## Brajmohan Mehta vs The State Of Madhya Pradesh on 31 January, 2025

**Author: Maninder S. Bhatti** 

Bench: Maninder S. Bhatti

NEUTRAL CITATION NO. 2025:MPHC-GWL:2156

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT GWALIOR
BEFORE
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE MANINDER S. BHATTI
ON THE 31st OF JANUARY, 2025
MISC. CRIMINAL CASE No. 3458 of 2025
BRAJMOHAN MEHTA
Versus
THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

Appearance:

Shri Satyendra Singh Rajput - Advocate for the applican

Shri Abhishek Singh Bhadauria - Public Prosecutor for t

**ORDER** 

1

This is first bail application filed by the applicant under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail relating to FIR/Crime No. 196/2024 registered at Police Station - Bamori, District - Guna (M.P.) for the offence punishable under Section 24 of M.P. State Ayurvigyan Parishad Adhiniyam.

- 2. The counsel for the applicant contends that previously the present applicant was given a notice under Section 35 BNSS and in pursuance to which, the present applicant fully cooperated with the authorities, therefore, the applicant's arrest is at all not required. Thus, the counsel submits that the applicant deserves to be enlarged on anticipatory bail.
- 3. The counsel for the State has opposed the prayer and submitted that the present applicant in absence of qualifications, treating the patient by allopathic medicine for which the applicant is not entitled. Thus, the present NEUTRAL CITATION NO. 2025:MPHC-GWL:2156 2 MCRC-3458-2025 application deserves to be dismissed.
- 4. Heard the submissions and perused the case diary.

- 5. Looking to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation levelled against the applicant, this Court deems it proper to release the applicant on anticipatory bail. Therefore, without commenting on the merits of the case, the application is allowed.
- 6. It is directed that in the event of arrest, the applicant shall be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing a personal bond in a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting Officer/trial Court concerned for his appearance before him during the course of investigation or before the trial Court during course of trial, as the case may be, during the pendency of trial.
- 7. It is further directed that the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(MANINDER S. BHATTI) JUDGE vpn/-