## **Quotient Topologies**

Recall an **equivalence relation** on a set X is a set of pairs,  $\sim \subset X \times X$ , such that

- 1.  $x \sim x$  (reflexivity)
- 2.  $x \sim y$  iff  $y \sim x$  (symmetry)
- 3. If  $x \sim y$  and  $y \sim z$ , then  $x \sim z$  (transitivity)

(where we use the notation  $x \sim y$  for  $(x, y) \in \sim$ )

**Definition 1.** If X is a set, and  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on X, then the **quotient set**  $X/\sim$  is the set of equivalence classes of  $\sim$ .

That is,

$$X/\sim = \{\{y \in X : y \sim x\} \in \mathcal{P}(X) : x \in X\}$$

Notice there is a canonical function  $\pi: X \to X/\sim$ , called the **projection** associated to  $\sim$ , given by

$$\pi(x) = \{ y \in X : y \sim x \}.$$

**Definition 2.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space, and  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on X, then the **quotient topology** on  $X/\sim$  is giveny by

$$\tau_{\sim} = \{ U \in \mathcal{P}(X/\sim) : \pi^{-1}(U) \in \tau \}$$

That is, a set in the quotient is open if its preimage under the canonical projection is open.

**Remark 1.** In particular, the projection map is continuous. It follows that the quotient of a connected or compact space is connected or compact.

**Example 1.** If  $\mathbb{Z}$  is given the discrete topology, and we take  $\mod 3$  as the equivalence relation, then  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  has the discrete topology.

In general, any quotient of the discrete topology will be discrete.

**Example 2.** If  $\mathbb{R}$  is the real numbers with the usual topology, define an equivalence relation  $x \sim y$  iff there is some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that x + k = y. Then every number is equivalent to a unique number in [0,1), so take this to model  $\mathbb{R}/\sim$ .

For any positive number t, consider  $\delta < \frac{t}{2}$ . This is just so  $(t - \delta, t + \delta)$  is completely contained in (0,1). It's preimage in  $\mathbb{R}$  is

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \{x \in \mathbb{R} : t - \delta + k < x < t + \delta + k\},\$$

which is open. One should argue that any open set in  $\mathbb{R}/\sim$  containing a positive t must contain such an interval, again by considering the preimage in  $\mathbb{R}$ . The goal here is to say the topology is generated by sufficiently small intervals around the points. But what happens at 0?

Since any open set in  $\mathbb{R}$  containing 0 must contain some interval  $(-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ , an open set in  $\mathbb{R}/\sim$  must contain  $[0, \varepsilon_2) \cup (1-\varepsilon_1, 1)$ , and of course any such set is open.

Then, one should argue, say by thinking about the map  $[0,1) \to \mathbb{C} :: t \mapsto e^{2\pi i t}$ , that  $\mathbb{R}/\sim$  is homeomorphic to a circle, usually denoted  $\mathbb{S}^1$ .

**Example 3.** A fairly simple example that shows quotient topologies are not always nice is called 'the line with two origins'. This is given by a relation defined on two copies of the real line:  $X = \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \cup \mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$ , with  $(x, a) \sim (y, b)$  if x = y and  $x \neq 0$ . So,  $(t, 0) \sim (t, 1)$  for any  $t \neq 0$ .

In particular, (0,0) and (0,1) are in distinct equivalence classes. Any open set  $U_0$  containing (0,0) (in the quotient) must contain an open interval  $\{(t,0): -\varepsilon_1 < t < \varepsilon_2\}$  in its preimage, and any open set  $U_1$  containing (0,1) (in the quotient) must contain some interval  $\{(t,1): -\varepsilon_3 < t < \varepsilon_4\}$  in its preimage. Then, for  $s = \frac{\min(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_4)}{2}$ , we have  $\{(s,0), (s,1)\} \in U_0 \cap U_1$ .

In particular,  $X/\sim$  is not Hausdorff, even though X is.

**Remark 2.** Suppose we have a subset  $A \subseteq X$ . Then we can define an equivalence relation on X by saying every element of A is related, and no elements not in A are related to anything other then themselves (required by reflexivity). In symbols,  $x \sim y$  if  $x, y \in A$ , and if  $x \notin A$  then  $x \sim y$  only if y = x.

For instance,  $[0,1]/\{0,1\}$  is homeomorphic to the circle  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , similar to example 2.

Another good example here is  $\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}/\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ . This is the unit disk quotiented by its boundary, the unit circle. This turns out to be homeomorphic to the 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2 = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$ 

**Example 4.** Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$  with the usual topology, and the subset  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ . Then X/A is an 'infinite wedge of circles'. That is, each interval [k, k+1] in  $\mathbb{R}$  becomes a copy of the circle with k and k+1 glued together. Then, the gluing points on all these different circles are glued together to a single point.

Call the k-th circle  $C_k = \pi([k, k+1])$ , so  $X/A = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C_k$  and  $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{p\}$  is a single point, called the 'wedge point' (this point is the equivalence class of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , but writing  $\{\mathbb{Z}\}$  to mean the single point set is potentially confusing).

Suppose that  $\{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a countable family of open sets which contain the wedge point. This means the preimages  $\tilde{U}_n$  are open in  $\mathbb{R}$ . For each choice of n and k, we must have positive numbers  $\varepsilon_{n,k}$  such that  $(k-\varepsilon_{n,k},k+\varepsilon_{n,k})\subseteq \tilde{U}_n$ . Now, consider  $\delta_k=\frac{\varepsilon_{k,k}}{2}$  and form the open set  $\tilde{V}=\cup(k-\delta_k,k+\delta_k)$ . We claim that  $\tilde{U}_n\not\subseteq \tilde{V}$  for any n, so  $U_n\not\subseteq V$  for any n.

What we have just shown is that X/A is not first countable, in particular the wedge point does not have a countable neighborhood basis. This also shows X/A is not metrizable.

**Remark 3.** Why did I write X and A instead of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ ? Notice that  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  can also define another equivalence relation, namely the the relation in Example 2. This takes advantage of the group structure + on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$ . So, in group theory, the quotient  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ ' means something different (Example 2) than what  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ ' means in topology (Example 4), viewing  $\mathbb{Z}$  as (only) a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$  instead of a subgroup.

**Proposition 1.** Suppose X is a topological space,  $Y = X/\sim$  some quotient, and  $\pi: X \to Y$  the canonical projection. Consider any topological space Z. A function  $f: Y \to Z$  is continuous if and only if  $f \circ \pi: X \to Z$  is continuous.

*Proof.* This follows from the definition of the quotient topology.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.** If  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on X, and  $f: X \to Z$  is a continuous function such that  $x \sim y$  implies f(x) = f(y), then there is a unique continuous map  $\hat{f}: X/\sim \to Z$ .

*Proof.* Since f has the same image on equivalent elements, we get a well-defined map  $\hat{f}$  on equivalence classes. It is not hard to check this map is continuous.

**Remark 4.** This proposition says that the quotient space satisfies a 'universal property'. Universal here means 'for any function on X which sends equivalent elements to equal images, (something)'

Some words: the quotient topology is the **finest** topology on  $X/\sim$  such that  $\pi_{\sim}$  is continuous. This means that if  $\tau'$  is a topology on  $X/\sim$  such that  $\pi_{\sim}$  is continuous, then any  $\tau'$ -open set is open in the quotient topology.