

SE 160A: Project 3 Write Up

**1. A QUASI-ISOTROPIC LAMINATES.** Consider carbon/epoxy laminates fabricated with (IM7) carbon fibers and (3501-6) epoxy with an areal fiber weight of (150 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and (RC=30%).

**a.** Compare (A<sub>11</sub>, A<sub>12</sub>, A<sub>66</sub>) and (E<sub>x</sub>, G<sub>xy</sub>, ν<sub>xy</sub>) for the following:

Laminate Type	A <sub>11</sub> (lb/in)	A <sub>12</sub> (lb/in)	A <sub>66</sub> (lb/in)	E <sub>x</sub> (Msi)	G <sub>xy</sub> (Msi)	ν <sub>xy</sub> (unitless)
0.050 inch ply [0/60/-60] <sub>2s</sub>	6.24022E+06	1.97995E+06	2.13013E+06	9.3533	3.5502	0.3173
0.050 inch ply [0/120/30/90/60/-30] <sub>s</sub>	6.24022E+06	1.97995E+06	2.13013E+06	9.3533	3.5502	0.3173
0.075 inch ply [0/45/-45/90] <sub>s</sub>	6.24022E+06	1.97995E+06	2.13013E+06	9.3533	3.5502	0.3173
0.075 inch ply [20/65/-25/110] <sub>s</sub>	6.24022E+06	1.97995E+06	2.13013E+06	9.3533	3.5502	0.3173

From these values, all laminates have the same properties.

**b.** Applying an in-plane load (N<sub>x</sub> = 5,000 lb/in, N<sub>y</sub> = N<sub>xy</sub> = 0 lb/in) to the four laminates of part(a.), calculate the strain (ε<sub>xx</sub>, ε<sub>yy</sub>, ε<sub>xy</sub>) in the four laminates. Calculate the stress (S<sub>xx</sub>) in each ply. Calculate the fiber stress (S<sub>11</sub>) in each ply. Is there a best laminate? Which one, why?

**Strain Table**

Laminate Type	strain xx (in/in)	strain yy (in/in)	strain xy (in/in)
0.050 inch ply [0/60/-60] <sub>2s</sub>	8.90947E-04	-2.82688E-04	0.00000E+00
0.050 inch ply [0/120/30/90/60/-30] <sub>s</sub>	8.90947E-04	-2.82688E-04	-1.16090E-20
0.075 inch ply [0/45/-45/90] <sub>s</sub>	8.90947E-04	-2.82688E-04	0.00000E+00
0.075 inch ply [20/65/-25/110] <sub>s</sub>	8.90947E-04	-2.82688E-04	-1.22339E-19

## Stress Table

Laminate Type	Sxx of each ply (Ksi)		S11 fiber stress in each ply (Ksi)	
0.050 inch ply [0/60/-60]2s	Angle (deg)	$\sigma_{xx}$	Angle (deg)	$\sigma_{11}$
	0	22.65643788	0	22.6564379
	60	1.171781059	60	0.46443947
	-60	1.171781059	-60	0.46443947
	-60	1.171781059	-60	0.46443947
	60	1.171781059	60	0.46443947
	0	22.65643788	0	22.6564379
	0	22.65643788	0	22.6564379
	60	1.171781059	60	0.46443947
	-60	1.171781059	-60	0.46443947
	-60	1.171781059	-60	0.46443947
	60	1.171781059	60	0.46443947
	0	22.65643788	0	22.6564379
0.050 inch ply [0/120/30/90/60/-30]s	Ply Angle (degree)	$\sigma_{xx}$	Ply Angle (degree)	$\sigma_{11}$
	0	22.65643788	0	22.6564379
	120	1.171781059	120	0.46443947
	30	11.99658474	30	15.2591051
	90	1.006830518	90	-6.93289333
	60	1.171781059	60	0.46443947
	-30	11.99658474	-30	15.2591051
	-30	11.99658474	-30	15.2591051
	60	1.171781059	60	0.46443947
	90	1.006830518	90	-6.93289333
	30	11.99658474	30	15.2591051
	120	1.171781059	120	0.46443947
	0	22.65643788	0	22.6564379
0.075 inch ply [0/45/-45/90]s	Ply Angle (degree)	$\sigma_{xx}$	Ply Angle (degree)	$\sigma_{11}$
	0	22.65643788	0	22.6564379
	45	4.835032467	45	7.86177228
	-45	4.835032467	-45	7.86177228
	90	1.006830518	90	-6.93289333
	90	1.006830518	90	-6.93289333
	-45	4.835032467	-45	7.86177228
	45	4.835032467	45	7.86177228
	0	22.65643788	0	22.6564379

0.075 inch ply [20/65/-25/110]s	Ply Angle (degree)	$\sigma_{xx}$		Ply Angle (degree)	$\sigma_{11}$
	20	17.23308761		20	19.1951437
	65	0.767810079		65	-1.64805546
	-25	14.68390945		-25	17.3716
	110	0.648526195		110	-3.4715991
	110	0.648526195		110	-3.4715991
	-25	14.68390945		-25	17.3716
	65	0.767810079		65	-1.64805546
	20	17.23308761		20	19.1951437

Based off these results, it appears that all laminate layups have the same deformation ( $\epsilon_{xx}$ ,  $\epsilon_{yy}$ ,  $\epsilon_{xy}$ ). However, the 4<sup>th</sup> layup [20/65/-25/110]s has a better distributed load on the fibers for each ply. Its max fiber stress (per ply) only reaches 19Ksi, while other laminates have 22Ksi on a ply. This low stress value increases the margin of safety for the laminate. Thus, the 4<sup>th</sup> layup is best.

- c. Applying an in-plane shear load ( $N_x = N_y = 0$  lb/in,  $N_{xy} = 5,000$  lb/in) to the four laminates of part (a.), calculate the strain ( $\epsilon_{xx}$ ,  $\epsilon_{yy}$ ,  $\epsilon_{xy}$ ) in the four laminates. Calculate the shear stress ( $\tau_{xy}$ ) in each ply. Calculate the fiber stress ( $\sigma_{11}$ ) and the matrix shear stress ( $\tau_{12}$ ) in each ply. Is there a best laminate? Which one, why?

### Strain Table

Laminate Type	strain xx	strain yy	strain xy
0.050 inch ply [0/60/-60]2s	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	2.34727E-03
0.050 inch ply [0/120/30/90/60/-30]s	-1.16090E-20	3.68342E-21	2.34727E-03
0.075 inch ply [0/45/-45/90]s	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	2.34727E-03
0.075 inch ply [20/65/-25/110]s	-1.22339E-19	1.22339E-19	2.34727E-03

## Stress Table

Laminate Type	Shear Txy each ply (Ksi)		Fiber Stress S11 each ply (Ksi)		Local Shear T12 each ply (Ksi)	
0.050 inch ply [0/60/-60]2s	Angle (deg)	$\tau_{xy}$	Angle (deg)	$\sigma_{11}$	Angle (deg)	$\tau_{12}$
	0	1.3367316	0	0	0	1.3367316
	60	11.8316342	60	25.6251125	60	-0.6683658
	-60	11.8316342	-60	-25.6251125	-60	-0.6683658
	-60	11.8316342	-60	-25.6251125	-60	-0.6683658
	60	11.8316342	60	25.6251125	60	-0.6683658
	0	1.3367316	0	0	0	1.3367316
	0	1.3367316	0	0	0	1.3367316
	60	11.8316342	60	25.6251125	60	-0.6683658
	-60	11.8316342	-60	-25.6251125	-60	-0.6683658
	-60	11.8316342	-60	-25.6251125	-60	-0.6683658
	60	11.8316342	60	25.6251125	60	-0.6683658
	0	1.3367316	0	0	0	1.3367316
0.050 inch ply [0/120/30/90/60/-30]s	Ply Angle (degree)	$\tau_{xy}$	Ply Angle (degree)	$\sigma_{11}$	Angle (deg)	$\tau_{12}$
	0	1.3367316	0	-2.9521E-16	0	1.3367316
	120	11.8316342	120	-25.6251125	120	-0.6683658
	30	11.8316342	30	25.6251125	30	0.6683658
	90	1.3367316	90	9.0335E-17	90	-1.3367316
	60	11.8316342	60	25.6251125	60	-0.6683658
	-30	11.8316342	-30	-25.6251125	-30	0.6683658
	-30	11.8316342	-30	-25.6251125	-30	0.6683658
	60	11.8316342	60	25.6251125	60	-0.6683658
	90	1.3367316	90	9.0335E-17	90	-1.3367316
	30	11.8316342	30	25.6251125	30	0.6683658
	120	11.8316342	120	-25.6251125	120	-0.6683658
	0	1.3367316	0	-2.9521E-16	0	1.3367316
0.075 inch ply [0/45/-45/90]s	y Angle (degree)	$\tau_{xy}$	y Angle (degree)	$\sigma_{11}$	Angle (deg)	$\tau_{12}$
	0	1.3367316	0	0	0	1.3367316
	45	15.3299351	45	29.5893312	45	-1.932E-16
	-45	15.3299351	-45	-29.5893312	-45	-1.932E-16
	90	1.3367316	90	0	90	-1.3367316
	90	1.3367316	90	0	90	-1.3367316
	-45	15.3299351	-45	-29.5893312	-45	-1.932E-16
	45	15.3299351	45	29.5893312	45	-1.932E-16
	0	1.3367316	0	0	0	1.3367316

0.075 inch ply [20/65/-25/110]s	Ply Angle (degree)	$\tau_{xy}$		Ply Angle (degree)	$\sigma_{11}$		Angle (deg)	$\tau_{12}$
	20	7.11838619		20	19.0196555		20	1.02399581
	65	9.54828047		65	22.6667427		65	-0.8592345
	-25	9.54828047		-25	-22.6667427		-25	0.85923451
	110	7.11838619		110	-19.0196555		110	-1.0239958
	110	7.11838619		110	-19.0196555		110	-1.0239958
	-25	9.54828047		-25	-22.6667427		-25	0.85923451
	65	9.54828047		65	22.6667427		65	-0.8592345
	20	7.11838619		20	19.0196555		20	1.02399581

Based off these results, it appears that all laminate layups have the same deformation ( $\epsilon_{xx}$ ,  $\epsilon_{yy}$ ,  $\epsilon_{xy}$ ). Again, the 4<sup>th</sup> layup [20/65/-25/110]s has a better distributed load on the fibers for each ply. Its max fiber stress (per ply) only reaches 22Ksi, while other laminates have 25Ksi on a ply. In addition, the local shear stress on each ply is maximum 1Ksi versus the other laminates having minimums of 1.3Ksi This low stress value increases the margin of safety for the laminate. Thus, the 4<sup>th</sup> layup is best.

- 2. OFF-ANGLE SYMMETRIC LAMINATES.** An aerospace structures engineer has developed an invention. The design will only work with a material having a large negative extension-shear coupling ( $\epsilon_{x,xy}$ ). The engineer considers using a thick ( $t_{ply} = 0.10$  inch) single ply of carbon/epoxy laminate that is fabricated with (IM7) carbon fibers and (3501-6) epoxy with an areal fiber weight of (150 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and (RC=30%). If the engineer can only cut material in 5-degree increments, what orientation angle ( $\theta$ ) between (0) and (90) degrees, will provide the largest negative ( $\epsilon_{x,xy}$ ).

With the following alteration to my code, I can find that of all angles from 0:5:90, the most ideal angle for the engineer to cut will be at **30 degrees, which produces -13.402203230209718** extension shear coupling

“”” this section of code has been inserted and commented out from lines 112-124 in my code”””

% Question 2 in writeup

```
results = zeros(19,2);
```

```
results(:,1) = [0:5:90];
```

```
index=1;
```

```
for Testang = 0:5:90
```

```
    Sbar = (T1(Testang))*Smat*T1(Testang);
```

```
    nxxya = Sbar(1,3)*Exa;
```

```
    results(index,2) = nxxya;
```

```
    index=index+1;
```

```
end
```

```
results
```

```
return
```

```
>> results
```

```
results =
```

```

0
5.000000000000000 -3.631600260576560
10.000000000000000 -6.979776836607272
15.000000000000000 -9.790593652566834
20.000000000000000 -11.865675940388074
25.000000000000000 -13.081863547947391
30.000000000000000 -13.402203230209718
35.000000000000000 -12.877071454879674
40.000000000000000 -11.635393606677336
45.000000000000000 -9.867100863222740
50.000000000000000 -7.799001253033061
55.000000000000000 -5.667012284561664
60.000000000000000 -3.688116788298787
65.000000000000000 -2.035412023985105
70.000000000000000 -0.819224416425789
75.000000000000000 -0.076507210655901
80.000000000000000 0.230282333710734
85.000000000000000 0.204792093067713
90.000000000000000 0
```