8 WH

Sicong Zhao (sz163)

W1

Part (a)

$$Q^{n} = (P^{-1}RP)^{n}$$

= $P^{-1}R(PP^{-1})R(PP^{-1})\cdots RP$
= $P^{-1}R^{n}P$

Part (b)

$$e^{tQ} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (tQ)^k$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} t^k (P^{-1}RP)^k$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} t^k P^{-1}R^k P$$

$$= P^{-1} (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} t^k R^k) P$$

$$= P^{-1} e^{tR} P$$

W2

$$Q = \lim_{t \searrow 0} rac{P_t - I}{t} = rac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} P_t$$

W3

$$\pi Q = 0 \ \lim_{t o \infty} p_t(i,j) = \pi(j)$$

P1

Part (a)

The probability is $\binom{2}{1}*\frac{1}{2}*\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}$

Part (b)

Let S denote the total spend of customers, let $X_{[t_1-t_2]}$ denote the number of customers during time interval $[t_1-t_2]$, let Y denote per customer's spend.

$$ES = ES_{11am-1pm} + ES_{ ext{other time}}$$

$$= E[X_{11am-1pm}Y_{11am-1pm}] + E[X_{ ext{other time}}Y_{ ext{other time}}]$$

$$= E[X_{11am-1pm}]E[Y_{11am-1pm}] + E[X_{ ext{other time}}]E[Y_{ ext{other time}}]$$

$$= \$1250$$

Due to the independent increments probability, $X_{8am-11am}, X_{11am-1pm}, X_{1pm-4pm}$ are mutually independent. And assuming the unit time in this question is hour.

Therefore:

$$egin{align*} var(S) &= var(S_{11am-1pm}) + var(S_{ ext{other time}}) \ &= E[X_{11am-1pm}]var(Y_{11am-1pm}) + var(X_{11am-1pm})E[Y_{11am-1pm}]^2 \ & \cdots + E[X_{ ext{other time}}]var(Y_{ ext{other time}}) + var(X_{ ext{other time}})E[Y_{ ext{other time}}]^2 \ &= 25*50 + 2\lambda*20^2 + 75*20 + 6\lambda*10^2 \ &= 2750 + 1400\lambda \ &= 20250 \end{gathered}$$

P2

Part (a)

Let S denote the total size of the translated signals for a day, let Y_i denote the size of ith successfully translated signal. Due to the thining property, the rate $\lambda'(s)$ for successfully translated signal in each period is:

$$\lambda'(s) = \left\{egin{array}{ll} 240s & s \in [0,0.5] \ 120 & s \in [0.5,1] \ 60 & s \in [1,2] \end{array}
ight.$$

$$egin{aligned} ES &= E ilde{N}EY_i \ &= 1.5*12\int_0^2 \lambda'(s)ds \ &= 2700 ext{MB} \end{aligned}$$

Part (b)

$$\lim_{t o\infty}t^{-1} ilde{N}_t=rac{1}{\mu}=rac{1}{75}$$

Part (c)

$$ho = \lim_{t o\infty}rac{ ilde{N}_t}{N_t} \ = rac{E[\lambda'(s)]}{E[\lambda(s)]} \ = rac{5}{6}$$

Part (d)

$$egin{aligned} P(ilde{T_1} \in [0,1] | ilde{N_2} = 1) &= P(ilde{T_1} \in [0,0.5] | ilde{N_2} = 1) + P(ilde{T_1} \in [0.5,1] | ilde{N_2} = 1) \ &= rac{30}{150} + rac{60}{150} \ &= rac{3}{5} \end{aligned}$$

Part (e)

During each period, if p(s)=1 covers time [0,1], which relates to the highest $\lambda(s)$, ρ_{τ} would be lergest. Let $\{N_t^*\}$ denote the modified process.

In this setting:

$$egin{aligned}
ho_{ au} &= \lim_{t o \infty} rac{N_t^*}{N_t} \ &= rac{E[\lambda^*(s)]}{E[\lambda(s)]} \ &= rac{165}{180} = rac{11}{12} \end{aligned}$$

P3

Part (a)

Let $au_i= au_i^1+ au_i^2+ au_i^3$ be the ith interval of renewal process. Let x_t^1,x_t^2,x_t^3 denote the holding time for 3 kids by time t. We have,

$$\lim_{t o\infty}rac{x_t^k}{t}=rac{E[au_1^k]}{E[au_1]}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} E[r_i^1] &= E[m] = \frac{1}{36} \sum_{i=1}^6 (2*i*(i-1)+i) = \frac{161}{36} \\ E[r_i^2] &= E[n] = \frac{1}{21} \sum_{i=1}^6 (i*(6-i)+i) = \frac{91}{36} \\ E[r_i^3] &= \frac{1}{2} (E[n] + E[m]) = \frac{126}{36} \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{x_t^1}{t} &= \frac{E[\tau_1^1]}{E[\tau_1]} = \frac{161}{378} \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{x_t^2}{t} &= \frac{E[\tau_1^2]}{E[\tau_1]} = \frac{91}{378} \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{x_t^3}{t} &= \frac{E[\tau_1^3]}{E[\tau_1]} = \frac{1}{3} \end{split}$$

Therefore, the asymptotic fraction of possession time for each child for Plan A is: $\left[\frac{161}{378}, \frac{91}{378}, \frac{1}{3}\right]$

Part (b)

Let
$$ilde{ au_i}=1\cdot\{D=1\} au_i^1+1\cdot\{D=2\} au_i^2+1\cdot\{D=3\} au_i^3$$

 r_i is randomly assigned as 1 out of r_i^1, r_i^2, r_i^3 , we have

$$\begin{split} E[1\cdot\{D=1\}\tau_i^1] &= \frac{1}{3}E[r_i^1] \\ E[1\cdot\{D=2\}\tau_i^2] &= \frac{1}{3}E[r_i^2] \\ E[1\cdot\{D=2\}\tau_i^3] &= \frac{1}{3}E[r_i^3] \end{split}$$

Therefore, the asymptotic fraction of possession time for each child would not change from Plan A. It is $\left[\frac{161}{378},\frac{91}{378},\frac{1}{3}\right]$

Part (c)

$$\begin{split} \text{Let } \tilde{\tau_i} &= 1 \cdot \{D = 1\} \big(\tau_i^2 + \tau_i^3\big) + 1 \cdot \{D = 2\} \big(\tau_i^1 + \tau_i^3\big) + 1 \cdot \{D = 3\} \big(\tau_i^1 + \tau_i^2\big) \\ \tilde{\tau_i} &= 1 \cdot \{D = 1\} (\tau_i^2 + \tau_i^3) + 1 \cdot \{D = 2\} (\tau_i^1 + \tau_i^3) + 1 \cdot \{D = 3\} (\tau_i^1 + \tau_i^2) \\ &= (1 \cdot \{D = 2\} + 1 \cdot \{D = 3\}) \tau_i^1 + (1 \cdot \{D = 1\} + 1 \cdot \{D = 3\}) \tau_i^2 + (1 \cdot \{D = 1\} + 1 \cdot \{D = 2\}) \tau_i^3 \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$egin{aligned} E[1\cdot\{D=1\} au_i^1] &= rac{2}{3}E[r_i^1] \ E[1\cdot\{D=2\} au_i^2] &= rac{2}{3}E[r_i^2] \ E[1\cdot\{D=2\} au_i^3] &= rac{2}{3}E[r_i^3] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the asymptotic fraction of possession time for each child would not change from Plan A. It is $[\frac{161}{378},\frac{91}{378},\frac{1}{3}]$

Part (d)

Denote the rate for 1,2,3 as p_1, p_2, p_3 , as the probability for the dice shows up 1,2,3 respectively. We have:

$$\left\{egin{array}{l} p_1*rac{161}{378}=p_2*rac{91}{378}=p_3*rac{1}{3} \ p_1+p_2+p_3=1 \end{array}
ight. \Rightarrow \left[p_1,p_2,p_3
ight] = \left[0.247,0.437,0.316
ight]$$

P4

Part (a)

In 36 mins, the expected number of customer is 10*36/60=6. Therefore, the fraction of the customers actually goes to hilton is $\frac{7}{13}$.

Part (b)

The expected interval between arrival is $1/\lambda=0.1$ hour. Therefore, the average amount of time a person who goes to Hilton has to wait is 0.1*(6+5+4+3+2+1)/7=0.3 hour.

P5

Part (a)

Let μ denote the mean of distribution G. The dealer's rate is $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\lambda} + \mu}$

Part (b)

The fraction of customers are lost is $\frac{\mu}{\frac{1}{\lambda} + \mu}$

P6

Part (a)

The fraction that Duke has the ball is $\frac{2}{2+6} = \frac{1}{4}$

Part (b)

Duke score: $60 imes rac{1}{4} \div 2 imes rac{1}{4} = rac{15}{8}$

Miami score: $60 imes rac{3}{4} \div 6 imes 1 = rac{15}{2}$