## Sixth Day

We begin with another separable example:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{2}{x\tan(y)}$$

Then rewrite to

$$\tan(y)dy = \frac{2}{x}dx$$

and integrate:

$$\int \tan(y)dy = \int \frac{2}{x}dx$$
$$-\ln(|\cos(y)|) = 2\ln(|x|) + c$$
$$\ln(\frac{1}{|\cos(y)|}) = \ln(x^2) + c$$
$$\frac{1}{|\cos(y)|} = Cx^2$$
$$y(x) = \cos^{-1}(\frac{C}{x^2})$$

Actually, the discussion was a little deficient:  $y(x) = \pm \cos^{-1}(\frac{C}{x^2})$ . I made some comment about the sign of C, but forgot that it's 'inside' the arccos.

We waved our hands a bit when solving separable equations, treating  $\frac{dy}{dx}$  as a fraction which we could split up and manipulate separately, and then integrate.

It turns out separable equations fit into a larger framework:

**Definition 1.** A (first order) differential equation is called **exact** if it is of the form

$$y'(x) = -\frac{M(x,y)}{N(x,y)}$$

for functions M, N satisfying

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}M(x,y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}N(x,y)$$

Notice that separable equations are exact, since if

$$y' = f(x) \cdot g(y) = -\frac{-f(x)}{\frac{1}{g(y)}}$$

we have  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(-f(x)) = 0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{1}{g(y)}$ . To motivate this definition, we make a slight digression into vector calculus.

## Line Integrals and Conservative Vector Fields

Throughout the discussion, we work on some domain  $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  which is simply connected (intuitively, 'has no holes'). We could take the entire plane  $R = \mathbb{R}^2$ if we want.

A vector field on R is a function  $V: R \to \mathbb{R}^2$ , which we write

$$V(x,y) = (V_x(x,y), V_y(x,y))$$

The reason we call this function a vector field is because we have in mind that every point  $(x,y) \in R$  gets assigned a vector,  $(V_x,V_y)$ , based at that point.

A (smooth) path in R is a (smooth) function  $\gamma:[0,1]\to R$ , which we write

$$\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))$$

Then the derivative is

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \left(\frac{dx}{dt}(t), \frac{dy}{dt}(t)\right)$$

In multivariable calculus, we learn the concept of a line integral of a vector field along a path:

$$\int_{\gamma} V = \int_{0}^{1} V(\gamma(t)) \cdot \dot{\gamma(t)} dt$$

(here  $\cdot$  is the direct product of vectors). In other words,

$$\int_{\gamma} V = \int_{0}^{1} V_{x}(\gamma(t)) \cdot \frac{dx}{dt} + V_{y}(\gamma(t)) \cdot \frac{dy}{dt} dt$$

(which people sometimes write as

$$\int_{\gamma} V = \int_{\gamma} V_x dx + V_y dy$$

)

**Definition 2.** A vector field V is **conservative** if it is the gradient of some function  $\Psi(x,y)$ :

$$V = \nabla \Psi = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Psi, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Psi\right)$$

This function  $\Psi$  is called a 'potential' for the vector field V.

**Theorem 1.** If  $V = \nabla \Psi$  is a conservative vector field, then

$$\int_{\gamma} V = \Psi(\gamma(1)) - \Psi(\gamma(0))$$

*Proof.* This is a version of the fundamental theorem of calculus:

$$\int_{\gamma} v = \int_{0}^{1} V_{x}(\gamma(t)) \cdot \frac{dx}{dt} + V_{y}(\gamma(t)) \cdot \frac{dy}{dt} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left( \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dt} \right) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \Psi(\gamma(t)) \right) dt$$

$$= \Psi(\gamma(t))|_{t=0}^{t=1}$$

$$= \Psi(\gamma(1)) - \Psi(\gamma(0))$$

This result is known as 'path-independence': regardless of what path  $\gamma$  you take, the integral only depends on the starting and ending points of the path. I claim many naturally occurring vector fields of interest are conservative, so their line integrals are path-independent.

How can we tell if a vector field is conservative? Suppose  $V = \nabla \Psi$ . Then  $V_x = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}$  and  $V_y = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y}$ . We differentiate and appeal to 'Clairaut's theorem',

i.e. the equality of mixed partial derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} V_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}$$

$$= \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial y \partial x}$$

$$= \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x \partial y}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} V_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} V_y$$

So a vector field can only be conservative if the y-derivative of the x-component is equal to the x-derivative of the y-component. It turns out that in simple cases, the reverse is true:

**Theorem 2.** If V is a vector field defined on a region  $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , and R is simply connected, then V is conservative if and only if

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}V_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}V_y$$

We will not define simply-connectedness.

We remark that this is a special case of Green's theorem:

**Theorem 3.** If C is a closed curve bounding a nice region D, then

$$\int_{C} V = \int_{D} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} V_{y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} V_{x} \right) dx dy$$

If V is conservative, both sides are zero ('closed' curve means the starting point and ending point are equal).

We are now in a position to describe the meaning of an 'exact differential equation': the exactness condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}M = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}N$$

means that the vector field V=(M,N) is a conservative vector field, so there is a potential function  $\Psi(x,y)$  with  $V=\nabla\Psi$ .

Recall we want to talk about single variable functions, so suppose we have a little piece of such a function y = y(x) which solves our original differential equation, and differentiate  $\Psi$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dx}\Psi(x,y(x)) &= \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{dx}{dx} + \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{dy}{dx} \\ &= \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{dy}{dx} \\ &= M(x,y) + N(x,y) \cdot \frac{dy}{dx} \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\Psi(x, y(x)) = c$$

for some constant c. This gives an implicit equation relating y(x) to x.

All in all, this discussion gives a sort of recipe for how to solve exact differential equations.

- 1. Check that the equation is exact
- 2. Exactness means the vector field V = (M, N) is conservative, so find a potential function.
- 3. Solutions to the differential equation are (parts of) level curves of the potential.

This is 'almost' a recipe in that we did not talk about how to do step 2. As always, the solution is to integrate.

We finished with an example: consider the equation

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{3x^2 + 4y}{4x + 3y^2}$$

so in our notation above,  $M(x,y) = 3x^2 + 4y$  and  $N(x,y) = 4x + 3y^2$ . Then we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}M = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}3x^2 + 4y = 4$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}N = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}4x + 3y^2 = 4$$

so the partial derivatives agree, and this equation is exact.

Now we want to find a function  $\Psi(x,y)$  so that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Psi = M(x,y) = 3x^2 + 4y$$

Integrating with respect to x leads to

$$\Psi(x,y) = x^3 + 4xy + f(y),$$

where f(y) is a function of y and **not** x (this is the 'constant of integration', since any function of y will vanish if you differentiate with respect to x.

Similarly, we integrate

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Psi = N(x,y) = 4x + 3y^2$$

to get

$$\Psi(x,y) = 4xy + y^3 + g(x)$$

for some function of x, g(x). Comparing this to the previous statement, we see that

$$\Psi(x,y) = x^3 + 4xy + y^3$$

gives the desired potential function.

So, solutions to the differential equation

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{3x^2 + 4y}{4x + 3y^2}$$

are defined implicitly by the equation

$$x^3 + 4xy + y^3 = c$$

for some constant c.

Did not quite get to interpretting this solution, but I claim it's very pretty geometrically.