- Mapping suitability for thinning to reduce atmospheric
- losses and enhance groundwater recharge in Arizona
- Ryan E Lima¹, Temuulen Tsagaan Sankey¹, Abraham E Springer¹
- ¹Northern Arizona University,

Corresponding author: Ryan E Lima, ryan.lima@nau.edu

Abstract

In semi-arid forests such as Arizona, over 90% of annual precipitation may be lost to evapotranspiration. Forest structure has changed significantly post-Euro-American settlement due to various factors, including grazing, logging, and wildfire exclusion. As a result, many forests in Arizona are overstocked relative to pre-settlement conditions, increasing the risk of catastrophic wildfire. In recent years, the growing 10 frequency and severity of drought and wildfires have resulted from warming associ-11 ated with anthropogenic climate change. Landscape-scale efforts to restore forests 12 to near historic densities are underway throughout Arizona. Mechanical thinning 13 has increased water yield for several years following treatment in some forests. How-14 ever, the response of forests to treatments is complex, site-specific, and varies with 15 elevation, aspect, treatment level, and climatic conditions. As Arizona grapples 16 with increasing water insecurity due to historic drought, demographic changes, and 17 increased hydroclimatic variability, policymakers are searching for ways to bolster 18 water supplies statewide, particularly groundwater, which has been declining across 19 much of the state. Here, we review the literature on the effects of forest thinning on 20 water yield throughout Arizona and map areas where mechanical treatment has the 21 highest potential for increasing groundwater recharge. 22

Plain Language Summary

Earthquake data for the island of La Palma from the September 2021 eruption is found \dots

1 Introduction

23

26

27

28

29

31

32

33

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

49

50

51

53

54

55

This research synthesizes the myriad studies examining the effects of forest treatment on water yield in semi-arid forests and compiles a list of relevant variables. Our approach combines thematic maps of average precipitation, elevation, slope, aspect, forest type, forest density, depth to bedrock, and soil type into a GIS suitability model to highlight areas where forest treatment will most likely enhance recharge statewide.

Since 2000, the Colorado River Basin has been in the midst of a historic drought (Meko et al., 2022; Williams et al., 2022). Average temperatures increased by 0.9°C from 2000 - 2014, and streamflow in the Colorado River has declined by 19% below the 1906-1999 average (Hogan & Lundquist, 2024; Udall & Overpeck, 2017). Extreme hydroclimate events such as droughts, heatwaves, and floods have more than doubled in frequency since 2010 (Bennett et al., 2021). Simultaneously, Arizona has experienced rapid population growth, increasing the demands on already strained water supplies. Reductions in streamflow have increased reliance on groundwater, while groundwater levels have declined for decades (CITATION). The Arizona Tri-University Recharge and Water Reliability Project (ATUR-WRP) has been tasked with identifying ways to protect water supplies and enhance recharge by identifying where landscape management practices can reduce atmospheric losses. Average annual precipitation in the lower Colorado River Basin is about 330mm, and only about 10mm of that precipitation becomes streamflow while much of the rest is lost to Evapotranspiration (Zou et al., 2010). Sublimation has been shown to remove 10 - 90% of snowfall in the basin; the remaining snowmelt provides over 80% of streamflow to the Colorado River (Lundquist et al., 2024). Therefore, small reductions in evaporative losses could have outsized impacts on available water supplies.

Around 65% of surface water in the western states originates from forested lands, which cover just 29% of the land area (Brown et al., 2005). However, western forests are increasingly at risk from catastrophic wildfires, an emerging driver of runoff change that will increase the impact on the water supply (Williams et al., 2022). Increasing temperatures and related droughts have contributed to extensive tree

- mortality from wildfire, disease, and insect infestation (Berner et al., 2017). Warming temperatures have tripled the frequency and quadrupled the size of wildfires in recent decades (Williams et al., 2022). Increasing heat has pushed many low-elevation conifer forests past climate thresholds, creating conditions less suitable for tree regeneration (Davis et al., 2019). This increased risk of wildfire and forest loss is driven by climate and overstocked conditions resulting from over a century of forest management practices since euro-american settlement (Citation).
- Landscape-scale forest restoration efforts have been planned or implemented across 63 much of Arizona (Citation). For example, the Four Forest Restoration Initiative (4FRI) includes plans for restoration across over 2.5 million acres of Arizona's forests. The primary goal of restoration efforts is to reduce wildfire risk. However, numerous 66 studies have linked forest treatments to increased water yields and have emphasized 67 the role of forest restoration in improving hydrologic services and increasing water supplies (Citations). Forest treatments such as thinning and burning can signifi-69 cantly impact the hydrologic cycle of forests (Del Campo et al., 2022). However, the response of forests to treatments is complex and non-linear and differs across forest types, with treatment level, and along aspect and elevational gradients (Biederman 72 et al., 2022; Del Campo et al., 2022; Moore & Wondzell, 2005; Zou et al., 2010). 73

74 Source: Article Notebook

75

76

79

80

81

86

87

91

92

93

97

100

101

102

103

1.1 Justification

- regional studies are the best predictor of hydrologic response to thinning in Arizona forests (Wyatt, 2013)
- A snythesis of all 4FRI treatments found that thinned and burned forests have significantly greater total ecosystem moisture and are thus more resilient to drought and wildfire (Sankey et al., 2021)
- Thinned forests are better buffered against drought impacts in terms of both soil moisture and tree health (Sankey & Tatum, 2022).
- Soil moisture and ET may be effected by thining for 3.6 8.6 years (Del Campo et al., 2022).
- Prescribed burning or thinning can increase tree growth improving resilience to drought in poderosa pine forests (Rodman et al., 2024)
- Thinned forests (around Flagstaff) have higher soil moisture at 25 and 50cm in the first two years post-thinning (Belmonte et al., 2022).
- Thinning in smei-arid forests around the mediterraniean increased antecedant soil moisture and belowground hydrologic processes and increased deep soil moisture by 50mm/year over the control (Del Campo et al., 2019).
- a review of 35 studies published from 1971 to 2018 found that thinning was more effective than clear-cutting in terms of increasing groundwater recharge due to reduced sublimation and evaporation. Springs can be effective at monitoring groundwater recharge affects in aridlands (Schenk et al., 2020).
- A review of studies on forest mgmt effects on groundwater resources found that a rise in water table can generally be expeted following forest thinning in all forested landscapes (Smerdon et al., 2009).

1.1.1 Snow retention

• The effects of forest thinning and subsequent snowmelt are highly variable, with responses depending on forest structure and local climate, where thinning in dense and taller vegetation generally increases snow retention, thinning in shorter, less dense forests may decrease retention (Lewis et al., 2023).

- In semi-arid forested watersheds, thinning can influence streamflow variability by modifying snowpack accumulation and melt, particularly in wetter years where thinning can either reduce or increase snow retention based on site-specific conditions.(Broxton et al., 2023).
- Thinning in semi-arid forested watershed can significantly impact streamflow by altering snowmelt timing, with reduce forest cover tending to delay snowmelt at warmer sites while advancing melt at cooler, snowpack-persistent sites (Dwivedi et al., 2024).
- Thinned forests around Flagstaff have greater snow persistance at 25%-35% canopy cover (Belmonte et al., 2021)
- Thinned forests in Northern Arizona have more snow and soil moisture (O'Donnell et al., 2021)
- Found that thinned and burned vs control forests had varying rates of snowmelt and snow persistence. Canopy cover is most predictive of snow persistance (Donager et al., 2021).

1.1.2 Thresholds in literature

104

105

106

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

119

120

121

123

124

125

128

129

130

131

133

134

135

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

151

- A review of 94 catchment studies showed that significant changes in water yield are correlated to forest growth in forests that recive 600-1200 mm of mean annual precipitation Bosch and Hewlett, 1982 The caveat being there were not many confierous forests studies in that precipitation range (Bosch & Hewlett, 1982).
- (Adams et al., 2012) hypotheized that where annual precipitaiton exceeds ~500 mm or water yield is dominated by snowmelt, watershed will experience significantly decreased evapotranspiration and increased flows if canopy cover is reduced by over 20%, however their recent observations suggest that in dry forests water ield may decrease. More research is needed. This paper was focused on tree-die off not thinning.
- (Carroll et al., 2016) found a threshold hydrologic response when evaluating thinning of a snow-dominated semi-arid Pinyon-Juniper community in the Great Basin. They found that a positive water yield in thinned plots was only observed when precipitation exceeded 400mm annually (wet years)
- (Biederman et al., 2022) suggests that distrubance will have positive inpacts on streamflow for a minimum of several years following disturbance in areas where mean annual precipitation exceeds ~500mm. "Presumably because below 500 mm, most precipitation is evaporated regardless of forest condition (Hibbert, 1979)[@]

1.2 thinning decreases ET in some circumstances

- Reductions of canopy cover can increase ET of existing trees, and solar radiation increasing ET Biederman et al. (2015)
- Decreases in post-disturbance ET may be offsett by increased soil evaporation increasing net ET (Reed et al., 2016)
- (Goeking & Tarboton, 2020) reviewed the hydrologic response of standreplacing and non-stand replacing disturbances and found that post-distrubance streamflow may increase, not change, or even decrease. Nonstand replacing fires—because of increased evaporation from higher subcanopy radiation and increased transpiration from rapid post-disturbance growth can decrease water availability in some cases.

Source: Article Notebook

2 Data & Methods

2.1 2.2 Weighted Suitability Workflow

2.1.1 2.2.1 Define

"define the goal, supporting criteria, and evaluation metrics for the weighted suitability model"

2.1.1.1 **Criteria**

Aspect

Closer to 0 or 360 is desired

Elevation

higher elevation is better; higher percentage of precipitation is snow, higher precipitation

Precipitation

Max precipitation must be higher than 450, mean precipitation should be higher than 400

Vegetation Characteristics

higher vegetation density, when thinned will yield more water, focus on areas of high vegetation density or high departure from historic conditions.

2.1.2 2.2.2 Derive

"Derive data that represents the model variables that are defined by the criteria. In this example, the criterion Far distances from streets defines distance from streets as a model variable. A raster that represents distance from streets is derived from street centerlines by using a geoprocessing tool."

2.1.3 2.2.3 Transform

"Transform the values in each derived dataset into a common suitability scale by assigning each cell in the surface a suitability score (value on the suitability scale). For each dataset, assign the highest suitability scores to the variable values that are most preferred according to the associated criterion. In this example, the distance-from-streets raster is transformed into a 1-to-5 suitability scale. To represent the criterion Far distances from streets, the locations closest to streets are assigned a value of 1 (lowest preference) and the locations farthest from streets are assigned a value of 5 (highest preference)."

2.1.4 2.2.4 Weight and combine

"Weight and combine the transformed data, which represents the model criteria, into a single suitability surface that meets the model goal. In this example, three transformed rasters are combined to create the suitability surface."

2.1.5 2.2.5 Locate

"Locate the phenomenon by using the suitability surface. In this example, a region that has the highest average suitability is identified."

2.1.6 2.2.6 Analyze

191

192

194

195

196

197

198

200

201

202

203

208

209

210

211

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

221

"Analyze the result by visually evaluating the suitability surface and regions to ensure that the model goal has been met. Optionally, perform sensitivity and error analysis."

2.2 Environments:

• ArcGIS Pro 3.3

2.2.1 Unsuitable

- Max Precipitation < 450mm
- Mean Precipitation < 450mm with low IAV interannual variability in precipitation
- Forest Cover Trees per Acre < 30
- Elevation < 900m
 - EVC Excisting vegetation cover Landfire 2023: exclude values:
- -9999: No data
- 205 11-100: Open Water, Snow/Ice, Developed all, Barren, Cultivated, Sparse vegetation
 - 310 + (herb cover)
 - Existing vegetation cover Tree Cover < 30% 110 129
 - Existing Vegetation Cover Shrub Cover < 30% 210 230
 - VCC Vegetation Condition Class from Land Fire 2022

Vegetation Condition Class (VCC) represents a simple categorization of the associated Vegetation Departure (VDep) and is a derivative of the VDep layer. It indicates the general level to which current vegetation is different from the estimated modeled vegetation based on past reference conditions. VDep and VCC are based upon methods originally described in the Interagency Fire Regime Condition Class Guidebook, but are not identical to those methods and should not be considered as a replacement data set. Full descriptions of the methods used can be found in the VDep product description. Note that the LANDFIRE (LF) team feels it is very important for users to review the VDep methods before comparing VDep or VCC values across LF versions. info PDF

$Reclass_LF23_VCC_1_6$

VCC Value 2022	New Class
Fill-NoData	NODATA
Fill-Not Mapped	NODATA
Veg Condition Class I, A	1
Vegetation Condition Class I, B	2
Vegetation Condition Class II, A	3
Vegetation Condition Class II, B	4
Vegetation Condition Class III, A	5
Vegetation Condition Class III, B	6
Water	NODATA
Developed	NODATA
Barren or Sparse	NODATA

VCC Value 2022	New Class
Agriculture	NODATA
NODATA	NODATA

Higher numbers indicate departure from historical conditions, and indicate a need for forest restoration (CITATION NEEDED)

2.2.2 Suitability Criteria

225 Aspect

224

226

227

229

237

238

239

240

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

258

259

260

Closer to 0 or 360 is desired

Elevation

higher elevation is better

Vegetation Characteristics

- Landfire 2022 VCC Higher is better 67-100 preferred Class II & III; A & B
- Landfire EVC tree cover higher is better
- 232 Precipitation Higher is better
- Wildfire Hazard POtential V2023 Higher is better
- 234 Source: Article Notebook

3 Conclusion

236 Source: Article Notebook

References

Source: Article Notebook

- Adams, H. D., Luce, C. H., Breshears, D. D., Allen, C. D., Weiler, M., Hale, V. C., et al. (2012). Ecohydrological consequences of drought- and infestation-triggered tree die-off: Insights and hypotheses. *Ecohydrology*, 5(2), 145–159. https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.233
- Belmonte, A., Sankey, T., Biederman, J., Bradford, J., Goetz, S., & Kolb, T. (2021). UAV-Based Estimate of Snow Cover Dynamics: Optimizing Semi-Arid Forest Structure for Snow Persistence. *Remote Sensing*, 13(5), 1036. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13051036
- Belmonte, A., Ts. Sankey, T., Biederman, J., Bradford, J. B., & Kolb, T. (2022). Soil moisture response to seasonal drought conditions and post-thinning forest structure. *Ecohydrology*, 15(5), e2406. https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.2406
- Bennett, K. E., Talsma, C., & Boero, R. (2021). Concurrent Changes in Extreme Hydroclimate Events in the Colorado River Basin. *Water*, 13(7), 978. https://doi.org/10.3390/w13070978
- Berner, L. T., Law, B. E., Meddens, A. J. H., & Hicke, J. A. (2017). Tree mortality from fires, bark beetles, and timber harvest during a hot and dry decade in the western United States (2003–2012). *Environmental Research Letters*, 12(6), 065005. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa6f94
- Biederman, J. A., Somor, A. J., Harpold, A. A., Gutmann, E. D., Breshears, D. D., Troch, P. A., et al. (2015). Recent tree die-off has little effect on streamflow in contrast to expected increases from historical studies. Water Resources Research, 51(12), 9775–9789. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR017401

```
Biederman, J. A., Robles, M. D., Scott, R. L., & Knowles, J. F. (2022). Streamflow Response to Wildfire Differs With Season and Elevation in Adjacent Headwaters of the Lower Colorado River Basin. Water Resources Research, 58(3), e2021WR030687. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR030687
```

- Bosch, J. M., & Hewlett, J. D. (1982). A review of catchment experiments to determine the effect of vegetation changes on water yield and evapotranspiration. *Journal of Hydrology*, 55(1-4), 3–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(82)90117-2
- Brown, T. C., Hobbins, M. T., & Ramirez, J. A. (2005). The Source of Water Supply in the United States (Discussion {Paper} No. RMRS-RWU-4851) (p. 57). Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jorge-Ramirez-14/publication/266272409_The_source_of_water_supply_in_the_United_States/links/54b5fcb50cf26833efd34687/The -source-of-water-supply-in-the-United-States.pdf
- Broxton, P. D., Van Leeuwen, W. J. D., Svoma, B. M., Walter, J., & Biederman, J. A. (2023). Subseasonal to seasonal streamflow forecasting in a semiarid watershed. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 59(6), 1493–1510. https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.13147
- Carroll, R. W. H., Huntington, J. L., Snyder, K. A., Niswonger, R. G., Morton, C., & Stringham, T. K. (2016). Evaluating mountain meadow groundwater response to Pinyon-Juniper and temperature in a great basin watershed. *Ecohydrology*, 10(1), e1792. https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1792
- Davis, K. T., Dobrowski, S. Z., Higuera, P. E., Holden, Z. A., Veblen, T. T., Rother, M. T., et al. (2019). Wildfires and climate change push low-elevation forests across a critical climate threshold for tree regeneration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(13), 6193–6198. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1815107116
- Del Campo, A. D., González-Sanchis, M., Molina, A. J., García-Prats, A., Ceacero, C. J., & Bautista, I. (2019). Effectiveness of water-oriented thinning in two semi-arid forests: The redistribution of increased net rainfall into soil water, drainage and runoff. Forest Ecology and Management, 438, 163-175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.02.020
- Del Campo, A. D., Otsuki, K., Serengil, Y., Blanco, J. A., Yousefpour, R., & Wei, X. (2022). A global synthesis on the effects of thinning on hydrological processes: Implications for forest management. Forest Ecology and Management, 519, 120324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120324
- Donager, J., Sankey, T. Ts., Sánchez Meador, A. J., Sankey, J. B., & Springer, A. (2021). Integrating airborne and mobile lidar data with UAV photogrammetry for rapid assessment of changing forest snow depth and cover. *Science of Remote Sensing*, 4, 100029. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.srs.2021.100029
- Dwivedi, R., Biederman, J. A., Broxton, P. D., Pearl, J. K., Lee, K., Svoma, B. M., et al. (2024). How three-dimensional forest structure regulates the amount and timing of snowmelt across a climatic gradient of snow persistence. *Frontiers in Water*, 6, 1374961. https://doi.org/10.3389/frwa.2024.1374961
- Goeking, S. A., & Tarboton, D. G. (2020). Forests and Water Yield: A Synthesis of Disturbance Effects on Streamflow and Snowpack in Western Coniferous Forests. *Journal of Forestry*, 118(2), 172–192. https://doi.org/10.1093/jofore/fvz069
- Hogan, D., & Lundquist, J. D. (2024). Recent Upper Colorado River Streamflow Declines Driven by Loss of Spring Precipitation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 51(16), e2024GL109826. https://doi.org/10.1029/2024GL109826
- Lewis, G., Harpold, A., Krogh, S. A., Broxton, P., & Manley, P. N. (2023). The prediction of uneven snowpack response to forest thinning informs forest restoration

```
in the central Sierra Nevada. Ecohydrology, 16(7), e2580. https://doi.org/
315
          10.1002/eco.2580
316
      Lundquist, J. D., Vano, J., Gutmann, E., Hogan, D., Schwat, E., Haugeneder, M., et
317
         al. (2024). Sublimation of Snow. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society,
318
          105(6), E975-E990. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-23-0191.1
319
      Meko, D. M., Woodhouse, C. A., & Winitsky, A. G. (2022). Tree-Ring Perspectives
320
         on the Colorado River: Looking Back and Moving Forward. JAWRA Journal of
321
         the American Water Resources Association, 58(5), 604-621. https://doi.org/
322
          10.1111/1752-1688.12989
323
      Moore, R., & Wondzell, S. M. (2005). Physical hydrology and the effects of for-
324
          est harvesting in the pacific northwest: A review. Journal of the American
         Water Resources Association, 41(4), 763-784. https://doi.org/10.1111/
326
          j.1752-1688.2005.tb04463.x
327
      O'Donnell, F. C., Donager, J., Sankey, T., Masek Lopez, S., & Springer, A. E.
328
         (2021). Vegetation structure controls on snow and soil moisture in restored pon-
329
         derosa pine forests. Hydrological Processes, 35(11), e14432. https://doi.org/
330
          10.1002/hyp.14432
331
      Sankey, T., & Tatum, J. (2022). Thinning increases forest resiliency during unprece-
332
         dented drought. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 9041. https://doi.org/10.1038/
333
          s41598-022-12982-z
334
      Sankey, T., Belmonte, A., Massey, R., & Leonard, J. (2021). Regional-scale forest
335
         restoration effects on ecosystem resiliency to drought: A synthesis of vegetation
336
         and moisture trends on Google Earth Engine. Remote Sensing in Ecology and
337
         Conservation, 7(2), 259-274. https://doi.org/10.1002/rse2.186
338
      Schenk, E. R., O'Donnell, F., Springer, A. E., & Stevens, L. E. (2020). The impacts
339
          of tree stand thinning on groundwater recharge in aridland forests. Ecological
340
          Engineering, 145, 105701. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2019.105701
341
      Smerdon, B. D., Redding, T., & Beckers, J. (2009). An overview of the effects of
342
         forest management on groundwater hydrology. Journal of Ecosystems and Man-
343
          agement. https://doi.org/10.22230/jem.2009v10n1a409
344
      Udall, B., & Overpeck, J. (2017). The twenty-first century Colorado River hot
345
         drought and implications for the future. Water Resources Research, 53(3), 2404–
346
         2418. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR019638
347
      Williams, A. P., Cook, B. I., & Smerdon, J. E. (2022). Rapid intensification of the
348
         emerging southwestern North American megadrought in 2020–2021. Nature Cli-
          mate Change, 12(3), 232-234. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01290-z
350
      Wyatt, C. J. W. (2013). Estimating groundwater yield following forest restora-
351
         tion along the Mogollon Rim (Master's thesis). Northern Arizona University,
352
         Flagstaff, AZ. Retrieved from https://cdm17192.contentdm.oclc.org/
353
         digital/collection/p17192coll1/id/476/rec/3
354
```

Zou, C. B., Ffolliott, P. F., & Wine, M. (2010). Streamflow responses to vegetation

manipulations along a gradient of precipitation in the Colorado River Basin. Forest Ecology and Management, 259(7), 1268–1276. https://doi.org/10.1016/

355

358

j.foreco.2009.08.005