Embedding Knowledge Graphs as Languages with BERT

Anonymous EurNLP submission

Abstract

000

001

002

003

004

005

006

007

800

009

010

011

012

013

014

015

016

021

022

023

024

025

026

027

028

029

030

031

032

033

034

035

036

037

038

039

040

041

042

043

044

045

046

047

048

049

Knowledge Graphs (KGs) are graphstructured knowledge bases. where facts are represented in the form of relationships (edges) between entities (nodes). One core issue with KGs is incompleteness - missing facts. Link prediction seeks to solve this problem by embedding KG nodes and edges to predict missing links. A crucial problem with traditional link prediction is that one cannot explicitly include context into the prediction. In industry we often want to predict what someone is likely to do (e.g. eat) in a particular moment. A triplet prediction such as (Person1, Eating, ?) relies on the notion that *Person1* must be fully represented by a single embedding. However, if we instead have (Person1, Where, Office, Time, Midday, Eating, ?) then we can use this potentially unseen subgraph to make a prediction conditioned on the context. In this paper, we solve this context problem by translating KGs into languages and embed them using a BERT-based architecture. We show this method is competitive with state-of-the-art (SOTA) methods, but also contains a number of vital advantages over previous techniques such as contextualised node/edge embeddings, prediction over unseen subgraphs, naturallanguage-like querying, and explainability This work is motivated by via attention. previous works which combine language modelling (word2vec, Mikolov et al. 2013) with unbiased (Perozzi et al., 2014) and biased (Grover and Leskovec, 2016; Abu-El-Haija et al., 2017) walks over graphs. SOTA language models have improved upon word2vec significantly by using deep bidirectional architectures which produce contextualised embeddings (e.g. Devlin et al. 2018). We hypothesize that applying these architectures would increase the accuracy of embedding KGs. We embed a benchmark KG FB15k-237 (Toutanova and Chen 2015) and our [COMPANY] KG using walks to form 'sentences'. We use these sentences to train a BERT language model (without pretrained weights) to embed the nodes/edges in the graph. We combine the walks with a sentence manipulation stage (the Translator). Translators are task-specific, allowing them to be tuned to the particular KG and application. These task-specific differences vary the walk-bias (e.g. weight specific edges) and the structure of the sentence. We present results from our hyperparameter study on the maximum walk length and model size in Table 1, alongside scores of SOTA models. This demonstrates that our method is competitive with SOTA KG embedding models. We present examples where the extra functionality of contextualised predictions over unseen subgraphs, natural language-like querying, and increased explainability has been vital in an industry setting. We discuss enhancements of the method that could improve the performance beyond SOTA.

050

051

052

053

054

055

056

057

058

059

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

072

073

074

075

076

077

078

079

080

081

082

083

084

085

086

087

088

089

090

091

092

093

094

095

096

097

098

099

Model	$L_{ m Max}$	Hits@1	@3	@10
BERT-Base	1	0.06	0.12	0.22
BERT-Base	2	0.12	0.17	0.28
BERT-Base	5	0.14	0.20	0.3
BERT-Base	10	0.19	0.27	0.43
BERT-Base	20	0.22	0.31	0.45
BERT-Large	20	0.24	0.34	0.48
DistMult		0.16	0.26	0.42
R-GCN		0.16	0.28	0.42
ConvE	_	0.24	0.36	0.50
ComplEx-N3		0.27	0.40	0.57

Table 1: Hits@K scores for multiple models and hyperparameter selections. $L_{\rm Max}$ is the maximum length of the walk. References: DistMult (Yang et al., 2014), R-GCN (Schlichtkrull et al., 2017), ConvE (Dettmers et al., 2017), ComplEx-N3 (Lacroix et al., 2018).

References
Sami Abu-El-Haija, Bryan Perozzi, Rami Al-Rfou,
and Alex Alemi. 2017. Watch your step: Learn-
ing graph embeddings through attention. CoRR,
abs/1710.09599.
Tim Dettmers, Pasquale Minervini, Pontus Stene-
torp, and Sebastian Riedel. 2017. Convolu-
tional 2d knowledge graph embeddings. <i>CoRR</i> ,
abs/1707.01476.
Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and
Kristina Toutanova. 2018. BERT: pre-training of
deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. <i>CoRR</i> , abs/1810.04805.
Aditya Grover and Jure Leskovec. 2016. node2vec:
Scalable feature learning for networks. <i>CoRR</i> , abs/1607.00653.
403/1007.00033.
Timothe Lacroix, Nicolas Usunier, and Guillaume
Obozinski. 2018. Canonical tensor decomposition for knowledge base completion.
Tomas Mikolov, Ilya Sutskever, Kai Chen, Greg S Cor-
rado, and Jeff Dean. 2013. Distributed representations of words and phrases and their composition-
ality. In C. J. C. Burges, L. Bottou, M. Welling,
Z. Ghahramani, and K. Q. Weinberger, editors, Ad-
vances in Neural Information Processing Systems
26, pages 3111–3119. Curran Associates, Inc.
Bryan Perozzi, Rami Al-Rfou, and Steven Skiena.
2014. Deepwalk: Online learning of social representations. CoRR abs/1403.6652
sentations. <i>CoRR</i> , abs/1403.6652.
Michael Schlichtkrull, Thomas N. Kipf, Peter Bloem,
Rianne van den Berg, Ivan Titov, and Max Welling.
2017. Modeling relational data with graph convolutional networks.
Kristina Toutanova and Danqi Chen. 2015. Observed versus latent features for knowledge base and text
inference. In <i>Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on</i>
Continuous Vector Space Models and their Compo-
sitionality, pages 57–66, Beijing, China. Associa-
tion for Computational Linguistics.
Bishan Yang, Wen tau Yih, Xiaodong He, Jianfeng
Gao, and Li Deng. 2014. Embedding entities and
relations for learning and inference in knowledge bases.