

# Pankaj Kumar Mishr vs The State Of Madhya Pradesh on 10 March, 2025

**Author: Maninder S. Bhatti**

**Bench: Maninder S. Bhatti**

NEUTRAL CITATION NO. 2025:MPHC-JBP:11649

1  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH  
AT JABALPUR  
BEFORE  
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE MANINDER S. BHATTI  
ON THE 10th OF MARCH, 2025  
MISC. CRIMINAL CASE No. 10280 of 2025  
PANKAJ KUMAR MISHR  
Versus  
THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH  
Appearance:  
Shri V.C. Rai - Advocate for the applicant.  
Ms. Nupur Dhamija - Dy. GA for the State.

ORDER

This is second application filed by the applicant under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail relating to FIR/Crime No. 24/2024 dated 16.1.2024 registered at Police Station Mangawan, District Rewa for the offences punishable under Sections 294, 307, 341 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. The counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. Earlier application of the applicant filed vide M.Cr.C. No. 17279 of 2024 was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 10.5.2024 with liberty to revisit this Court at appropriate stage. It is submitted that after dismissal of the previous application, statement of the injured has been recorded before the trial Court and even an application for compounding of the offence has been moved. However, the trial Court observed that the application for compounding of the offences NEUTRAL CITATION NO. 2025:MPHC-JBP:11649 2 MCRC-10280-2025 shall be considered at the time of final hearing of the case. It is submitted that the applicant is in custody since 17.1.2024 for more than one year and trial will take considerable time to conclude, therefore, the applicant be released on bail.

3. Per contra, counsel for the State has opposed the application and submitted that the applicant is a recidivist. In past as many as 6 cases were registered against him and even the proceedings under

the M.P. Rajya Suraksha Adhiniyam, 1990 were also taken recourse to and the applicant was directed to remain outside the territorial limits of the Districts mentioned in the order for a period of one year. It is submitted that if the applicant is released on bail, in all likelihood, he will again commit the same kind of offences. Therefore, no case for bail is made out to the applicant.

4. Heard the submissions and perused the case diary.

5. Considering the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody of the applicant and also the factum of settlement between the parties, which reflects from the order-sheets of the trial Court, this Court deems it to be a fit case to release the applicant on bail. Therefore, without expressing any view on the merits of the case, the application is allowed.

6. It is directed that the applicant shall be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond in a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court concerned for his appearance before the said Court on all such dates as may be fixed in this regard during the pendency of trial.

7. It is further directed that the applicant shall comply with the NEUTRAL CITATION NO. 2025:MPHC-JBP:11649 3 MCRC-10280-2025 provisions of Section 480(3) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

8. The applicant is directed to appear before the SHO concerned in the first week of every month without fail. The SHO concerned shall monitor the conduct of the applicant and if any overt act is noticed at the behest of the applicant, he shall immediately report the same to the trial Court concerned and the trial Court concerned shall be at liberty to take steps for arrest of the applicant.

(MANINDER S. BHATTI) JUDGE PB