

# Analysing Twitter for Ubisoft

Ryan Greenup

May 4, 2020

## Contents

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>8.1 Analysing the Relationship Between Friends and Followers for Twitter Users</b>      | <b>1</b>  |
| 8.1.1 Retrieve the posts from Twitter . . . . .  | 1         |
| 8.1.2 Count of Followers and Friends . . . . .   | 1         |
| 8.1.3 Summary Statistics . . . . .   | 1         |
| 8.1.4 Above Average Followers . . . . .  | 2         |
| 8.1.5 Bootstrap confidence intervals . . . . .   | 2         |
| .1 a/b.) Generate a bootstrap distribution . . . . .                                       | 2         |
| .2 c.) Estimate a Confidence Interval for the population mean Follower Counts . . .        | 6         |
| .3 d.) Estimate a Confidence Interval for the population mean Friend Counts . . . .        | 7         |
| <b>FIXME</b> 8.1.6 Estimate a 97% Confidence Interval for the High Friend Count Proportion | 7         |
| 8.1.7 Is the Number of Friends Independent to the Number of Followers . . . . .            | 10        |
| .1 Bin the Follower and Friend Categories . . . . .  | 10        |
| .2 Find the Group frequency . . . . .  | 11        |
| .3 Find the Expected Counts under each group and test for independence . . . . .           | 11        |
| .4 <b>FIXME</b> Conclusion . . . . .   | 14        |
| <b>8.2 Finding Themes in tweets</b>  | <b>14</b> |
| Should Emoji's be removed . . . . .  | 14        |
| 8.2.8 Find Users with Above Average Friend Counts . . . . .                                | 14        |
| <b>Appendix</b>  | <b>16</b> |
| Users with High Friend Count . . . . .   | 16        |

## 8.1 Analysing the Relationship Between Friends and Followers for Twitter Users

### 8.1.1 Retrieve the posts from Twitter

relevant posts can be retrieved from twitter by utilising the `rtweet` package, packages can be loaded for use in **R** thusly:

The `rtweet` API will search for tweets that contain all the words of a query regardless of uppercase or lowercase usage [5].

```

1  # Load Packages
   ↪ -----
2  setwd("~/Dropbox/Notes/DataSci/Social_Web_Analytics/SWA-Project/scripts_1
   ↪ /")
3
4  if (require("pacman")) {
5    library(pacman)
6  } else{
7    install.packages("pacman")
8    library(pacman)
9  }
10
11 pacman::p_load(xts, sp, gstat, ggplot2, rmarkdown, reshape2,
12               ggmap, parallel, dplyr, plotly, tidyverse,
13               reticulate, UsingR, Rmpfr, swirl, corrplot,
14               gridExtra, mise, latex2exp, tree, rpart,
15               lattice, coin, primes, epitools, maps, clipr,
16               ggmap, twitterR, ROAuth, tm, rtweet, base64enc,
17               httpuv, SnowballC, RColorBrewer, wordcloud,
18               ggwordcloud, tidyverse, boot)

```

Listing 1: Load the Packages for *R*

In order to leverage the *Twitter* API it is necessary to use tokens provided through a *Twitter* developer account:

and hence all tweets containing a mention of *Ubisoft* can be returned and saved to disk as shown in listing 3:

## 8.2.2 Count of Followers and Friends

In order to identify the number of users that are contained in the *tweets* the `unique()` function can be used to return a vector of names which can then be passed as an index to the vector of counts as shown in listing 4, this provides that 81.7% of the tweets are by unique users.

## 8.1.3 Summary Statistics

The average number of friends and followers from users who posted tweets mentioning *Ubisoft* can be returned using the `mean()` as shown in listing 5 this provides that on average each user has 586 friends and 63,620 followers.

```

1  # Set up Tokens
   ↪ =====
2
3  options(RCurlOptions = list(
4    verbose = FALSE,
5    capath = system.file("CurlSSL", "cacert.pem", package = "RCurl"),
6    ssl.verifypeer = FALSE
7  ))
8
9  setup_twitter_oauth(
10   consumer_key = "*****",
11   consumer_secret =
12     ↪ "*****",
13   access_token = "*****",
14   access_secret = "*****"
15 )
16 # rtweet
   ↪ =====
17 tk <- rtweet::create_token(
18   app = "SWA",
19   consumer_key = "*****",
20   consumer_secret =
21     ↪ "*****",
22   access_token =
23     ↪ "*****",
24   access_secret = "*****",
25   set_renv = FALSE

```

Listing 2: Import the twitter tokens (redacted)

```

1  n <- 1000
2  tweets.company <- search_tweets(q = 'ubisoft', n = n, token = tk,
3                                include_rts = FALSE)
4  save(tweets.company[,], file = "resources/Download_1.Rdata")

```

Listing 3: Save the Tweets to the HDD as an rdata file

```

1 (users <- unique(tweets.company$name)) %>% length()
2 x <- tweets.company$followers_count[duplicated(tweets.company$name)]
3 y <- tweets.company$friends_count[duplicated(tweets.company$name)]
4
5 ## > [1] 817

```

Listing 4: Return follower count of twitter posts

```

1 x<- rnorm(090)
2 y<- rnorm(090)
3 (xbar <- mean(x))
4 (ybar <- mean(y))
5
6 ## > [1] 4295.195
7 ## > [1] 435.9449

```

Listing 5: Determine the average number of friends and followers

### 8.1.4 Above Average Followers

Each user can be compared to the average number of followers, by using a logical operator on the vector (e.g.  $y > ybar$ ), this will return an output of logical values. **R** will coerce logicals into 1/0 values meaning that the mean value will return the proportion of TRUE responses as shown in listing 6. This provides that:

- 2.4% of the have identified have an above average **number of followers**.
- 20.6% of the users identified have an above average **number of friends**.

```

1 (px_hat <- mean(x>xbar))
2 (py_hat <- mean(y>ybar))
3
4 ## > [1] 0.0244798
5 ## > [1] 0.2729498

```

Listing 6: Calculate the proportion of users with above average follower counts

## 8.1.5 Bootstrap confidence intervals

### a/b.) Generate a bootstrap distribution

A bootstrap assumes that the population is an infinitely large repetition of the sample and may be produced with respect to follower counts by resampling with replacement/repetition and plotted using the `ggplot2` library as demonstrated in listings 7 and .1 and shown in figure 1.

This shows that the population follower counts is a non-normal skew-right distribution, which is expected because the number of friends is an integer value bound by zero [6].

```
1  ## Resample the Data
2  (bt_pop <- sample(x, size = 10^6, replace = TRUE)) %>% head()
3
4  ## > [1]    7 515 262 309 186 166
```

Listing 7: Bootstrapping a population from the sample.

```
1  ## Make the Population
2  bt_pop_data <- tibble("Followers" = bt_pop)
3  ggplot(data = bt_pop_data, aes(x = Followers)) +
4    geom_histogram(aes(y = ..density..), fill = "lightblue", bins = 35,
5      ↪ col = "pink") +
6    geom_density(col = "violetred2") +
7    scale_x_continuous(limits = c(1, 800)) +
8    theme_bw() +
9    labs(x = "Number of Followers", y = "Density",
10      title = "Bootstrapped population of Follower Numbers")
```

### c.) Estimate a Confidence Interval for the population mean Follower Counts

In order to perform a bootstrap for the population mean value of follower counts it is necessary to:

1. Resample the data with replacement
  - i.e. randomly select values from the sample allowing for repetition
2. Measure the statistic of concern
3. Replicate this a sufficient number of times
  - i.e. Greater than or equal to 1000 times [2, Ch. 5]

This is equivalent to drawing a sample from a population that is infinitely large and constructed of repetitions of the sample. This can be performed in **R** as shown in listing 8.




Figure 1: Histogram of the bootstrapped population of follower counts

```

1 xbar_boot_loop <- replicate(10^3, {
2   s <- sample(x, replace = TRUE)
3   mean(s)
4 })
5 quantile(xbar_boot_loop, c((1-0.97)/2, (1+0.97)/2))
6
7 ##          1.5%          98.5%
8 ##  588.4189 10228.7352

```

Listing 8: Confidence Interval of Mean Follower Count in Population

A 97% probability interval is such that a sample drawn from a population will contain the population mean in that interval 97% of the time, this means that it may be concluded with a high degree of certainty that the true population mean lies between 588 and 10228.

1. Alternative Approaches If this data was normally distributed it may have been appropriate to consider bootstrapping the standard error and using a  $t$  distribution, however it is more appropriate to use a percentile interval for skewed data such as this, in saying that however this method is not considered to be very accurate in the literature and is often too narrow. [3, Section 4.1]
  - It's worth noting that the normal  $t$  value bootstrap offers no advantage over using a  $t$  distribution (other than being illustrative of bootstrapping generally) [3, Section 4.1]

The `boot` package is a bootstrapping library common among authors in the data science sphere [4, p. 295] [8, p. 237] that implements confidence intervals consistent with work by Davison and Hinkley [7] in their textbook *Bootstrap Methods and their Application*. In this work it is provided that the  $BC_a$  method of constructing confidence intervals is superior to mere percentile methods in terms of accuracy [2, Ch. 5], a sentiment echoed in the literature. [1, 2, Ch. 5]

Such methods can be implemented in **R** by passing a function to the `boot` call as shown in listing 9. This provides a broader interval, providing that the true confidence interval could lie between 1079 and 16227 followers.

```

1  xbar_boot <- boot(data = x, statistic = mean_val, R = 10^3)
2  boot.ci(xbar_boot, conf = 0.97, type = "bca", index = 1)
3
4  ## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
5  ## Based on 1000 bootstrap replicates
6  ##
7  ## CALL :
8  ## boot.ci(boot.out = xbar_boot, conf = 0.97, type = "bca", index = 1)
9  ##
10 ## Intervals :
11 ## Level      BCa
12 ## 97%      ( 1079, 16227 )
13 ## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale
14 ## Warning : BCa Intervals used Extreme Quantiles
15 ## Some BCa intervals may be unstable
16 ## Warning message:
17 ## In norm.inter(t, adj.alpha) : extreme order statistics used as
   ↪ endpoints

```

Listing 9: Bootstrap of population mean follower count implementing the  $BC_a$  method

references

#### d.) Estimate a Confidence Interval for the population mean Friend Counts

A Confidence interval for the population mean friend counts may be constructed in a like wise fashion as shown in listings 10. This provides that the 97% confidence interval for the population mean friend

count is between 384 and 502 (or 387 and 496 if the  $BC_a$  method used, they're quite close and so the more conservative percentile method will be accepted).

## FIXME 8.1.6 Estimate a 97% Confidence Interval for the High Friend Count Proportion

In order to bootstrap a confidence interval for the proportion of users with above average follower counts, repeatedly draw random samples from an infinitely large population composed entirely of the sample, and record the sampled proportion. this can be achieved by resampling the observations of above and below as shown in listing 11.

This provides that:

- The 97% confidence interval for the population proportion of users that have an above average number of friends is between 0.24 and 0.31.
  - i.e. The probability of any given sample containing the population mean within this interval would be 97%, although that doesn't however mean that there is a 97% probability that this interval contains the value, merely that we may be 97% *confident*

## 8.1.7 Is the Number of Friends Independent to the Number of Followers

One method to determine whether or not the number of followers is independent of the number of friends is to bin the counts and determine whether or not the distribution of users across those counts is consistent with the hypothesis of independence.

### Bin the Follower and Friend Categories

The counts may be binned by performing a logical interval test as shown in listing 12.

### Find the Group frequency

These values may be tabulated in order to count the occurrence of users among these categories as shown in listing 13 and table 1.

Table 1: Table of Binned Friend and Follower counts, transposed relative to code.

|                           | <b><i>Followers</i></b> | <b><i>Friends</i></b> |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| <i>Tens</i>               | 421                     | 262                   |
| <i>Hundreds</i>           | 317                     | 476                   |
| <i>1 - Thousands</i>      | 39                      | 47                    |
| <i>2 - Thousands</i>      | 11                      | 15                    |
| <i>3 - Thousands</i>      | 9                       | 6                     |
| <i>4 - Thousands</i>      | 2                       | 9                     |
| <i>5 Thousand or More</i> | 18                      | 2                     |



```

1  # d.) Estimate a Confidence Interval for the populattion mean Friend
   ↪ Count ===
2  # Using a Percentile Method
   ↪ #####
3  ybar_boot_loop <- replicate(10^3, {
4    s <- sample(y, replace = TRUE)
5    mean(s)
6  })
7  quantile(ybar_boot_loop, c(0.015, 0.985))
8
9  # Using BCA Method
   ↪ #####
10 mean_val <- function(data, index) {
11   X = data[index]
12   return(mean(X))
13 }
14
15 xbar_boot <- boot(data = y, statistic = mean_val, R = 10^3)
16 boot.ci(xbar_boot, conf = 0.97, type = "bca", index = 1)
17
18
19 ##      1.5%      98.5%
20 ## 383.7619 501.5903
21 ##
22 ## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
23 ## Based on 1000 bootstrap replicates
24 ##
25 ## CALL :
26 ## boot.ci(boot.out = xbar_boot, conf = 0.97, type = "bca", index = 1)
27 ##
28 ## Intervals :
29 ## Level      BCa
30 ## 97%      (386.8, 496.7 )
31 ## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale
32 ## Some BCa intervals may be unstable

```

Listing 10: Bootstrap of population mean follower count

```

1  # 8.1.6 High Friend Count Proportion
   ↪ -----
2  prop <- factor(c("Below", "Above"))
3  ## 1 is above average, 2 is below
4  py_hat_bt <- replicate(10^3, {
5      rs      <- sample(c("Below", "Above"),
6                        size = length(y),
7                        prob = c(py_hat, 1-py_hat),
8                        replace = TRUE)
9      isabove <- rs == "Above"
10     mean(isabove)
11 })
12 quantile(py_hat_bt, c(0.015, 0.985))
13
14
15 ##      1.5%      98.5%
16 ## 0.2399021 0.3072215
17 ## > > > . + > > >
18 ## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
19 ## Based on 1000 bootstrap replicates
20 ##
21 ## CALL :
22 ## boot.ci(boot.out = py_hat_boot, conf = 0.97, type = "bca")
23 ##
24 ## Intervals :
25 ## Level      BCa
26 ## 97%      ( 0.2399,  0.3072 )
27 ## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale

```

Listing 11: Bootstrap of Proportion of Friends above average

```

1  ## Assign Categories
2  x_df <- data.frame(x)
3  x_df$cat[0      <= x_df$x & x_df$x < 100] <- "Tens"
4  x_df$cat[100    <= x_df$x & x_df$x < 1000] <- "Hundreds"
5  x_df$cat[1000   <= x_df$x & x_df$x < 2000] <- "1Thousands"
6  x_df$cat[2000   <= x_df$x & x_df$x < 3000] <- "2Thousands"
7  x_df$cat[3000   <= x_df$x & x_df$x < 4000] <- "3Thousands"
8  x_df$cat[4000   <= x_df$x & x_df$x < 5000] <- "4Thousands"
9  x_df$cat[5000   <= x_df$x & x_df$x < Inf]  <- "5ThousandOrMore"
10
11 ### Make a factor
12 x_df$cat <- factor(x_df$cat, levels = var_levels, ordered = TRUE)
13
14 ### Determine Frequencies
15 (x_freq <- table(x_df$cat) %>% as.matrix())
16
17 ## ** b) Find the Friend Count Frequency
18   ↪ =====
19 ## Assign Categories
20 y_df <- data.frame(y)
21 y_df$cat[0      <= y_df$y & y_df$y < 100] <- "Tens"
22 y_df$cat[100    <= y_df$y & y_df$y < 1000] <- "Hundreds"
23 y_df$cat[1000   <= y_df$y & y_df$y < 2000] <- "1Thousands"
24 y_df$cat[2000   <= y_df$y & y_df$y < 3000] <- "2Thousands"
25 y_df$cat[3000   <= y_df$y & y_df$y < 4000] <- "3Thousands"
26 y_df$cat[4000   <= y_df$y & y_df$y < 5000] <- "4Thousands"
27 y_df$cat[5000   <= y_df$y & y_df$y < Inf]  <- "5ThousandOrMore"
28
29 ### Make a factor
30 y_df$cat <- factor(y_df$cat, levels = var_levels, ordered = TRUE)
31
32 ### Determine Frequencies
33 (y_freq <- table(y_df$cat) %>% as.matrix())

```

Listing 12: Use Logical Test to Assign observations into bins

```

1 vals <- t(cbind(x_freq, y_freq))
2 rownames(vals) <- c("Followers.x", "followers.y")
3 vals
4
5 ##           Tens Hundreds 1Thousands 2Thousands 3Thousands 4Thousands
6 ## Followers.x  421       317        39         11         9         2
7 ## followers.y  262       476        47         15         6         9
8 ##           5ThousandOrMore
9 ## Followers.x           18
10 ## followers.y           2

```

Listing 13: Tabulate the binned counts for the distribution of users among amount and status.

### Find the Expected Counts under each group and test for independence

The expected count of each cell, under the assumption that the two metrics are independent, will be the proportion users per bracket multiplied by the number of users in that status group. This implies that any cell will be:

- the product of the row sum, multiplied by the column sum divided by the number of counts.

This can be equivalently expressed as an outer product as shown in equation (1), in **R** this operation is denoted by the %o% operator, which is shorthand for the outer() function, this and other summary statistics may be evaluated as shown in listing 14.

The outer product is such that:

$$\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ u_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 v_1 & u_1 v_2 & u_1 v_3 \\ u_2 v_1 & u_2 v_2 & u_2 v_3 \\ u_3 v_1 & u_3 v_2 & u_3 v_3 \\ u_4 v_1 & u_4 v_2 & u_4 v_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This means the matrix of expected frequencies can be expressed as an outer product thusly:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{e}} = \frac{1}{n} \times \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n [o_{1j}] \\ \sum_{j=1}^n [o_{2j}] \\ \sum_{j=1}^n [o_{3j}] \\ \sum_{j=1}^n [o_{4j}] \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n [o_{nj}] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n [o_{i1}] \\ \sum_{j=1}^n [o_{i2}] \\ \sum_{j=1}^n [o_{i3}] \\ \dots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n [o_{in}] \end{bmatrix}^T \quad (1)$$

1. Testing Independence In order to test whether or not the distribution of users among brackets is independent of being a follower or friend a  $\chi^2$  test may be used, this can be evaluated from a model or simulated, in **R**, the simulated test is shown in listing 15, this provides a  $p$ -value  $< 0.0005$ , which means that the hypothesis of independence may be rejected with a high degree of certainty.

```

1  ## ***** Calculate Summary Stats
2  n <- sum(vals)
3  bracket_prop <- colSums(vals) / n
4  metric_prop <- rowSums(vals) / n
5  o <- vals
6  e <- rowSums(vals) %o% colSums(vals) / n
7  chi_obs <- sum((e-o)^2/e)

```

Listing 14: Calculate Expected frequency of values under the assumption of independence.

```

1  chisq.test(vals, simulate.p.value = TRUE)
2
3
4  ## ^~IPearson's Chi-squared test with simulated p-value (based on 2000
5  ## ^~Ireplicates)
6  ##
7  ## data:  vals
8  ## X-squared = 88.109, df = NA, p-value = 0.0004998

```

Listing 15: Chi-Square testing for independence between friend and follower bin categories.

- (a) From First Principles The  $\chi^2$  statistic may be performed from first principles by randomly sampling the values at the rate at which they occurred, tabulating those counts, measuring the  $\chi^2$  -value and then repeating this many times.

Because the samples are random they must be independent and average number of positives is hence an estimate for the *FPR*, which is in turn an estimate for the *p* -value. This technique is demonstrated in listing 16, the *p*-value being returned as 0.0004, this value is consistent with the value produced by *R*'s built in `chisq.test` function and so is accepted.

## FIXME Conclusion

The *p* -value measures the probability of rejecting the null hypothesis when it is true, i.e. the probability of detecting a *false positive*, a very small *p* -value is hence good evidence that the null hypothesis should be rejected (because doing so would unlikely to be a mistake).

In saying that however the *p* -value is distinct from the *power* statistic, which is a measure of */the probability of accepting the alternative hypothesis* when it is true, a low *p* -value is not a measurement of the probability of being correct.

Hence we may conclude, with a high degree of certainty, that the follower and friend counts are not independent of one another.

## 8.2 Finding Themes in tweets

In order to clean the corpus it will be necessary to:

```

1  ## ***** Create Vectors of factor levels
2  brackets <- unique(x_df$cat)
3  metrics <- c("follower", "friend")
4
5  ## ***** Simulate the data Assuming H_0
6  ## I.e. assuming that the null hypothesis is true in that
7  ## the brackets assigned to followers are independent of the friends
8  ## (this is a symmetric relation)
9
10 s <- replicate(10^4,{
11   ## Sample the set of Metrics
12   m <- sample(metrics, size = n, replace = TRUE, prob = metric_prop)
13
14   ## Sample the set of Brackets (i.e. which performance bracket the
15   ↪ user falls in)
16   b <- sample(brackets, size = n, replace = TRUE, prob = bracket_prop)
17
18   ## Make a table of results
19   o <- table(m, b)
20
21   ## Find What the expected value would be
22   e_sim <- t(colSums(o) %o% rowSums(o) / n)
23
24   ## Calculate the Chi Stat
25   chi_sim <- sum((e_sim-o)^2/e_sim)
26   chi_sim
27
28   ## Is this more extreme, i.e. would we reject null hypothesis?
29   chi_sim > chi_obs
30
31 })
32
33 mean(s)

```

Listing 16: Performing a  $\chi^2$  statistic from first principles

1. remove numbers
2. remove punctuation
3. remove whitespace
4. case fold all characters to lower case
5. remove a set of stop words
6. reduce each word to its stem

## Should Emoji's be removed

These studies suggest that

Emoji's are variables that can be used as a predictive features [lecompte2017] and can improve the performance of Sentiment Analysis Models [shiha2017] that use the *Bag of Words Approach*

### 8.2.8 Find Users with Above Average Friend Counts

Users with Above average Friend Counts can be identified by filtering the tweets data frame for two conditions:

1. non-duplicated user-id
2. friend\_count greater than average

This can be achieved easily using the dplyr package as shown in 17, these users are shown in the appendix in table 2.

```

1  select <- dplyr::select
2  filter <- dplyr::filter
3  interested_vars <- c("user_id", "friends_count")
4  (friend_counts <- tweets.company %>%
5    select(interested_vars) %>%
6    filter(!duplicated(user_id)))
7
8  (high_friends <- friend_counts %>%
9    filter(friends_count > mean(friends_count, na.rm = TRUE)))
10
11  ## Export Friends List
12  write.csv(high_friends[order(
13    high_friends$friends_count,
14    decreasing = TRUE),], file = "/tmp/highfriend.csv")

```

Listing 17: Use dplyr to Filter for Users with a high Friend Count

## Appendix

### Users with High Friend Count

Table 2: User ID and Friend Count of users with above highest friend count in sample

|      | <b>User ID</b>        | <b>Friend Count</b> |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| "    |                       |                     |
| "1"  | "274488119"           | 8752                |
| "2"  | "743771665"           | 5002                |
| "3"  | "1036014247"          | 4999                |
| "4"  | "2281452613"          | 4992                |
| "5"  | "1554453560"          | 4958                |
| "6"  | "981233818408570880"  | 4944                |
| "7"  | "931765564388921344"  | 4836                |
| "8"  | "807405140"           | 4710                |
| "9"  | "1112579152970842112" | 4514                |
| "10" | "2441577446"          | 4322                |
| "11" | "552692862"           | 4229                |
| "12" | "956297007127252992"  | 3976                |
| "13" | "22493896"            | 3675                |
| "14" | "255922782"           | 3500                |
| "15" | "1067409881332936709" | 3312                |
| "16" | "27998570"            | 3210                |
| "17" | "715118521555017728"  | 3099                |
| "18" | "2356170174"          | 2885                |
| "19" | "2372688230"          | 2880                |
| "20" | "1868357425"          | 2719                |

## References

- [1] James Carpenter and John Bithell. "Bootstrap Confidence Intervals: When, Which, What? A Practical Guide for Medical Statisticians". en. In: *Statistics in Medicine* 19.9 (2000), pp. 1141–1164. ISSN: 1097-0258. DOI: [10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0258\(20000515\)19:9<1141::AID-SIM479>3.0.CO;2-F](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0258(20000515)19:9<1141::AID-SIM479>3.0.CO;2-F). URL: [https://doi-org.ezproxy.uws.edu.au/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0258\(20000515\)19:9%3C1141::AID-SIM479%3E3.0.CO;2-F](https://doi-org.ezproxy.uws.edu.au/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0258(20000515)19:9%3C1141::AID-SIM479%3E3.0.CO;2-F) (visited on 04/27/2020) (cit. on p. 6).
- [2] A. C. Davison and D. V. Hinkley. *Bootstrap Methods and Their Application*. Cambridge ; New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, 1997. ISBN: 978-0-521-57391-7 978-0-521-57471-6 (cit. on p. 6).
- [3] Tim C. Hesterberg. "What Teachers Should Know About the Bootstrap: Resampling in the Undergraduate Statistics Curriculum". In: *The American Statistician* 69.4 (Oct. 2015), pp. 371–386. ISSN: 0003-1305. DOI: [10.1080/00031305.2015.1089789](https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2015.1089789). URL: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4784504/> (visited on 04/26/2020) (cit. on p. 6).



- [4] Gareth James et al., eds. *An Introduction to Statistical Learning: With Applications in R*. Springer Texts in Statistics 103. OCLC: ocn828488009. New York: Springer, 2013. ISBN: 978-1-4614-7137-0 (cit. on p. 6).
- [5] Michael Kearney. *Get Tweets Data on Statuses Identified via Search Query*. *Search\_tweets*. en. Manual. 2019. URL: [https://rtweet.info/reference/search\\_tweets.html](https://rtweet.info/reference/search_tweets.html) (visited on 04/26/2020) (cit. on p. 1).
- [6] NIST. 1.3.3.14.6. *Histogram Interpretation: Skewed (Non-Normal) Right*. Oct. 2013. URL: <https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/eda/section3/histogr6.htm> (visited on 04/26/2020) (cit. on p. 2).
- [7] Brian Ripley. *Boot.Ci Function* / *R Documentation*. Apr. 2020. URL: <https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/boot/versions/1.3-25/topics/boot.ci> (visited on 04/27/2020) (cit. on p. 6).
- [8] Matt Wiley and Joshua Wiley. *Advanced R Statistical Programming and Data Models*. New York, NY: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2019. ISBN: 978-1-4842-2871-5 (cit. on p. 6).