Tsinghua-Berkeley Shenzhen Institute LEARNING FROM DATA Fall 2019

Programming Assignment 2

Issued: Friday 11th October, 2019

Due: Friday 25th October, 2019

2.1. (4 points) *MLE*. Recall that the naive Bayes model tries to maximize the likelihood of the joint distribution P(X, Y) as:

$$L(\phi_y, \phi_j(x|y)) = \prod_{i=1}^m p(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$$

where the $\phi_j(x|y) := p(X_j = x|Y = y)$ and $\phi_y := p(Y = y)$ are the parameters required to be estimated for the model. Here, the $x_j, \forall j \in \{1, ..., d\}$ is assumed be binary-valued: $x \in \mathcal{X} := \{0, 1\}$, and the label $y \in \mathcal{Y} := \{1, ..., K\}$. Please derive the **maximum likelihood estimates** of the $\phi_j(x|y)$ and ϕ_y for the NB model.

Solution: The log-likelihood of the equation described above can be transformed as:

$$\log L(\phi_{y}, \phi_{j}(x|y)) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log p(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \left(p(y^{(i)}) \prod_{j=1}^{d} p(x_{j}^{(i)} \mid y^{(i)}) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log p(y^{(i)}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \log p(x_{j}^{(i)} \mid y^{(i)})$$
(1)

First, let's concentrate on the part (I) in the above Eq.(1):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log p(y^{(i)}) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}\{y^{(i)} = y\} \log \phi_{y}$$

$$= \sum_{y=1}^{K} \operatorname{count}(y) \log \phi_{y}$$
(2)

Our goal is to maximize the Eq.(2) subject to the constraints $\phi_y > 0$ and $\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi_y = 1$, which is easy to achieve by introducing a **Lagrangian** as:

$$g(\lambda, \phi_y) = \sum_{y=1}^K \text{count}(y) \log \phi_y - \lambda \left(\sum_{y=1}^K \phi_y - 1\right)$$
 (3)

Note that we do not include the part (II) above because it has nothing to do with the ϕ_y . Now differentiating with respect to ϕ_y gives

$$\frac{\partial g(\lambda, \phi)}{\partial \phi_y} = \frac{\text{count}(y)}{\phi_y} - \lambda \tag{4}$$

and setting the derivatives to zero gives

$$\phi_y = \frac{\text{count}(y)}{\lambda} \tag{5}$$

Taking the summation of Eq.(5) over y gives

$$\sum_{y} \phi_{y} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{y} \text{count}(y) = 1 \tag{6}$$

hence we know that $\lambda = \sum_{y} \operatorname{count}(y)$, and we get the MLE of the ϕ_y as:

$$\phi_y = \frac{\text{count}(y)}{\sum_{y=1}^K \text{count}(y)} = \frac{\text{count}(y)}{m}$$
 (7)

Similarly, the part (II) can be transformed like:

(II) =
$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}\{x_{j}^{(i)} = x \wedge y^{(i)} = y\} \phi_{j}(x \mid y)$$

= $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \operatorname{count}_{j}(x \mid y) \phi_{j}(x \mid y)$ (8)

Our goal now is to maximize the above equation with the constraints that $\phi_j(x \mid y) > 0$ and

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi_j(x \mid y) = 1 \tag{9}$$

Building a Lagrangian as

$$h(\lambda, \phi_j(x \mid y)) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \operatorname{count}_j(x \mid y) \phi_j(x \mid y) - \lambda \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \phi_j(x \mid y) - 1 \right)$$
(10)

Same, let's take the derivatives $\frac{\partial h(\lambda,\phi_j(x|y))}{\partial \phi_j(x|y)}$ and make it zero, we will obtain

$$\phi_j(x \mid y) = \frac{\text{count}_j(x \mid y)}{\lambda} \tag{11}$$

Combining the Eq.(9) and the Eq.(11), the MLE of $\phi_j(x \mid y)$ is

$$\phi_j(x \mid y) = \frac{\operatorname{count}_j(x \mid y)}{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \operatorname{count}_j(x \mid y)} = \frac{\operatorname{count}_j(x \mid y)}{\operatorname{count}(y)}$$
(12)

2.2. (6 points) Naive Bayes. We have prepared a binary classification dataset drawn from the UCI Adult which contains 1,605 data with 123 features in total. The task here is to build a **Bernoulli naive Bayes** classifier that does inference as:

$$p(y = k|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|y = k)p(y = k)}{p(\mathbf{x})}$$
$$= \frac{p(y = k) \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i|y = k)}{p(\mathbf{x})}$$

The Bernoulli naive Bayes implements the naive Bayes training and classification algorithms for data that is distributed according to **multivariate Bernoulli distributions**; i.e., there may be multiple features but each one is assumed to be a binary-valued (Bernoulli, boolean) variable. Therefore, this class requires samples to be represented as binary-valued feature vectors. See details in the **naive bayes.py**.

Notice:

- 1. Again, use matrix operations other than loops for efficiency. If the running time exceeds 5 minutes, you will get point deductions.
- 2. You are ought to acquire at least 75% test accuracy, and the correctness of your implementation will also be checked.
- 3. Submit your solution of question 2.1 in pdf and the naive_bayes.py together!

Tips:

- 1. Do not forget the Laplace smoothing.
- 2. Use $\log \prod(\cdot) = \sum \log(\cdot)$ to avoid operating on products.
- 3. Note that the $P(x_i|y) = P(i|y)x_i + (1 P(i|y))(1 x_i)$ is used in Bernoulli naive Bayes's decision rule. You can compare your results with the BernoulliNB in **scikit-learn**, if your implementation is right, the results test accuracy shall be the same (or very close).