Updates to ER Diagram:

For our submission to Phase 2, our group completely misunderstood the true purpose of the database/application for our domain, so we essentially recreated the entire ER diagram from the ground up. Based on the feedback received, we removed entities that were redundant/pointless and added several meaningful attributes that we missed in Phase 2.

Entities:

In the User entity, we made naming convention changes (pwd is now password, username is now username, ufname is now user_first_name, ulname is now user_last_name). Additionally, we changed the primary key from username to a newly created attribute, UID (integer), to improve access time. Also, we removed the email attribute; we felt it was redundant information since we already had the username. We added a new attribute, balance, to keep track of the User's account balance for purchasing tools.

In the Tool entity, we also had naming convention changes (name is now tool_name).

We created a new entity, Category, which has attributes tool_category and the primary key CID. In concurrence with these changes, we removed the Hand, Power, and Record entities because they were redundant and not meaningful.

Relations:

For our relations, the "has a" relations between User and Tool and between Tool and Record were entirely removed.

A new M:N relation ("owns") was created between User and Tool; this relation has attributes date_purchased, date_sold, and sale_price. Another M:N relation ("borrows") was created between User and Tool; this relation has attributes due_date and lend_date.

A new M:N relation ("has") was created between Tool and Category.

Updates to Reduction to Tables:

Similarly to the ER diagram, much of our reduction to tables was completely redone based on feedback that we received. Firstly, for each of the removed entities and relations from the ER Diagram (Power, Hand, and Record), their respective tables were removed from the reduction.

For the User table, the naming conventions were updated in accordance with the ER diagram; for the columns, the balance column was added and the new UID primary key and email column were removed.

For the Tool table, the naming conventions were also updated in accordance with the ER Diagram. Because we deleted the previous 1:N relation between User and Tool, the username foreign key in Tool was removed.

Since we added a new Category entity, a new table having the CID primary key and attribute tool_category was created. To represent the M:N relation between Tool and Category, a new Has relation was created; the relation has columns of a TID (Tool) and CID (categories) foreign keys.

To represent the M:N relation between User and Tool, a new Owns relation was created; Owns has the UID (User) and TID (Tool) foreign keys and attributes date_purchased, date_sold, and sale_price.

Lastly, to represent a secondary M:N relation between User and Tool, a new Borrows relation was created; Borrows has the UID (User) and TID (Tool) foreign keys and the attributes due_date and lend_date

Sample SQL Statements:

```
create table "User"
 usernamevarchar(64)not null,user_first_namevarchar(64)not null,user_last_namevarchar(64)not null,passwordvarchar(64)not null,balanceinteger default 0 not null,uidserialnot null
   constraint user_pk
        primary key
);
create table "Category"
  cid serial not null
     constraint category pk
       primary key,
 tool_category varchar(64) not null
create table "Tool"
 tid serial
                          not null
     constraint tool pk
      primary key,
  tool_name varchar(64) not null,
  lendable boolean default true not null
create table "Owns"
  uid integer
      constraint owns user uid fk
        references "User",
   tid integer
      constraint owns tool tid fk
         references "Tool",
  date purchased date,
  date sold date,
  sale_price integer
);
```

Gravity Nonexistent

Ryan LaRue, Aditya Khanna, Sean Clifford, and Dan Castellarin

How Data Was Loaded:

We used a variety of ways to upload our data into the database. For the User table, Mockaroo was used to generate a csv file of randomized users which was then imported into the table via Datagrip. In addition to Mockaroo, we wrote several Python scripts capable of generating the necessary data for Tool, Owns, Borrows, and Has. For each of the scripts, a csv was created and the files were once again imported into their respective tables via Datagrip.

For the Category table, we hand-wrote in several entries to give a solid base number of assignable categories for users. Additionally, throughout testing our application was used to add, modify, lend, and sell various tools between different user accounts, resulting in various entries being added in their respective tables.