decomposition, variables, types, parameters, value vs. reference, and commenting. Don't forget to **cite any sources** you used in your comments. Refer to the course **Style Guide** for a more thorough discussion of good coding style.

Part of your grade will come from appropriately implementing the graph algorithms as described in class. Redundancy is another major grading focus; avoid repeated logic as much as possible.

Your code should have no **memory leaks**. In general you should not need to free anything because your code should not need to use the **new** operator. If for some reason you do allocate dynamic memory using **new**, free it as soon as you are done using the related object. Kruskal's algorithm is particularly prone to memory leaks if not implemented carefully; be sure to free any objects you created using **new** that are not returned out to the caller.

As for **commenting**, place a descriptive comment header on each file you submit. Place detailed comment headers next to every function explaining its purpose, parameters, what it returns, any exceptions it throws, assumptions it makes, etc. Also place inline comments as needed on any complex code inside the function bodies.

Please remember to follow the **Honor Code** on this assignment. Submit your own work; do not look at others' solutions. Cite sources. Do not give out your solution; do not place a solution on a public web site or forum.

Possible Extra Features:

There are several extra features you can layer on top of this assignment. Here are a few to consider:

- Implement a disjoint-set forest: When implementing Kruskal's algorithm, you need a way to keep track of which vertices in the graph are connected to one another. While it's possible to do this using the standard collections types, there is an extremely simple and much faster way to do this using a disjoint-set forest, a specialized data structure that makes it easy to determine if two vertices are connected and to connect pairs of vertices. It is not particularly hard to code up a disjoint-set forest, and doing so can dramatically reduce time required to create a maze.
- Write better heuristics: The heuristics we have provided for estimating terrain costs and maze distances are simple admissible heuristics that work reasonably well. Try seeing if you can modify these functions to produce more accurate heuristics. If you do this correctly, you can dramatically cut down on the amount of unnecessary searching required. However, make sure that your heuristics are admissible that is, they should never overestimate the distance from any vertex to the destination vertex.
- Implement bidirectional search: A common alternative to using A* search is to use a bidirectional search algorithm, in which you search outward from both the start and end vertices simultaneously. As soon as the two searches find a vertex in common, you can construct a path from the start vertex to the end vertex by joining the two paths to that vertex together. Try coding this algorithm up as a fifth algorithm choice.
- Choose a different maze-generation algorithm: Kruskal's algorithm is only one of many ways to generate a random maze. As you saw in lecture, depth-first search can also be used to generate mazes. Another minimum spanning tree algorithm called Prim's algorithm can also be used here to generate random mazes. Try adding Prim's algorithm in addition to Kruskal's algorithm for maze generation. Can you generate more complicated mazes?
- Write a better terrain generator: Our starter code generates terrain uses the diamond-square algorithm, coupled with a Gaussian blur, to generate terrains. Many other algorithms exist that can generate random terrains, such as the 2D Perlin Noise algorithm. Try implementing a different terrain generator and see if it produces better results.
- Other: If you have your own creative idea for an extra feature, ask your SL and/or the instructor about it.

Indicating that you have done extra features: If you complete any extra features, then in the comment heading on the top of your program, please list all extra features that you worked on and where in the code they can be found (what functions, lines, etc. so that the grader can look at their code easily).

Submitting a program with extra features: Since we use automated testing for part of our grading process, it is important that you submit a program that conforms to the preceding spec, even if you want to do extra features. If your extra feature(s) cause your program to change the output that it produces in such a way that it no longer matches the expected sample output test cases provided, you should submit your program twice: a first time without any extra features added (or with all necessary extra features disabled or commented out), and a second time with the extra features enabled. Please distinguish them in by explaining which is which in the comment header. Our turnin system saves every submission you make, so if you make multiple submissions we will be able to view all of them; your previously files will not be lost or overwritten.