

## **Protocol Audit Report**

Version 1.0

Rutvik

Protocol Audit Report December 16, 2023

## **Protocol Audit Report**

## Rutvik Gujarati

December 16, 2023

Prepared by: [Rutvik Gujarati] Lead Auditors: - xxxxxxx

## **Table of Contents**

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
  - High
    - \* [H-1] STORING THE PASSWORD ON ON-CHAIN USING PRIVATE KEYWORD IS VISIBLE TO EVERYONE, IT IS NO LONGER PRIVATE FOR ONLY OWNER.
  - Impact and Likelihood:
    - \* [H-2] passwordStore:: Setpassword() has no access, anyone can change the password.
  - Impact and Likelihood:

- Informational
  - [I-1] The passwordStore:: getPassword() indicates that doesn't exists, it causing the netspec to be incorrect
  - Impact and Likelihood:

## **Protocol Summary**

A smart contract application for storing a password. Users should be able to store a password and then retrieve it later. Others should not be able to access the password.

## Disclaimer

I makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by me is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

## **Risk Classification**

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
	High	Н	H/M	М
Likelihood	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

## **Audit Details**

## Finding described in this document correspond with commit hash:

- Commit Hash: 2e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990##Scope
- --> PasswordStore.sol

#### Roles

Owner: who can set the password and read the password. Outsiders: no one else can be able to set and read the password.

## **Executive Summary**

-> Learnign Phase of Auditing with Cyfrin Audit Course(Patric Collains)

#### **Issues found**

Severity	Number of Issues Found	
High	2	
Medium	0	
Low	0	
Info	1	
Total	3	

## **Findings**

## High

# [H-1] STORING THE PASSWORD ON ON-CHAIN USING PRIVATE KEYWORD IS VISIBLE TO EVERYONE, IT IS NO LONGER PRIVATE FOR ONLY OWNER.

**Description:** Data that stored on on-chain it is publically accesseble no metter that has visibility provided by contract. It only worked for contract not for humans readability.

The PasswordStore::s\_password vaiable is intented to a private variable and only access through PasswordStore::getpassword() function, which should be only call by only owner.

**Impact:** Anyonne can read the Private password, severly breaking the fucntionality of the protocol.

**Proof of Concept:** (proof of code)

- 1. create a local running chain make anvil
- 2. deploy contract locally make deploy
- 3. run the storge tool

use 1 because s\_password is in second storage slot

```
cast storage <Contract Address> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

output: Contract-pass which is the user password.

**Recommended Mitigation:** One could encrypt the password off-chain and then store encrypted password on-cahin. It could require user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the password. Remove view fucntion for user to accedentilly send a transaction with the password that decrypt your password.

#### **Impact and Likelihood:**

Impact: HIGHLikelihood: HIGHSeverity: HIGH

[H-2] passwordStore:: Setpassword() has no access, anyone can change the password.

**Description:** This passwordStore:: Setpassword() function is set to be an external function however, This function has no allowance of ownership.

**Impact:** Anyone can set/change the conrtact password. that may break the contract functionality.

**Proof of Concept:** add below test code into the passwordStore.t.sol

Code

```
function anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddr)public {
    vm.assume(randomAddr != owner);
    vm.prank(randomAddr);
    string memory ExpectPass = "MyPassword";
    passwordStore.setPassword(ExpectPass);

    vm.prank(owner);
    string memory ActualPass= passwordStore.getPassword();
    assertEq(ActualPass, ExpectPass);
}

Recommended Mitigation: Add an additional access control in PasswordStore:: setPassword()

if(msg.sender!= owner){
    rever Error;
}
```

#### Impact and Likelihood:

Impact: HIGHLikelihood: HIGHSeverity: HIGH

## **Informational**

[I-1] The passwordStore:: getPassword() indicates that doesn't exists, it causing the netspec to be incorrect

#### **Description:**

```
// @param newPassword The new password to set.
function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
```

The `passwordStore:: getPassword()` function signature is getpassword() which netspec say it should be `getPassword(string)`

**Impact:** The netspec is incorrect.

**Recommended Mitigation:** Remove the incorrect netspec line

\* @param newPassword The new password to set.

## Impact and Likelihood:

Impact: NONELikelihood: HIGH

• Severity: Informational/Gas/Non-Crits