

# Jashanpreet Singh vs State Of Punjab on 20 March, 2025

Neutral Citation No:=2025:PHHC:038080

CRM-M-9530-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-9530-2025 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 20.03.2025

Jashanpreet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Bikramjit Singh Baath, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.67 dated 07.09.2024 under Sections 305 & 331(4) of the BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Rangar Nangal, District Gurdaspur.

2. On 19.02.2025, the following order was passed:-

"XX XX XX XX Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR (supra) and he has been nominated as accused on the basis of disclosure statement made by co-accused before the police while in police custody. Such statement made by co-accused before the police in police custody is not admissible in evidence, as the same is hit by Section 25 of Indian Evidence Act, 1872 (now Section 23(1)(2) of Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023). further, the petitioner was not found to be present at the place of 1 of 3 Neutral Citation No:=2025:PHHC:038080 occurrence in the CCTV footage. The petitioner is having clean antecedents and is not involved in any other case.

Notice of motion for 20.03.2025.

Keeping in view the ratio of law enunciated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI (2022) 10 SCC 51; Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and others 2010 SCC OnLine SC 137; Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia etc. Vs. State of Punjab (1980) 2 SCC 565, Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014) 8 SCC 273 and Sushila Aggarwal Vs. State of NCT Delhi 2020 (1) RCR (Criminal) 833, the petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter, as directed by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioner will be admitted to interim anticipatory bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating/Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation/Arresting Officer and abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973).

If the Arresting Officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, he would appear before learned Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Arresting Officer and direct him to join the petitioner in the investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion by this Court and learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law."

2 of 3 Neutral Citation No:=2025:PHHC:038080

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Avtar Singh, at the very outset, informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 19.02.2025 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973).

5. The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)  
JUDGE

20.03.2025  
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No

