

# Attention and Prediction-Guided Motion Detection for Low-Contrast Small Moving Targets

Hongxin Wang<sup>ID</sup>, Jiannan Zhao<sup>ID</sup>, Huatian Wang<sup>ID</sup>, Cheng Hu, Jigen Peng,  
and Shigang Yue<sup>ID</sup>, *Senior Member, IEEE*

**Abstract**—Small target motion detection within complex natural environments is an extremely challenging task for autonomous robots. Surprisingly, the visual systems of insects have evolved to be highly efficient in detecting mates and tracking prey, even though targets occupy as small as a few degrees of their visual fields. The excellent sensitivity to small target motion relies on a class of specialized neurons, called small target motion detectors (STMDs). However, existing STMD-based models are heavily dependent on visual contrast and perform poorly in complex natural environments, where small targets generally exhibit extremely low contrast against neighboring backgrounds. In this article, we develop an attention-and-prediction-guided visual system to overcome this limitation. The developed visual system comprises three main subsystems, namely: 1) an attention module; 2) an STMD-based neural network; and 3) a prediction module. The attention module searches for potential small targets in the predicted areas of the input image and enhances their contrast against a complex background. The STMD-based neural network receives the contrast-enhanced image and discriminates small moving targets from background false positives. The prediction module foresees future positions of the detected targets and generates a prediction map for the attention module. The three subsystems are connected in a recurrent architecture, allowing information to be processed sequentially to activate specific areas for small target detection. Extensive experiments on synthetic and real-world datasets demonstrate the effectiveness and

superiority of the proposed visual system for detecting small, low-contrast moving targets against complex natural environments.

**Index Terms**—Bioinspiration, complex natural environment, prediction, robotic visual perception, small target motion detection.

## I. INTRODUCTION

IN THE visual world, object motion provides important information to guide the behavior of observers (animals or robots). In the future, autonomous robotic systems will need to operate in complex dynamic environments, detecting object motions, understanding movement intention, predicting future paths, and reacting appropriately [1]–[3]. It is accepted that detecting potentially dangerous objects early and far-off would permit sufficient time for responses to be made by autonomous systems, enabling them to maintain or enhance a dominant position in interaction and/or competition. However, if an object is extremely small or distant to the observer, it will always appear as a minute, dim speckle on the image, only one or a few pixels in size. Hence, most of the object's visual features will be difficult to determine, for example, an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) or a bird in the distance (Fig. 1).

Manuscript received 28 December 2021; accepted 22 April 2022. Date of publication 9 May 2022; date of current version 16 September 2023. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 12031003, Grant 62103112, and Grant 11771347; in part by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant under Agreement 691154 (STEP2DYN) and Agreement 778062 (ULTRACEPT); and in part by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant 2021M700921 and Grant 2019M662837. This article was recommended by Associate Editor Z.-G. Hou. (*Corresponding authors:* Shigang Yue; Jigen Peng.)

Hongxin Wang, Cheng Hu, and Shigang Yue are with the Machine Life and Intelligence Research Center, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China, and also with the Computational Intelligence Laboratory, School of Computer Science, University of Lincoln, Lincoln LN6 7TS, U.K. (e-mail: howang@lincoln.ac.uk; syue@lincoln.ac.uk).

Jiannan Zhao is with the School of Electrical Engineering, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China, and also with the Computational Intelligence Laboratory, School of Computer Science, University of Lincoln, Lincoln LN6 7TS, U.K.

Huatian Wang is with the Northwest Institute of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Xianyang 712099, China, and also with the Computational Intelligence Laboratory, School of Computer Science, University of Lincoln, Lincoln LN6 7TS, U.K.

Jigen Peng is with the School of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China (e-mail: jgpeng@gzhu.edu.cn).

This article has supplementary material provided by the authors and color versions of one or more figures available at <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2022.3170699>.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TCYB.2022.3170699

Small target motion detection<sup>1</sup> plays a critical role in a number of computer vision tasks, including video surveillance, early warning, visual tracking, and defence. For example, the timely detection of micro drones flying toward and over runways would help to protect airports from disruption. However, discriminating small moving targets in complex natural environments remains challenging to artificial visual systems. This is because: 1) small targets always equate to only a few pixels in size within images, presenting low-resolution appearance and unclear structure. Furthermore, most of the visual features, such as color, orientation, and texture, are difficult to discern, which means feature representations of small targets necessary for motion detection are extremely weak; 2) small targets exhibit blurred boundaries and low contrast against heavily cluttered backgrounds, which makes them difficult to distinguish from noisy clutter; and 3) freely moving camera could introduce complex changing scene and relative motion to small targets, which brings further challenges to motion discrimination.

<sup>1</sup>Small target motion detection aims to detect moving object of interest that appear as a minute dim speckle on the image. Its size varies from one pixel to a few pixels, whereas other visual features, such as texture, orientation, and color, are difficult to recognize.



Fig. 1. Examples of small moving targets [4]. (a) UAV and (b) a bird in the distance. In each subplot, enlargements of the objects are shown in the red boxes. Both the UAV and bird appear as dim speckles, only a few pixels in size, and most of their visual features are difficult to discern. In particular, they all show extremely low contrast against the complex backgrounds.

Conventional approaches for motion detection can be classified into three main categories: 1) frame difference [5]; 2) background subtraction [6]; and 3) optical flow [7]. These approaches work best for static cameras, but their performance decreases significantly in applications involving mobile cameras, such as autonomous driving systems, flying drones, and mobile robots. In addition, these approaches cannot be directly applied to small target detection in complex natural environments, because: 1) they are unable to discriminate small targets from large objects in images, for example, pedestrian and/or vehicles and 2) small targets are always hidden within pixel errors and background noise after compensating for camera motion. Appearance-based methods can also be adopted for motion detection. Utilizing machine-learning algorithms, such as convolutional neural networks [8], support vector machines [9], and evolutionary computation [10], these methods classify moving objects based on the extracted low-level visual and high-level semantic features. However, they are ineffective against small objects that are only a few pixels in size, since most of the visual features are hard to discern from low-resolution appearance of the objects.

Learning from the visual systems of animals provides a promising approach to build effective and robust models for detecting small moving targets in complex natural environments [11]–[13]. Despite the fact that the neural circuits in insects are relatively simpler than those in the human brains, insects achieve an extremely high success rate of 97% in the pursuit of small flying mates or prey. The exquisite sensitivity of insects to small moving targets is supported by a class of specialized neurons, called small target motion detectors (STMDs) [14]–[16]. These STMD neurons respond strongly to moving objects which occupy as small as 1°–3° of the visual field, while exhibiting much weaker or even no response to large objects typically occupying more than 10°. In addition, the STMD neural responses are robust even when small targets display extremely low contrast against cluttered moving backgrounds. Understanding the biological neural computation that underlies small target motion detection would provide much needed inspirations for solving similar problems in autonomous systems.

Motivated by the superior properties of STMD neurons, several attempts have been made to develop quantitative STMD-based models for small target motion detection. Wiederman *et al.* [17] designed an elementary STMD model (ESTMD) to detect the presence of small moving targets by

multiplying luminance increase and decrease signals at each pixel after lateral inhibition. To determine motion direction of small targets, the cascaded model [18] and directionally selective STMD (DSTMD) [19] were developed by considering the correlation of luminance change signals from two different pixels. Wang *et al.* [20] proposed a visual system, called STMD Plus, which takes into account both motion information and directional contrast, to filter out false positives in cluttered moving backgrounds. However, these models are heavily reliant on contrast between small targets and the background. As a result, their detection performance will degrade significantly as the target contrast decreases. In complex natural environments, where small targets always exhibit extremely low contrast, it is difficult for these models to discern small target motion effectively and robustly.

To overcome these limitations, we develop an attention and prediction-guided visual system (called apg-STMD). Prediction and attention are fundamental functions in the visual systems of insects, where the former utilizes present and/or past information to anticipate future object motion, while the latter prioritizes objects of interest amidst a swarm of potential alternatives [21]–[24]. In the proposed visual system, an attention module and a prediction module are connected with an STMD-based neural network in a recurrent architecture. At each time step, the input image and a prediction map are applied to the attention module to search for potential small targets in several predicted areas. A contrast-enhanced image is produced by enhancing the contrast of potential targets over the input image, and then fed into the STMD-based neural network for discriminating small moving targets. The prediction module anticipates future positions of the detected small targets and generates a prediction map, which is propagated to the attention module in the next time step. Experiments demonstrate the superior performance of the proposed visual system in detecting small target motion against complex backgrounds.

The remainder of this article is organized as follows. Section II discusses related research on motion-sensitive neural models, attention mechanism, and prediction mechanism. We describe the proposed attention and prediction-guided visual system in Section III. The experimental results on both synthetic and real-world datasets are reported in Section IV. Finally, Section V concludes this article.

## II. RELATED WORK

### A. Motion-Sensitive Neural Models

The lobula giant movement detector (LGMD) [25], [26]; lobula plate tangential cell (LPTC) [27], [28]; and STMD [14]–[16] are three types of motion-sensitive neurons that have been widely investigated in the visual systems of insects. The LGMD responds most strongly to approaching objects, but shows little or no response to receding objects. It has been modelled as a collision detector that is further embodied in micro mobile robots [29]–[32] and UAVs [33], [34] for collision avoidance. The LPTC is sensitive to objects which occupy a wide region of the visual field and which move in preferred directions. A wide-field LPTC can be modelled by an array of

Hassenstein-Reichardt correlators [35], each of which focuses on a small part of the visual field. The LPTC model has been used for velocity estimation [36], collision avoidance [37], and object tracking [38]. Although the LGMD and LPTC models perform well in detecting collision and wide-field motion, they are unable to discriminate small targets from other large objects in the visual field.

The STMD gives peak responses to small moving targets that occupy only a few degrees of the visual field, but much weaker responses to background movement and wide-field motion. The STMD-based models, such as ESTMD [17], the Cascaded Model [18], DSTMD [19], and STMD Plus [20], have been developed to discriminate small moving targets against complex backgrounds. However, these models are all sensitive to contrast of small targets and perform poorly in complex natural environments, where small target always exhibit extremely low contrast against their neighboring backgrounds.

### B. Attention Mechanism

The attention mechanism is fundamentally important for animals to forage, avoid predators, and search for mates. It focuses limited computation resources on parts of the visual field [21]. For example, bumblebees are able to select flowers of particular colors, while ignoring differently colored distractors during visual searches [39]; Drosophila selectively fixate on the most salient one in the swarms of prey and conspecifics that display different contrast against complex background [40]; and fiddler crabs adjust their escape behavior and selectively suppress neural responses to less dangerous predators when confronted with multiple threats in order to minimize the combined risk [23].

The attention mechanism has been commonly employed in computer modeling tasks, such as image classification [41], visual question answering [42], natural language processing [43], and image captioning [44]. It boosts model performance by adaptively choosing a sequence of regions for fine processing. However, it has not been utilized in artificial visual systems to detect small moving targets against complex natural backgrounds. Moreover, the interaction of attention with prediction mechanisms for small target motion detection has not been investigated in depth.

### C. Prediction Mechanism

The prediction mechanism plays a significant role in the visual systems of insects by anticipating future positions of prey and mates, and also contributing to path planning during rapid pursuit [45]. Recent research [24] reveals that prediction process is able to enhance localized sensitivity to a small target ahead of its motion path, while exhibiting suppression elsewhere. Furthermore, when the target is occluded or abruptly disappears, the localized sensitivity will move forward and gradually weaken over time.

The ability to model the prediction mechanisms of animals and use them to understand object motion in a complex environment is extremely valuable for a wide range of applications. For example, reliably predicting the motions of surround

objects (e.g., vehicles, pedestrians, and cyclists) is a key requirement in the development of safe advanced autonomous driving technology [46]; keeping track of current and future motion states of people is critical for socially aware robots to avoid collision in populated environments [47]; and nursing-care assistant robots should be able to automatically anticipate human intentions by their actions to improve coordination and functionality [48]. However, little work has been done on modeling prediction mechanisms to anticipate small target motion against complex natural backgrounds.

## III. ATTENTION AND PREDICTION-GUIDED VISUAL SYSTEM

The proposed visual system is composed of five subsystems, including three modules (preprocessing, attention, prediction), an STMD-based neural network, and a memorizer, as illustrated in Fig. 2. Once an image is received at time  $t$ , it is first smoothed by the preprocessing module, then applied to the attention module to determine several potential areas based on the prediction map from the memorizer. In each area, potential small targets are selected by convolution with the attention kernels and their contrast to background is enhanced by addition of the convolutional outputs. The contrast-enhanced image is fed into the STMD-based neural network for discriminating small moving targets from a complex background. In the prediction module, futures positions of the detected targets at time  $t + \Delta t$  are anticipated by convolution with the prediction kernels, then merged into a prediction map that is stored in the memorizer for the next input image. We introduce a network architecture of the proposed visual system in Section III-A, then describe its components in Sections III-B–III-E.

### A. Network Architecture of the Proposed Visual System

To realize the functions in Fig. 2, a number of specialized neurons are coordinated in the proposed visual system whose network architecture is shown in Fig. 3. As can be seen, the proposed visual system is composed of four neural layers, including: 1) retina; 2) lamina; 3) medulla; and 4) lobula [49], where an attention and a prediction mechanisms are implemented on the outputs of the retina and lobula, respectively. Specifically, ommatidia [50] capture and preprocess visual information from the entire scene, then the attention is allocated to parts of the visual scene to enhance signals of potential small targets. The enhanced signals are applied to large monopolar cells (LMCs) [51], further parallelly processed by four medulla neurons (i.e., Tm1, Tm2, Tm3, and Mi1) [52], finally integrated in the STMDs to detect small target motion within complex natural environments. Future positions of the detected targets are predicted and then fed back to the attentive process.

### B. Preprocessing Module

The functionalities of the preprocessing module is implemented by numerous ommatidia located in the retina layer, as depicted in Fig. 3. To receive an entire image as model input, the preprocessing module first arranges ommatidia in matrix form. Then, the luminance of each pixel is captured




Fig. 2. Overall flowchart of the proposed attention and prediction-guided visual system. It consists of a preprocessing module (left), an attention module (top), an STMD-based neural network (right), a prediction module (bottom), and a memorizer (middle).




Fig. 3. Network architecture of the proposed attention and prediction-guided visual system. Each neuron is represented by a colored circular node.

by each ommatidium whose sensitivity function is modelled as a Gaussian kernel [53]. Formally, we represent an input image by  $I(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $(x, y)$  is spatial coordinates while  $t$  denotes time. Given a Gaussian kernel with standard deviation  $\sigma_1$

$$G_{\sigma_1}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1^2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right) \quad (1)$$

then the output of an ommatidium  $P(x, y, t)$  is defined as

$$P(x, y, t) = \iint I(u, v, t) G_{\sigma_1}(x - u, y - v) du dv. \quad (2)$$

### C. Attention Module

As can be seen from Fig. 2, a smoothed image  $P(x, y, t)$  and a prediction map  $M(x, y, t - \Delta t)$  form the inputs of the attention module. The prediction map is initialized to zero and




Fig. 4. (a) Three-dimensional and (b) planar representations of an attention kernel  $W_a(x, y, \xi, \theta)$ , where  $\xi = 3.0$  and  $\theta = \pi/4$ .

updated recursively in the prediction module. A set of potential areas denoted as  $\{\Omega_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$  is first determined by comparing  $M(x, y, t - \Delta t)$  with a preset threshold. To search for potential small targets in each area  $\Omega_i$ , the attention module convolves  $\Omega_i$  with a family of attention kernels. Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Theta$  denote sets of scale and orientation, respectively, then an attention kernel is defined as

$$W_a(x, y, \xi, \theta) = 2 \frac{\xi^2 - (x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta)^2}{\pi \xi^4} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\xi^2}\right) \quad (3)$$

where scale  $\xi \in \Sigma$  and orientation  $\theta \in \Theta$ . As shown in Fig. 4, the attention kernel measures the luminance difference between the central part and surrounding areas on both sides along the orientation  $\theta$ . Since a small target always displays a speckle-like structure in an image whose luminance is higher or lower than that of its surrounding background, a significant response will appear at the target position after the convolution with an attention kernel. To suppress nonspeckle structures,

such as lines, edges, and corners, we select the minimal convolution output by varying kernel orientation  $\theta$  for each scale  $\varsigma$ , then obtain the maximal output among all the scales [54], that is

$$A_i(x, y, t) = \max_{\varsigma \in \Sigma} \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \iint_{\Omega_i} P(u, v, t) \times W_a(x - u, y - v, \varsigma, \theta) du dv \quad (4)$$

where  $A_i(x, y, t)$  denotes the response of the attention module in the local area  $\Omega_i$ . To enhance the contrast of potential targets against their surrounding backgrounds, we add  $A_i(x, y, t)$  with the smoothed image  $P(x, y, t)$ , that is

$$P_e(x, y, t) = P(x, y, t) + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(x, y, t) \quad (5)$$

where  $P_e(x, y, t)$  denotes the contrast-enhanced image,  $\alpha$  is a constant, and  $N$  is the number of the local areas  $\Omega_i$ .

#### D. STMD-Based Neural Network

The STMD-based neural network consists of three sequentially arranged neural layers, including: 1) lamina; 2) medulla; and 3) lobula, as shown in Fig. 3. To detect small moving targets against complex natural background, the contrast-enhanced image  $P_e(x, y, t)$  from the attention module is processed by the LMCs, medulla neurons, and STMDs in a feedforward manner.

1) *Large Monopolar Cells*: Luminance of a pixel will change over time when an object passes through it. To measure temporal changes in luminance of each pixel, we model the LMC as a band-pass filter in the time domain (Fig. 5). Considering excellent temporally processing features of Gamma kernel [55], we adopt the difference of two Gamma kernels as the impulse response of the temporal filter  $H(t)$

$$H(t) = \Gamma_{n_1, \tau_1}(t) - \Gamma_{n_2, \tau_2}(t) \quad (6)$$

$$\Gamma_{n, \tau}(t) = (nt)^n \frac{\exp(-nt/\tau)}{(n-1)! \cdot \tau^{n+1}} \quad (7)$$

where  $\Gamma_{n, \tau}(t)$  represents a Gamma kernel and its temporal response characteristics are completely determined by order  $n$  and time constant  $\tau$ . The output of an LMC is given by convolution of  $H(t)$  with the contrast-enhanced image  $P_e(x, y, t)$

$$L(x, y, t) = \int P_e(x, y, s) H(t-s) ds \quad (8)$$

where  $L(x, y, t)$  denotes the output of an LMC corresponding to pixel  $(x, y)$  at time  $t$ . Note that  $L(x, y, t)$  discloses the changes in luminance at pixel  $(x, y)$  with respect to time  $t$ . Specifically, a positive output means an increase in luminance whereas a negative one reflects a decrease in luminance.

2) *Medulla Neurons*: Four medulla neurons, including: 1) Tm1; 2) Tm2; 3) Tm3; and 4) Mi1, are connected to a single LMC and process the output of the LMC  $L(x, y, t)$  in parallel, as can be seen from Fig. 3. More precisely, Tm3 serves as a half-wave rectifier to allow the positive part of  $L(x, y, t)$  while blocking the negative part; in contrast, the Tm2 allows the negative part and blocks the positive part. Let




Fig. 5. Schematic of the STMD-based neural network. At each time step, it receives an entire contrast-enhanced image from the attention module as the network input which is processed by the LMCs, medulla neurons, and STMDs sequentially. Note that only an STMD and its presynaptic neurons are presented here for clarity, but they are all arranged in a matrix form.

$S^{\text{Tm}3}(x, y, t)$  and  $S^{\text{Tm}2}(x, y, t)$  denote the output of the Tm3 and Tm2, respectively, then they can be formulated as

$$S^{\text{Tm}3}(x, y, t) = [L(x, y, t)]^+ \quad (9)$$

$$S^{\text{Tm}2}(x, y, t) = [-L(x, y, t)]^+ \quad (10)$$

where  $[x]^+$  refers to  $\max(x, 0)$ . As shown in Fig. 5, the Mi1 and Tm1 neurons serve as half-wave rectifiers followed with a time-delay unit (TDU) where the temporal delay is implemented by convolution with a Gamma kernel. Denote the outputs of the Mi1 and Tm1 as  $S_{(n, \tau)}^{\text{Mi}1}(x, y, t)$  and  $S_{(n, \tau)}^{\text{Tm}1}(x, y, t)$ , then they can be described as

$$S_{(n, \tau)}^{\text{Mi}1}(x, y, t) = \int [L(x, y, s)]^+ \cdot \Gamma_{n, \tau}(t-s) ds \quad (11)$$

$$S_{(n, \tau)}^{\text{Tm}1}(x, y, t) = \int [-L(x, y, s)]^+ \cdot \Gamma_{n, \tau}(t-s) ds \quad (12)$$

where order  $n$  and time constant  $\tau$  of Gamma kernel  $\Gamma_{n, \tau}(t)$  control the time-delay order and length, respectively.

3) *Small Target Motion Detectors*: Medulla neurons at two different pixels provide inputs to an STMD neuron, as illustrated in Fig. 5. The two pixels denoted by  $(x, y)$  and  $(x'(\theta), y'(\theta))$ , respectively, are formulated as

$$\begin{aligned} x'(\theta) &= x + \gamma \cdot \cos \theta \\ y'(\theta) &= y + \gamma \cdot \sin \theta \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $\theta$  represents the preferred direction of the STMD and  $\gamma$  denotes a constant. Note that when an object moves




Fig. 6. Normalized outputs of the STMD neuron to a small target at pixel  $(x_0, y_0)$  and time  $t_0$  along eight preferred directions  $\theta \in \{0, (\pi/4), (\pi/2), (3\pi/4), \pi, (5\pi/4), (3\pi/2), (7\pi/4)\}$ . The red arrow represents the object's motion direction.

from pixel  $(x, y)$  to  $(x'(\theta), y'(\theta))$ , it will induce increase and decrease in luminance of the two pixels. The luminance-change information have been captured by the LMCs, and further separated into increasing and decreasing components by the four medulla neurons. To produce a significant response to the moving object, the STMD first aligns these luminance-increase and luminance-decrease signals correctly in the time domain, and then multiplies the temporally aligned signals together [19], that is

$$D(x, y, t, \theta) = S^{\text{Tm}3}(x, y, t) \times \left\{ S_{(n_4, \tau_4)}^{\text{Tm}1}(x, y, t) + S_{(n_3, \tau_3)}^{\text{Mi}1}(x'(\theta), y'(\theta), t) \right\} \times S_{(n_5, \tau_5)}^{\text{Tm}1}(x'(\theta), y'(\theta), t) \quad (14)$$

where  $D(x, y, t, \theta)$  represents the output of the STMD with a preferred direction  $\theta$ , the time constants, that is,  $\tau_3$ ,  $\tau_4$ , and  $\tau_5$ , are determined by time intervals between the luminance-increase and decrease signals of the two pixels, and the orders, that is,  $n_3$ ,  $n_4$ , and  $n_5$ , control the shapes of signals after temporal alignment.

The correlation output  $D(x, y, t, \theta)$  is further convolved with two inhibition kernels, including  $W_s(x, y)$  in the spatial domain for suppressing responses to large moving objects, and  $W_d(\theta)$  in the direction domain for inhibiting responses of more than  $45^\circ$  apart, which are defined as

$$W_s(x, y) = A \cdot [g(x, y)]^+ + B \cdot [g(x, y)]^- \quad (15)$$

$$g(x, y) = G_{\sigma_2}(x, y) - e \cdot G_{\sigma_3}(x, y) - \rho \quad (16)$$

$$W_d(\theta) = G_{\sigma_4}(\theta) - G_{\sigma_5}(\theta) \quad (17)$$

where  $[x]^+$  and  $[x]^-$  refer to  $\max(x, 0)$  and  $\min(x, 0)$ , respectively, and  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $e$ , and  $\rho$  are constant. The output of the STMD after the inhibition  $E(x, y, t, \theta)$  is described as

$$E(x, y, t, \theta) = \iiint D(u, v, t, \psi) \cdot W_s(x - u, y - v) \cdot W_d(\theta - \psi) du dv d\psi. \quad (18)$$

Fig. 6 shows  $E(x, y, t, \theta)$  at pixel  $(x_0, y_0)$  and time  $t_0$  along eight preferred directions  $\theta$ . As can be seen,  $E(x, y, t, \theta)$  is directionally selective. Specifically, the strongest response appears at the motion direction of the small target, that is,  $\theta = 0$ . When the preferred direction deviates from  $\theta = 0$ , the neural output decreases significantly and equates zero at  $\theta = \pi$  opposite to the motion direction. In addition,




Fig. 7. Normalized outputs of the STMD neuron to a small target with different velocities.  $[v_{\min}, v_{\max}]$  and  $v_{\min}$  denote the preferred velocity range and the optimal velocity of the STMD, respectively.

$E(x, y, t, \theta)$  exhibits strong velocity selectivity, as illustrated in Fig. 7. Specifically, the STMD responds to small targets with velocities in a specific range denoted by  $[v_{\min}, v_{\max}]$ , and its output peaks at an optimal velocity denoted by  $v_{\text{opt}}$ . Note that direction and velocity selectivities have been found in real STMD neurons [14].

To determine locations and motion directions of small targets, we compare  $E(x, y, t, \theta)$  with a threshold  $\delta$ . Specifically, if  $E(x, y, t, \theta) > \delta$ , then we consider  $(x, y, t, \theta)$  as a positive detection which means a small target that moves along direction  $\theta$  is detected at pixel  $(x, y)$  and time  $t$ . However,  $E(x, y, t, \theta)$  may contain a number of false positives induced by small-target-like features in complex backgrounds. To eliminate these false positives, we adopt the method proposed in [20]. Specifically, true positives are distinguished from false positives by comparing variation amount of directional contrast on their motion traces (represented by standard deviation). If directional contrast on the motion trace of a detected object varies significantly with time, then we believe that the detected object is a true positive; otherwise, it is a false positive.

### E. Prediction Module

The spatial coordinates  $(x_t, y_t)$  and motion directions  $\theta_t$  of the small targets at time  $t$  are obtained by the STMD-based neural network, then fed into the prediction module to anticipate their future positions. Let  $(x_{t+\Delta t}, y_{t+\Delta t})$  denote the position of a small target at time  $t + \Delta t$ , then it can be described as

$$(x_{t+\Delta t}, y_{t+\Delta t}) = (x_t, y_t) + v_t(\cos(\theta_t + \omega_t), \sin(\theta_t + \omega_t))\Delta t + \varepsilon_t(\cos(\theta_t + \omega_t), \sin(\theta_t + \omega_t))\Delta t \quad (19)$$

where  $v_t$  denotes the velocity of the small target at time  $t$ , and  $\varepsilon_t$  and  $\omega_t$  represent deviations of the velocity and motion direction over the time period  $\Delta t$ , respectively. Note that the STMD is selective to object velocity (see Fig. 7), so  $v_t$  is in the preferred velocity range of the STMD, that is,  $v_{\min} \leq v_t \leq v_{\max}$ . Moreover, if the velocity and motion direction of the small target have not significant changes over the period  $\Delta t$ , that is,  $\varepsilon_t$  and  $\omega_t$  are all equal to low values in (19), the small target would appear in a ring area with a high probability at time  $t + \Delta t$ , as depicted in Fig. 8.




Fig. 8. Potential positions of a small target at time  $t + \Delta t$  where the origin coordinates are  $(x_t, y_t)$  and  $\theta$  denotes the motion direction. The red-ring area represents the positions where the small target would appear with a high probability.




Fig. 9. (a) Three-dimensional and (b) planar representations of a prediction kernel  $W_p(x, y, \theta)$  where  $\theta = 0$ .

Based on the above observation, we define a set of prediction kernels  $W_p(x, y, \theta)$  with various orientations  $\theta$  as

$$W_p(x, y, \theta) = \lambda \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(x - v_{\text{opt}} \cos \varphi \Delta t)^2}{2\zeta^2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(y - v_{\text{opt}} \sin \varphi \Delta t)^2}{2\zeta^2}\right) \cdot \exp(\eta \cos(\varphi - \theta)) \quad (20)$$

where  $v_{\text{opt}}$  stands for the optimal velocity of the STMD,  $\varphi$  denotes the angle between the vector  $(x, y)$  and the positive direction of the  $x$ -axis,  $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$ ,  $\lambda$  represents normalization factor, and  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$  are constant. As can be seen from Fig. 9, the shape of  $W_p(x, y, \theta)$  is similar to that of the future positions in Fig. 8, which displays as a ring structure. In addition, the value of  $W_p(x, y, \theta)$  reveals the probability of a small target appearing at pixel  $(x, y)$ . We further define the predictive gain of the STMD  $F(x, y, t, \theta)$  by

$$F(x, y, t, \theta) = \iint \{\mu E(u, v, t, \theta) + (1 - \mu) F(u, v, t - \Delta t, \theta)\} \cdot W_p(x - u, y - v, \theta) du dv \quad (21)$$

where  $E(x, y, t, \theta)$  is the output of the STMD at time  $t$ ,  $F(x, y, t - \Delta t, \theta)$  denotes the predictive gain at time  $t - \Delta t$ ,  $\mu$  is constant, and  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ . To generate a prediction map, we integrate the predictive gain  $F(x, y, t, \theta)$  in the direction

domain, that is

$$M(x, y, t) = \int F(x, y, t, \theta) d\theta \quad (22)$$

where  $M(x, y, t)$  denotes the prediction map at time  $t$  which anticipates the locations of small targets at  $t + \Delta t$ . The predictive process is able to facilitate responses of the STMD [24], so we define the facilitated STMD output  $Q(x, y, t, \theta)$  by summing the STMD neural output with the previous prediction gains, that is

$$Q(x, y, t, \theta) = E(x, y, t, \theta) + \beta \cdot \int_{t - \Delta t}^t e^{\kappa \cdot (t - s - \Delta t)} \cdot F(x, y, s, \theta) ds \quad (23)$$

where  $\beta$  and  $\kappa$  are constant.

#### F. Memorizer

As shown in Fig. 2, the memorizer collects prediction maps  $M(x, y, t)$  from the prediction module. Let  $\{M(x, y, t_c) | t_c \in [0, t_c]\}$  denote the set of the prediction maps where  $t_c$  stands for the current time step. For a new input image at time  $t_c + \Delta t$ , the memorizer provide the prediction map  $M(x, y, t_c)$  to the attention module for determining potential areas.

## IV. EXPERIMENTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. Experimental Setup

1) *Datasets:* We used a simulated dataset (Vision Egg) [56] and a real-world dataset (RIST) [57] to evaluate the proposed model (apg-STMD) on a small target motion detection task. The Vision Egg dataset covers a wide variety of synthetic small targets exhibiting a range of luminance, velocity, and size, moving against complex backgrounds. Each synthetic video contains one or multiple small target motions, whose resolution and sampling frequency equate to  $500 \times 250$  pixels and 1000 Hz, respectively. The RIST dataset contains 19 videos captured in the wild using an action camera (GoPro Hero 6) with a resolution  $480 \times 270$  pixels at 240 fps. High sampling rate is set to ensure that captured images are blur-free and every critical moment of object motion is recorded. The scenarios of recorded videos cover various challenges, such as highly complex dynamic backgrounds, low-contrast targets, illumination variations, bad weather conditions, and sudden background movements. Each video holds a small moving target whose size ranges between  $3 \times 3$  and  $15 \times 15$  pixels.

2) *Implementation Details:* For given preferred velocity and size ranges of small targets, parameters of the STMD-based neural network are determined by the previous analysis [19]. Parameters  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$  that control the shape of the prediction kernel are properly tuned based on the preferred velocity range to ensure that the ring area of the prediction kernel can completely cover potential positions of a small target with preferred velocity. Scales of the attention kernel  $\Sigma$  are determined by the preferred size range to ensure that small targets with optimal size can obtain the largest response after convolution. Multiple STMDs with different preferred velocity and size ranges could be coordinated to detect small objects with unknown velocities and sizes. Other parameters

TABLE I  
PARAMETERS OF THE PROPOSED PAG-STMD MODEL

Eq.	Parameters
(2)	$\sigma_1 = 1$
(3)	$\Sigma = \{2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5\}$ , $\Theta = \{0, \pi/4, \pi/2, 3\pi/4\}$
(6)	$n_1 = 2, \tau_1 = 3, n_2 = 6, \tau_2 = 9$
(13)	$\gamma = 3$
(14)	$n_3 = 3, \tau_3 = 15, n_4 = 5, \tau_4 = 25, n_5 = 8, \tau_5 = 40$
(15)	$A = 1, B = 3.5$
(16)	$\sigma_2 = 1.25, \sigma_3 = 2.5, e = 1.2, \rho = 0$
(17)	$\sigma_4 = 1.5, \sigma_5 = 3$
(20)	$\zeta = 2, \eta = 2.5$
(23)	$\kappa = 0.02$

have been set experimentally, but most remained identical for all test image sequences. The parameter settings for the experimental results are listed in Table I. All experiments are tested on MATLAB software platform under a machine that equips with Intel-i7 2.4-GHz CPU, 16-GB memory.

### B. Response Properties of the STMD

To reveal response properties of the STMD-based neural network, we report its outputs  $E(x, y, t, \theta)$  to a moving object with different Weber contrast, velocities, widths, and heights. For an object whose size equates to  $w \times h$  pixels, we define its neighboring area as a rectangle with size of  $(w+2d) \times (h+2d)$  pixels where  $d$  is set to 10 pixels [19], [20]. The Weber contrast can then be given by

$$\text{Weber contrast} = \frac{|\mu_t - \mu_b|}{255} \quad (24)$$

where  $\mu_t$  and  $\mu_b$  denote the average pixel intensity of the object and its neighboring area, respectively. The four parameters of the object, that is: 1) Weber contrast; 2) velocity; 3) width; and 4) height, are initialized to 1, 250 pixels/s, 5 pixels, and 5 pixels, respectively. Four experiments are conducted to analyze the STMD outputs with respect to different target parameters, each of which involves changing one of the parameters while maintaining the other three at their initial values. The recorded outputs to a moving target against white background are shown in Fig. 10.

As observed from Fig. 10(a), the increase in Weber contrast of the object leads to the increase in the STMD output, where the strongest response is reached at Weber contrast = 1. In Fig. 10(b), we can find that the output of the STMD is larger than 0 in the interval [100, 800] pixels/s and reaches its maximum at 250 pixels/s, which correspond to the preferred velocity range and optimal velocity of the STMD, respectively. Fig. 10(c) and (d) presents the outputs of the STMD to objects with different widths and heights. As can be seen, the STMD responds to objects with widths and heights lower than 18 and 13 pixels, respectively. In addition, its output peaks at width = 5 pixels and height= 5 pixels.

Fig. 10(a)–(d) provides a good fit to the response properties of the STMD neurons revealed in biological research [14]–[16], which means the proposed STMD model




Fig. 10. Outputs of the STMD to a moving object against white background with respect to (a) different Weber contrast, (b) velocities, (c) widths, and (d) heights.




Fig. 11. Input image at time  $t_0 = 760$  ms where two small targets A and B are moving against the complex background. The background velocity is set as 250 pixels/s, and arrow  $V_B$  denotes its motion direction. The tree is considered as a large object moving with the background at the same velocity.

displays contrast sensitivity, velocity, width, and height selectivities, respectively.

### C. Effectiveness of the Attention Module

As described in Section III-C, we design an attention module to overcome the heavy dependence of the STMD-based neural network on target contrast against complex background. To validate its effectiveness, we conduct a performance comparison between the STMD-based neural networks with and without an attention module. Fig. 11 shows the input image  $I(x, y, t)$  at time  $t = 760$  ms. As can be seen, two small targets are moving against the complex background, where target A shows much lower contrast against its surrounding background compared to target B. In addition, target A has relative movement to the background, whereas target B remains static relative to the background. To clearly illustrate signal processing, we observe the input signal  $I(x, y_0, t_0)$  with respect to  $x$  by setting  $y_0 = 190$  pixels in Fig. 12(a), and then analyze its resulting neural outputs with and without the attention module in Figs. 12(b) and (c), and 13.

Fig. 12(b) shows the outputs of the ommatidium with and without the attention module. As can be seen, the ommatidium




Fig. 12. (a) Input luminance signal  $I(x, y_0, t_0)$  where  $y_0 = 190$  pixels and  $t_0 = 760$  ms. Comparison of (b) Ommatidium outputs  $P(x, y_0, t_0)$  and (c) LMC output  $L(x, y_0, t_0)$  with and without the attention module.

smooths the input luminance signal by applying Gaussian blur. The attention module further enhances the contrast of the small target  $A$  against the surrounding background by adding its convolution output to the smoothed input signal. In comparison, target  $B$  does not receive attention, because it remains static relative to the background and is regarded as a part of the background. As shown in Fig. 12(c), the LMC computes changes of luminance over time for each pixel. Its positive output reflects the increase in luminance while the negative output indicates the decrease in luminance. Since the contrast of the small target  $A$  has been strengthened, it induces much more significant luminance changes with respect to time.

Fig. 13(a) illustrates four medulla neural outputs with and without the attention module. The medulla neural outputs are derived from either positive or negative components of the output of the LMC, so the effect of the attention module on medulla neurons will be consistent with that on the LMCs in Fig. 12(c). As can be seen, the medulla neural outputs at the position of the target  $A$  are all strengthened by the attention module, whereas the neural outputs at other positions remain unchanged. These four medulla neural outputs that have been aligned in the time domain by time delay and spatial shift are multiplied together to define the STMD output. Fig. 13(b) shows the maximal output of the STMD over the direction  $\theta$ , that is,  $\max_{\theta} E(x, y_0, t_0, \theta)$ . It can be observed that the attention module significantly enhances the STMD response to the low-contrast target  $A$ , while maintaining response to




Fig. 13. Comparison of (a) medulla neural outputs and (b) STMD output  $E(x, y_0, t_0)$  with and without the attention module.

the high-contrast target  $B$  that moves relatively static to the background. Note that the STMD-based neural networks with and without the attention module all exhibit no response to the large objects, that is, the tree.

#### D. Facilitation Effect of the Prediction Module

Predictive mechanism is able to boost the STMD neural responses, enhance contrast sensitivity and direction selectivity, and facilitate the pursuit of occluded objects, as revealed in biological research [24]. To validate the above facilitation effect of the proposed prediction module, we conduct four experiments, which are reported in the following sections.

1) *Facilitation in Neural Responses:* We initially compare the STMD neural outputs with and without facilitation where the unfacilitated output  $E(x, y, t, \theta)$  and the facilitated output  $Q(x, y, t, \theta)$  are defined by (18) and (23), respectively. As depicted in Fig. 14, the unfacilitated STMD response builds up rapidly to its peak over 7–8 ms. However, the facilitated response shows a slow build-up lasting roughly 50 ms before reaching its maximum which is about twice as strong as that of the unfacilitated response. Fig. 15 shows the STMD responses with and without facilitation over the spatial domain.




Fig. 14. Unfacilitated and facilitated STMD neural responses to a small target at a pixel with respect to time.




Fig. 15. Planar representations of (a) unfacilitated and (b) facilitated STMD neural responses to a small target where direction  $\theta$  and time  $t$  are equal to  $\theta = 0$  and  $t = 320$  ms, respectively.




Fig. 16. (a) and (b) Schematics of the Primer & Probe test for validation of facilitatory effect in contrast sensitivity and direction selectivity, respectively.

Compared to the unfacilitated response, the prediction module enhances the local STMD responses in a broad region ahead of the target motion direction.

**2) Facilitation in Contrast Sensitivity:** To validate the facilitatory effect in contrast sensitivity, we conduct a Primer & Probe experiment as shown in Fig. 16(a). Specifically, the input video displays a small target moving along a long path which are divided into two components, called: 1) the primer and 2) the probe. The primer segment is used to induce spatial facilitation, while the second segment, the probe, is used to record model outputs. The experiment involves changing the Weber contrast of the primer and probe, respectively, and then recording the STMD outputs with and without facilitation to the probe. As depicted in Fig. 17, the STMD output without facilitation remains unchanged when the contrast of the primer increases. However, for any given contrast of the probe, the STMD output with facilitation shows a significant increase with the growth of the contrast of the primer.




Fig. 17. (a) Unfacilitated and (b) facilitated STMD outputs to the probe with different Weber contrast, preceded by varying-contrast primer.




Fig. 18. Unfacilitated and facilitated STMD outputs to the probes moving along eight directions  $\theta \in \{0, (\pi/4), (\pi/2), (3\pi/4), \pi, (5\pi/4), (3\pi/2), (7\pi/4)\}$  when the motion direction of the primer is set to (a) 0, (b)  $(\pi/4)$ , (c)  $(\pi/2)$ , and (d)  $(3\pi/4)$ , respectively.

**3) Facilitation in Direction Selectivity:** To validate the facilitatory effect in direction selectivity, we conduct another Primer & Probe experiment as shown in Fig. 16(b). This involves fixing the Weber contrast of the primer and probe, then changing the angular offset between the primer path and probe path, and finally recording the STMD outputs with and without facilitation to the probe. As can be seen from Fig. 18, the motion of the primer shows little effect on the STMD responses without facilitation to the probe. More precisely, the unfacilitated STMD responses along eight directions are equal, regardless of the primer's motion direction. However, the prediction module facilitates the STMD response maximally in the motion direction of the primer. The direction tuning is also shifted to match the motion direction of the primer.

**4) Facilitation in Pursuit of Occluded Objects:** To validate the facilitatory effect of the prediction module in smooth pursuit of a moving target that is transiently occluded, we




Fig. 19. Representation of small target motion in the  $xy$  plane where the target is moving counterclockwise along the circular path of radius  $R$ . During the revolution, the target is occluded (gray thick line) where the angle of the occlusion is given by  $\theta$  and  $A$  denotes the end point of the occlusion.




Fig. 20. Planar representations of (a) unfacilitated and (b) facilitated STMD outputs summed on the circular path where the radius  $R$  and the occlusion angle  $\theta$  is set to 50 pixels and  $30^\circ$ , respectively. For better visualization, the square root of the output is displayed.

conduct an experiment shown in Fig. 19. As can be seen, the input video contains a small target with velocity of 250 pixels/s moving counterclockwise on a circular path of radius  $R$ . The target is occluded on part of the circular path where the occlusion angle is set as  $\theta$ .

Fig. 20 shows the summation of the unfacilitated and facilitated STMD outputs along the circular path where the radius  $R$  and the occlusion angle  $\theta$  are equal to 50 pixels and  $30^\circ$ , respectively. As can be seen, both the unfacilitated and facilitated STMD responses form a gaped circular path. However, the width of the circle path formed by the facilitated output is much wider than that of the unfacilitated output. In addition, the facilitated STMD output still spreads forward after the small target disappears. However, the unfacilitated output is close to 0 during the occlusion. We further reveal the relationship between the STMD output after the occlusion (pixel  $A$ ) and occlusion angle  $\theta$  and radius  $R$ , respectively. As shown in Fig. 21, the increase in occlusion angle  $\theta$  and radius  $R$  will induce the decrease in the STMD output propagated to pixel  $A$ , which means that the precision of prediction will decrease with the increase in the occlusion period.

#### E. Evaluation on Synthetic and Real-World Datasets

We compare the proposed model with three state-of-the-art small target motion detection methods, including: 1) DSTMD [19]; 2) ESTMD [17]; and 3) STMD Plus [20], on the synthetic and real-world datasets in terms of the receiver operating characteristics (ROCs) curve. The experimental results are reported in the supplementary material. The results demonstrate that the proposed model has greatly




Fig. 21. Outputs of the STMD with facilitation at pixel  $A$ , that is, the end point of the occlusion, with respect to (a) occlusion angle  $\theta$  and (b) radius  $R$ .

improved detection performance for small targets which exhibit extremely low contrast against the cluttered background. However, it fails to detect moving objects without any contrast to backgrounds. In such a case, human visual systems are also powerless to deal with object detection tasks.

In the insect visual system, multiple specialized neural circuits extract various cues simultaneously from a complex natural environment, such as color [58], depth information [59], and motion trajectories [60]. However, the contribution of these visual cues to motion detection and their circuit implementation is still unclear. As future work, multiple visual cues may be combined together to further improve the performance of the proposed visual system for small target motion detection.

## V. CONCLUSION

This article proposed an attention and prediction-guided visual system to detect small targets in complex natural environments. To mitigate the heavy dependency on target contrast against the background, the proposed visual system introduced an attention module, an STMD-based neural network, and a prediction module, which were arranged in a recurrent architecture. The attention module was designed to search for potential small targets in predicted areas over the input image and enhance their contrast to neighboring backgrounds. The STMD-based neural network was devised to take the contrast-enhanced image as input and detect small moving targets using both motion information and directional contrast. The prediction module was proposed to anticipate future positions of the detected small targets and generate a prediction for next time step. The proposed visual system significantly improved the performance for small target detection in a complex natural environment, where small targets always exhibit extremely low contrast. The study provided a robust solution for future autonomous systems to detect small targets timely and react appropriately.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Qiao, J. Chen, and X. Huang, "A survey of brain-inspired intelligent robots: Integration of vision, decision, motion control, and musculoskeletal systems," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, early access, Apr. 28, 2021, doi: [10.1109/TCYB.2021.3071312](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2021.3071312).

- [2] S. H. Semnani, A. H. J. de Ruiter, and H. H. T. Liu, "Force-based algorithm for motion planning of large agent," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 654–665, Jan. 2022, doi: [10.1109/TCYB.2020.2994122](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2020.2994122).
- [3] X. Yu *et al.*, "Bayesian estimation of human impedance and motion intention for human–robot collaboration," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 1822–1834, Apr. 2021.
- [4] *Skydio 2: BEST DRONE! My In-Depth Review (4K)*. Accessed: Aug. 11, 2020. [Online]. Available: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wphymrmtkj&list=FL\\_OHabe8rogCpinac5KHGYA&index=1](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wphymrmtkj&list=FL_OHabe8rogCpinac5KHGYA&index=1)
- [5] I. Saleemi and M. Shah, "Multiframe many–many point correspondence for vehicle tracking in high density wide area aerial videos," *Int. J. Comput. Vis.*, vol. 104, no. 2, pp. 198–219, Sep. 2013.
- [6] S. Javed, A. Mahmood, S. Al-Maadeed, T. Bouwmans, and S. K. Jung, "Moving object detection in complex scene using spatiotemporal structured-sparse RPCA," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 1007–1022, Feb. 2019.
- [7] D. Fortun, P. Bouthemy, and C. Kervrann, "Optical flow modeling and computation: A survey," *Comput. Vis. Image Understand.*, vol. 134, pp. 1–21, May 2015.
- [8] J. Redmon, S. Divvala, R. Girshick, and A. Farhadi, "You only look once: Unified, real-time object detection," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, Jun. 2016, pp. 779–788.
- [9] J. Tang, Y. Tian, P. Zhang, and X. Liu, "Multiview privileged support vector machines," *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 3463–3477, Aug. 2018.
- [10] J. Zhang *et al.*, "Evolutionary computation meets machine learning: A survey," *IEEE Comput. Intell. Mag.*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 68–75, Nov. 2011.
- [11] H. Wang, Q. Fu, H. Wang, P. Baxter, J. Peng, and S. Yue, "A bioinspired angular velocity decoding neural network model for visually guided flights," *Neural Netw.*, vol. 136, pp. 180–193, Apr. 2021.
- [12] X. Sun, S. Yue, and M. Mangan, "A decentralised neural model explaining optimal integration of navigational strategies in insects," *Elife*, vol. 9, Jun. 2020, Art. no. e54026.
- [13] Q. Fu, H. Wang, C. Hu, and S. Yue, "Towards computational models and applications of insect visual systems for motion perception: A review," *Artif. Life*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 263–311, 2019.
- [14] K. Nordström, P. D. Barnett, and D. C. O'Carroll, "Insect detection of small targets moving in visual clutter," *PLoS Biol.*, vol. 4, no. 3, p. e54, Feb. 2006.
- [15] P. D. Barnett, K. Nordström, and D. C. O'Carroll, "Retinotopic organization of small-field-target-detecting neurons in the insect visual system," *Current Biol.*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 569–578, Apr. 2007.
- [16] K. Nordström, "Neural specializations for small target detection in insects," *Current Opin. Neurobiol.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 272–278, Apr. 2012.
- [17] S. D. Wiederman, P. A. Shoemaker, and D. C. O'Carroll, "A model for the detection of moving targets in visual clutter inspired by insect physiology," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 3, no. 7, pp. 1–11, Jul. 2008.
- [18] S. D. Wiederman and D. C. O'Carroll, "Biologically inspired feature detection using cascaded correlations of off and on channels," *J. Artif. Intell. Soft Comput. Res.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 5–14, Dec. 2013.
- [19] H. Wang, J. Peng, and S. Yue, "A directionally selective small target motion detecting visual neural network in cluttered backgrounds," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 1541–1555, Apr. 2020.
- [20] H. Wang, J. Peng, X. Zheng, and S. Yue, "A robust visual system for small target motion detection against cluttered moving backgrounds," *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 839–853, Mar. 2020.
- [21] V. Nityananda, "Attention-like processes in insects," *Proc. Roy. Soc. B*, vol. 283, no. 1842, Nov. 2016, Art. no. 20161986.
- [22] E. Schröger, S. A. Kotz, and I. SanMiguel, "Bridging prediction and attention in current research on perception and action," *Brain Res.*, vol. 1626, pp. 1–13, Nov. 2015.
- [23] Z. M. Bagheri, C. G. Donohue, and J. M. Hemmi, "Evidence of predictive selective attention in fiddler crabs during escape in the natural environment," *J. Exp. Biol.*, vol. 223, no. 21, Nov. 2020, Art. no. jeb234963.
- [24] S. D. Wiederman, J. M. Fabian, J. R. Dunbier, and D. C. O'Carroll, "A predictive focus of gain modulation encodes target trajectories in insect vision," *Elife*, vol. 6, Jul. 2017, Art. no. e26478.
- [25] F. C. Rind *et al.*, "Two identified looming detectors in the locust: Ubiquitous lateral connections among their inputs contribute to selective responses to looming objects," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–16, Oct. 2016.
- [26] F. C. Rind and D. Bramwell, "Neural network based on the input organization of an identified neuron signaling impending collision," *J. Neurophysiol.*, vol. 75, no. 3, pp. 967–985, Mar. 1996.
- [27] M. S. Maisak *et al.*, "A directional tuning map of *drosophila* elementary motion detectors," *Nature*, vol. 500, no. 7461, pp. 212–216, Aug. 2013.
- [28] M. Perry, N. Konstantinides, F. Pinto-Teixeira, and C. Desplan, "Generation and evolution of neural cell types and circuits: Insights from the *drosophila* visual system," *Annu. Rev. Genet.*, vol. 51, pp. 501–527, Nov. 2017.
- [29] S. Yue and F. C. Rind, "Collision detection in complex dynamic scenes using an LGMD-based visual neural network with feature enhancement," *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 705–716, May 2006.
- [30] S. Yue and F. C. Rind, "Redundant neural vision systems-competing for collision recognition roles," *IEEE Trans. Auton. Mental Develop.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 173–186, Jun. 2013.
- [31] C. Hu, F. Arvin, C. Xiong, and S. Yue, "Bio-inspired embedded vision system for autonomous micro-robots: The lgmd case," *IEEE Trans. Cogn. Develop. Syst.*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 241–254, Sep. 2017.
- [32] Q. Fu, C. Hu, J. Peng, F. C. Rind, and S. Yue, "A robust collision perception visual neural network with specific selectivity to darker objects," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 50, no. 12, pp. 5074–5088, Dec. 2020.
- [33] J. Zhao, H. Wang, N. Bellotto, C. Hu, J. Peng, and S. Yue, "Enhancing LGMD's looming selectivity for UAV with spatial-temporal distributed presynaptic connections," *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, early access, Sep. 8, 2021, doi: [10.1109/TNNLS.2021.3106946](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2021.3106946).
- [34] L. Salt, D. Howard, G. Indiveri, and Y. Sandamirskaya, "Parameter optimization and learning in a spiking neural network for UAV obstacle avoidance targeting neuromorphic processors," *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, vol. 31, no. 9, pp. 3305–3318, Sep. 2020.
- [35] H. Eichner, M. Joesch, B. Schnell, D. F. Reiff, and A. Borst, "Internal structure of the fly elementary motion detector," *Neuron*, vol. 70, no. 6, pp. 1155–1164, Jun. 2011.
- [36] A. J. Cope, C. Sabo, K. Gurney, E. Vasilaki, and J. A. Marshall, "A model for an angular velocity-tuned motion detector accounting for deviations in the corridor-centering response of the bee," *PLoS Comput. Biol.*, vol. 12, no. 5, 2016, Art. no. e1004887.
- [37] O. J. Bertrand, J. P. Lindemann, and M. Egelhaaf, "A bio-inspired collision avoidance model based on spatial information derived from motion detectors leads to common routes," *PLoS Comput. Biol.*, vol. 11, no. 11, 2015, Art. no. e1004339.
- [38] J. M. Missler and F. A. Kamangar, "A neural network for pursuit tracking inspired by the fly visual system," *Neural Netw.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 463–480, 1995.
- [39] V. Nityananda and J. G. Pattrick, "Bumblebee visual search for multiple learned target types," *J. Exp. Biol.*, vol. 216, no. 22, pp. 4154–4160, Oct. 2013.
- [40] P. Sareen, R. Wolf, and M. Heisenberg, "Attracting the attention of a fly," *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, vol. 108, no. 17, pp. 7230–7235, Apr. 2011.
- [41] V. Mnih, N. Heess, A. Graves, and K. Kavukcuoglu, "Recurrent models of visual attention," in *Proc. Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.*, vol. 27, 2014, pp. 2204–2212.
- [42] Z. Yang, X. He, J. Gao, L. Deng, and A. J. Smola, "Stacked attention networks for image question answering," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, 2016, pp. 21–29.
- [43] A. Vaswani *et al.*, "Attention is all you need," in *Proc. Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.*, 2017, pp. 5989–6008.
- [44] L. Chen *et al.*, "SCA-CNN: Spatial and channel-wise attention in convolutional networks for image captioning," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, 2017, pp. 5659–5667.
- [45] M. Mischiati, H.-T. Lin, P. Herold, E. Imler, R. Olberg, and A. Leonardo, "Internal models direct dragonfly interception steering," *Nature*, vol. 517, no. 7534, pp. 333–338, Dec. 2015.
- [46] J. F. P. Kooij, F. Flohr, E. A. I. Pool, and D. M. Gavrila, "Context-based path prediction for targets with switching dynamics," *Int. J. Comput. Vis.*, vol. 127, no. 3, pp. 239–262, 2019.
- [47] M. Luber, J. A. Stork, G. D. Tipaldi, and K. O. Arras, "People tracking with human motion predictions from social forces," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Robot. Autom. (ICRA)*, 2010, pp. 464–469.
- [48] F. Stulp, J. Grizou, B. Busch, and M. Lopes, "Facilitating intention prediction for humans by optimizing robot motions," in *Proc. IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intell. Robots Syst. (IROS)*, 2015, pp. 1249–1255.
- [49] R. Behnia, D. A. Clark, A. G. Carter, T. R. Clandinin, and C. Desplan, "Processing properties of ON and OFF pathways for *drosophila* motion detection," *Nature*, vol. 512, no. 7515, p. 427, Aug. 2014.
- [50] E. J. Warrant, "The remarkable visual capacities of nocturnal insects: Vision at the limits with small eyes and tiny brains," *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. B*, vol. 372, no. 1717, Apr. 2017, Art. no. 20160063.

- [51] L. Freifeld, D. A. Clark, M. J. Schnitzer, M. A. Horowitz, and T. R. Clandinin, "GABAergic lateral interactions tune the early stages of visual processing in *drosophila*," *Neuron*, vol. 78, no. 6, pp. 1075–1089, Jun. 2013.
- [52] S.-Y. Takemura *et al.*, "A visual motion detection circuit suggested by drosophila connectomics," *Nature*, vol. 500, no. 7461, p. 175, Aug. 2013.
- [53] E. M. Caves, N. C. Brändley, and S. Johnsen, "Visual acuity and the evolution of signals," *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 358–372, May 2018.
- [54] G. Wang, C. Lopez-Molina, and B. De Baets, "Blob reconstruction using unilateral second order Gaussian kernels with application to high-ISO long-exposure image denoising," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Comput. Vis. (ICCV)*, Oct. 2017, pp. 4827–4835.
- [55] B. De Vries and J. C. Principe, "A theory for neural networks with time delays," in *Proc. NIPS*, 1990, pp. 162–168.
- [56] A. D. Straw, "Vision EGG: An open-source library for realtime visual stimulus generation," *Front. Neuroinf.*, vol. 2, p. 4, Nov. 2008.
- [57] "RIST data set." [Online]. Available: <https://sites.google.com/view/hongxinwang-personalsite/download> (Accessed: Apr. 6, 2020).
- [58] C. Schnaitmann, M. Pagni, and D. F. Reiff, "Color vision in insects: Insights from *drosophila*," *J. Comput. Physiol. A*, vol. 206, no. 2, pp. 183–198, Feb. 2020.
- [59] A. Schwegmann, J. P. Lindemann, and M. Egelhaaf, "Depth information in natural environments derived from optic flow by insect motion detection system: A model analysis," *Front. Comput. Neurosci.*, vol. 8, p. 83, Aug. 2014.
- [60] A. Gonsek, M. Jeschke, S. Rönnau, and O. J. N. Bertrand, "From paths to routes: A method for path classification," *Front. Behav. Neurosci.*, vol. 14, p. 274, Jan. 2021.



**Hongxin Wang** received the B.Sc. and M.Sc. degrees in mathematics and applied mathematics from Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, in 2013 and 2016, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in computer science from the University of Lincoln, Lincoln, U.K., in 2020.

He was a Research Assistant with the School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University and the Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, from 2015 to 2017. He is currently an Honorary Postdoctoral Research Fellow with the University of Lincoln and a Postdoctoral Research Fellow with Guangzhou University, Guangzhou, China. His current research interests include small target detection models, artificial intelligence, and computational neuroscience.

Dr. Wang was a recipient of the Marie Curie Fellowship, involved in the EU FP7 Projects EYE2E (269118) and LIVCODE (295151), and EU Horizon 2020 Projects STEP2DYNA (691154) and ULTRACEPT (778062).



**Jiannan Zhao** received the B.Eng. degree from the College of Optoelectronic Engineering, Chongqing University, Chongqing, China, in 2016, and the Ph.D. degree in computer science from the University of Lincoln, Lincoln, U.K., in 2021.

He was a Research Assistant with Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, from 2017 to 2018. He is currently an Assistant Professor with the School of Electrical Engineering, Guangxi University, Nanning, China. His current research interests include autonomous UAVs, bioinspired visual algorithms, and artificial intelligence.



currently works with the Northwest Institute of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Xianyang, China. His current research areas include image processing, visual neural modeling, and motion detection.

**Huatian Wang** received the B.Sc. and M.Sc. degrees in mathematics and applied mathematics from Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, in 2014 and 2017, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in computer science from the University of Lincoln, Lincoln, U.K., in 2021.

He was awarded the Marie Curie Fellowship as a Research Assistant to be involved in the EU FP7 Project LIVCODE (295151) in 2016 and the EU Horizon 2020 Projects STEP2DYNA (691154) in 2017 and ULTRACEPT (778062) in 2019. He currently works with the Northwest Institute of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Xianyang, China. His current research areas include image processing, visual neural modeling, and motion detection.



**Cheng Hu** received the B.Eng. degree from the College of Optoelectronic Engineering, Chongqing University, Chongqing, China, in 2013, and the Ph.D. degree from the University of Lincoln, Lincoln, U.K., in 2018.

He visited the Institute of Microelectronics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, as a Research Assistant, under the supervision of Prof. C. Zhang, and the Institute of Rehabilitation and Medical Robotics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, under the supervision of Prof. C. Xiong, in 2014. He is currently an Honorary Postdoctoral Research Fellow with the University of Lincoln and an Assistant Professor with Guangzhou University, Guangzhou, China. His current research interests include bioinspired algorithms, biorobotics, autonomous robots, sensors, and signal processing.

Dr. Hu was a recipient of the Marie Curie Fellowship, involved in the EU FP7 Projects EYE2E (269118) and LIVCODE (295151), and EU Horizon 2020 Projects STEP2DYNA (691154) and ULTRACEPT (778062).



applications, machine learning theory, and sparse information processing.

**Jigen Peng** received the B.Sc. degree from Jiangxi University, Nanchang, China, in 1989, and the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees from Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, in 1992 and 1998, respectively.

He was with Xi'an Jiaotong University as a Lecturer from 1992 to 1998, an Associate Professor from 1998 to 2002, and a Professor from 2002 to 2018. He is currently a Professor with the School of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou, China. His current research interests include nonlinear functional analysis and



**Shigang Yue** (Senior Member, IEEE) received the B.Eng. degree from the Qingdao University of Technology, Qingdao, China, in 1988, and the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees from the Beijing University of Technology (BJUT), Beijing, China, in 1993 and 1996, respectively.

He was with BJUT as a Lecturer from 1996 to 1998 and an Associate Professor from 1998 to 1999. He was an Alexander von Humboldt Research Fellow with the University of Kaiserslautern, Kaiserslautern, Germany, from 2000 to 2001. He is currently a Professor of Computer Science with the School of Computer Science, University of Lincoln, Lincoln, U.K. Before joining the University of Lincoln as a Senior Lecturer in 2007 and promoted to a Reader in 2010 and a Professor in 2012, he held research positions with the University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K.; Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, U.K.; and University College London, London, U.K., respectively. His current research interests include artificial intelligence, computer vision, robotics, brains and neuroscience, biological visual neural systems, evolution of neuronal subsystems, and their applications, e.g., in collision detection for vehicles, interactive systems, and robotics.

Dr. Yue is a member of the International Neural Network Society, International Society of Artificial Life, and International Symposium on Biomedical Engineering. He is the Founding Director of the Computational Intelligence Laboratory, Lincoln, and the Deputy Director of Lincoln Centre for Autonomous Systems, Lincoln. He is also a Co-Founding Director of the Machine Life and Intelligence Research Center, Guangzhou, China. He is the Coordinator for several EU FP7/Horizon 2020 projects.