

Data Sci Discover Project

Ryan Greenup

September 28, 2020

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1 Links | 1 |
| 2 Proposal | 1 |
| 2.1 Question | 1 |
| 2.2 Working | 1 |
| 3 Page Rank Methods | 3 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 3 |
| 3.2 Random Surfer | 4 |
| 3.2.1 Introduction | 4 |
| 3.2.2 Small Graph, Ordinary Matrices | 5 |
| 3.2.3 Large Graph, Sparse Matrices using CRS | 10 |
| 3.3 Power Walk Method | 14 |
| 3.3.1 Introduction | 14 |
| 3.3.2 Ordinary Matrices | 15 |
| 3.3.3 Sparse Matrices | 15 |
| 4 Report Notes | 24 |
| 5 Relating the Power Walk to the Random Surfer | 24 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 24 |
| 5.2 Value of [1st Term] | 25 |
| 5.3 Value of {2nd Term} | 26 |
| 5.4 Equate the Power Walk to the Random Surfer | 27 |
| 5.5 Conclusion | 28 |
| 5.6 TODO The Second Eigenvalue | 28 |
| 5.6.1 TODO The Random Surfer | 28 |
| 5.6.2 TODO Power Walk | 28 |
| 6 Appendix | 29 |
| 6.1 Glossary | 29 |
| ./resources/ | |

1 Links

- [Research Proposal](#)

- Paper
- Preliminary Implementation
- Implement Random Surfer on Sparse Matrix
- Implement Power Walk on Sparse Matrix

2 Proposal


2.1 Question

Can we determine the second eigenvalue from the method parameters? For PageRank, the second eigenvalue is equal to the smoothing parameter α

Yes. An open question for the Power Walk method is, can we determine the second eigenvalue from the method parameters? For PageRank, the second eigenvalue is equal to the smoothing parameter α . The second eigenvalue determines how long the algorithm takes to converge and how stable the solution is. To begin, implement the method for computing PageRank and then the Power Walk. It can all be done using sparse matrices, so it only requires a fraction of the memory and is each iteration is quick.

2.2 Working

Take the exemplar Graph from Figure 1:



$$\Gamma = I - nD_B^{-1} \quad (1)$$

(2)

Where we have the following:

$$\beta = 10 \quad (3)$$

$$B = \beta^A \quad (4)$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

$$\Rightarrow B = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 10 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 10 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

$$D_B \text{ is a diagonal matrix of the column sums:} \quad (7)$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 13 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 13 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 13 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 13 \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

$$\text{Hence the Inverse is:} \quad (9)$$

$$D_B^{-1} = \frac{I}{13} \quad (10)$$

$$\text{Putting it all together:} \quad (11)$$

$$\Gamma = I - nD_B^{-1} \quad (12)$$

$$= I - \frac{4 \cdot I}{13} \quad (13)$$

$$= \frac{9}{13} \cdot I \quad (14)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{9}{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{9}{13} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{9}{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{9}{13} \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

$$\approx \begin{bmatrix} 0.6923 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.6923 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.6923 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.6923 \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

3 Page Rank Methods

3.1 Introduction

These asses node centrality by performing a random walk across the graph and recording the frequencies of landing on a given vertex.

Usually Page Rank refers to the random surfer but I'm using it in this document to refer to any process that attributes a probability of landing on a vertex during a random walk to a graph that is not ergodic.

If each vertex is connected the graph is said to be ergodic and there is a closed solution for the limit values of the frequencies given this random walk:

- The eigenvalue equal to 1

- If the graph is not directed \vec{p} is a vector of length n :
 - n is the number of nodes in the graph G
 - $\vec{p}_i = \frac{\deg(v_i)}{\text{vol}(G)}$
 - * $\text{vol}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n [\text{indeg}(v)] = \sum_{i=1}^n [\text{outdeg}(v)] = \sum_{i=1}^n [\deg(v)]$

For large matrices calculating the eigenvalues will be expensive and so instead the power method is used, which is essentially looping over until the vector converges to a solution.

$$\vec{p} = T\vec{p} \quad (17)$$

where:

A Is the adjacency Matrix, an element is 1 if movement from the row vertex to the column vertex is permitted.

- The matrix may be weighted in some way, for example 5 edges between vertices may be such that a 5 is used in the matrix not a 1
- An undirected graph will be such that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^T$

T Is the transition probability matrix, an element in the matrix describes the probability of moving from the column-vertex to the row-vertex

- The transition matrix is intended to be such that for a given state distribution \vec{p} , the next iteration of a random walk will be $T\vec{p}$
- Observe also that $T = \frac{1}{\text{colsums}(\mathbf{A})} \mathbf{A}^T$
 - i.e. the transpose of the adjacency matrix with each column scaled to 1.

3.2 Random Surfer

3.2.1 Introduction

For con If a graph is non-ergodic, then a random walk isn't as easy to implement because in essence there are multiple disconnected graphs, to address this, some value λ is introduced which represents the probability of moving from one vertex to any other vertex. Essentially the difference here is

```
if (require("pacman")) {
  library(pacman)
}else{
  install.packages("pacman")
  library(pacman)
}
```

```
pacman::p_load(tidyverse, Matrix, igraph, plotly, mise, docstring)
```

3.2.2 Small Graph, Ordinary Matrices

1. Example Graph Consider the following Graph taken from the paper:

```
g1 <- igraph::graph.formula(1++2, 1+-8, 1+-5, 2+-5, 2+-7, 2+-8, 2+-6,  
  2+-9, 3++4, 3+-5, 3+-6, 3+-9, 3+-10, 4+-9, 4+-10, 4+-5, 5+-8, 6+-8,  
  7+-8)  
plot(g1)
```



- (a) Adjacency Matrix The adjacency Matrix is given by:

```
A <- igraph::get.adjacency(g1, names = TRUE, sparse = FALSE) %>%  
  as.matrix()  
  
## Adjust the Order  
(A <- A[order(as.integer(row.names(A))),  
  order(as.integer(colnames(A)))])
```

```
##    1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10  
## 1  0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  
## 2  1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  
## 3  0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0  
## 4  0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  
## 5  1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0  
## 6  0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
```

```
## 7  0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
## 8  1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0
## 9  0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0
## 10 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0
```

(b) State Distribution The state distribution is the transpose of the adjacency matrix:

```
| (p0 <- t(A))

##      1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
## 1  0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0
## 2  1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0
## 3  0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1
## 4  0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1
## 5  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
## 6  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
## 7  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
## 8  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
## 9  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
## 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
```

(c) Probability Transition Matrix The probability transition matrix is such that each column of the initial state distribution (i.e. the transposed adjacency matrix) is scaled to 1.

```
| p0 %*% diag(1/colSums(p0))

##      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [,6] [,7] [,8]      [,9] [,10]
## 1      0    1    0    0 0.25  0.0    0  0.2 0.0000000  0.0
## 2      1    0    0    0 0.25  0.5    1  0.2 0.3333333  0.0
## 3      0    0    0    1 0.25  0.5    0  0.0 0.3333333  0.5
## 4      0    0    1    0 0.25  0.0    0  0.0 0.3333333  0.5
## 5      0    0    0    0 0.00  0.0    0  0.2 0.0000000  0.0
## 6      0    0    0    0 0.00  0.0    0  0.2 0.0000000  0.0
## 7      0    0    0    0 0.00  0.0    0  0.2 0.0000000  0.0
## 8      0    0    0    0 0.00  0.0    0  0.0 0.0000000  0.0
## 9      0    0    0    0 0.00  0.0    0  0.0 0.0000000  0.0
## 10     0    0    0    0 0.00  0.0    0  0.0 0.0000000  0.0
```

i. Create a Function

```
| adj_to_probTrans <- function(adjMat) {
|   t(adjMat) %*% diag(1/colSums(t(adjMat)))
| }
|
| (T <- adj_to_probTrans(A)) %>% round(2)

##      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [,6] [,7] [,8] [,9] [,10]
## 1      0    1    0    0 0.25  0.0    0  0.2 0.00  0.0
## 2      1    0    0    0 0.25  0.5    1  0.2 0.33  0.0
```

```
## 3      0      0      0      1 0.25  0.5      0 0.0 0.33  0.5
## 4      0      0      1      0 0.25  0.0      0 0.0 0.33  0.5
## 5      0      0      0      0 0.00  0.0      0 0.2 0.00  0.0
## 6      0      0      0      0 0.00  0.0      0 0.2 0.00  0.0
## 7      0      0      0      0 0.00  0.0      0 0.2 0.00  0.0
## 8      0      0      0      0 0.00  0.0      0 0.0 0.00  0.0
## 9      0      0      0      0 0.00  0.0      0 0.0 0.00  0.0
## 10     0      0      0      0 0.00  0.0      0 0.0 0.00  0.0
```

2. Page Rank Random Surfer The random surfer page rank method modifies the probability transition matrix T so that the method works also for non-ergodic graphs by introducing the possibility of a random jump, we'll call the surfer transition matrix S :

$$S = \lambda T + (1 - \lambda) B : \quad (18)$$

$$(19)$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N} & \frac{1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{1}{N} \\ \frac{1}{N} & \frac{1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{1}{N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{N} & \frac{1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{1}{N} \end{bmatrix} \quad (20)$$

$$N = ||V|| \quad (21)$$

$$\lambda \in [0, 1] \quad (22)$$

```
B <- matrix(rep(1/nrow(T), length.out = nrow(T)**2), nrow = nrow(T))
l <- 0.8123456789

S <- l*T+(1-l)*B
```

- (a) Eigen Value Method The eigenvector corresponding to the the eigenvalue of 1 will be the stationary point:

```
eigen(S, symmetric = FALSE)
```

eigen() decomposition

\$values

```
[1] 1.000000e+00 -8.123457e-01 -8.123457e-01 8.123457e-01 -3.407464e-09 3.407464e-09
[7] 6.878591e-17 -4.393838e-17 -1.126771e-18 -1.292735e-32
```

\$vectors

```
      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]      [,4]      [,5]      [,6]
[1,] 0.48726141 -7.071005e-01 1.590774e-03 5.000000e-01 6.735753e-01 -6.735753e-01
[2,] 0.52676629 7.071005e-01 -1.590774e-03 5.000000e-01 9.622504e-02 -9.622505e-02
[3,] 0.49149620 -2.975837e-03 7.071050e-01 -5.000000e-01 9.622504e-02 -9.622505e-02
[4,] 0.48044122 2.975837e-03 -7.071050e-01 -5.000000e-01 2.886751e-01 -2.886751e-01
[5,] 0.04932738 1.463673e-18 -5.541166e-17 2.124631e-17 -3.849002e-01 3.849002e-01
[6,] 0.04932738 1.463673e-18 5.541166e-17 2.124631e-17 -3.849002e-01 3.849002e-01
```

```

[7,] 0.04932738 1.463673e-18 -2.077937e-17 2.124631e-17 -3.849002e-01 3.849002e-01
[8,] 0.04243328 -6.484884e-18 -1.103904e-17 6.319692e-17 8.072508e-09 8.072508e-09
[9,] 0.04243328 6.952446e-18 -9.740331e-18 6.005334e-17 8.072508e-09 8.072509e-09
[10,] 0.04243328 6.952446e-18 -9.740331e-18 6.005334e-17 8.072508e-09 8.072509e-09
      [,7]      [,8]      [,9]     [,10]
[1,] -3.963430e-01 3.962600e-01 1.828019e-01 -1.752367e-01
[2,] -1.291621e-01 2.027302e-01 2.199538e-01 -2.197680e-01
[3,] -3.955284e-01 3.894308e-02 2.223048e-01 -2.248876e-01
[4,] -4.215353e-01 1.043870e-01 2.747562e-01 -2.777266e-01
[5,] 5.166485e-01 -8.109210e-01 -8.798152e-01 8.790721e-01
[6,] 5.201366e-02 -1.308878e-01 -1.049028e-01 1.056778e-01
[7,] 1.346275e-01 -1.936007e-01 9.054366e-02 -9.554811e-02
[8,] 2.547528e-16 -1.352936e-16 -1.025353e-16 1.072771e-16
[9,] 3.196396e-01 1.965446e-01 -2.821213e-03 -5.466313e-03
[10,] 3.196396e-01 1.965446e-01 -2.821213e-03 1.388344e-02

```

So in this case the stationary point is

$\langle -0.49, -0.53, -0.49, -0.48, -0.05, -0.05, -0.05, -0.04, -0.04, -0.04 \rangle$

which can be verified:

$$1\vec{p} = S\vec{p}$$

```

| (p <- eigen(S)$values[1] * eigen(S)$vectors[,1])

## [1] -0.48531271 -0.52732002 -0.49152601 -0.47977477 -0.05288058 -0.05288058
## [7] -0.05288058 -0.04558671 -0.04558671 -0.04558671

| (p_new <- S %*% p)

##      [,1]
## 1 -0.48531271
## 2 -0.52732002
## 3 -0.49152601
## 4 -0.47977477
## 5 -0.05288058
## 6 -0.05288058
## 7 -0.05288058
## 8 -0.04558671
## 9 -0.04558671
## 10 -0.04558671

```

However this vector does not sum to 1 so the scale should be adjusted (for probabilities the vector should sum to 1):

```

| (p_new <- p_new/sum(p_new))

```



```
##          [,1]
## 1  0.2129185
## 2  0.2313481
## 3  0.2156444
## 4  0.2104889
## 5  0.0232000
## 6  0.0232000
## 7  0.0232000
## 8  0.0200000
## 9  0.0200000
## 10 0.0200000
```

- (b) Power Value Method Using the power method should give the same result, which it indeed does, but for the scale:

```
p_new <- p_new *123456789

while (sum(round(p, 9) != round(p_new, 9))) {
  (p <- p_new)
  (p_new <- S %*% p)
}

p_new
```

```
##          [,1]
## 1 26286237
## 2 28561500
## 3 26622771
## 4 25986282
## 5  2864198
## 6  2864198
## 7  2864198
## 8  2469136
## 9  2469136
## 10 2469136
```

```
|      p
```

```
##          [,1]
## 1 26286237
## 2 28561500
## 3 26622771
## 4 25986282
## 5  2864198
## 6  2864198
## 7  2864198
## 8  2469136
## 9  2469136
## 10 2469136
```

This answer is however identical in direction, if it scaled to 1 the same value will be returned:

```
| (p_new <- p_new/sum(p_new))

##          [,1]
## 1  0.2129185
## 2  0.2313481
## 3  0.2156444
## 4  0.2104889
## 5  0.0232000
## 6  0.0232000
## 7  0.0232000
## 8  0.0200000
## 9  0.0200000
## 10 0.0200000
```

(c) Scaling However if the initial state sums to 1, then the scale of the stationary vector will also sum to 1.

```
| p <- c(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
| p_new <- S %*% p
|
| while (sum(round(p, 9) != round(p_new, 9))) {
|   (p <- p_new)
|   (p_new <- S %*% p)
| }
|
| cbind(p_new, p)

##          [,1]      [,2]
## 1  0.2129185 0.2129185
## 2  0.2313481 0.2313481
## 3  0.2156444 0.2156444
## 4  0.2104889 0.2104889
## 5  0.0232000 0.0232000
## 6  0.0232000 0.0232000
## 7  0.0232000 0.0232000
## 8  0.0200000 0.0200000
## 9  0.0200000 0.0200000
## 10 0.0200000 0.0200000
```

3.2.3 Large Graph, Sparse Matrices using CRS

1. Creating the Probability Transition Matrix Implementing the page rank method on a larger graph requires the use of more efficient form of matrix storage.

An adjacency matrix (atleast in the context of graphs relating to webpages and social networks) will contain elements that are mostly zero because the number of edges leaving any vertex will tend to be significantly less than the total number of vertices.

A matrix exhibiting this property is known as a sparse matrix CITE

The properties of a sparse matrix can be implemented in order to improve performance, one such method to achieve this is *Compressed Sparse Row* (CSR) storage, which involves creating a separate array of values and corresponding indices. CITE

This is implemented by the Matrix package in **R**. CITE

An sparse matrix can be created using the following syntax, which will return a matrix of the class `dgCMatrix`:

```
library(Matrix)
## Create Example Matrix
n <- 20
m <- 10^6
i <- sample(1:m, size = n); j <- sample(1:m, size = n); x <- rpois(n, lambda
    = 90)
A <- sparseMatrix(i, j, x = x, dims = c(m, m))

summary(A)
```

1000000 x 1000000 sparse Matrix of class "dgCMatrix", with 20 entries

| | i | j | x |
|----|--------|--------|-----|
| 1 | 803589 | 66922 | 118 |
| 2 | 61426 | 83355 | 97 |
| 3 | 401058 | 103999 | 71 |
| 4 | 610432 | 206922 | 84 |
| 5 | 542888 | 217196 | 69 |
| 6 | 821769 | 291405 | 79 |
| 7 | 187782 | 364814 | 74 |
| 8 | 152229 | 451810 | 104 |
| 9 | 614645 | 462031 | 82 |
| 10 | 776459 | 566334 | 91 |
| 11 | 288279 | 630438 | 97 |
| 12 | 233553 | 631441 | 84 |
| 13 | 139900 | 649740 | 83 |
| 14 | 381442 | 681415 | 87 |
| 15 | 578270 | 755635 | 99 |
| 16 | 175521 | 775788 | 98 |
| 17 | 57981 | 809115 | 89 |
| 18 | 821120 | 809688 | 103 |
| 19 | 541818 | 976802 | 78 |
| 20 | 595348 | 993420 | 85 |

As before in section 1c, the probability transition matrix can be found by:

- (a) Transposing the adjacency matrix, then
- (b) Scaling the columns to one

To implement this for a `sparseMatrix` of the class `dgCMatrix`, the same technique of multiplying by a diagonalised matrix may be implemented, however to create this new matrix, a new `sparseMatrix` will need to be created using the properties of the original matrix, this can be done like so:

```
sparse_diag <- function(mat) {
```

```

#' Diagonal Factors of Sparse Matrix
#'
#' Return a Diagonal Matrix of the 1 / colsum() such that
#' matrix multiplication with this matrix would have all column sums
#' sum to 1
#'
#' This should take the transpose of an adjacency matrix in and the output
#' can be multiplied by the original matrix to scale it to 1.
#' i

## Get the Dimensions
n <- nrow(mat)

## Make a Diagonal Matrix of Column Sums
D <- sparseMatrix(i = 1:n, j = 1:n, x = colSums(mat), dims = c(n,n))

## Throw away explicit Zeroes
D <- drop0(D)

## Inverse the Values
D@x <- 1/D@x

## Return the Diagonal Matrix
return(D)
}
D <- sparse_diag(t(A))
summary(D)

```

1000000 x 1000000 sparse Matrix of class "dgCMatrix", with 20 entries

| | i | j | x |
|----|--------|--------|-------------|
| 1 | 57981 | 57981 | 0.011235955 |
| 2 | 61426 | 61426 | 0.010309278 |
| 3 | 139900 | 139900 | 0.012048193 |
| 4 | 152229 | 152229 | 0.009615385 |
| 5 | 175521 | 175521 | 0.010204082 |
| 6 | 187782 | 187782 | 0.013513514 |
| 7 | 233553 | 233553 | 0.011904762 |
| 8 | 288279 | 288279 | 0.010309278 |
| 9 | 381442 | 381442 | 0.011494253 |
| 10 | 401058 | 401058 | 0.014084507 |
| 11 | 541818 | 541818 | 0.012820513 |
| 12 | 542888 | 542888 | 0.014492754 |
| 13 | 578270 | 578270 | 0.010101010 |
| 14 | 595348 | 595348 | 0.011764706 |
| 15 | 610432 | 610432 | 0.011904762 |
| 16 | 614645 | 614645 | 0.012195122 |
| 17 | 776459 | 776459 | 0.010989011 |
| 18 | 803589 | 803589 | 0.008474576 |
| 19 | 821120 | 821120 | 0.009708738 |
| 20 | 821769 | 821769 | 0.012658228 |

and hence the probability transition matrix may be implemented by performing matrix multiplication ac-

cordingly:

```
| summary(t(A) %*% D)

1000000 x 1000000 sparse Matrix of class "dgCMatrix", with 20 entries
      i      j x
1  809115  57981 1
2   83355  61426 1
3  649740 139900 1
4  451810 152229 1
5   775788 175521 1
6   364814 187782 1
7   631441 233553 1
8   630438 288279 1
9   681415 381442 1
10 103999 401058 1
11 976802 541818 1
12 217196 542888 1
13 755635 578270 1
14 993420 595348 1
15 206922 610432 1
16 462031 614645 1
17 566334 776459 1
18   66922 803589 1
19 809688 821120 1
20 291405 821769 1
```

2. Solving the Random Surfer via the Power Method Solving the eigenvalues for such a large matrix will not be feasible, instead the power method will need to be used to find the stationary point.

However, creating a matrix of background probabilities (denoted by B in section 2) will not be feasible, it would simply be too large, instead some algebra can be used to reduce B from a matrix into a vector containing only $\frac{1-\alpha}{N}$.

The power method is given by:

$$\vec{p} = S\vec{p} \quad (23)$$

where:

$$S = \alpha T + (1 - \alpha) B \quad (24)$$

$$\vec{p} = (\alpha T + (1 - \alpha) B) \vec{p} \quad (25)$$

$$= \alpha T \vec{p} + (1 - \alpha) B \vec{p} \quad (26)$$

Let $F = B\vec{p}$, consider the value of F :

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N} & \frac{1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{1}{N} \\ \frac{1}{N} & \frac{1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{1}{N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{N} & \frac{1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{1}{N} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{p}_1 \\ \vec{p}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{p}_m \end{bmatrix} \quad (27)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (\sum_{i=0}^m [p_i]) \times \frac{1}{N} \\ (\sum_{i=0}^m [p_i]) \times \frac{1}{N} \\ \vdots \\ (\sum_{i=0}^m [p_i]) \times \frac{1}{N} \end{bmatrix} \quad (28)$$

Probabilities sum to 1 and hence: (29)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N} \\ \frac{1}{N} \\ \frac{1}{N} \\ \frac{1}{N} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{N} \end{bmatrix} \quad (30)$$

So instead the power method can be implemented by performing an algorithm to the effect of:

```
## Find Stationary point of random surfer
N <- nrow(A)
alpha <- 0.8
F <- rep((1-alpha)/N, nrow(A)) ## A nx1 vector of (1-alpha)/N

## Solve using the power method
p <- rep(0, length.out = ncol(T)); p[1] <- 1
p_new <- alpha*T %*% p + F

## use a Counter to debug
i <- 0
while (sum(round(p, 9) != round(p_new, 9))) {
  p <- p_new
  p_new <- alpha*T %*% p + F
  (i <- i+1) %>% print()
}

p %>% head() %>% print()
```

3.3 Power Walk Method

3.3.1 Introduction

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}_B^{-1} \quad (31)$$

where:

- $\mathbf{B} = \beta^{\mathbf{A}}$

$x\beta^1$ probability of following an edge of weight 1

$x\beta^0$ probability of following an edge of weight 0

$x\beta^{-1}$ probability of following an edge of weight -

- $D_B = \text{colsums}(\mathbf{B})$

A The Adjacency Matrix

3.3.2 Ordinary Matrices

Solving the Power walk can be done pretty much the same as it is with the random surfer, but doing it with Sparse Matrices is a bit trickier.

3.3.3 Sparse Matrices

1. Theory; Simplifying Power Walk to be solved with Sparse Matrices The Random Surfer model is:

$$\mathbf{S} = \alpha \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{F}$$

where:

- \mathbf{T}
 - is an $i \times j$ matrix that describes the probability of travelling from vertex j to i
 - * This is transpose from the way that `igraph` produces an adjacency matrix.
- $\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{1}{n} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \end{bmatrix}$

Interpreting the transition probability matrix in this way is such that $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}_A^{-1}$ under the following conditions:

- No column of \mathbf{A} sums to zero
 - If this does happen the question arises how to deal with \mathbf{D}_A^{-1}
 - * I've been doing $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{A},i,j}^T := \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{\text{colsums}(\mathbf{A})}\right)$ and then replacing any 0 on the diagonal with 1.
 - What is done in the paper is to make another matrix \mathbf{Z} that is filled with 0, if a column sum of \mathbf{A} adds to zero then that column in \mathbf{Z} becomes $\frac{1}{n}$
 - * This has the effect of making each row identical
 - * The probability of going from an orphaned vertex to any other vertex would hence be $\frac{1}{n}$
 - * The idea with this method is then to use $D_{(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{Z})}^{-1}$ this will be consistent with the *Random Surfer* the method using \mathbf{F} in `[#eq:sparse-RS][[]]` (1)
- where each row is identical that is a 0

The way to deal with the *Power Walk* is more or less the same.

observe that:

$$\left(\mathbf{B} = \beta^{\mathbf{A}}\right) \wedge (\mathbf{A}_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R} \implies |\mathbf{B}_{i,j}| > 0 \quad \forall i, j > n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

Be mindful that the use of exponentiation in `[]` is not an element wise exponentiation and not an actual matrix exponential (which would be defined by using power series and logs but is defined)

So if I have:

- $\mathbf{O}_{i,j} := 0, \quad \forall i, j \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
- \vec{p}_i as the state distribution, being a vector of length n

Then It can be shown (see (1a)):

$$\mathbf{O} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \vec{p}_i = \text{repeat}(\vec{p} \bullet \vec{\delta}^T, \mathbf{n})$$

where:

- $\vec{\delta}_i = \frac{1}{\text{colsums}(\mathbf{B})}$
 – A vector...(n × 1 matrix)

$\vec{1}$ is a vector containing all 1's
 – A vector...(n × 1 matrix)

$\vec{\delta}^T$ refers to the transpose of (1 × n matrix)

$\vec{\delta}^T \vec{p}_i$ is some number (because it's a dot product)

This means we can do:

$$\vec{p_{i+1}} = \mathbf{T}_{\text{pw}} \vec{p}_i \quad (32)$$

$$= \mathbf{B} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \vec{p}_i \quad (33)$$

$$= (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{O} + \mathbf{O}) \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \vec{p}_i \quad (34)$$

$$= ((\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{O}) \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} + \mathbf{O} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}) \vec{p}_i \quad (35)$$

$$= (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{O}) \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \vec{p}_i + \mathbf{O} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \vec{p}_i \quad (36)$$

$$= (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{O}) \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \vec{p}_i + \vec{1}(\vec{\delta}^T \vec{p}_i) \quad (37)$$

$$= (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{O}) \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \vec{p}_i + \text{rep}(\vec{\delta}^T \vec{p}_i) \quad (38)$$

where:

Let $(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{O}) = \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{O}}$:

$$\vec{p_{i+1}} = \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{O}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \vec{p}_i + \text{rep}(\vec{\delta}^T \vec{p}_i)$$

Now solve $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}$ in terms of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{O}}$:

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{O}} = (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{O}) \quad (39)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{O}} + \mathbf{O} \quad (40)$$

If we have $\delta_{\mathbf{B}}$ as the column sums of \mathbf{B} :

$$\delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} = \vec{1}\mathbf{B} \quad (41)$$

$$= \vec{1}(\mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{O}) \quad (42)$$

$$= \vec{1}\mathbf{B}_0 + \vec{1}\mathbf{O} \quad (43)$$

$$= \vec{1}\mathbf{B}_0 + \langle n, n, n, \dots n \rangle \quad (44)$$

$$= \vec{1}\mathbf{B}_0 + \vec{1}n \quad (45)$$

$$\delta_{\mathbf{B}} = 1/(\text{colSums}(\mathbf{B}_0) + n) \quad (46)$$

Then if we have $D_B = \text{diag}(\delta_B)$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_B^{-1} &= \text{diag}(\delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}) \\ &= \text{diag}(\text{ColSums}(\mathbf{B}_0) + n)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

And so the the power method can be implemented using sparse matrices:

$$p_{i+1}^{\vec{}} = \mathbf{B}_0 \text{ diag}(\vec{1}\mathbf{B}_0 + \vec{1}n) \vec{p}_i + \vec{1}\delta^{\vec{T}} \vec{p}_i \quad (47)$$

in terms of \mathbf{R} :

```
p_new <- Bo %*% diag(colSums(B)+n) %*% p + rep(t () %*% p, n)

# It would also be possible to sum the element-wise product
(t () %*% p) == sum ( * p)

# Because R treats vectors the same as a nX1 matrix we could also
# perform the dot product of the two vectors, meaning the following
# would be true in R but not generally

(t () %*% p) == ( %*% p)
```

- (a) Solving the Background Probability In this case a vertical single column matrix will represent a vector and \otimes will represent the outer product (i.e. the *Kronecker Product*):

Define $\vec{\delta}$ as the column sums of

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\delta} &= \text{colsum}(\mathbf{B})^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\vec{1}^T \mathbf{B}} \end{aligned}$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{OD}_B^{-1} \vec{p}_i &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\delta_1} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\delta_2} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\delta_{13}} & \dots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_{i,1} \\ p_{i,2} \\ p_{i,3} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p_{i,1}}{\delta_1} + \frac{p_{i,2}}{\delta_2} + \frac{p_{i,3}}{\delta_3} & \dots \\ \frac{p_{i,1}}{\delta_1} + \frac{p_{i,2}}{\delta_2} + \frac{p_{i,3}}{\delta_3} & \dots \\ \frac{p_{i,1}}{\delta_1} + \frac{p_{i,2}}{\delta_2} + \frac{p_{i,3}}{\delta_3} & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^n [p_{i,k} \delta_i] \\ \sum_{k=1}^n [p_{i,k} \delta_i] \\ \sum_{k=1}^n [p_{i,k} \delta_i] \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\delta}^T \vec{p}_i \\ \vec{\delta}^T \vec{p}_i \\ \vec{\delta}^T \vec{p}_i \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \vec{\delta}^T \vec{p}_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (\vec{\delta}^T \vec{p}_i) \vec{1} \\
&= \text{repeat}(\vec{\delta}^T \vec{p}_i, n)
\end{aligned}$$

Observe also that If we let $\vec{\delta}$ and p_i be 1 dimensional vectors, this can also be expressed as a dot product:

| Matrices | Vectors |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| $\vec{\delta}^T \vec{p}_i$ | $\vec{\delta} \vec{p}_i$ |

2. Practical; Implementing the Power Walk on Sparse Matrices

(a) Inspect the newly created matrix and create constants

(b) Setup

i. Load Packages

```

if (require("pacman")) {
  library(pacman)
}else{
  install.packages("pacman")
  library(pacman)
}
pacman::p_load(Matrix, igraph, plotly, mise, docstring, expm)
mise()

```

Loading required package: pacman

- ii. Define function to create DiagonalsSparse Diagonal Function This doesn't matter for the power walk, real exponents will always give non-zero values anyway


```
sparse_diag <- function(mat) {  
  #' Diagonal Factors of Sparse Matrix  
  #'  
  #' Return a Diagonal Matrix containing either 1 / colsum() or 0  
  #' such that  
  #' matrix multiplication with this matrix would have all columns  
  #' sum to 1  
  #'  
  #' This should take the transpose of an adjacency matrix in and  
  #' the output  
  #' can be multiplied by the original matrix to scale it to 1.  
  #' i  
  # mat <- A  
  ## Get the Dimensions  
  n <- nrow(mat)  
  
  ## Make a Diagonal Matrix of Column Sums  
  ## If a column sums to zero the diag can be zero iff the  
  ## adjacency_matrix>=0  
  D <- sparseMatrix(i = 1:n, j = 1:n, x = colSums(mat), dims =  
    c(n,n))  
  
  ## Throw away explicit Zeroes  
  D <- drop0(D)  
  
  ## Inverse the Values  
  D@x <- 1/D@x  
  
  ## Return the Diagonal Matrix  
  return(D)  
}
```

- iii. Make an Example Graph

```
g1 <- igraph::erdos.renyi.game(n = 20, 0.2)  
A <- igraph::get.adjacency(g1) # Row to column  
  
beta = 0.843234  
= beta
```

- iv. Plot

```
plot(g1)
```



(c) Power Walk

i. Define B

```

B      <- A
Bo@x   <- ^(A@x)
B      <- A
B      <- ^A

Bo     <- A

# These two approaches are equivalent
Bo@x   <- ^(A@x) -1 # This in theory would be faster
# Bo    <- ^A -1
# Bo    <- drop0(Bo)

n <- nrow(A)

| print(B)

```

20 x 20 Matrix of class "dgeMatrix"

| | [,1] | [,2] | [,3] | [,4] | [,5] | [,6] | [,7] | [,8] |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| [1,] | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [2,] | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [3,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [4,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 |
| [5,] | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [6,] | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 |
| [7,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [8,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [9,] | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [10,] | 0.843234 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [11,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [12,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 |
| [13,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 |
| [14,] | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [15,] | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [16,] | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [17,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 0.843234 |
| [18,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [19,] | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 |
| [20,] | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| | [,9] | [,10] | [,11] | [,12] | [,13] | [,14] | [,15] | [,16] |
| [1,] | 0.843234 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 0.843234 |
| [2,] | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [3,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 |
| [4,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [5,] | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [6,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [7,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [8,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [9,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [10,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 |
| [11,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 |
| [12,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 |
| [13,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [14,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 |
| [15,] | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [16,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [17,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 0.843234 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [18,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [19,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| [20,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 |
| | [,17] | [,18] | [,19] | [,20] | | | | |
| [1,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | | | | |
| [2,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | | | | |
| [3,] | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | | | | |
| [4,] | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | | | | |
| [5,] | 1.000000 | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | | | | |
| [6,] | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | | | | |
| [7,] | 0.843234 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | | | | |

```

[8,] 0.843234 1.000000 0.843234 1.000000
[9,] 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000
[10,] 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000
[11,] 0.843234 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000
[12,] 0.843234 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000
[13,] 0.843234 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000
[14,] 1.000000 1.000000 0.843234 0.843234
[15,] 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000
[16,] 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000 0.843234
[17,] 1.000000 0.843234 0.843234 1.000000
[18,] 0.843234 1.000000 0.843234 1.000000
[19,] 0.843234 0.843234 1.000000 1.000000
[20,] 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000

```

```
| print(Bo)
```

20 x 20 sparse Matrix of class "dgCMatrix"

```

[1,] . -0.156766 . . . -0.156766 .
[2,] -0.156766 . . . -0.156766 . .
[3,] . . . . . . .
[4,] . . . . . . .
[5,] . -0.156766 . . . . .
[6,] -0.156766 . . . . . .
[7,] . . . . . . .
[8,] . . . -0.156766 . -0.156766 .
[9,] -0.156766 . . . -0.156766 . .
[10,] -0.156766 -0.156766 . . . . .
[11,] . . . . . . .
[12,] . . . . . -0.156766 .
[13,] . . . . . . .
[14,] . -0.156766 . -0.156766 . -0.156766 .
[15,] -0.156766 . . . . . .
[16,] -0.156766 . -0.156766 . . . .
[17,] . . -0.156766 -0.156766 . . -0.156766
[18,] . . . . -0.156766 . .
[19,] . -0.156766 -0.156766 . . . .
[20,] -0.156766 . . . . . .

[1,] . -0.156766 -0.156766 . . .
[2,] . . -0.156766 . . . -0.156766
[3,] . . . . . . .
[4,] -0.156766 . . . . . -0.156766
[5,] . -0.156766 . . . . .
[6,] -0.156766 . . . -0.156766 . -0.156766
[7,] . . . . . . .
[8,] . . . . -0.156766 -0.156766 .
[9,] . . . . . . .
[10,] . . . . . . .
[11,] . . . . . -0.156766 .

```

```

[12,] -0.156766 . . . . .
[13,] -0.156766 . . -0.156766 . . .
[14,] . . . . . . .
[15,] . . -0.156766 -0.156766 . . .
[16,] . . . . -0.156766 . -0.156766
[17,] -0.156766 . . -0.156766 -0.156766 -0.156766 .
[18,] . . . . . . .
[19,] -0.156766 . . . . . -0.156766
[20,] . . . . . . -0.156766

```

```

[1,] -0.156766 -0.156766 . . . -0.156766
[2,] . . . . -0.156766 .
[3,] . -0.156766 -0.156766 . -0.156766 .
[4,] . . -0.156766 . . .
[5,] . . . -0.156766 . .
[6,] . . . . . .
[7,] . . -0.156766 . . .
[8,] . . -0.156766 . -0.156766 .
[9,] . . . . . .
[10,] -0.156766 . . . . .
[11,] -0.156766 . -0.156766 . . .
[12,] . -0.156766 -0.156766 . . .
[13,] . . -0.156766 . . .
[14,] . -0.156766 . . -0.156766 -0.156766
[15,] . . . . . .
[16,] . . . . . -0.156766
[17,] . . . -0.156766 -0.156766 .
[18,] . . -0.156766 . -0.156766 .
[19,] . . -0.156766 -0.156766 . .
[20,] . -0.156766 . . . .

```

- ii. Solve the Scaling Matrix We don't need to worry about any terms of $\delta_B = \text{colsums}(B_o) + n$ being 0:

```

| (B <- 1/(colSums(Bo)+n))

[1] 0.05290267 0.05203951 0.05120406 0.05120406 0.05120406 0.05161840
[7] 0.05039501 0.05246754 0.05079631 0.05120406 0.05120406 0.05161840
[13] 0.05120406 0.05246754 0.05120406 0.05203951 0.05379495 0.05120406
[19] 0.05246754 0.05120406

```

```

| (B <- 1/(colSums(B)))

[1] 0.05290267 0.05203951 0.05120406 0.05120406 0.05120406 0.05161840
[7] 0.05039501 0.05246754 0.05079631 0.05120406 0.05120406 0.05161840
[13] 0.05120406 0.05246754 0.05120406 0.05203951 0.05379495 0.05120406
[19] 0.05246754 0.05120406

```

- iii. Find the Transition Probability Matrix

```

DB <- diag(B)
## ** Create the Transition Probability Matrix
## Create the Trans Prob Mat using Power Walk
T <- Bo %*% DB

```

iv. Implement the Loop

```

## ** Implement the Power Walk
## *** Set Initial Values
p_new <- rep(1/n, n) # Uniform
p <- rep(0, n) # Zero
t <- 10^(-6)
## *** Implement the Loop

while (sum(abs(p_new - p)) > t) {
  (p <- as.vector(p_new)) # P should remain a vector
  sum(p <- as.vector(p_new)) # P should remain a vector
  p_new <- T %*% p + rep(t(B) %*% p, n)
}

## ** Report the Values
print(paste("The stationary point is"))
print(p)

```

```

[1] "The stationary point is"
[1] 0.04882572 0.04963556 0.05044542 0.05044541 0.05044543 0.05004049
[7] 0.05125527 0.04923064 0.05085035 0.05044543 0.05044542 0.05004049
[13] 0.05044542 0.04923064 0.05044543 0.04963557 0.04801586 0.05044542
[19] 0.04923063 0.05044542

```

4 Report Notes

- I could wrap listings in a `tcolorbox` environment just like I did for minted
 - I could also use `shadowbox` with listings for a similar aesthetics, but then I'd have to use `sed` to make them floats after `org` export.
- The `tcolorbox` may work as a float without effort?
- I know that `listings` has an option to float over two columns, maybe that's what I should do, or just avoid two columns all together.

5 Relating the Power Walk to the Random Surfer

5.1 Introduction

These are notes relating to [1, §3.3]

So if a term in the Power Walk can be related to α in the random surfer, which is in turn ξ_2 , I'll be able to understand it better. ¹

Consider the equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{T} &= \mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \\ &= (\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{O} - \mathbf{O})\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

Break this into to terms so that we can simplify it a bit:

$$\mathbf{T} = \left[(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{O})\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \right] + \left\{ \mathbf{O}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \right\}$$

5.2 Value of [1st Term]

Observe that for all $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}_{i,j} &\in \{0, 1\} \\ \implies \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{A}_{i,j}} &\in \{\beta^0, \beta^1\} \\ &= \{1, \beta\} \\ \implies \beta \mathbf{A} &= \{1, \beta\}\end{aligned}$$

Using this property we get the following

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{B}_{i,j} - \mathbf{O}_{i,j} &= \left(\beta^{\mathbf{A}_{i,j}} - 1 \right) = \begin{cases} 0, & \mathbf{A}_{i,j} = 0 \\ \beta - 1, & \mathbf{A}_{i,j} = 1 \end{cases} \\ (\beta - 1) \mathbf{A}_{i,j} &= \begin{cases} 0, & \mathbf{A}_{i,j} = 0 \\ \beta - 1, & \mathbf{A}_{i,j} = 1 \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

This means we have

$$\mathbf{A} \in \{0, 1\} \forall i, j \implies \mathbf{B}_{i,j} - \mathbf{O}_{i,j} = (\beta - 1) \mathbf{A}_{i,j}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{B} &= (\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{O} - \mathbf{O}) \\ &= (\mathbf{B} - 1)\end{aligned}$$

¹Although I'm not quite sure why α is ξ_2 either

5.3 Value of {2nd Term}

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{O}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\delta_1} & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ 1 & \frac{1}{\delta_2} & 1 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{\delta_3} & \dots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= n \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \dots \\ \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \dots \\ \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \dots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\delta_1} & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ 1 & \frac{1}{\delta_2} & 1 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{\delta_3} & \dots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= n\mathbf{E}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the following definitions hold ($\forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$):

- $\mathbf{E}_{i,j} = \frac{1}{n}$
- $\mathbf{D}_{k,k}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\delta_k}$
- The value of δ is value that each term in a column must be divided by to become zero, in the case of the power walk that is just $\frac{1}{\text{colSums}(\mathbf{B})} = \vec{1}\mathbf{B}$, but if there were zeros in a column, it would be necessary to swap out the 0s for 1s and then sum in order to prevent a division by zero issue and because the 0s should be left.
- $\mathbf{A} \in \{0, 1\} \forall i, j$ is the unweighted adjacency matrix of the relevant graph.

putting this all together we can do the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{T} &= \mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \\
 &= (\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{O} - \mathbf{O}) \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \\
 &= (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{O}) \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} + \mathbf{O}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

From above:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\beta - 1) \mathbf{A}_{i,j} + n\mathbf{E}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \\
 &= \mathbf{A}_{i,j} (\beta - 1) + n\mathbf{E}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

because $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{D}^{-1} = \mathbf{I}$ we can multiply one side through:

$$= \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{i,j} (\beta - 1) + n\mathbf{E}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}$$

But the next step requires showing that:

$$(\beta - 1) \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} = \mathbf{I} - n\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}$$

5.4 Equate the Power Walk to the Random Surfer

Define the matrix \mathbf{D}_M :

$$\mathbf{D}_M = \text{diag}(\text{colSum}(\mathbf{M})) = \text{diag}(\vec{1}\mathbf{M}) \quad (48)$$

To scale each column of that matrix to 1, each column will need to be divided by the column sum, unless the column is already zero, this needs to be done to turn an adjacency matrix into a matrix of probabilities:

$$\mathbf{D}_A^{-1} : [\mathbf{D}_A^{-1}]_i = \begin{cases} 0, & [\mathbf{D}_A]_i = 0 \\ \left[\frac{1}{\mathbf{D}_A}\right], & [\mathbf{D}_A]_i \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

In the case of the power walk $\mathbf{B} = \beta\mathbf{A} \neq 0$ so it is sufficient:

$$\mathbf{D}_B^{-1} = \frac{1}{\text{diag}(\vec{1}(\beta\mathbf{A}))} \quad (50)$$

Recall that the *power walk* gives a transition probability matrix:

Power Walk

$$\mathbf{T} = \boxed{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}_A^{-1}}\mathbf{D}_A(\beta - 1)\mathbf{D}_B^{-1} + \boxed{\mathbf{E}}n\mathbf{D}_B^{-1} \quad (51)$$

Random Surfer

$$\mathbf{T} = \alpha\boxed{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}_A^{-1}} + (1 - \alpha)\boxed{\mathbf{E}} \quad (52)$$

So these are equivalent when:

$$\mathbf{D}_A(\beta - 1)\mathbf{D}_B^{-1} = \mathbf{I}\alpha \quad (53)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{1}(1 - \alpha) &= -n\mathbf{D}_B^{-1} \\ \implies \alpha &= \vec{1} - n\mathbf{D}_B^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Hence we have:

$$\mathbf{D}_A(\beta - 1)\mathbf{D}_B^{-1} = \vec{1}\alpha = \mathbf{I} - n\mathbf{D}_B^{-1} \quad (55)$$

Solving for β with (53) :

$$\beta = \frac{1 - \Theta}{\Theta} \quad (56)$$

$$(57)$$

where: ²

²NOTE: Similar to a sigmoid function, which is a solution to $p \propto p(1 - p)$, I wonder if this provides a connection to the exponential nature of the power walk

- $\Theta = \mathbf{D}_A \mathbf{D}_B^{-1}$

If β is set accordingly then by (55):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} (\beta - 1) \mathbf{D}_B^{-1} &= \alpha = \mathbf{I} - n \mathbf{D}_B^{-1} \\ \implies \mathbf{A} (\beta - 1) \mathbf{D}_B^{-1} &= \mathbf{I} - n \mathbf{D}_B^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

And setting $\Gamma = \mathbf{I} - n \mathbf{D}_B^{-1}$ from (54) and putting in (51) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T} &= \boxed{\mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}_A^{-1}} \mathbf{D}_A (\beta - 1) \mathbf{D}_B^{-1} + \boxed{\mathbf{E}} n \mathbf{D}_B^{-1} \\ \mathbf{T} &= \Gamma \boxed{\mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}_A^{-1}} + (1 - \Gamma) \boxed{\mathbf{E}} \\ \mathbf{T} &= \Gamma \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}_A^{-1} + (1 - \Gamma) \mathbf{E} \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Where \mathbf{E} is square matrix of $\frac{1}{n}$ as in (20) (27)

5.5 Conclusion

So when the adjacency matrix is stictly boolean, the power walk is equivalent to the random surfer.

5.6 TODO The Second Eigenvalue

5.6.1 TODO The Random Surfer

The Second eigenvalue ξ_2 of the Power Surfer is less than α (See 3.2; Stability and Concvrgence, of proposal).

5.6.2 TODO Power Walk

Because the Power Walk relates to the random surfer as demonstrated in section 5 , what can be said about ξ_2

1. Applying this to Power Walk Let $\Lambda_{(2)}(\mathbf{T}) = \lambda_2$ return the second value of a transition, probability Matrix, then observe that:

$$\Lambda_{(2)}(\mathbf{T}_{rs}) \leq |\alpha| \implies \Lambda_{(2)}(\mathbf{T}_{pw}) \leq \left| \frac{\alpha - \mathbf{D}_a \mathbf{D}_B^{-1}}{\mathbf{D}_A \mathbf{D}_B^{-1}} \right| \quad (60)$$

where:

- $\lambda_{(2)}(\mathbf{T})$ refers to the transition probability matrix of the power walk and random surfer appproaces as indicated.

6 Appendix

6.1 Glossary

Eigenvector Centrality PageRank

- The probability of landing on a vertex in a random walk by adding a small random probability to each vertex.

Irreducible Ergodic

- All Vertices can be reached from any other vertex

References

- [1] Laurence A. F. Park and Simeon Simoff. “Power Walk: Revisiting the Random Surfer”. In: *Proceedings of the 18th Australasian Document Computing Symposium*. ADCS '13. Brisbane, Queensland, Australia: Association for Computing Machinery, Dec. 5, 2013, pp. 50–57. ISBN: 978-1-4503-2524-0. DOI: [10.1145/2537734.2537749](https://doi.org/10.1145/2537734.2537749). URL: <http://doi.org/10.1145/2537734.2537749> (visited on 07/31/2020) (cit. on p. 24).