# **Udacity MLND P4 -R1**

Ryan Gao

# change log

- 1. Add discusstion about involving deadline to the states
- 2. Add answer to the last question

## **Implement a Basic Driving Agent**

**QUESTION:** Observe what you see with the agent's behavior as it takes random actions. Does the smartcab eventually make it to the destination? Are there any other interesting observations to note?

#### **Answer**

The agent will firstly go to the intersection which is in the row or column of the destination. Then it will take a turn to the destination. The smartcab will eventually make it to the destination. No matter which color the surrounding trafic lights are, it just go forward until the tracfic light in front of it turns green. Since we have not 'taught' it to obey trafic rules, it sometimes breaks them. Nearly 20 out of 100 reach the destination with a zero or negative net reward.

## **Inform the Driving Agent**

**QUESTION:** What states have you identified that are appropriate for modeling the smartcab and environment? Why do you believe each of these states to be appropriate for this problem?

#### **Answer**

- The next way point [None, 'forward', 'left', 'right']
- The trafic lights at the intersection ['red', 'green']
- Whether there is a vehicle at the left or oncoming direction of the intersection oncoming: [None, 'forward', 'left', 'right']
   left: [None, 'forward', 'left', 'right']

The next way point identifies the destination.

The trafic light signal could be sensed by the car agent and if we ignore it there will be penalties

The intersection state must be considered as a state of the learning agent. For we want the car to get reward when perform legally. And there is no need to involve the trafic to the right into the state, because it has no effect when the car execute the its action.

#### Note

I didn't take the deadline into account. There will be enormous state if deadline added to the state. So we need a lot more examples for Q-learning convergence. Our goal is to train the agent to reach passengers' destinations in the *allotted* time and not break the tracfic rules. The optimal policy may reward breaking the tracfic rules if the destination is near.

**OPTIONAL:** How many states in total exist for the smartcab in this environment? Does this number seem reasonable given that the goal of Q-Learning is to learn and make informed decisions about each state? Why or why not?

## Implement a Q-Learning Driving Agent

**QUESTION:** What changes do you notice in the agent's behavior when compared to the basic driving agent when random actions were always taken? Why is this behavior occurring?

```
Q(s, a) = (1 - alpha) * Q(s, a) + alpha * (reward + gamma * max_Q)
```

The initial Q value of each state is 5.0. The epsilon is set to 0.1. So the agent has 10% chance to perform a random action.

After implement Q-Learing, the agent will not just go straight head to the destination. It learns(or randomly chooses) to take a turn based on the policy we set. For the first several trials it doesn't perform well and the net reward could be negative. As the trial increases, the car performs better, reaching the destination with higher net reward.

# Improve the Q-Learning Driving Agent

**QUESTION:** Report the different values for the parameters tuned in your basic implementation of Q-Learning. For which set of parameters does the agent perform best? How well does the final driving agent perform?

## Answer:

alpha	gamma	epsilon	success rate	avg net reward	avg deadline
-------	-------	---------	--------------	----------------	--------------

Alpha - the learning rate. Setting it to 0 means that the Q-values are never updated, hence nothing is learned. Setting a high value such as 0.9 means that learning can occur quickly. I set it to 0.9 and 0.5 for comparison.

Gamma is the value of future reward. If it is equal to one, the agent values future reward JUST AS MUCH as current reward. So learning doesn't work at that well at high gamma values. So I set gamma to 0.1 and 0.5 for comparison.

Epsilon is the parameter that we can control the trade-off between exploration and exploitation. I set the Epsilon to 0.9 and 0.1 for comparison.

alpha	gamma	epsilon	success rate	avg net reward	avg deadline
0.9	0.5	0.9	16 / 100	4.6	3.4
0.9	0.5	0.1	95 / 100	22.5	15.6
0.9	0.1	0.9	29 / 100	4.81	3.64
0.9	0.1	0.1	97 / 100	21.06	14.94
0.5	0.5	0.9	29 / 100	3.77	4.16
0.5	0.5	0.1	89 / 100	23.57	15.14
0.5	0.1	0.9	36 / 100	5.23	5.4
0.5	0.1	0.1	98 / 100	21.70	15.1

The "avg net reward" in the form is the average of each trial's net reward, which indicates that after q-learning the agent tends to obey tracfic rules to get more reward.

Similarly, "avg deadline" is the average deadline remain in each trial. It shows that the agent tends to reach the destination as soon as possible.

When alpha = 0.5, gamma = 0.1, epsilon = 0.1, the agent performs best.

**QUESTION:** Does your agent get close to finding an optimal policy, i.e. reach the destination in the minimum possible time, and not incur any penalties? How would you describe an optimal policy for this problem?

### **Answer**

direction light oncoming left forwar	d right	None	left	
--------------------------------------	---------	------	------	--

The optimal policy for the agent would be 1) obey the trafic so as to gain maximum reward 2) move to the destination as soon as possible

As discussed in previous question, the avgrage deadline remained in the case (alpha = 0.5, gamma = 0.1, epsilon = 0.1) is much greater than that in (alpha = 0.5, gamma = 0.1, epsilon = 0.9) which has poorly learning ability.

Here are some data extracted from the Q-table below when the light is red. The 4 columns on the right is the Q values for each possible action.

direction	light	oncoming	left	forward	right	None	left
forward	red	forward	None	0.88	2.36	1.49	5.0
forward	red	left	None	0.88	5.0	5.0	5.0
forward	red	None	left	2.25	2.26	2.52	5.0
forward	red	None	forward	2.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
forward	red	None	right	0.56	2.26	5.0	5.0
forward	red	None	None	-0.83	-0.3	0.14	-0.95
left	red	None	right	2.1	5.0	5.0	5.0
left	red	None	left	2.01	5.0	5.0	5.0
left	red	None	None	-0.88	-0.24	0.12	-0.89
right	red	forward	None	0.88	3.51	1.63	2.11
right	red	None	None	-0.37	2.04	0.92	-0.39

Obviously, every q-value of forward action in the table is the smallest in all four q values, which shows that the agent is being punished when breaking the rules.

Let's look at a state (forward, red, None, left). The agent will choose to go left since the q-value is the largest even though the direction to the target is to the forward. However, a lot of states' q value is still the initial one. More iteration or dummy agent is needed.

### **Appendix**

# waypoint, light, oncoming , left
display(q25)

forward r	ed Left:-0.	None	None	forward:-0.54	right:-0.37	None:
forward g	green Left: 5.	-	None	forward: 3.6	right: 5.0	None:
right r 63 lef	ed t:2.11	forward	None	forward:0.88	right:3.51	None:1.
_	green Et:2.09	None	None	forward:0.42	right:0.42	None:0.
forward g	green Left: 5.		right	forward:3.51	right: 5.0	None:
	red Left: 5.	None .0	left	forward:2.01	right: 5.0	None:
	green Et:0.08	None	None	forward:0.42	right:2.21	None:0.
forward r	red Left: 5.	None .0	left	forward:2.25	right: 5.0	None:
forward g 47 lef	green Et:0.06	None	None	forward: 2.1	right:0.06	None:0.
	red Left:-0.	None	None	forward:-0.73	right:-0.11	None:
,	ed t:0.81	None	None	forward:0.88	right:2.19	None:1.
-	green Left: 5.	None .0	forward	forward:2.36	right: 5.0	None:
forward g	green Et:2.35	None	left	forward:3.65	right:2.35	None:1.
forward r	red Left: 5.	None .0	right	forward:2.11	right:2.26	None:

In [61]:

display(q50)

forward 5.0	green left: 5		right	forward:3.51	right: 5.0	None:
forward 0.17	red left:-0	None	None	forward:-0.67	right:-0.31	None:
left 0.12	red left:-0		None	forward:-0.76	right:-0.24	None:
right 5.0	green left: 5		forward	forward:2.36	right: 5.0	None:
forward 5.0	red left: 5		forward	forward: 2.0	right: 5.0	None:
-	red eft:0.01	None	None	forward:0.88	right:2.06	None:1.
	green eft:2.35		left	forward:2.93	right:2.35	None:1.
left 5.0	green left: 5		forward	forward:2.36	right: 5.0	None:
right 63 le	red eft:2.11		None	forward:0.88	right:3.51	None:1.
left 5.0	red left: 5	None .0	right	forward: 2.1	right: 5.0	None:
left 51 le	green eft:2.17		None	forward:0.07	right:0.07	None:0.
	green left: 5		None	forward:3.61	right: 5.0	None:
left 5.0			left	forward:2.01	right: 5.0	None:
-	green eft:0.08		None	forward:-0.1	right:2.22	None:0.
forward 5.0	red left: 5		left	forward:2.25	right: 5.0	None:
	green eft:-0.2		None	forward:2.24	right:0.06	None:0.
forward 5.0	-	right .0	None	forward: 3.6	right: 5.0	None:
forward 5.0	red left: 5		right	forward:2.11	right:2.26	None:
right 5.0	green left: 5		None	forward:2.36	right:3.61	None:
left 5.0	green left: 5		None	forward:2.36	right: 5.0	None:

In [62]:

display(q75)

right 63 lo	red eft:2.11		None	forward:0.88	right:3.51	None:1.
left 37 le	green eft:2.21		None	forward:0.07	right:0.07	None:0.
forward 5.0	green left: 5		right	forward:3.51	right: 5.0	None:
right 93 l	green eft:0.08		None	forward:-0.1	right:2.11	None:0.
	red eft: 5.0	None	left	forward:2.25	right:2.26	None:2.
forward 5.0	red left: 5		None	forward:0.88	right: 5.0	None:
right 5.0	green left: 5		None	forward:2.36	right:3.61	None:
forward 5.0	red left: 5		forward	forward: 2.0	right: 5.0	None:
left 5.0	green left: 5		forward	forward:2.36	right: 5.0	None:
left 5.0	red left: 5		right	forward: 2.1	right: 5.0	None:
forward 5.0	red left: 5		right	forward:2.11	right:2.26	None:
left 5.0	green left: 5		None	forward:2.36	right: 5.0	None:
forward 0.14	red left:-0	None .84	None	forward:-0.83	right:-0.31	None:
forward 5.0	green left: 5		None	forward: 3.6	right: 5.0	None:
forward 49 l	red eft: 5.0	forward	None	forward:0.88	right:2.36	None:1.
forward 5.0	green left: 5		None	forward:3.61	right:2.36	None:
left 5.0	red left: 5		left	forward:2.01	right: 5.0	None:
forward 28 l	green eft:-0.2	None 7	None	forward:2.13	right:0.06	None:0.
left 0.18	red left:-0	None .89	None	forward:-0.88	right:-0.24	None:
right 5.0	green left: 5		forward	forward:2.36	right: 5.0	None:
right	red	None	None	forward:0.05	right:2.13	None:0.

92 left:-0.39

forward green None left forward:2.93 right:2.35 None:1. 63 left:2.35

right green None left forward:2.36 right: 5.0 None: 5.0 left: 5.0

In [55]:

display(q100)

right 63 le	red eft:2.11	forward	None	forward:0.88	right:3.51	None:1.
left 37 le	green eft:2.19	None	None	forward:0.07	right:-0.1	None:0.
forward 5.0	green left: 5		right	forward:3.51	right: 5.0	None:
right 93 le	green eft:0.08	None	None	forward:-0.1	right:2.26	None:0.
	red eft: 5.0	None	left	forward:2.25	right:2.26	None:2.
forward 5.0	red left: 5		None	forward:0.88	right: 5.0	None:
-	green eft:2.36	left	None	forward:2.36	right:3.61	None:1.
forward 5.0	red left: 5	None .0	forward	forward: 2.0	right: 5.0	None:
left 5.0	green left: 5		forward	forward:2.36	right: 5.0	None:
left 5.0	red left: 5	None .0	right	forward: 2.1	right: 5.0	None:
forward 5.0	red left: 5		right	forward:0.56	right:2.26	None:
left 5.0	green left: 5		None	forward:2.36	right: 5.0	None:
forward	red left:-0	None .95	None	forward:-0.83	right:-0.3	None:
forward 5.0	green left: 5	-	None	forward: 3.6	right: 5.0	None:
forward 49 le	red eft: 5.0	forward	None	forward:0.88	right:2.36	None:1.
forward 5.0	green left: 5		None	forward:3.61	right:2.36	None:
left 5.0	red left: 5	None .0	left	forward:2.01	right: 5.0	None:
forward	green left:-0	None .27	None	forward:2.19	right:-0.37	None:
left 0.12	red left:-0	None .89	None	forward:-0.88	right:-0.24	None:
right 5.0	green left: 5		forward	forward:2.36	right: 5.0	None:
right	red	None	None	forward:-0.37	right:2.04	None:

0.92 left:-0.39

forward green None left forward:2.47 right:2.35 None:1.

63 left:2.35

right green None left forward:2.36 right: 5.0 None: 5.0 left: 5.0