

Homework 1

YOUR NAME

October 10, 2018

-
- **Acknowledgments:** This template takes some materials from course CSE 547/Stat 548 of Washington University:
<https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse547/17sp/index.html>.
 - **Collaborators:** I finish my homework all by myself.
-

Consider the problem of classifying l samples using SVM, where $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, ($i = 1, \dots, l$).

- 1.1. Suppose the data are linearly separable. The optimization problem of SVM is

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\mathbf{w}, b}{\text{minimize}} && \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \text{subject to} && y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + b) \geq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, l, \end{aligned} \tag{P}$$

and let (\mathbf{w}^*, b^*) denote its optimal solution.

- (a) Show that

$$b^* = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\max_{i: y_i = -1} \mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_i + \min_{i: y_i = 1} \mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_i \right).$$

The corresponding Lagrange dual problem is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\text{maximize}} && \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle \\ & \text{subject to} && \alpha_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, l, \\ & && \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i y_i = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{D}$$

Suppose the optimal solution of (D) is $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^* = (\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_l^*)^T$, from the KKT conditions we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}^* &= \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* y_i \mathbf{x}_i, \\ \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* [y_i(\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_i + b^*) - 1] &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Proof. From a condition from the (1):

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* [y_i(\mathbf{w}^{*\text{T}} \mathbf{x}_i + b^*) - 1] &= 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* [(\mathbf{w}^{*\text{T}} \mathbf{x}_i + b^*) - y_i] &= 0\end{aligned}$$

There is at least one $\alpha_j^* > 0$ that let $\mathbf{w}^{*\text{T}} \mathbf{x}_j + b^* - y_j = 0$.
As the data are linearly separable, for any $y_j = 1$, $\mathbf{w}^* \mathbf{x}_j + b^* \geq 1$,
So that

$$\min_{j: y_j=1} \{\mathbf{w}^* \mathbf{x}_j + b^*\} = 1 \quad (1.a.1)$$

Similarly, for any $y_j = -1$, $\mathbf{w}^* \mathbf{x}_j + b^* \leq -1$, So that

$$\max_{j: y_j=-1} \{\mathbf{w}^* \mathbf{x}_j + b^*\} = -1 \quad (1.a.2)$$

Sum up the equation 1.a.1 and 1.a.2, here it is:

$$b^* = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\max_{i: y_i=-1} \mathbf{w}^{*\text{T}} \mathbf{x}_i + \min_{i: y_i=1} \mathbf{w}^{*\text{T}} \mathbf{x}_i \right).$$

□

(b) Based on (1), verify that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}^*\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_i^* \alpha_j^* y_i y_j \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^*.$$

Proof. From a condition from the (1):

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* [y_i(\mathbf{w}^{*\text{T}} \mathbf{x}_i + b^*) - 1] &= 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* y_i \mathbf{w}^{*\text{T}} \mathbf{x}_i + b^* \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* y_i - \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* &= 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* &= \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* y_i \mathbf{w}^{*\text{T}} \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{w}^{*\text{T}} \mathbf{w}^* = \|\mathbf{w}^*\|_2^2\end{aligned}$$

And as

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* y_i \mathbf{w}^{*\text{T}} \mathbf{x}_i = \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_i^* \alpha_j^* y_i y_j \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle$$

Here it is:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}^*\|_2^2 &= \mathbf{w}^{*\text{T}} \mathbf{w}^* - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{*\text{T}} \mathbf{w}^* \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_i^* \alpha_j^* y_i y_j \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle\end{aligned}$$

□

1.2. When the data are not linearly separable, consider the soft-margin SVM given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi}{\text{minimize}} && \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i \\ & \text{subject to} && \xi_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, l, \\ & && y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, l, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $C > 0$ is a fixed parameter.

(a) Show that (2) is equivalent¹ to

$$\underset{\mathbf{w}, b}{\text{minimize}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^l \ell(y_i, \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + b), \quad (3)$$

where $\ell(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the hinge loss defined by $\ell(y, z) \triangleq \max\{1 - yz, 0\}$.

Proof. Let $\xi_i = \ell(y_i, \mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_i + b)$, As

$$\ell(y_i, \mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_i + b) = \max(0, 1 - y_i(\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_i + b))$$

The $\xi_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, l$.

When

$$1 - y_i(\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_i + b) > 0$$

The $\xi_i = 1 - y_i(\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_i + b)$, so that:

$$y_i(\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_i + b) = 1 - \xi_i \quad (2.a.1)$$

When

$$1 - y_i(\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_i + b) \leq 0$$

The $\xi_i = 0$, so that:

$$y_i(\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_i + b) \geq 1 - 0 \geq 1 - \xi_i \quad (2.a.2)$$

Combine the (2.a.1) and (2.a.2), it is easy to acquire that

$$y_i(\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, l.$$

Taking all above into consideration, the (3) can be transformed as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi_i}{\text{minimize}} && \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i \\ & \text{subject to} && \xi_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, l, \\ & && y_i(\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, l. \end{aligned}$$

Which is equivalent to the (2). □

¹Two optimization problems are called equivalent if from a solution of one, a solution of the other is readily found, and vice versa.

- (b) Show that the objective function of (3), denoted by $f(\mathbf{w}, b)$, is convex, i.e.,

$$f(\theta \mathbf{w}_1 + (1-\theta) \mathbf{w}_2, \theta b_1 + (1-\theta) b_2) \leq \theta f(\mathbf{w}_1, b_1) + (1-\theta) f(\mathbf{w}_2, b_2). \quad (4)$$

for all $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\theta \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. The left part of the (4):

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\theta \mathbf{w}_1 + (1-\theta) \mathbf{w}_2, \theta b_1 + (1-\theta) b_2) \\ &= \frac{\theta^2}{2} \mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{w}_1 + \frac{(1-\theta)^2}{2} \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_2 + \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{2} (\mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{w}_2 + \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_1) \end{aligned} \quad (5a)$$

$$+ C \sum_{i=1}^l \ell(y_i, (\theta \mathbf{w}_1 + (1-\theta) \mathbf{w}_2)^T \mathbf{x}_i + \theta b_1 + (1-\theta) b_2) \quad (5b)$$

The right part of the (4):

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta f(\mathbf{w}_1, b_1) + (1-\theta) f(\mathbf{w}_2, b_2) \\ &= \frac{\theta}{2} \mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{w}_1 + \frac{(1-\theta)}{2} \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_2 \end{aligned} \quad (6a)$$

$$+ \theta C \sum_{i=1}^l \ell(y_i, \mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{x}_i + b_1) + (1-\theta) C \sum_{i=1}^l \ell(y_i, \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{x}_i + b_2) \quad (6b)$$

The (6a) - (5a) is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\theta^2}{2} (\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2)^T (\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2) + \frac{\theta}{2} (\mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{w}_2 + \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_2) + \frac{(1-\theta)}{2} \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_2 \\ & - \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{w}_1 + \frac{(1-\theta)}{2} \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_2 \right) \\ &= \frac{\theta}{2} (\mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{w}_1 - \theta (\mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_1) + \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_1) \\ &= \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{2} (\mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_1) \\ &= \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{2} (\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2)^T (\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So that the (5a) \leq (6a).

Similarly, let $\mathbf{z}_{1,i} = \mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{x}_i + b_1$ and $\mathbf{z}_{2,i} = \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{x}_i + b_2$,

The (6b) - (5b) is:

$$\theta C \sum_{i=1}^l \ell(y_i, \mathbf{z}_{1,i}) + (1-\theta) C \sum_{i=1}^l \ell(y_i, \mathbf{z}_{2,i}) - C \sum_{i=1}^l \ell(y_i, \theta \mathbf{z}_{1,i} + (1-\theta) \mathbf{z}_{2,i})$$

$$= C \theta \sum_{i=1}^l \max\{0, 1 - y_i \mathbf{z}_{1,i}\} + C(1-\theta) \sum_{i=1}^l \max\{0, 1 - y_i \mathbf{z}_{2,i}\} \quad (7a)$$

$$- C \sum_{i=1}^l \max\{0, 1 - y_i (\theta \mathbf{z}_{1,i} + (1-\theta) \mathbf{z}_{2,i})\} \quad (7b)$$

Since $\max\{u, v\} = \frac{1}{2}(u + v + |u - v|)$,

$$(7a) = C \sum_{i=1}^l [1 - y_i(\theta z_{1,i} + (1 - \theta)z_{2,i}) + |1 - y_i(\theta z_{1,i} + (1 - \theta)z_{2,i})|]$$

$$(7b) = C \sum_{i=1}^l [1 - \theta y_i z_{1,i} - (1 - \theta)y_i z_{2,i} + |\theta(1 - y_i z_{1,i})| + |(1 - \theta)(1 - y_i z_{2,i})|]$$

The (6b) - (5b) is:

$$\begin{aligned} (7b) - (7a) = & C \sum_{i=1}^l [|\theta(1 - y_i z_{1,i})| + |(1 - \theta)(1 - y_i z_{2,i})| \\ & - |\theta(1 - y_i z_{1,i}) + (1 - \theta)(1 - y_i z_{2,i})|] \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

That is the (5b) \leq (6b).

With all above, the (5a) + (5b) \leq (6a) + (6b), so the (4) is proved to be true. \square