## Inductive Proof Outline

**Theorem 1.** Let S be a set with cardinality  $n \ge 1$ . S has exactly  $2^n$  unique subsets.

*Proof.* Prove that  $P(n) = 2^n$ , for all  $n \ge 1$  holds true.

**Base Step:** When n = 1, a set S has one element  $\{a_1\}$ .  $|P(\{a_1\})| = 2 = 2^1$ . This holds as  $2^1 > 1$ .

**Inductive Hypothesis:** Assume the statement holds for a set S with k elements, that is, S has  $2^k$  subsets for  $k \ge 1$ .

**Inductive Step:** Here we will show that it holds for P(k+1). Let S be a set where |S| = k+1

$$S = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_k, a_{k+1}\}$$

$$S = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_k\} \cup \{a_{k+1}\}$$

$$|P(S)| = 2^k + 2^k$$

$$|P(S)| = 2 * 2^k$$

$$|P(S)| = 2^{k+1}$$

This holds as whenever a new element is added to a set. The unique subsets the set has will double.

**Conclusion:** By mathematical induction, the statement holds for all  $n \ge 1$ . Therefore, a set with cardinality n has exactly  $2^n$  unique subsets.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Theorem 2.** Let S be a set with cardinality  $n \geq 2$ . S has exactly  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  unique subsets of cardinality 2.

*Proof.* We will prove the statement by mathematical induction on n.

**Base Step:** Let n=2. A set S with two elements, say  $S=\{a,b\}$ , has exactly one subset of cardinality 2, which is S itself. This is equal to  $\frac{2(2-1)}{2}=1$ , which satisfies the base case.

**Inductive Hypothesis:** Assume that for a set S with k elements, where  $k \geq 2$ , there are exactly  $\frac{2(2-1)}{2}$  subsets of cardinality 2.

**Inductive Step:** Consider a set S with k+1 elements. The original k elements have  $\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$  subsets of cardinality 2. When we add the  $(k+1)^{th}$  element, we can form new subsets of cardinality 2 by pairing the  $(k+1)^{th}$  with each of the k elements of the set. This gives us an additional k subsets. The total number of subsets of cardinality 2 for the set T is  $\frac{k(k-1)}{2} + k$ . Simplifying this expression gives us  $\frac{k(k-1)+2k}{2} = \frac{k^2-k+2k}{2} = \frac{k^2+k}{2} = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ , which is the formula for  $\frac{(k+1)k}{2}$ , confirming the inductive step.

**Conclusion:** By the principle of mathematical induction, the theorem is true for all  $n \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 3.** For any n sets  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n$ , the complement of the union of these sets is equivalent to the intersection of their individual complements.

$$(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \ldots \cup S_n)^C = S_1^C \cap S_2^C \cap \ldots \cap S_n^C$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* We will prove the statement by mathematical induction on n.

**Base Step:** For n = 1, the complement of a single set is itself.

$$S_1^C = S_1^C$$

For n = 2, De Morgan's Law

$$(S_1 \cup S_2)^C = S_1^C \cap S_2^C$$

**Inductive Hypothesis:** Assume that the statement holds for n = k, that is

$$(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \ldots \cup S_k)^C = S_1^C \cap S_2^C \cap \ldots \cap S_k^C.$$

**Inductive Step:** Consider n = k + 1 sets. We want to show that

$$(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \ldots \cup S_k \cup S_{k+1})^C = S_1^C \cap S_2^C \cap \ldots \cap S_k^C \cap S_{k+1}^C.$$

WDe Morgan's Law, we have

$$(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \ldots \cup S_k \cup S_{k+1})^C = (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \ldots \cup S_k)^C \cap (S_{k+1})^C$$
  
=  $(S_1^C \cap S_2^C \cap \ldots \cap S_k^C) \cap S_{k+1}^C$ .

This confirms the inductive step.

**Conclusion:** By the principle of mathematical induction, the statement is true for all  $n \ge 1$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 4.** Let S be a set with cardinality  $n \ge 1$ . Then, S has exactly  $2^n$  unique subsets.

## Statement

We want to prove by contradiction that the intersection of any set  $S_1$  with the difference of any set  $S_2$  and  $S_1$  is the empty set, i.e.,  $S_1 \cap (S_2 \setminus S_1) = \emptyset$ .

## **Proof by Contradiction**

*Proof.* Suppose, for contradiction, that the intersection  $S_1 \cap (S_2 \setminus S_1)$  is not empty. Then there

exists an element x such that  $x \in S_1$  and  $x \in (S_2 \setminus S_1)$ . If  $x \in (S_2 \setminus S_1)$ , by definition of set difference,  $x \in S_2$  and  $x \notin S_1$ . This leads to a contradiction since we have assumed that  $x \in S_1$ .

Therefore, our initial assumption must be false.