

## GUIDELINES FOR REGISTRATION OF SIMILAR BIOTHERAPEUTIC PRODUCTS

Rwanda Food June 2020 Drugs Authority

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

#### **GUIDELINES DEVELOPMENT HISTORY**

DRAFT ZERO BY COUNSULTANTS	25 June 2019
ADOPTION BY RWANDA FDA	13 February 2020
STAKEHOLDERS CONSULTATION	17 February 2020
ADOPTION OF STAKEHOLDERS' COMMENTS	24 February 2020
DATE FOR COMING INTO EFFECT	20 June 2020



# RWANDA FDA

	Food and D		
Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023	
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020		

#### **FOREWORD**

Rwanda Food and Drugs Authority (Rwanda FDA) is a regulatory body established by the Law N° 003/2018 of 09/02/2018. One of the functions of Rwanda FDA is to regulate matters related to quality, safety and efficacy of Similar Biotherapeutic products in order to protect public health by increasing their access and availability.

Considering the provisions of the technical regulation N° CBD/TRG/010 governing the registration of medicinal products especially in its articles 6, 7, 8, 9, 12 and 32, the authority has to issue *Guidelines N° DHT/GDL/013 for registration of Similar Biotherapeutic products*.

The Authority adopted the Common Technical Document (CTD) Guidelines on submission of Documentation for registration of Similar Biotherapeutic Products in Common Technical Document (CTD) format. However, due to nature of SBP, some Common Technical Document (CTD)s sections described in the Guidelines for the Registration of Biotherapeutic products are not applicable.

These guidelines are intended to provide guidance on issues to consider when demonstrating that a proposed biological product is similar to, a reference biotherapeutic product already registered and well established for purposes of submitting a marketing authorization application. These guidelines apply to well-established and well-characterized biotherapeutic products such as recombinant DNA-derived therapeutic proteins.

The Authority acknowledges all the efforts of key stakeholders who participated in the development and validation of these guidelines.



Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	6
INTRODUCTION	15
1.1 THE CONCEPT OF SIMILAR BIOTHERAPEUTIC PRODUCTS	
2. GENERAL INFORMATION	19
2.1 General Requirements	
2.2 Consideration for the Choice of RBP	19
2.3.PRODUCT SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS	20
2.5. SCIENTIFIC GUIDELINES APPLICAB <mark>LE TO AL</mark> L SIMILAR BIOTHERAPEU	JTIC
PRODUCT	22
3.1 QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE PARTICULARS	25
3.2 MANUFACTURING PROCESS	25
3.3 ANALYTICAL COMPARABILITY STUDIES	27
3.4 ANALYTICAL PROCEDURE/TECHNIQUE/PRODUCT CHARACTERIZATION	27
3.5 CONTAINER CLOSURE SYSTEM	
3.6 PRODUCT STABILITY	29
MODULE 4: NON CLINICAL STUDY	31
4.1 SPECIAL CONSIDERATION	31
4.2 Pharmacodynamics	32
4.3 Toxicology	
5.1 Pharmacokinetic (PK) studies	35
5.2 PHARMACODYNAMICS (PD) STUDIES	36
5.3 CLINICAL EFFICACY TRIALS	37
5.4 CLINICAL SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS	37
5.5 CLINICAL IMMUNOGENICITY	38
5.6 PHARMACOVIGILANCE	<b>4</b> 1
ANNEX I: APPLICATION FORM FOR REGISTRATION OF SIMIL	LAR
BIOTHERAPEUTIC PRODUCTS (SBPS)	15

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

1.16.1	
1.16.2	
Name(s) and physical address(es) of the manufacturer(s) o (Add as many rows as necessary)	47
REFERENCES	51
	A FDA
Rwanda Food and I	
oc. No : DHT/GDL/013 Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

#### ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

**CHMP** 

BMRs Batch Manufacturing Records

**BMWP** Biologicals Monitoring Working Party

CA Clinical Assessor

Committee for Medicinal Products for Human

Use

CMC Chemistry, Manufacturing and Controls

**DNA/ rDNA**Deoxyribonucleic Acid/Recombinant DNA

**EAC** East African Community

**EMA** European Medicines Agency

**EU** European Union

GCP Good Clinical Practice

GLP Good Laboratory Practice

GMP Good Manufacturing Practice

ICH International Council for Harmonization

INN International Non-proprietary Names

MOA Mechanism of Action

NCE New Chemical Entity

NMRA National Medicines Regulatory Authority

PBRER Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report

Ph. Eur European Pharmacopeia

PK/PD Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic

**RBP** Reference Biotherapeutic Product

**RMP** Risk Management Plan

**Rwanda FDA** Rwanda Food and Drugs Authority

SBP Similar Biotherapeutic Product

WHO World Health Organization

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

#### **DEFINITIONS**

The definitions provided below apply to the words and phrases used in these guidelines. The following definitions are provided to facilitate interpretation of the guidelines. In these Guidelines, unless the context otherwise states:

"Antibody" means a spectrum of proteins of the immunoglobulin family that is produced, in the human (or animal) body, in response to an antigen (e.g., a virus or bacterium, or a foreign protein unknown to the body's immune system). Antibodies are able to combine with and neutralize the antigen, as well as to stimulate the immune system for defense reactions.

"Antigen" means a substance that causes the immune system to produce antibodies against it.

"Drug substance "means an antigenic substances (or compounds thereof) that can induce specific responses in human against infectious agents, its antigens and toxins..

"Applicant" means the product owner or license holder. Representatives of license holders may not hold themselves as applicants unless they own the product.

"Local Technical Representative (LTR)" means any applicant who is not resident in Rwanda shall appoint a local technical representative who must be a company incorporated in Rwanda and authorized by Rwanda FDA to deal in SBPs and must hold a wholesale operating license. The appointment shall be notified to the Authority by submitting a letter of appointment supported by original copy of power of attorney duly notarised in country of origin, and registered with registrar of Companies in Rwanda.

"Manufacture" means all operations that involve preparation, processing, filling transforming, packaging, and repackaging and labelling of medicinal products.

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

"Manufacturer" is a person or firm that is engaged in the manufacture of Similar Biotherapeutic Products. It involves operations such as production, packaging, repackaging, labelling and relabeling of pharmaceuticals.

"Batch/Lot" means a defined quantity of starting material, packaging material or product processed in one process or series of processes so that it can be expected to be homogenous.

"Bioequivalence" means that two proprietary preparations of a drug, when administered in the same dose and by the same route, will have the same bioavailability, duration of action and efficacy.

"Biotechnology" means a set of tools that employ living organism (or part of organism) to make or modify products, to improve plants and animals, or to develop microorganisms for specific uses Or a collection of technologies that use living cells and/or biological molecules to solve problems or make useful products.

"Cell bank" means a facility that stores cells of specific genome for the purpose of future use in a product or medicinal needs.

"Chemically synthesized polypeptide" means any alpha amino acid polymer that is (a) made entirely by chemical synthesis, and (b) is less than 100 amino acids in size.

"CMC (Chemistry, Manufacturing and Controls)" means the section of a submission dealing with the substance properties, manufacturing and quality control, intended for evaluating the provided information in the context of the current standards in chemical science and technology, and the current regulations.

"Comparability Exercise" means the activities including study design, conduct of studies, and evaluation of data, that are designed to investigate whether the products are comparable (head to head comparison).

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

"Conformance to specification" means that the drug substance and drug product, when tested according to the listed analytical procedures, will meet the acceptance criteria.

"Bio therapeutic product". A biological medicinal product with the indications of treating human diseases

"Equivalent" means equal or virtually identical in the parameter of interest. Small non-relevant differences may exist. Equivalent efficacy of two medicinal products means they have similar (no better or no worse) efficacy and any observed differences are of no clinical relevance.

"Genetic engineering" means the technique by which heritable material, which does not usually occur or will not occur naturally in the organism or cell concerned, generated outside the organism or the cell is inserted into said cell or organism. It shall also mean the formation of new combinations of genetic material by incorporation of a cell into a host cell, where they occur naturally (self-cloning) as well as modification of an organism or in a cell by deletion and removal of parts of the heritable material.

"Head-to-head comparison" means the direct comparison of the properties of the similar biologic with the reference biologic in the same study.

"ICH" means International council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. ICH is a project that brings together the regulatory authorities of Europe, Japan and the United States and experts from the pharmaceutical industry in the three regions to discuss scientific and technical aspects of product registration. The purpose is to make recommendations on ways to achieve greater harmonization in the interpretation and application of technical guidelines and requirements for product registration in order to reduce or obviate the need to duplicate the

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

testing carried out during the research and development of new medicines. For more information, see <a href="http://www.ich.org/">http://www.ich.org/</a>.

"Immunogenic" means any substance that is recognized as foreign by the immune system in a (particular) higher organism and induces an immune response which may include the formation of antibodies and developing immunity, hypersensitivity to the antigen, and tolerance.

"Immunogenicity" means the ability of a substance to trigger an immune response or reaction (e.g., development of specific antibodies, T cell response, allergic or anaphylactic reaction).

"Impurity" means any component present in the drug substance or drug product that is not the desired product, a product-related substance, or excipients including buffer components. It may be either process- or product-related.

"Innovator Product" means a means a new chemical entity which has received a patent on its chemical formulation or manufacturing process, obtains chemical formulation or manufacturing process, obtains approval from a regulatory authority after extensive testing and is sold under a brand name.

"In-process control or Process control" means checks performed during production to monitor and, if appropriate, to adjust the process and/or to ensure that the intermediate or API conforms to its specifications.

**Interchangeability"** is the medical practice of changing one medicine for another that is expected to achieve the same clinical effect in a given clinical setting and in any patient on the initiative, or with the agreement of the prescriber. For interchangeable products, one or the other can be used (prescribed) but these products cannot be substituted with one

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

another during a treatment period. Hence, interchangeability does not imply substitutability.

"International Non-proprietary Name (INN)" means the approved chemical name of the product.

"Non-clinical (Pre-clinical)" means during pre-clinical drug development, a sponsor evaluates the drug's toxic and pharmacologic effects through in vitro and in vivo laboratory animal testing. Generally, genotoxicity screening is performed, as well as investigations on drug absorption and metabolism, the toxicity of the drug's metabolites, and the speed with which the drug and its metabolites are excreted from the body.

"Pharmacopoeias" means a current edition of British Pharmacopoeia, (BP), European Pharmacopoeia, (Ph.Eur), International Pharmacopoeia, (IP), United States Pharmacopoeia, (USP), Japanese Pharmacopoeia (JP).

"Pharmacovigilance" means, the science and activities relating to the detection, assessment, understanding and prevention of adverse effects or any other drug related problems. The decision to approve a drug is based on a satisfactory balance of benefits and risks within the conditions specified in the product labeling. This decision is based on the information available at the time of approval. The knowledge related to the safety profile of the product can change over time through expanded use in terms of patient populations and the number of patients exposed. In particular, during the early post-marketing period the product might be used in settings different from clinical trials and a much larger population might be exposed in a relatively short timeframe. Detailed evaluation of the information generated through pharmacovigilance activities is important for all products to ensure their safe use.

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

"Protein" means any alpha amino acid polymer with a specific defined sequence that is greater than 40 amino acid in size.

#### "Reference Biotherapeutic Product"

A reference biotherapeutic product is used as the comparator for head-to-head comparability studies with the similar biotherapeutic product in order to show similarity in terms of quality, safety and efficacy. Only an originator product that was licensed on the basis of a full registration dossier can serve as a RBP. It does not refer to measurement standards such as international, pharmacopoeial, or national standards or reference standards.

"Similar Biotherapeutic Product" means a new biotherapeutic product claimed to be similar" to an already approved reference biotherapeutic product, which is marketed by an independent applicant, subject to all applicable data protection periods and/or intellectual property rights in the innovator product. The requirements for the registration of similar biotherapeutic product are based on the demonstration of similarity (i.e. no clinically meaningful difference between the similar biotherapeutic product and the reference biotherapeutic product) in terms of quality, safety and efficacy to an already registered, reference biological product.

"Similar" means absence of a relevant difference in the parameter of interest.

"Similarity" means if a company chooses to develop a new biological product claimed to be "similar" to a reference product, comparative studies are needed to generate evidence substantiating the similar nature, in terms of quality, safety and efficacy, of the new similar biological product and the chosen reference product.

"Specification" means a list of tests, references to analytical procedures, and appropriate acceptance criteria which are numerical limits, ranges, or other criteria for the tests

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

described. It establishes the set of criteria to which a drug substance, drug product or materials at other stages of its manufacture should conform to be considered acceptable for its intended use.

"Substitution" Practice of dispensing one medicine instead of another equivalent and interchangeable medicine at the pharmacy level without consulting the prescriber Switching Decision by the treating physician to exchange one medicine for another medicine with the same therapeutic intent in patients who are undergoing treatment

"Validation" The process of demonstrating that the system (or process) under consideration meets in all respects the specification of that system or process. Also, the process of evaluating a system or component during or at the end of the development process to determine whether it satisfies specified requirements.

"Variation" means a change in the indication(s), dosage recommendation(s), drug classification and/or patient group(s) for a previously registered drug being marketed under the same name in Rwanda. A variation also includes, but is not limited to, a change in the product name, site of manufacture and/or source of ingredients.

"Well-characterized biologic" A well-characterized biologic is a chemical entity whose identity, purity, impurities, potency and quantity can be determined and controlled. Most of these products are recombinant DNA-derived proteins or monoclonal antibodies. For DNA-derived proteins, determining identity requires establishing the primary and secondary structures, including amino acid sequence, disulfide linkages (if possible), and post-translational modifications such as glycosylation (the attachment of carbohydrate side chains to the protein). Monoclonal antibodies can be identified with rigorous physicochemical and immunochemical assays. Purity and impurities must be quantifiable, with impurities being identified if possible; the biological activity and the quantity must be measurable.

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

**Well-established biotherapeutic product:** A biotherapeutic product that has been marketed for a suitable period of time with a proven quality, efficacy and safety.



# RWANDA FDA

	Food and		
Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023	LLJ
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020		

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.0 Background

Rwanda Food and Drugs Authority (Rwanda FDA) is established by the Law N° 003/2018 of 09/02/2018, especially in its article 8 and 9;

Considering the provisions of the technical regulation N° CBD/TRG/010 governing the registration of human medicinal products especially in its articles 6, 7, 8, 9, 12 and 32, the Authority has issued Guidelines No DHT/GDL/013 on submission of documentation for registration of Similar Biotherapeutic products.

Biotherapeutics are molecules derived from biotechnology methods or other cutting-edge technologies. They were introduced on the market in the early 1980s, setting new milestones in modern pharmaceutical therapy that improve quality of life for many patients with life-threatening, serious, chronic and debilitating diseases.

Biotherapeutic products are large, highly complex molecular entities manufactured using living cells and are inherently variable. The manufacturing process is highly complex and critical to defining the characteristics of the final product. Maintaining batch-to-batch consistency is a challenge. Subtle variations in the production or even transport or storage conditions may potentially result in an altered safety and efficacy profile of the final product.

Based on the current analytical techniques, two biologics produced by different manufacturing processes cannot be shown to be identical, but similar at best. Therefore, the term Similar Biotherapeutic Products (SBP) is appropriate. For these reasons, the standard generic approach is scientifically not applicable to development of SBPs and additional non-clinical and clinical data are usually required. Immunogenicity of SBP is of concern from clinical and safety perspective. Clinical trials and a robust post-market

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

surveillance/pharmacovigilance plan are essential to guarantee that the product is safe and efficacious over time.

These guidelines were developed to describe the regulatory framework for SBPs in Rwanda, which align with current global regulation of SBPs. It is intended to guide applicants on the Chemistry, Manufacturing and Control (CMC) section of a marketing application for a proposed SBP. The marketing application must include information demonstrating biosimilarity, based on data derived from, among other things, analytical studies that demonstrate that the biological is highly similar to the RBP notwithstanding minor differences in clinically inactive components.

Although the regulatory framework applies generally to biological products, this guidance document focuses on SBP and provides an overview of the quality, non-clinical and clinical factors to consider in demonstrating biosimilarity between a proposed biological product and the reference product.

SBPs can be approved based in part on an exercise to demonstrate similarity to an already approved RBP. The same RBP should be used throughout the comparability program in order to generate coherent data and conclusions. Comparative quality, non-clinical and clinical studies are needed to substantiate the similarity of structure/composition, quality, safety and efficacy between the biosimilar and the reference product. The pharmaceutical form, strength/concentration and route of administration should be the same as that of the reference product. Any differences between the similar biotherapeutic product and the reference biotherapeutic product should be justified by appropriate studies.

#### **References:**

WHO TRS 977, Annex 2, i.e. WHO biosimilar guidelines

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

#### 1.1 The concept of similar biotherapeutic products

The concept of a Similar Biotherapeutic Product (SBP) applies to biological drug submission in which the manufacture would be based on demonstrated similarity to a Reference Biotherapeutic Product (RBP).

The rationale for creating the new regulatory framework to evaluate SBP is that biotherapeutic products claimed to be highly similar to a reference product do not usually meet all the conditions to be considered as a generic product. The term generic medicine is used for chemically derived products which are identical and therapeutically equivalent to the innovator product. For such generics, demonstration of bioequivalence with the innovator product is usually appropriate to infer therapeutic equivalence. However, this procedure cannot be used for SBP. The large and complex molecular structure of biologics makes them difficult to adequately characterize in the laboratory.

Based on the comparability approach and when supported by state-of-the-art analytical systems, the comparability exercise at the quality level may allow a reduction of the non-clinical and clinical data requirements compared to a full dossier. This in turn, depends on the clinical experience with the substance class and will be a case by case approach.

The aim of the biosimilar approach is to demonstrate close similarity of the 'similar biotherapeutic product' in terms of quality, safety and efficacy to one chosen reference medicinal product, subsequently referring to the respective dossier.

#### **1.2.** Scope

These guidelines apply to well-characterized and established molecules, their derivatives and products of which they are components, and which are isolated from microorganisms, tissues, body fluids, cell cultures, or produced using rDNA technology. Thus, the document covers the generation and submission of efficacy, Potency, stability and toxicological data

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

for biotherapeutic products such as cytokines (interferons, interleukins, colony-stimulating factors, tumour necrosis factors), erythropoietins, plasminogen activators, growth hormones and growth factors, insulins, and monoclonal antibodies.

The document does not cover Conventional drugs, allergenic extracts, vaccines, blood and blood products, heparins, and in-vitro diagnostics.



Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

#### 2. GENERAL INFORMATION

#### 2.1 General Requirements

For general requirements of application for registration of SBPs reference should be made to the Authority's guidelines on submission of documentation for registration of Similar Biotherapeutic Products, available at the Authority's website by dully filling an application form in annex I (*Refer to the annex-I document N° DHT/FOM/034*)

SBP submission must follow the format described in the authority's Guidelines on submission of documentation for the Registration of Similar Biotherapeutic products. Due to nature of SBP, some sections described in the Guidelines for the Registration of Similar Biotherapeutic products are not applicable.

#### 2.2 Consideration for the Choice of RBP

The aim of the SBP approach is to demonstrate close similarity of the SBP product in terms of quality, safety and efficacy to a RBP

The following should be considered in selecting RBP;

- a. The RBP should have been marketed for a suitable duration and have a volume of marketed use such that the demonstration of similarity to it, brings into substantial body acceptable data regarding the safety and efficacy.
- b. The manufacturer must demonstrate that the chosen RBP is suitable to support the application for marketing authorization of SBP.
- c. The RBP should have been licensed on the basis of full quality, safety, and efficacy data. An SBP should therefore *not* be chosen as an RBP.
- d. The same RBP should be used throughout the development of the SBP (i.e. throughout the comparative quality, nonclinical, and clinical studies).

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

- e. The active ingredient of the RBP and the SBP must be shown to be similar.
- f. The dosage form and route of administration of the SBP should be the same as that of the RBP.

The following factors should be considered in the choice of an RBP that is marketed in another jurisdiction:

- The RBP should be licensed and widely marketed in another jurisdiction that has a
  well-established regulatory framework and principles, as well as considerable
  experience of evaluation of biotherapeutic products and post-marketing surveillance
  activities.
- 2. The acceptance of an RBP for evaluation of an SBP does not imply that the Authority has approved the RBP for use.

#### 2.3. PRODUCT SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS

It should be recognized that there may be subtle differences between SBPs from different manufacturers or compared with reference products, which may not be fully apparent until greater experience in their use have been established. Therefore, in order to support pharmacovigilance monitoring, the specific SBPs given to patient should be clearly labeled and identified (by the brand name) by the prescriber.

Application submitted for the registration of SBPs should contain, among other things, data demonstrating that the SBP is similar to a RBP which should be derived from:-

- a) Analytical assessment (physicochemical and functional studies) demonstrating the biological product is highly similar to the reference product regardless of minor differences in clinically inactive components.
- b) Animal studies, including the assessment of toxicity.
- c) A clinical study or studies, including the assessment of immunogenicity and pharmacokinetics or pharmacodynamics, that are sufficient to demonstrate safety,

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

purity, and potency in one or more appropriate indications of use for which the reference product is registered and intended to be used and for which registration is sought for the biological product.

d) Risk management/pharmacovigilance plans

#### 2.4. OTHER REQUIREMENTS

#### 2.4.1 Manufacturer's declaration

A document should be presented certifying that the information provided corresponds to all the studies performed, regardless of their results. This should include all the pertinent information regarding all toxicological and/or clinical tests or trials of the SBP that are incomplete or have been abandoned and/or completed tests related to indications not covered by the application.

The applicants intending to develop SBPs should meet with regulators in their country of origin to present their product development plans and establish a schedule of milestones that will serve as standards for future discussions with the respective regulators.

#### 2.4.2. Expert Report

Experts must provide detailed reports of the documents and particulars, which constitute sections 3, 4 and 5.

The requirement for these signed Expert Reports may be met by providing:

- i. The Quality Overall Summary, Non-clinical Overview/Summary
- ii. Clinical Overview/Summary
- iii. A declaration signed by the experts
- iv. Brief information on the educational background, training and occupational experience of the experts

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

Experts should additionally indicate in their declarations the extent, if any of their professional or other involvement with the applicant/dossier owner and confirm that the report has been prepared by them or if not, any assistance provided and by whom. Reports should be based on an independent assessment of the dossier and references must be provided for any additional claims not supported by the dossier.

### 2.5. SCIENTIFIC GUIDELINES APPLICABLE TO ALL SIMILAR BIOTHERAPEUTIC PRODUCT

For product specific guidance, applicants are encouraged to refer to the product specific guidelines available at the following websites:

References: EMA: http://www.ema.europa.eu

International council of Harmonisation (**ICH**) Guidelines: <a href="http://www.ich.org">http://www.ich.org</a>
WHO TRS 977, Annex 2, i.e. WHO biosimilar guidelines,

The submission must follow Common Technical Document (CTD)s format detailed in Rwanda FDA Guidelines for the Registration of Similar Biotherapeutic Products. Followings are requirements specific to SBP dossiers that are submitted for registration:

#### MODULE 1: ADMINISTRATIVE AND PRODUCT INFORMATION

Module 1 should contain all administrative information as stipulated in Guidelines for the Registration of Biotherapeutic products.

The applicant shall prepare and present the product dossier information in Common Technical Document (CTD) format with respect to the following requirements:

The application should be typed in English. Any document which is in any language other than English must be accompanied by a certified or notarized English translation.

The application must contain a complete index to the various appendices.

a) All pages of the application should be numbered in the style: page x of y.

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

- b) Payment of fees shall be made in accordance to regulation N° CBD/TRG/004 related to regulatory services tariffs/ fees and charges. The fees are for each respective product registration excluding transfer and other charges.
- c) The application should be submitted in CD-ROM or External Driver addressed to Rwanda FDA
- d) The PDF documents should be in OCR (Optical Character Recognition), selectable and searchable

Summary of Product characteristics (SmPC) for a similar biotherapeutic product should be provided in A4 size and real size copies (on a CD/DVD-ROM or external driver in MS-Word of the package insert that contains a Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) aimed at medical practitioners and other health professionals using the format outlined below.

Other information on SmPC should be consistent with the RBPs SmPC, any difference in the proposed SmPC vis-à-vis the RBPs SmPC, should be appropriately discussed and justified

Labeling of biosimilars should be individualized and should clearly indicate which clinical safety and efficacy data have been obtained with the biosimilars. (Data itself should not be included in the label, but studies need to be described). Furthermore, it should clearly be stated that the product is a biosimilar.

This section should follow the Rwanda FDA guidance on SmPC (DHT/GDL/001A)

# RWANDA FDA

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

#### **MODULE 2: OVERVIEW AND SUMMARIES**

The purpose of this module is to summarize the quality (chemical, pharmaceutical, and biological), nonclinical and clinical information presented in modules III, IV, and V in the market authorization application. The submission for this section will be as stipulated Guidelines for the Registration of Biotherapeutic products.

#### **MODULE 3: QUALITY**

The information requested under this section should be supplied in format stipulated in Rwanda FDA Guideline for the Registration of Biotherapeutic products.

The quality part of a SBP, like all other biological products should comply with established scientific and regulatory standards. SBP manufacturer should provide full information on Chemistry, manufacturing and control.

In addition, the SBP manufacturer is required to submit extensive data focused on the similarity, including comprehensive comparative(head – to- head) physicochemical, molecular and biological characterization (these may include bioassays, biological assays, binding assays, and enzyme kinetics) of the SBP and the RBP.

Information on the development studies conducted to establish the dosage form, the formulation, manufacturing process, stability study and container closure system including integrity to prevent microbial contamination and usage instructions should be documented.

A summary of the analytical results (these may be in a form of a report) on three consecutive batches of finished product must be provided to support the application for registration. These batches may be pilot or production batches. If they are pilot batches, they must be representative of production batches

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

#### 3.1 Qualitative and Quantitative Particulars

Qualitative and Quantitative Particulars of SBP shall be presented in a tabular form as indicated in Rwanda FDA Guidelines for the Registration of Biotherapeutic products.

A list of all components of the SBP and diluents (if applicable) should be given.

The quantities per dose should be stated. A clear description of the active ingredient including the name(s) of the active ingredient should be provided. The reason(s) for inclusion of each excipient and a justification for overages should also be stated.

Where applicable; special characteristics of excipients should be indicated. The type of water (e.g purified, demineralised), where relevant, should be indicated.

#### 3.2 Manufacturing process

The manufacturing process for SBP should be highly consistent and robust. The process should be developed and optimized taking into account state-of-the-art technology in relation to the manufacturing processes and consequences on product characteristics.

For the establishment and characterization of the cell banks, Rwanda FDA Guidelines for the Registration of Biotherapeutic products, ICH guidelines Q5A, Q5B and Q5D should be referred to.

Complete description of the manufacturing process from the development and characterization of cell banks, stability of clone cell culture/fermentation, harvest, excipients, formulation, purification, primary packaging interactions etc should be submitted.

When demonstrating similarity between a SBP and a RBP, the following factors should be critically considered:

critically considered.	Food and I		
Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023	AL
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020		

- a) Differences between the chosen expression system of the proposed SBP and that of the RBP should be carefully considered and appropriately documented.
- b) Characterization of the expression construct, including its genetic stability, should be demonstrated in accordance with principles recommended in **ICH Q5B**.
- c) Characterization tests, process controls, and specifications that will emerge from information gained during process development must be specific for the proposed SBP and the manufacturing process. The use of Quality-by-Design approaches is recommended to assure consistent manufacturing of high-quality product.
- d) The full drug master file (DMF), manufacturing process validation protocol and report should be submitted.
- e) Product employing clearly different approaches to manufacture from the reference product will not be eligible for registration as a SBP. The applicant shall be required to provide information to fulfill the requirements for registration of new biological products as prescribed in the Rwanda FDA Guidelines for the Registration of Biotherapeutic products.

#### Reference

i. ICH Q5A: Viral Safety Evaluation of Biotechnology Products Derived From Cell
Lines of Human or Animal Origin

(http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en\_GB/document\_library/Scientific\_guideline/2009/09/WC500002801.pdf)

ii. ICH Q5B: Quality Of Biotechnological Products: Analysis of the Expression

Construct in Cells Used for Production of r-DNA Derived Protein Products.

<a href="http://www.gmp-manual.com/showdoc/GMP-MANUAL/GMP-Regulations/E-ICH-Guidelines/E5B-ICH-Q5B-Quality-of-Biotechnological-Products-Analysis-of-the-Expression-Construct-in-Cells-Used-for-Production-of-R-DNA-Derived-Protein-Products"

Products</a>

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

## iii. **ICH Q5D:** Derivation and Characterization of Cell Substrates used for Production of Biotechnological/Biological Products

http://www.gmp-manual.com/showdoc/GMP-MANUAL/GMP-Regulations/E-ICH-Guidelines/E5D-ICH-Q5D-Derivation-and-Characterisation-of-Cell-Substrates-used-for-Production-of-BiotechnologicalBiological-Products

#### 3.3 Analytical Comparability studies

The SBP should be highly similar to the RBP and studies shall be done according to the capability of available appropriate analytical assays to assess, for example, the molecular weight of the protein, complexity of the protein (higher order structure and post-translational modification), degree of heterogeneity, functional properties, impurity profiles and degradation profile denoting stability. Design of the Comparability approach should be supported by scientifically sound methodologies.

Note; the capabilities of the methods used in the analytical assessment as well as their limitations shall be described.

#### 3.4 Analytical procedure/technique/Product characterization

The applicant should submit assessment of the analytical similarity of the SBP to RBP in addition to information on Chemistry, Manufacturing and Controls (CMC). The purpose of the analytical similarity assessment should be clearly described with consideration for the known quality attributes and performance characteristics of the specific reference product.

Extensive analytical methods should be applied to increase the likelihood of detecting subtle variations in the quality attributes of the product. Methods used in both the

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

characterization studies and comparability studies should be appropriately qualified and validated [as in ICH Q2 (R1)]

Reference standards and international reference materials shall be used for method qualification and validation. Specifications and Certificates of analysis for both reference standards and raw materials from the manufacturer must be provided by the applicant.

Characterizations of a biological product by appropriate techniques, as described in **ICH Q6B** and WHO TRS 987 annex 4 should include the determination of physicochemical properties, biological activity, immunochemical properties, purity, impurities, contaminants, and quantity. Product-related impurities, product-related substances, and process-related impurities should be identified, characterized as appropriate, quantified and compared to those of the RBP to the extent feasible and relevant, as part of an assessment of the potential impact on the safety, and potency of the product.

For further guidance on key points to be considered in the characterization exercise, ICH Q6B guidelines shall be referred to.

#### References

ICH Q2 (R1): Validation of Analytical Procedure: Test and Methodology.

http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public\_Web\_Site/ICH\_Products/Guidelines/Quality/Q2\_R1/Step4/Q2\_R1\_\_Guideline.pdf

**ICH Q6B**:Note for guidance on specifications: Test Procedures and Acceptance Criteria for Biotechnological/Biological products.

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023	T. P.
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020		

http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en\_GB/document\_library/Scientific\_guideline/2009/09/W C500002824.pdf

#### 3.5 Container closure system

A description of the container and closure system, and its compatibility with the SBP shall be submitted. Detailed information concerning the supplier(s), address (es), and the results of any relevant information on compatibility, toxicity and biological tests shall be provided for containers of novel origin. Evidence of container and closure integrity shall be provided for the duration of the proposed shelf life. Drawings of the containers and closures should be included.

Specification shall be provided for the components of the container closure system that come into contact with the product. Specification for primary container shall include among other tests, an identification test for material of construction of the container.

#### 3.6 Product stability

The stability studies should comply with relevant *Rwanda FDA Guidelines for application of Registration for Biotherapeutics* (DHT/GDL/013), ICH Q5C and Q1A (R2). Studies should be carried out to show that the biodegradation profiles are comparable between SBP and RBP. Generally, stability studies results should be summarized in a tabular format, and they should include the results from real time and accelerated degradation studies and studies under various stress conditions (temperature, light, humidity and mechanical agitation).

An appropriate physicochemical and functional comparison of the stability of the proposed SBP with that of the RBP should be monitored to confirm storage conditions selected.

Stability data should be provided for at least three representative consecutive batches

stored in the final container. At least three consecutive production/commercial batches (the largest scale) shall be validated and proposed for registration. The storage temperature

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

should be stated together with the results of tests on the batches. A plan for on-going stability studies should be provided indicating the batch numbers of the batches on test and the time points when testing is planned.

**Note**: Shelf life before opening the container and shelf life after first opening the container (if applicable) shall be demonstrated.

#### References

ICH Q5C - Quality of Biotechnological Products: Stability Testing of Biotechnological/Biological Products

http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en\_GB/document\_library/Scientific\_guideline/2009/09/W
C500002803.pdf

Q1A (R2)-Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/guidancecomplianceregulatoryinformation/guidances/ucm073369.pdf

# RWANDA FDA

	Food and T		
Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023	
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020		

#### **MODULE 4: NON CLINICAL STUDY**

The establishment of safety and efficacy of a SBP usually requires the generation of some non-clinical data with the SBP. The spectrum of studies required to established safety and efficacy of the SBP may vary considerably and should be defined on a case-by-case basis. Non-clinical studies should be performed in a facility that is GLP accredited. Certificate of GLP compliance issued by Rwanda FDA and/or any other Competent Authority should be included in the dossier.

These studies should be comparative in nature and should be designed to detect differences in the pharmaco-toxicological response between the SBP and the RBP.

The approach taken will need to be fully justified in the non-clinical overview. Nonclinical studies should be a part of the overall comparability studies. Any deviation from this approach should be appropriately justified.

#### 4.1 Special consideration

The design of an appropriate nonclinical study should consider the product characteristics. Results from the physicochemical and biological characterization studies should be reviewed from the point of view of potential impact on efficacy and safety. In the development of SBP, existing guidelines such as *Rwanda FDA Guidelines for the Registration of Biotherapeutic products (DAR/GDL/00)* and ICH S6, should also be taken into account.

#### Reference

 $\underline{http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/GuidanceS/ucm074957.pdf}$ 

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

Additional nonclinical data may be required to establish the safety and efficacy of SBP depending on the product and on factors related to substance class as stipulated in the Rwanda FDA Guidelines for the Registration of Biotherapeutic products or Biotherapeutic products (DAR/GDL/013)

Factors that may elicit the need for additional nonclinical studies include, but are not restricted to, the following:

- a) Quality-related factors:
- i. Significant differences in the cell expression system compared with the RBP;
- ii. Significant differences in purification methods used;
- iii. The presence of a complex mixture of less well-characterized product- and/or process-related impurities e.g. a highly complex immunogenic substance that is difficult to characterize by analytical techniques and that possesses a narrow therapeutic index.
- b) Factors related to pharmaco-toxicological properties of the drug substance:
- i. Mechanism(s) of drug action are unknown or poorly understood;
- ii. The drug substance is associated with significant toxicity and/or has a narrow therapeutic index;
- iii. Limited clinical experience with the RBP.

Depending on these factors, the spectrum of studies required to establish the safety and efficacy of the SBP may vary considerably and should be defined on a case-by-case basis.

#### 4.2 Pharmacodynamics

#### a) In vitro studies:

In order to assess any alterations in reactivity between the SBP and the RBP, data from a number of comparative bioassays (e.g. receptor-binding studies, cell proliferation assays),

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

many of which may already be available from quality-related bioassays, should be provided.

#### b) In vivo studies:

Animal studies should be designed to maximize the information obtained. They should be comparative in nature (see above), should be performed in a species known to be relevant (i.e. a species in which the RBP has been shown to possess pharmacodynamic and/or toxicological activity), and should employ state-of the-art technology.

Where the model allows, consideration should be given to monitoring a number of endpoints such as:

- a) Biological/pharmacodynamic activity relevant to the clinical application. These data should usually be available from biological assays described in the quality part of the dossier (Section 3) and reference to these studies can be made in the nonclinical part of the dossier.
- b) If feasible, biological activity may be evaluated as part of the nonclinical repeat-dose toxicity study (described below). Invivo evaluation of biological/pharmacodynamic activity may be unnecessary if in vitro assays are available that have been validated as reliably reflecting the clinically relevant pharmacodynamic activity of the RBP.At least one PD marker is accepted as surrogate marker but must be validated.

#### 4.3 Toxicology

Data on at least repeated dose toxicity conducted in relevant specie should be submitted. Toxicokinetic measurements shall include the following:

- a) Determination and characterization of antibody responses, including anti-product antibody titres
- b) Cross-reactivity with homologous endogenous proteins, and
- c) Product-neutralizing capacity.

The studies should be of sufficient duration to allow detection of potential differences in toxicity and antibody responses between the SBP and the RBP.

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

A head-to-head repeat dose toxicity study should usually constitute a minimum requirement for non-clinical evaluation of a SBP. Comparative repeat-dose toxicity studies should be submitted to demonstrate that no "unexpected" toxicity will occur during clinical use of the SBP. The repeat-dose toxicity study performed on the final formulation should aim at detecting potential toxicity associated both with the drug substance and with product- and process-related impurities.

Although the predictive value of animal models for immunogenicity in humans is considered low, antibody measurements, if applicable, should be included in the repeat-dose toxicity study to aid in the interpretation of the toxicokinetic data and in assessing, as part of the overall comparability exercise, whether important differences in structure or immunogenic impurities exist between the SBP and the RBP (the immunological response may be sensitive to differences not detected by laboratory analytical procedures).

Depending on the route of administration, local tolerance may need to be evaluated. If feasible, this evaluation may be performed as part of the described repeat-dose toxicity study.

On the basis of the demonstration of similarity between the SBP and RBP by the additional comparability exercise performed as part of the quality evaluation, other routine toxicological studies – such as safety pharmacology, reproductive toxicology, genotoxicity and carcinogenicity studies – are not generally requirements for the nonclinical testing of an SBP, however when the results of the repeat-dose toxicity or the local tolerance study and/or by other known toxicological properties of the RBP (e.g. known adverse effects of the RBP on reproductive function) study reveal the need, it should be done.

#### **References:**

- ICH S6:Preclinical safety evaluation of biotechnology-derived pharmaceuticals
- WHO TRS 977 Annex 2

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

#### **MODULE 5: CLINICAL STUDY**

The requirements for documentation of the clinical data depend on the existing knowledge about the reference product and claimed therapeutic indications.

The submission must include the information demonstrating that there are no clinically meaningful differences between the SBPs and the RBPs in term of Safety, Quality and Efficacy.

Clinical programmes for a SBPs application should be conducted in a facility which is Good Clinical Practice (GCP) compliant and a certificate issued by regulatory Authority from the country of origin and Rwanda FDA and/or competent authority should be present in the submission.

The clinical comparability exercise should include pharmacokinetics (PK), Pharmacodynamics (PD) studies followed by Clinical Efficacy and Safety trials.

Further guidance on statistical considerations and extrapolations of indications can be obtained in WHO guidelines on evaluation of Similar biotherapeutic product.

#### 5.1 Pharmacokinetic (PK) studies

Comparative pharmacokinetic studies should be conducted to demonstrate the similarities in pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters between SBPs and the RBPs.

- a) If appropriate from an ethical point of view, healthy volunteers will in most cases represent a sufficiently sensitive and homologous model for such comparative PK studies.
- b) Choice of designs must be justified and should consider factors such as clearance and terminal half-life, linearity of PK parameters, where applicable, the endogenous level

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

and diurnal variations of the product under study, production of neutralizing antibodies, conditions and diseases to be treated.

- c) The acceptance criteria to conclude clinical comparability should be defined prior to the initiation of the study, taking into consideration known PK parameters and their variations, assay methodologies, safety and efficacy of the RBPs.
- d) Other PK studies such as interaction studies or PK studies in special populations (e.g. children, elderly, and patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency) shall be submitted.

#### 5.2 Pharmacodynamics (PD) studies

Pharmacodynamics (PD) markers should be selected on the basis of their relevance to demonstrate therapeutic efficacy of the product. If direct PD markers are not practical a surrogate marker which is clinically validated may be employed.

The Pharmacodynamic effects of the SBPs and the RBPs should be compared in a population where the possible differences can be best observed.

Design and duration of the studies must be justified. The PD study may be combined with a PK study and the PK/PD relationship should be characterized so as to provide information on relationship between exposure and effects.

The selected dose should in the steep part of the dose-response curve. Studies at more than one dose may be useful.

#### Reference;

ICH E 10: Choice of control group and related issues in clinical trials

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public\_Web\_Site/ICH\_Products/Guidelines/Efficacy/E10/Step4/E10\_Guideline.pdf

### 5.3 Clinical efficacy trials

Comparative clinical trials (head-to-head adequately powered, randomized, parallel group clinical trials, so-called 'equivalence trials') are required to demonstrate the similarity in the efficacy and the safety profiles between the SBPs and the RBPs. Assay sensitivity must be ensured (refer to ICH E10).

Equivalence margins should be pre-specified and adequately justified on clinical grounds. Equivalent rather than non-inferior efficacy should be shown in order for the SBPs to adopt the posology of the RBPs and to open the possibility of extrapolation to other indications, which may include different dosages.

Clinical studies should be designed to demonstrate comparable safety and efficacy of the SBP to the reference product and therefore need to employ testing strategies that are sensitive enough to detect relevant differences between the products, if present.

#### 5.4 Clinical safety and effectiveness

Similar efficacy will usually have to be demonstrated in adequately powered, randomized and controlled clinical trials(s). Clinical studies should preferably be double-blind or at a minimum observed blind. Furthermore, a sensitive and preferably well-established clinical model is required. Equivalence trials are clearly preferred for comparison of the SBP with the reference product. Non-inferiority designs may be considered if appropriately justified.

Even if the efficacy is shown to be comparable, the similar biological medicinal product may exhibit a difference in the safety profile (in terms of nature, seriousness, or incidence

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

of adverse reactions). Thus, data from a sufficient number of patients and adequate study duration with sufficient statistical power to detect major safety and effectiveness differences are needed.

Data from pre-approval studies are insufficient to identify all these differences in safety. Therefore, applicant should submit a risk management plan/pharmacovigilance plan for the SBPs. The plan must be with the intention to mitigate potential risks associated to the SBPs. In addition, the submission should address the strategy to execute the plan.

For products intended for use for more than 6 months, the size of the safety database should typically conform to the recommendations of ICH E1 (Reference below).

#### Reference:

*ICH E1:* The extent of population exposure to assess clinical safety for drug intended for long-term treatment for non-life threatening conditions.

http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public Web Site/ICH Products/Guidelines/Efficacy/E1/Ste p4/E1\_Guideline.pdf

### 5.5 Clinical Immunogenicity

Immunogenicity of SBPs should be investigated prior to Marketing Authorization. Structural and functional studies as well as animal data are generally not adequate to predict immunogenicity in humans. Therefore, at least one clinical study that includes a comparison of the immunogenicity of the proposed SBPs to that of the RBPs in humans has to be submitted. The data should be submitted so as to evaluate potential differences between the proposed SBPs and the RBPs in the incidence and severity of human immune responses.

A written rationale on the strategy for testing immunogenicity should be provided.

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

Rwanda FDA recommends that immunogenicity assays be developed and validated with respect to both the proposed SBPs and RBPs product early in development. Validated assays/methods should be used for testing immunogenicity with appropriate specificity and sensitivity.

Special attention should be given to the possibility that the immune response seriously affects the endogenous protein and its unique biological function and thus leads to adverse reactions.

The proposed SBPs and RBPs should be evaluated in the same clinical trial of sufficient duration with the same patient sera whenever possible. The duration of the study should be at least **12 months** using appropriate route of administration by comparative parallel designs. At the time of submission, the study should have covered at least **6 months**.

Note: Data at the end of the 12 months should be presented as part of the post-marketing commitment

In situations where an applicant is seeking to extrapolate immunogenicity data for one indication to other indications, the applicant should consider using the population and regimen for the RBPs for which development of immune responses with adverse outcomes is most likely to occur.

The selection of clinical immunogenicity endpoints or PD parameters linked to immune responses (e.g., antibody formation and cytokine levels) should take into consideration the immunogenicity issues that have emerged during the use of the RBPs. The clinical immune response criteria should be defined, using established criteria where available, for each type of potential immune responses.

Rwanda	Food and F	
Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

Reference is to be made to the CHMP Guidelines on Immunogenicity Assessment of Biotechnology-Derived Therapeutic Proteins (CHMP/BMWP/14327/06)

A warning statement on the risks associated with switching of products during treatment, and against product substitution, is to be included in the package insert of the SBPs; this should be done by prescriber.

## Reference:

EMA guidelines

- Guidelines for non-clinical and clinical development of similar biological medicinal products containing recombinant erythropoietins (EMA/CHMP/BMWP/3016636/2008).
- Guidelines for non-clinical and clinical development of similar biological medicinal products containing recombinant human insulin and insulin analogues (EMA/134217/2012
- Guidelines for non-clinical and clinical development of similar biological medicinal products containing recombinant Granulocyte Colony Stimulating factor (rG-CSF\_) (EMEA/CHMP/BMWP/31329/2005).
- Guidelines for non-clinical and clinical development of similar biological medicinal products containing low-molecular-weight-heparins (EMEA/134870/2012).
- Guidelines for non-clinical and clinical development of similar biological medicinal products containing recombinant alfa-containing medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/BMWP/102046/2006).

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

- Guidelines for non-clinical and clinical development of similar biological medicinal products containing recombinant beta-containing interferon beta-containing medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/BMWP/652000/2010).
- Guidelines for non-clinical and clinical development of similar biological medicinal products containing monoclonal antibodies- (EMEA/CHMP/BMWP/403543/2010).

### 5.6 Pharmacovigilance

As for most biological medicines, data from pre-authorization clinical studies are usually too limited to identify all potential unwanted effects of an SBP. In particular, adverse events are unlikely to be encountered in the limited clinical trial populations being tested with the SBP. Further close monitoring of the clinical safety of an SBP in all approved indications and a continued benefit-risk assessment are therefore necessary in the post-marketing phase.

The manufacturer should submit a Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report (PBRER) and pharmacovigilance plan/risk management plan at the time of submission of the marketing authorization application. The principles of pharmacovigilance planning can be found in relevant guidelines such as ICH E2E.

#### **Reference:**

### **ICH E2E** (Pharmacovigilance Planning)

http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public\_Web\_Site/ICH\_Products/Guidelines/Efficac
 y/E2E/Step4/E2E\_Guideline.pdf

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

#### REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization (WHO) Guidelines on Evaluation of Similar Biotherapeutic Products (SBP), 2013 WHO Guidelines on the Quality, Safety, and
- Efficacy of biotherapeutic protein products prepared by recombinant DNA technology, June 2013
- 3. ICH Guidelines.
- 4. EMA guidelines: (EMA-Product-specific biosimilar guidelines)
- 5. FDA-Quality Considerations in Demonstrating Biosimilarity to a Reference

  Protein Product
- 6. FDA-Scientific Considerations in Demonstrating Biosimilarity to a Reference Product

	Food and I	
Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

# ENDORSEMENT OF THE GUIDELINES

Title	Author  Division Manager of Drugs and Health Technologies	Authorized by  Head of Food and Drugs Assessment and Registration	Approved by Director General
Names	Irasabwa Clarisse	Kabatende Joseph	Dr Charles Karangwa
Signature	Clame hombone	Minne	Manny
Date	18/06/2020	18/06/2020	18/06/2020

	Food and D		
Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023	
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020		



	Food and D		
Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023	11
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020		



QMS N°: DHT/FOM/034

Rev. N°: 0

Effective date: 20/06/2020 Ref. Doc.: DHT/GDL/013

# ANNEX I: APPLICATION FORM FOR REGISTRATION OF SIMILAR BIOTHERAPEUTIC PRODUCTS (SBPs)

# 1. For Rwanda FDA use only (highlighted portion).

A 11 .1	1,5	D 1 FD 1	(111) 1
Application		Rwanda FDA use only	
Number			
Date of sub		Rwanda FDA use only	
of the dossi			
MODULE	1: ADMINIS	TRATIVE INFORMA <mark>TION</mark>	
1.0 PARTIO	CULARS OF	F THE PRODUCT	
1.1	Type of	the medicinal product application	
	New		NO COL
	SBP		
	Renewa	]*	
00		riation has been made, information supporting the changes	should be
	submitte	ed. See variation guidelines for registered medicinal products.	
1.2		ary Name	
1.3	Internati	ional Non-proprietary Name (INN) of the Drug substance	
1.4	Strength	of Drug substance per unit dosage form:	No.
1.5	Name a	nd address (physical and postal) of Applicant	1
(Company)	Name:		
Address:			A WAR
Country:			
Telephone:			1100
Telefax:			
E-Mail:			
	Name a	nd address (physical and postal) of Local Technical Representative	
(Company)			
Address:			
Country:			
Telephone:			
Telefax:			
E-Mail:			
1.6	Pharmac	ceutical Dosage form* and route of administration*	
		f standard terms for dosage forms and routes of administration is a	vailable on
TO THE		nes on List of Standard Terms for Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms	
		inistration.	
1.6.1	Dosage		
1.6.2		of administration (use current list of standard terms)	J L L
1.7	Packing	/pack size:	
1.8		lescription	a a mid-
KWAL		many rows as necessary)	

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

1.9	Proposed shelf life (in months):
1.9.1	Proposed shelf life (after reconstitution or dilution):
1.9.2	Proposed shelf life (after first opening container):
1.9.3	Proposed storage conditions:
1.9.4	Proposed storage conditions after first opening:
1.10	Other sister medicinal products registered or applied for registration
1.10.1	Do you hold Marketing Authorization (s) of other medicinal product (s) containing
11	the same active pharmaceutical ingredient(s) in Rwanda?
	If yes state; Product name (s), strength (s), pharmaceutical form (s):
(0)	Partner States where product is authorized:
100	Marketing authorization number(s):
1.10.0	Indication(s):
1.10.2	Have you applied for Marketing Authorization medicinal product(s) containing the
	same drug substance (s) in Rwanda?  Product name (s), strength (s), pharmaceutical form (s):
	Indication(s):
1.11	Pharmacotherapeutic group and ATC Code
1.11.1	Pharmacotherapeutic group:
1.11.2	ATC Code: (Please use current ATC code)
1.11.3	If no ATC code has been assigned, please indicate if an application for ATC code has
	been made:
1.12	Distribution category: Controlled Drug POM Pharmacy Only OTC
	General sale
	(Applicants are invited to indicate which categories they are requesting, however, the
The state of the s	Authority reserve the right to change and/or apply only those categories provided for
	in their national legislation)
1.13	Country of origin:
1.14	Product Marketing Authorization in the country of origin (Attach Certificate of
1	Pharmaceutical Product from National Medicines Regulatory Authority). If not
Authoriz	registered, state reasons ed Withdrawn (by applicant after authorization)
Country:	Country:
•	thorization (dd-mm-  Date of withdrawal (dd-mm-yyyy):
уууу):	Proprietary name:
Proprietary	
Authorizati	on number: Suspended/revoked (by competent authority)
☐ Refused	Country:
Country:	date of suspension/revocation (dd-mm-yyyy):
Date of ref	Susal (dd-mm-yyyy): Reason for suspension/revocation:
	Proprietary name:
Reason for	
1.15	List ICH countries/Observers and EAC countries where the product is approved.
1.16	Nama(a) and complete abusing address(as) of the meanifest and (a)
1.16	Name(s) and complete physical address(es) of the manufacturer(s)
1.16.1	Name(s) and physical address (es) of the manufacturing site of the drug product,

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

	including the final product release	a if different from the manufa	cturar Alternative
	sites should be also declared here.	. If different from the manufac	Ciurer. Ameritative
	All manufacturing sites involved i	in the manufacturing process o	f each step of the
	finished product, stating the role of		
	sites should be listed.	caen merading quanty control	in process usung
	(Add as many rows as necessary		
Company n			A.
Address:	anic.		
Country:			
Telephone:			
Skype:			
E-Mail:			
website:			
1.16.2	Name(s) and physical address(es) o	of the manufacturer(s) of the drug	r substance
1.10.2	(Add as many rows as necessary)	The manufacturer(s) of the drug	3 Substance
	All manufacturing sites involved	in the manufacturing process	of each source of
	active substance, including quality		
Company n		process testing sites	bilouid oc listed.
Address:	une.		
Country:			
Telephone:			100
Skype:			
E-Mail:			N/C
website:			1
website.			
1.1	Name and address (physical and po	stal) of the person or compar	y responsible for
7	Pharmacovigilance Pharmacovigilance		
Name:			
Company n	ame:		100
Address:			
Country:			
Telephone:			
Skype:			
E-Mail:			
website:			
1.18	State the reference/monograph stan	ndard such as British Pharmacor	peia. United States
	Pharmacopeia, Ph. Eur, Japanese F		
	Drug Product.		1
	Qualitative and Quantitative compo	osition of the drug substance(s) a	nd excipient(s)
1.19	A note should be given as to which		
Name	of drug Quantity /	Unit of measure	Reference/
substance			monograph standa
1			
1.			
2.	_ 1 _ 17 _ 1	_ T	

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

1. 2. e.t.c  Note: * Only one name for each substance should be given in the following order of priority: INI Pharmacopoeia, common name, scientific name  ** The drug substance should be declared by its recommended INN, accompanied by its salt or hydrate if relevant.  Details of averages should not be included in the formulation columns but should be stated below:  - Drug substance(s): - Excipient(s):  Name and address (physical and postal) of the Contract Research Organisation(s) where the clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address: Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non- clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address: Company name: Address: Country:	Name of excipient(s)	
2. e.t.c  Note: * Only one name for each substance should be given in the following order of priority: INI Pharmacopoeia, common name, scientific name  ** The drug substance should be declared by its recommended INN, accompanied by its salt or hydrate if relevant.  Details of averages should not be included in the formulation columns but should be stated below: - Drug substance(s): - Excipient(s):  Name and address (physical and postal) of the Contract Research Organisation(s) where the clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address: Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non-clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address:		_ 54
Note: * Only one name for each substance should be given in the following order of priority: INI Pharmacopoeia, common name, scientific name  ** The drug substance should be declared by its recommended INN, accompanied by its salt or hydrate if relevant.  Details of averages should not be included in the formulation columns but should be stated below:  - Drug substance(s):  - Excipient(s):  Name and address (physical and postal) of the Contract Research Organisation(s) where the clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name:  Address:  Country:  Telephone:  Skype:  E-Mail:  Website:  Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non-clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name:  Address:		
Pharmacopoeia, common name, scientific name  ** The drug substance should be declared by its recommended INN, accompanied by its salt or hydrate if relevant.  Details of averages should not be included in the formulation columns but should be stated below:  - Drug substance(s): - Excipient(s):  Name and address (physical and postal) of the Contract Research Organisation(s) where the clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address: Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non-clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address:	e.t.c	A
Pharmacopoeia, common name, scientific name  ** The drug substance should be declared by its recommended INN, accompanied by its salt or hydrate if relevant.  Details of averages should not be included in the formulation columns but should be stated below:  - Drug substance(s): - Excipient(s):  Name and address (physical and postal) of the Contract Research Organisation(s) where the clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address: Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non-clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address:	Note: * Only one name for each substant	ce should be given in the following order of priority: IN
** The drug substance should be declared by its recommended INN, accompanied by its salt or hydrate if relevant.  Details of averages should not be included in the formulation columns but should be stated below:  - Drug substance(s):  - Excipient(s):  Name and address (physical and postal) of the Contract Research Organisation(s) where the clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name:  Address:  Country:  Telephone:  Skype:  E-Mail:  Website:  Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non-clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name:  Address:	and the second s	
if relevant.  Details of averages should not be included in the formulation columns but should be stated below:  - Drug substance(s):  - Excipient(s):  Name and address (physical and postal) of the Contract Research Organisation(s) where the clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address: Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non- clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address:		
- Drug substance(s): - Excipient(s):  Name and address (physical and postal) of the Contract Research Organisation(s) where the clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address: Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non- clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address:		y to recommend in the second and second
- Excipient(s):  Name and address (physical and postal) of the Contract Research Organisation(s) where the clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address: Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non-clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address:	Details of averages should not be included in	n the formulation columns but should be stated below:
Name and address (physical and postal) of the Contract Research Organisation(s) where the clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address: Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non- clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address:	7 117	
1.21 where the clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address: Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non- clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address:	- Excipient(s):	
1.21 where the clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address: Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non- clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address:		
Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non- clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address:	where the clinical studies of the	e pr <mark>oduct were c</mark> onducted
Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non- clinical studies of the product were conducted Company name: Address:	Company name:	
Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non- clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address:	1 100 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non- clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name: Address:	Address: Country:	
Website:  Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non- clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name:  Address:	Address: Country: Telephone:	
Name and address (physical and postal) of the site(s) where the non-clinical studies of the product were conducted  Company name:  Address:	Address: Country: Telephone: Skype:	
were conducted  Company name:  Address:	Address: Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail:	
Address:	Address: Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website:	
	Address: Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of t	the site(s) where the non-clinical studies of the product
	Address: Country: Telephone: Skype: E-Mail: Website: Name and address (physical and postal) of twere conducted Company name:	the site(s) where the non-clinical studies of the product

# 2.0 DECLARATION BY AN APPLICANT

Skype: E-Mail: Website:

I, the undersigned certify that all the information in this form and accompanying documentation is correct, complete and true to the best of my knowledge.

I further confirm that the information referred to in my application dossier is available for verification during GMP inspection.

I also agree that I shall carry out pharmacovigilance to monitor the safety of the product on the market and provide safety update reports to the Authority.

I further agree that I am obliged to follow the requirements of the Legislations and Regulations, which are applicable to medicinal products.

I also consent to the processing of information provided by the Authority.

It is hereby confirmed that fees have been paid according to the regulation  $N^{\circ}$  CFO/TRG/004 and a proof of payment is hereby attached.

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

Name:	
Position in the	company:
~.	
0	
Official stamp	



	Food and		
Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023	
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020		

### **Annex 2: Declaration of the Applicant**

I...... the undersigned certify that all the information in this form and all accompanying documentation is correct. I further certify that I have examined the following statements and I attest to their correctness:

- a) The current edition of the "Rwanda FDA guidelines on Good Manufacturing Practices for finished pharmaceutical products"
- b) The formulation per dosage form correlates with the master formula and with the batch manufacturing record.
- c) The manufacturing procedure is exactly as specified in the master formula and batch manufacturing record.
- d) Each batch of all starting materials is either tested or certified (in accompanying certificate of analysis for that batch) against the full specifications in the accompanying documentation and must comply fully with those specifications before it is released for manufacturing purposes.
- e) All batches of the drug substance(s) are obtained from the source(s) specified in the accompanying documentation.
- f) No batch of drug substance will be used unless a copy of the batch certificate established by the manufacturer is available.
- g) Each batch of the container closure system is tested or certified against the full specifications in the accompanying documentation and complies fully with those specifications before released for the manufacturing purposes.
- h) Each batch/lot of the SBPs is either tested, or certified (in an accompanying certificate of analysis for that batch), against the full specifications in the accompanying documentation and complies fully with release specifications before released for sale.
- i) The person releasing the product is an authorized person as defined by "Rwanda FDA guidelines on Good Manufacturing Practices for finished pharmaceutical products".

Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020	

- j) The procedures for control of the Drug product have been validated. The assay method has been validated for accuracy, precision, specificity and linearity.
- k) All the documentation referred to in this application is available for review during GMP inspection.
- 1) Non-clinical and clinical data were conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice,

I also agree that:

As a holder of marketing authorization/registration of the product, I will adhere to Rwanda FDA requirements for handling adverse reactions.

As holder of registration, I will adhere to Rwanda FDA requirements for handling batch recalls of the products.

Name:

**Qualification:** 

Position in the company:

Signature & Official Stamp:

Date:

	Food and I		
Doc. No.: DHT/GDL/013	Revision Date: 20/06/2020	Review Due Date: 20/06/2023	
Revision No.: 0	Effective Date: 20/06/2020		