



## Vaccines for emerging infectious diseases: Lessons from MERS coronavirus and Zika virus

Joel N. Maslow

To cite this article: Joel N. Maslow (2017) Vaccines for emerging infectious diseases: Lessons from MERS coronavirus and Zika virus, Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics, 13:12, 2918-2930, DOI: [10.1080/21645515.2017.1358325](https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2017.1358325)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2017.1358325>



© 2017 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis© Joel N. Maslow



Accepted author version posted online: 28 Aug 2017.  
Published online: 14 Nov 2017.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 1450



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



Citing articles: 11 View citing articles [↗](#)

RESEARCH PAPER



# Vaccines for emerging infectious diseases: Lessons from MERS coronavirus and Zika virus

Joel N. Maslow

GeneOne Life Science, Inc. Seoul, Korea

## ABSTRACT

The past decade and a half has been characterized by numerous emerging infectious diseases. With each new threat, there has been a call for rapid vaccine development. Pathogens such as the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and the Zika virus represent either new viral entities or viruses emergent in new geographic locales and characterized by novel complications. Both serve as paradigms for the global spread that can accompany new pathogens. In this paper, we review the epidemiology and pathogenesis of MERS-CoV and Zika virus with respect to vaccine development. The challenges in vaccine development and the approach to clinical trial design to test vaccine candidates for disease entities with a changing epidemiology are discussed.

## ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 28 March 2017

Revised 22 June 2017

Accepted 16 July 2017

## KEYWORDS

coronavirus; DNA vaccine; emerging infectious disease; epidemic; epidemiology; flavivirus; MERS-CoV; vaccine; Zika virus

## Introduction

The past decade and a half has been punctuated by multiple global infectious threats (Table 1). Epidemics of new influenza variants, novel coronaviruses and enteroviruses, new strains of Ebola virus, and the emergence of Zika virus and Chikungunya in regions of the world previously unaffected has created significant concerns in healthcare about minimizing the time from identification to disease control. Globalization of tourism and business have further complicated disease epidemiology that may have once been more localized but now poses greater potential for international spread.

The approach to emerging infectious disease (EID) mitigation differs based on the respective pathogen. For example, the recent H3N2 and H7N9 outbreaks were associated with porcine and avian exposure as a risk for infection. Additionally, introduction of a pathogen into new regions may alter the epidemiology of disease. Although Ebola virus outbreaks occurred sporadically since 1976, its appearance in the major population centers of West Africa resulted in a significant amplification of transmission not seen with the prior, geographically limited outbreaks. The Middle East Respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) represented a new viral entity, related to other minimally pathogenic coronaviruses but causing a highly lethal syndrome. And whereas, Zika virus had been recognized in African and East Asia for almost 6 decades, its emergence into the Western hemisphere and the recognition of heretofore unrecognized complications including congenital microcephaly and Guillain Barre Syndrome (GBS).

Vaccines are considered as a critical component of disease prevention for EIDs, especially since in some cases treatment options are limited or non-existent, or rapid clinical

deterioration may limit the effectiveness of therapeutics. However, for EID vaccine development the desire for rapid deployment of vaccines for newly emergent diseases is tempered by the realities of the life-cycle for drug development.

In this paper, we review the epidemiology and clinical presentation of MERS-CoV and Zika virus with regard to vaccine development. In particular, the challenges in clinical trial design of efficacy studies are considered and discussed - in particular for diseases that may be limited in scope and/or for which the epidemiology is changing in real-time.

## Middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV)

### Epidemiology and clinical presentation of MERS-CoV infection

In 2012, cases of a progressive pulmonary infection related to individuals who reside in or traveled to the Arabian Peninsula were determined as caused by a novel Group C,  $\beta$ -coronavirus MERS-CoV.<sup>1,2</sup> In contrast to the majority of human pathogenic coronaviruses that cause self-limited upper-respiratory illness, the mortality rate of early MERS-CoV cases was approximately 60%,<sup>3</sup> and has remained greater than 35% -approximating that seen during the West African Ebola virus outbreak. In contrast, the mortality rate during Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) epidemic was 10%.

Following an incubation period of about 1 week, MERS-CoV causes a rapidly progressive lower respiratory infection with a prodromal illness characterized by fever, cough, and mild shortness of breath. Clinical deterioration is typical leading to the need for intensive care and ventilator support

**Table 1.** Global viral epidemics since in the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

Pathogen	Year of onset	Mortality rate	Mode of Transmission	Unique aspects
SARS-CoV	2002	10%	Zoonotic, person to person	Palm civets and Gambian rats served as reservoirs
Influenza H5N1	2003	60%	Zoonotic, person to person	Chickens and fowl serve as reservoir
Influenza H1N1	2009	0.02%	Person to person	Obesity adults as novel risk group
Influenza N3N2	2010	Ongoing	Person to person	Middle age adults as novel risk group
MERS-CoV	2012	38%	Zoonotic, person to person, droplet	Camels serve as reservoir
Influenza H7N9	2013	29%	Person to person	
Chikungunya	2013 <sup>1</sup>	Rare	Arboviral	New outbreak in Americas
Ebola virus	2014 <sup>1</sup>	39%	Person to person	Worldwide dissemination of infected HCWs
Zika virus	2015 <sup>1</sup>	Rare	Arboviral	Microcephaly and other congenital defects

Abbreviations: HCW, healthcare worker; MERS-CoV, Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus; SARS-CoV, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus.

<sup>1</sup>Outbreaks of chikungunya virus and Zika virus are those that were documented in the Americas, the outbreak of Ebola virus was restricted to West Africa.

within days of presentation to hospital.<sup>4,5</sup> Complications of MERS-CoV include renal failure and cardiac arrhythmias.

The MERS-CoV epidemic has been punctuated by large healthcare associated<sup>6-9</sup> and dialysis unit<sup>10</sup> outbreaks. Person-to-person spread between family members, while documented,<sup>11</sup> represents a small minority of transmission events. Contact with camels is considered a significant risk for infection,<sup>12</sup> and while direct evidence of camel-to-human transmission has been reported<sup>13</sup> others have questioned the certainty of direct transmission suggested by this report.<sup>14</sup> For most cases, sources of infection are unknown.<sup>15</sup>

Humans have served as the vector for global spread of MERS. Cases across Europe, North America, and Asia have emanated from travel to Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Oman, the UAE, and Kuwait.<sup>3,16,17</sup> Secondary infections were infrequently reported in early travel-associated cases.<sup>5</sup> However, the global epidemic potential for MERS-CoV was exemplified by the fact that a businessman returning from the Middle East to Seoul Korea served as the index case for 185 subsequent cases of MERS-CoV with a 20% mortality rate despite early diagnosis and intensive supportive care. The latter outbreak was in large part due to a breakdown in basic infection control.<sup>9,18</sup> Further spread beyond the Arabian peninsula appears to have been avoided through active screening and quarantine of returning travelers.

### Zoonotic reservoirs of MERS-CoV and animal models of disease

Similar to SARS-CoV, a Group B  $\beta$  coronavirus, MERS-CoV is considered to be of bat origin. Phylogenetic analysis of the MERS-CoV ORF1 maps the MERS/EMC2012 strain to Group C node strains that includes the *Tylonycteris* bat

coronavirus HKU4 and the *Pipistrellus* bat coronavirus HKU5.<sup>19</sup> Analysis of samples taken from 96 bats in proximity to a MERS-CoV case in Saudi Arabia detected sequences that had 100% nucleotide identity to the RNA-dependent, RNA polymerase of the MERS-CoV EMC/2012 strain from fecal material for one animal.<sup>20</sup> MERS-CoV utilizes dipeptidyl dipeptidase 4 (DPP4) as its cell surface receptor.<sup>21</sup> However, while HKU4 and HKU5 are highly homologous to MERS-CoV, only HKU4 utilizes DPP4 for cell entry. Moreover, HKU4 prefers bat DPP4 over human DPP4 whereas the opposite is true for MERS-CoV.<sup>22,23</sup> Thus, whereas SARS-CoV utilizes the angiotensin converting enzyme receptor, conserved across mammalian species,<sup>24</sup> MERS-CoV binds to a genetic variant of DPP4 with analogs expressed only in humans, non-human primates, bats, and camelids.<sup>25,26</sup> The emergence of MERS-CoV as a novel human pathogen has 2 remaining mysteries. First, the genetic alterations that have allowed a virus such as HKU4 that causes a mild, self-limited upper respiratory infection to become a lower respiratory pathogen of high mortality is unknown. And second, since MERS-CoV inefficiently utilizes bat DPP4 for cell entry it should have limited ability to persist in this animal. As discussed below, camels are efficient carriers of MERS-CoV. Whether early transfer to camels occurred that provided the necessary reservoir and amplification is unknown.

For the large fraction of cases, camels serve as a primary source of infection. Greater than 90% of dromedary camels in the Arabian Peninsula<sup>27-30</sup> and North Africa<sup>31</sup> are seropositive or actively shedding virus - that suggests a high level of susceptibility to infection. Camels develop a self-limited upper respiratory infection marked by high viral excretion that can exceed 10<sup>7</sup> PFU/ml.<sup>32</sup> Other camelids can serve as natural hosts. Alpacas housed in proximity to camels have high seropositivity rates<sup>33</sup> demonstrating the opportunity for additional reservoirs of infection. The global trade in exotic animals such as palm civets served as the vector for transmission of SARS-CoV<sup>34</sup> and should provide caution regarding animal-related spread of MERS-CoV.

Phylogenetic species restriction of susceptibility to MERS-CoV infection has severely limited development of animal models of disease. Primates, including rhesus macaques and marmosets, transgenic mice expressing human DPP4, camelids, and rabbits have been assessed as potential animal models,<sup>35</sup> however, each model system has limitations. Rhesus macaques develop transient pulmonary infection and illness.<sup>36,37</sup> Whereas marmosets develop more severe illness following MERS-CoV infection,<sup>38</sup> some have questioned whether the observed pathology is related to experimental manipulation of this small mammal versus the effects of viral infection.<sup>39</sup> Transgenic mice that constitutively express human DPP4 develop lethal systemic infection, including central nervous system disease<sup>40-42</sup> whereas transgenic mice expressing human DPP4 driven from surfactant promoters<sup>43</sup> or transduced with adenoviral-associated vectors that express human DPP4<sup>44</sup> develop mild, transient disease. While camels and alpacas are natural hosts for MERS-CoV infection and have been used as disease models,<sup>45</sup> they develop a self-limited upper respiratory

infection different from human infection.<sup>32</sup> Moreover, there is considerable expense and difficulty of experimental models using large animals.

### Laboratory correlates and immunology of MERS-CoV infection

The magnitude of MERS-CoV viral load in nasopharyngeal secretions<sup>46</sup> and blood<sup>47</sup> has been directly correlated with higher mortality in some studies. The utility of upper respiratory samples is, however, not clear since MERS-CoV is a lower respiratory tract pathogen and the viral load in lower respiratory samples has minimal correlation to the risk of death.<sup>48</sup>

There remains a dearth of studies on the immunology of MERS-CoV infection, with even less information that compares cohorts of both MERS-CoV survivors and non-survivors, nor is there a significant literature regarding SARS-CoV immunology that may serve as a paradigm. For SARS-CoV, B cell immunity was shown to be short-lived with antibodies undetectable in up to 90% of survivors by 24 months<sup>49,50</sup> whereas in contrast, T-cell responses were long-lived and persistent to at least 6 y.<sup>49</sup> Importantly, mouse studies demonstrated that cytotoxic T-cell immunity against SARS-CoV was required for viral clearance and survival from lethal infection.<sup>51,52</sup>

The kinetics of the serologic response against MERS-CoV shows that binding and neutralizing antibodies appear at about day 10 of illness, reaching a peak a few days later.<sup>53</sup> A small Saudi Arabian study of 7 MERS-CoV survivors demonstrated persistence of neutralizing antibodies for almost 3 y.<sup>54</sup> The role of neutralizing antibodies in viral clearance is, however, not clear. A Korean study of 17 patients showed no clear difference in the pattern or timing of binding antibody development between those with severe vs. non-severe disease, whereas appearance of neutralizing antibodies was delayed by a few days in those with severe disease but once apparent, reached titers  $\geq 1:320$  more rapidly.<sup>53</sup> Notably only 2 patients (1 with severe and 1 with non-severe disease) did not develop neutralizing antibodies greater than 1:20. A study of 37 persons from Saudi Arabia found that 24 of 27 (89%) of all patients with complete data demonstrated binding and neutralizing antibodies.<sup>48</sup> Pairwise correlation found no association between the presence of neutralizing antibodies and viral clearance. Thus, the role for neutralizing antibodies in MERS-CoV disease outcomes is not established.

Finally, one could question whether subclinical or non-lethal infection provides long-term protective immunity against

recurrent MERS-CoV infection. Considering the fact that camels have high sero-prevalence of MERS-CoV, it would be expected that camel workers would have recurrent MERS-CoV exposure. Yet, 2 large seroepidemiologic surveys of camel workers in Saudi Arabia found a low prevalence of anti-MERS-CoV antibodies<sup>55,56</sup> suggesting that antibodies may in fact not be persistent. Moreover, the fact that many with camel exposure continue to present with MERS-CoV infection also suggests that prior exposures may not provide long-term immunity.

### MERS-CoV vaccine development

In the fall and early winter of 2015, international symposia on vaccine and drug development against MERS-CoV were held in Seoul Korea, Riyadh Saudi Arabia, and Geneva Switzerland.<sup>57,58</sup> MERS-CoV vaccines that were discussed include viral vectored, protein subunit and nanoparticle, and plasmid DNA vaccines - all directed against the S (envelope) protein or the DPP4 receptor binding domain (RBD) of the S protein. Viral vectored vaccine candidates include adenoviral associated vectors based on chimpanzee serotype 1 and human serotype 5<sup>59</sup>; poxvirus vectors based on the modified vaccinia Ankara strain<sup>60</sup>; and an attenuated measles virus vector.<sup>61</sup> Protein based vaccines included both RBD subunit vaccine<sup>62-64,62,63</sup> and a trimeric, full-length S protein nanoparticle.<sup>65,66</sup> Sequence engineering of the RBD subunit has allowed production of vaccine candidates with  $\sim 3$ -fold greater microneutralization titers.<sup>64</sup> DNA vaccines include DNA-prime / protein-boost based on a wild-type full-length S protein and S1 protein boost, respectively<sup>37</sup>; a second DNA vaccine encoding for a consensus S protein<sup>36</sup>; and 2 groups that assessed variable wild-type S protein constructs.<sup>67,68</sup> Work has shown that inclusion of the full cytoplasmic domain and transmembrane domain into DNA constructs is critical for immunogenicity<sup>67,68</sup> with increased immunogenicity and balanced IgG1/IgG2 ratio for an S1 subunit vaccine vs. the full length S construct that was weighted toward an IgG2 response.<sup>68</sup> Only one DNA vaccine, GLS-5300, has progressed into human clinical trials (NCT02670187, Table 2). An on-going listing of vaccines is also published by the World Health Organization: [http://www.who.int/immunization/research/vaccine\\_pipeline\\_tracker\\_spreadsheet/en/](http://www.who.int/immunization/research/vaccine_pipeline_tracker_spreadsheet/en/).

With a paucity of immunologic studies for either the SARS or MERS coronaviruses, one can speculate as to the properties that an ideal MERS-CoV vaccine should possess. The lack of an ideal animal model for MERS-CoV has served as a further impediment in vaccine development. The need for a robust cytotoxic T-cell response for survival and viral clearance is

**Table 2.** MERS-CoV vaccines: Phase I clinical trials and relevant pre-clinical references.

Vaccine	Sponsor	N	Type <sup>1</sup>	Location	Designation <sup>2</sup>	Entered into CTG <sup>3</sup>	Study opened	Reference
DNA plasmid GLS-5300 <sup>4</sup>	WRAIR / GeneOne	75	OL, DR	MD <sup>5</sup>	NCT02670187	27 Jan 2016	Jan 2016	<sup>36</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Study type: OL, open label; DR, dose ranging; PC, placebo-controlled; DB, double-blind.

<sup>2</sup>Clinical Trials Gov designation.

<sup>3</sup>Date entered into Clinical Trials Gov.

<sup>4</sup>GLS-5300 is being co-developed by GeneOne Life Science, Inc. and Inovio Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

<sup>5</sup>The indicated studies have completed enrollment. Abbreviations: MD, Maryland.



suggested from animal models of SARS-CoV and studies of SARS survivors. The limited data of MERS-CoV recovered patients shows that an early binding antibody response may be beneficial whereas the role of neutralizing antibodies is unclear. The role of cellular immune responses for MERS-CoV and the relative importance of the humoral and cellular immunity to prevent infection is not yet characterized.

### **Phase I studies of a synthetic, consensus DNA plasmid vaccine**

A single MERS-CoV vaccine candidate, full-length S protein consensus DNA vaccine GLS-5300, has progressed to human clinical trials (Table 2). A total of 75 participants were assigned to one of 3 dose levels: 0.67 mg, 2 mg, or 6 mg administered on day 0, week 4, and week 12 via intramuscular (IM) injection and followed by electroporation (EP). Additional studies to assess the relative immunogenicity of intradermal (ID) vaccine administration and followed by EP will provide details as to optimal vaccine dosing.

### **Challenges in MERS-CoV vaccine development**

Design and conduct of an efficacy trial for MERS-CoV may be a daunting task as the epidemiology of MERS-CoV is vastly different from the start of the outbreak in 2012 - with fewer cases, that are scattered across Saudi Arabia. Except for a single large outbreak in Seoul Korea, there has been minimal transmission of MERS-CoV outside of Saudi Arabia.

While MERS-CoV remains endemic in Saudi Arabia with approximately 20–30 cases diagnosed monthly, vigilance in maintaining strict infection control procedures has significantly reduced new cases among healthcare workers (HCWs) and spread to patients in healthcare facilities. Nor have there been additional outbreaks outside of the Arabian Peninsula akin to the Korean epidemic of September 2015. Additionally, many incident infections occur in individuals without a clear epidemiologic link to a known case or to camels. All of these factors create challenges in the design of a definitive efficacy trial for any MERS-CoV vaccine.

Basic protocol designs include ring vaccination studies to prevent infection among direct contacts and studies to prevent incident infection groups at highest risk for MERS-CoV infection. Ring vaccination was successfully used in the Ebola epidemic,<sup>69</sup> made possible by the fact that family and healthcare contacts were at high risk for infection. Transmission of MERS-CoV within family units has been documented,<sup>11</sup> however, such cases appear to be more of an anomaly. In healthcare settings, infection control measures have significantly reduced spread between patients and to HCWs. Thus, a ring-vaccination strategy would require the enrollment of a large number of recruited families and contacts to reach a sufficient number of events to achieve statistical power.

A second study design is of population-based vaccination for those at highest risk for infection: HCWs, residents in towns and villages with the highest historical case rates, and those with camel contact. A key challenge is how to best identify those with past MERS-CoV exposure, and, of this group, to determine which individuals may have pre-existent protective

B cell and/or T cell immunity. Whether any vaccine study should be restricted to non-immune individuals is an interesting question since it has already been demonstrated that a minority of individuals with repeated exposure to camels have detectable antibodies, suggesting that immunity may not be persistent.<sup>55,56</sup> And the fact that camel exposure continues as a known risk for infection, further raises the question of whether non-lethal infection results in protective immunity and again, whether such immunity is persistent. Thus, studies in risk-groups could be stratified between those with or without documented MERS-CoV immune responses. Whether exposure should be defined by epidemiologic exposure or the presence of binding antibodies, neutralizing antibodies, or T cell responses is also unknown.

Finally, a third clinical trial design could focus on those at highest risk for severe infection. Such a study would more easily discern vaccine effectiveness since the primary outcome would compare morbidity and death between vaccine and placebo. However, those at highest risk for severe disease including the elderly and those with underlying illness such as cardiac, pulmonary, and renal disease,<sup>4,70</sup> may limit vaccine immune responsiveness.

Any MERS-CoV vaccine has a key challenge as to the ability to conduct a definitive efficacy trial. The decrease in incident cases overall and the fact that primary cases are geographically separated are the 2 primary factors making such a trial difficult. An efficacy trial to prevent primary infection may be possible if restricted to on Saudi villages and towns with the greatest number of known cases. And since ongoing nosocomial spread is still documented, including a small outbreak in June 2017, a study to prevent infection in health care workers may be feasible. There is interest in a MERS-CoV camel vaccine that may both limit human disease and provide an alternative path to licensure via the animal rule, although vaccine development in camels presents its own unique challenges. As indicated by the epidemiology of infection, a MERS-CoV vaccine would primarily target the population in endemic countries, especially those in the health-care industry and those with contact with camels. Secondary markets exist for those traveling to (or from) the Arabian Peninsula, perhaps including those making pilgrimage to the Hajj and as a stockpile by governments against future outbreaks.

## **Zika virus**

### **Epidemiology and clinical presentation of Zika virus infection**

Zika virus is a member of the flavivirus family that includes dengue, West Nile, and Yellow Fever viruses. Zika virus was discovered in 1947 as part of a study to map the geographic extent of Yellow Fever virus in Uganda. At the time of discovery, Zika was prevalent in sub-Saharan Africa and tropical Asia with seroprevalence rates as high as 60% in some regions.<sup>71-74</sup> Except for a small outbreak on Yap Island in 2009,<sup>75</sup> Zika virus remained essentially unknown outside of Africa and Asia until 2014.

In 2014, a Zika outbreak in French Polynesia lasting only 4 months resulted in approximately 9,000 diagnosed cases, 30,000 with consistent symptoms, and an estimated 60% of

island residents infected.<sup>76,77</sup> Zika virus quickly spread eastward across the South Pacific<sup>78</sup> with the first cases documented in Brazil in early 2015.<sup>79,80</sup> Interestingly, some reports have suggested that the Zika epidemic in Brazil may have started as early as 2012.<sup>81</sup>

*Aedes* species mosquitoes, and in particular *Ae. aegypti*, represent the dominant vector for transmission of Zika virus.<sup>82–85</sup> While other mosquito species may harbor Zika virus,<sup>82</sup> they may not be able to transmit infection.<sup>86</sup> *Aedes albopictus*, a more temperate species, can both carry Zika virus and transmit infection.<sup>87</sup> Zika is transmitted transovarially, i.e. vertically across mosquito generations.<sup>88</sup> Sexual transmission of Zika virus has been well documented with Zika persisting in seminal fluid for up to 10 weeks following infection.<sup>89–91</sup> Zika is also detected in saliva, breast milk, and tears.<sup>92,93</sup>

Zika virus infection is typically self-limited, with many cases minimally symptomatic. After an estimated 5–7 day incubation period, a viral prodrome of generalized achiness, myalgias, arthralgia, sore throat, and headache may be followed by a generalized maculopapular rash that involves the palms and soles.<sup>94</sup> Retro-orbital pain and conjunctivitis is common; fever, if present, is usually low-grade.

Complications of Zika virus infection can be divided into neurologic and genitourinary. Zika virus is neurotropic, a link made as early as 1971 in mice.<sup>95</sup> In adults, Zika virus can cause Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) with an attack rate estimated as almost 1 in 5,000 cases of infection.<sup>96</sup> Unlike classical GBS following by *Campylobacter* gastroenteritis, only a fraction (~30%) of patients presenting with Zika-induced GBS had circulating anti-ganglioside autoantibodies and did not have a consistent pattern of expressed autoantibodies.<sup>96</sup> Other complications include encephalitis, acute demyelinating encephalomyelitis (ADEM), and seizures.<sup>77,97,98</sup>

Women infected with Zika virus during pregnancy are at risk for fetal infection. The association between Zika virus infection and microcephaly was first reported in Pernambuco state Brazil in November 2015.<sup>99</sup> The perceived absence of microcephaly during the French Polynesian outbreak was resolved when a retrospective study found a prevalence of microcephaly of 1–2% for infants born to mothers infected during pregnancy.<sup>100</sup> However, a recent study has estimated that up to 30% of infants of women infected at the end of the 1<sup>st</sup> trimester may be affected.<sup>101</sup> Additional aspects of congenital Zika virus infection include intracranial calcifications, ocular calcifications, retinal defects, auditory defects, and arthrogryposis.<sup>100,102–105</sup> Some pregnant women develop prolonged Zika viremia, that resolves only with delivery of the infant.<sup>102,106–108</sup>

Genitourinary complications for Zika virus are just beginning to be understood. As noted above, Zika can be detected in seminal fluid for prolonged periods following infection. A more ominous complication in mice, but not yet documented for humans, is that infection in young mice causes direct testicular infection that results in testicular atrophy and infertility.<sup>109</sup>

### Laboratory correlates and immunology of Zika virus infection

Prior to mid-2016, diagnostic testing for Zika virus infection was non-existent outside of academic laboratories. Since that

time, multiple PCR and serologic assays have gained Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) from the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Diagnosis of Zika virus infection remains complicated by epitope cross-reactivity between Zika virus and other flaviviruses that affects serologic assays,<sup>110</sup> the relative paucity of symptoms that may delay sample collection for PCR-based assays coupled with the relatively short period of viremia and the need to detect virus in other body fluids such as urine and/or semen.<sup>111</sup> For a review of the subject the reader is referred elsewhere.<sup>112</sup> Clinical studies have demonstrated marked variation in the sensitivity of detection for different test methods<sup>113</sup> raising a cautionary note.

Viral detection by PCR is the mainstay for diagnosis and is considered the “gold standard” to determine acute illness in clinical trials. Zika virus viremia typically lasts 1–2 weeks whereas Zika can be detected in the urine for up to 4 weeks,<sup>113,114</sup> and even longer in the semen.<sup>91,115</sup> Serology detection of Zika virus infection has targeted either the viral envelope, NS1 protein, or incorporates a whole virus assay. Using an NS1 assay, Jeong et al. determined the kinetics of antibody formation for 8 persons with travel-related Zika virus infection.<sup>113</sup> IgM responses were present within a few days of presentation and persisted for up to 35–40 days; IgG responses were detectable approximately 10 d post-presentation and persisted for the length of the study<sup>113</sup> consistent with a prior small study.<sup>116</sup>

Zika is uniquely able to suppress the human innate immune response. Zika has been demonstrated to downregulate type I interferon (IFN) response of dendritic cells through impairment of phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT2<sup>117</sup> with others finding that the Zika virus non-structural 5 (NS5) protein results in proteasomal degradation of STAT2 in 293T cells<sup>118</sup> - the latter phenomenon also seen with dengue virus.<sup>118</sup> In contrast to humans, the Zika NS5 does not affect murine STAT2 function, such that Rag I <sup>-/-</sup> mice, lacking mature T and B cell cells, remain resistant to Zika virus infection.<sup>119</sup> Additionally, wild-type mice whose innate immune system is impaired by monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) against the type I IFN receptors are similarly resistant to Zika virus.<sup>119</sup> In contrast, Rag I <sup>-/-</sup> mice treated with type I IFN receptor mAbs developed neurologic and testicular infection.<sup>119</sup> Thus for humans, since Zika can downregulate the innate immune system, a vaccine that can induce an adaptive immune response gains importance especially with regard to neurologic and testicular infection.

Zika virus has also been shown to be able to evade the immune system despite the presence of neutralizing antibodies. Macaques will rapidly resolve viremia following experimental infection that correlates with the onset of antibody formation and cell mediated immunity.<sup>120</sup> However despite the presence of neutralizing and binding antibodies and induction of CD4+ and CD8+ immune responses, Zika persists in the lymph nodes and central nervous system for 1.5 to 2.5 months.<sup>120</sup> Notably, CNS infection of the macaques was associated with transcriptomic evidence of upregulation of mTOR and other inflammatory pathways<sup>120</sup> - a key pathway that is dysregulated by the Zika NS4A and NS4B proteins increasing autophagy of neural progenitor cells.<sup>121</sup> These data would suggest that it is important to prevent infection before establishment of persistence in protected sites.

The question of whether prior immunity against DENV can provide cross protection against Zika virus or enhance Zika virus infection is not yet resolved. For DENV, heterologous secondary infection, i.e., with a different serotype approximately 2 y post-infection, carries an increased risk for dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) whereas earlier exposures are protective.<sup>122</sup> Studies by Halstead demonstrated that the severity of secondary infection correlated with presence of non-neutralizing antibodies in sera that increase in vitro cellular viral entry<sup>123</sup> - a phenomenon termed antibody dependent enhancement (ADE) of infection. In one prospective study of Thai children, of serum collected in the 6 month period before secondary infection, sera from 4 (13%) of 32 children with asymptomatic secondary DENV infection demonstrated ADE vs. 6 of 9 (67%) with severe infection.<sup>124</sup> In contrast, 2 subsequent prospective Thai studies of greater than 200 children with secondary DENV infection did not demonstrate any relationship between severe infection and ADE.<sup>125,126</sup>

Henderson et al. in a primate study from 1970 demonstrated cross protection for animals infected with one flavivirus and challenged with a second, different flavivirus<sup>127</sup> whereas 2 later *in vitro* studies demonstrated antibody dependent enhancement (ADE) of infection between the DENV and Yellow Fever flaviviruses.<sup>128,129</sup> *In vitro* ADE has also been demonstrated for Zika virus by the presence of flavivirus antibodies against DENV and/or West Nile virus (WNV).<sup>130-132</sup> MAbs against the DENV fusion loop domain has lower affinity to Zika virus and induces ADE,<sup>133</sup> whereas mAbs against the envelope E1 domain inhibit ADE.<sup>131</sup> Others found that prior antibodies to the DENV serotype 1 envelope domain III were associated with higher Zika neutralizing titers.<sup>134</sup> In contrast to sera collected from subjects with acute DENV infection<sup>131,133</sup> sera collected later in the convalescent period did not demonstrate cross-reactivity between DENV and Zika virus.<sup>135</sup> Conversely antibodies against the Zika virus envelope domains I and II were poorly neutralizing and enhanced dengue virus infection whereas domain III antibodies were specific and protective.<sup>132,133,136</sup> Importantly, clinical correlates of cross-flavivirus ADE are so far lacking. A study of 131 PCR-positive pregnant women found no correlation ( $p = 0.667$ ) between the presence of prior DENV antibodies and disease severity, and with no relationship between Zika viral load and adverse outcomes such as fetal loss.<sup>137</sup> Thus, the clinical implication of prior flavivirus immunity remains unanswered.

At present, correlates of protection that would relate to vaccine development have not been determined. The goal would be to prevent infection before establishment of viral reservoirs in the CNS, lymph nodes, or even testes. Induction of high levels of binding antibodies may be sufficient to prevent Zika induced immune dysregulation and viral clearance. And while the induction of neutralizing antibodies are considered by many as ideal, their limitation in being able to clear reservoirs in the CNS and lymph nodes<sup>120</sup> is cautionary. Finally, an ideal vaccine would also induce cellular immunity, especially CD8+ T-cell responses as these may serve to prevent CNS and testicular damage despite dysregulation of the type I IFN pathways caused by the Zika NS1 protein.

## Zika virus vaccine development

A key target population for a Zika virus vaccine are women of childbearing potential with the goal prevent congenital Zika virus infection. Vaccination during pregnancy is, however, not a viable strategy even for a vaccine deemed safe. Since pregnancy may not be suspected until the mid to latter parts of the 1<sup>st</sup> trimester, there may be insufficient time to develop protective immune responses before the risk to the fetus is greatest. Therefore, any vaccine program should target all post-pubertal females of child bearing potential and their male sexual partners. For males, the observation in mice that Zika can infect the testes causing atrophy and infertility,<sup>109</sup> raises the question of whether vaccination is warranted early in childhood.

Vaccine candidates in development include live-attenuated viral vaccines; live chimeric vaccines; purified inactivated (killed) vaccines (PIV); viral vectored vaccines based on measles, adeno-associated virus (AAV), vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), and vaccinia platforms; subunit and nanoparticle protein based vaccines; and nucleic acid vaccines using both DNA and mRNA approaches<sup>138,139</sup> (see also the World Health Organization listing at [http://www.who.int/immunization/research/vaccine\\_pipeline\\_tracker\\_spreadsheet/en/](http://www.who.int/immunization/research/vaccine_pipeline_tracker_spreadsheet/en/)). As of the date of this review, pre-clinical data for one PIV vaccine, an attenuated live-virus vaccine, 3 DNA vaccines, 2 mRNA vaccines, 2 separate AAV-based vaccine (Ad5 and Ad52), and a subunit vaccine have been published.<sup>140-147</sup> Three DNA vaccines, an mRNA vaccine, and a PIV vaccine have advanced into Phase I clinical trials (Table 3).

Correlates of protective immunity of a Zika vaccine is an area of intense study. Additionally, the relative importance of humoral and cellular immunity is as yet uncharacterized. Importantly, no standardized assay has been developed that correlates immune responses with outcomes.

While Zika virus transmission is ongoing in each of the affected countries in the Americas, incident cases have dramatically declined that presents a potential barrier for an efficacy trial. A correlate is that within endemic regions, many have already been infected that limits the numbers at risk. Thus, there has been a race to get any of the vaccine candidates into a region with active transmission. Two trials, DNA vaccine GLS-5700 and ZPIV, have begun enrollment in a Zika endemic region (Table 3).

There are 2 unique safety considerations that have been raised in discussions of Zika virus vaccine development: antibody-dependent enhancement of infection (ADE) and GBS. ADE is an *in vitro* phenomenon initially documented for dengue virus<sup>123,148</sup> that has been observed for all flaviviruses including Zika.<sup>129</sup> While the presence of enhancing antibodies has been postulated as a risk for dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF), prospective clinical studies have not demonstrated a correlation between the presence of enhancing antibodies in pre-infection serum and more severe dengue virus infections.<sup>125,126</sup> One caveat for a Zika vaccine is the finding that studies of a live-virus chimeric dengue vaccine, young children and dengue-seronegative adults were at greater risk for DHF starting 3 y post-vaccination.<sup>149</sup> As discussed above, clinical correlation of cross-flavivirus reactivity is as yet unresolved. There is no epidemiologic data to suggest that the current Zika virus epidemic has increased the risk for DHF and as presented

**Table 3.** Zika virus vaccines: published pre-clinical studies and Phase I clinical trials.

Vaccine	Sponsor	N	Type <sup>1</sup>	Location	Designation <sup>2</sup>	Entered into CTG <sup>3</sup>	Study opened	Reference
DNA plasmid								
GLS-5700	GeneOne	40	OL, DR	PA, FL, Que <sup>6</sup>	NCT02809443	20 Jun 2016	Jun 2016	<sup>144</sup>
VRC-ZKADNA085-00-VP (VRC5288) <sup>4</sup>	GeneOne	160	PC, DB	PR <sup>7</sup>	NCT02887482	24 Aug 2016	Aug 2016	<sup>140,141,143</sup>
VRC-ZKADNA909-00-VP (VRC5283) <sup>4</sup>	NIAID	80	OL	GA, MD <sup>6</sup>	NCT02840487	19 Jul 2016	Aug 2016	<sup>140,141,143</sup>
mRNA								
mRNA-1325	Moderna	90	OL, DR	CA, FL, IL <sup>7</sup>	NCT03014089	5 Jan 2017	Jan 2017	<sup>146</sup>
PIV								
ZPIV <sup>5</sup>	Beth Israel	48	DR, PC, DB	MA <sup>7</sup>	NCT02937233	12 Oct 2016	Oct 2016	<sup>140,143</sup>
	NIAID	75	OL, DR	MO <sup>7</sup>	NCT02952833	13 Oct 2016	Oct 2016	
	NIAID	90	OL	MD <sup>7</sup>	NCT02963909	10 Nov 2016	Nov 2016	
	NIAID	90	DR, PC, DB	PR <sup>7</sup>	NCT03008122	15 Dec 2016	Dec 2016	
Viral Vectored - MV								
MV-ZIKA	Themis	48	DR, PC, DB	Austria <sup>7</sup>	NCT02996890	15 Dec 2016	Apr 2017	

<sup>1</sup>Study type: OL, open label; DR, dose ranging; PC, placebo-controlled; DB, double-blind.

<sup>2</sup>Clinical Trials Gov designation.

<sup>3</sup>Date entered into Clinical Trials Gov.

<sup>4</sup>VRC5283 is a chimeric vaccine expressing JEV prM region that precedes the entire wild-type Zika virus envelope; VRC5288 is a chimeric vaccine that includes the JEV prM region preceding the first 98 amino acids of the Zika virus envelope and the stem and transmembrane regions from JEV.

<sup>5</sup>For clinical trial NCT02963909 ZPIV is either given alone with alum or with Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) vaccine Ixiaro (inactivated) or with Yellow Fever virus (YFV) vaccine YF-Vax (live virus vaccine that includes strain 17D. For trials NCT03008122 and NCT02952833.

ZPIV is administered with alum, whereas for trial NCT02937233 ZPIV is given without alum adjuvant.

<sup>6</sup>The indicated studies have completed enrollment. Abbreviations: PA, Pennsylvania; FL, Florida; Que, Quebec City; GA, Georgia; MD, Maryland. GLS-5700 is being co-developed by GeneOne Life Science Inc. and Inovio Pharmaceuticals Inc.

<sup>7</sup>The indicated studies have ongoing enrollment at the time of writing. Abbreviations: PR, Puerto Rico; CA, California; IL, Illinois; MA, Massachusetts; MO, Missouri.

above, one study in pregnant females showed no evidence of differences in disease severity related to prior DENV seropositivity<sup>137</sup> and other studies have shown that prior DENV serotype I infection may be associated with increased neutralizing antibody titers<sup>134</sup> that could result in greater protection.

GBS as a complication of Zika virus infection is well documented in the French Polynesian, Brazilian, and American outbreaks.<sup>77,150-152</sup> A study from French Polynesia showed that in contrast to classical cases of GBS, a minority of patients had detectable anti-ganglioside antibodies and when present there was no consistent pattern of autoantibodies.<sup>96</sup> To date, molecular mimics similar to that observed between the *Campylobacter* lipooligosaccharide and axonal gangliosides have not been documented for Zika.

In contrast to MERS, Zika remains endemic such that efficacy trials remain possible - the key challenge being the ability to move to Phase II/III before the disappearance of Zika from target regions. The fact that countries such as Brazil appear to be experiencing repeated cycles of infection<sup>153</sup> is promising for the conduct of such a trial. Zika vaccine development has been driven primarily from the desire to prevent congenital infection for women who become infected during pregnancy. Secondary targets are the prevention of other neurologic complications such as GBS and possibly the prevention of infection of males to prevent testicular damage. The primary target population of a vaccination program differs somewhat - females of childbearing potential and males from birth to the end of their childbearing years. The difference in ages between males and females relates to the current uncertainty in age when the testes are most prone to infection and the lack of evidence of direct infection of the ovaries. Pregnant women would *not* be considered a primary target population since initiating a vaccine series after pregnancy is diagnosed may induce protective immunity after

the end of the 1<sup>st</sup> trimester when the fetus is most at risk. Additionally, the safety of viral vectored and live-attenuated vaccines is unknown in pregnancy. Additional target populations are those traveling to endemic regions or those living in regions where *Aedes* species mosquitoes, especially *Ae. aegypti*, are endemic. An excellent source of information for Zika vaccine and therapeutic plans was published by the World Health Organization at: <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/250615/1/WHO-ZIKV-PHR-16.1-eng.pdf>.

### Challenges in vaccine development and clinical trial design for emerging infectious diseases

Vaccine development for each infectious disease has unique challenges.<sup>154</sup> At the time of emergence, little may be known of the pathogenesis, epidemiology, and the epidemic potential of a new infectious agent. MERS-CoV and Zika virus highlight the potential the emergence of future pathogens. MERS-CoV appears to represent a novel genetic variant of the minimally pathogenic HNK4 bat coronavirus, however, questions still remain as to why one strain has a mortality of 35–40% and causes lower respiratory disease while the other causes self-limited upper respiratory illness. Moreover, since MERS-CoV preferentially binds to human DPP4 why this likely variant of bat strain HKU4 (that prefers bat DPP4) would emerge and propagate within bats is also a mystery. For Zika virus, globalization has augmented spread of disease to a non-endemic region, however, the means of transmission is still unknown. Continued genetic modification of human and animal viruses will continue to pose potential threats as will spread of diseases from previously remote regions.

For MERS-CoV, the discovery of a novel coronavirus in a single Saudi Arabian patient in 2012<sup>2</sup> caused concern but not alarm as the case appeared to be an isolated event. As case



numbers both regionally and globally increased<sup>155</sup> and then quickly escalated,<sup>156,157</sup> concerns were heightened. However, appreciation of the potential global threat from this organism was delayed for about a year.

Zika virus was a known entity at the time of reemergence in 2015, having been discovered almost 60 y before. Zika was previously considered to cause an illness similar to, but much less severe than either dengue or chikungunya. While there had been nascent efforts at developing a vaccine for Zika virus, the lack of known severe complications tempered research efforts. As noted above, it was only when Zika was associated with microcephaly and other congenital defects in the latter part of 2015 that provided the impetus to hasten vaccine discovery.

Both diseases had the advantage of occurring at a time when multiple platform technologies were existent.<sup>154</sup> Many platforms could respond to a new pathogen after only minimal genetic modification. Experience has allowed more classical vaccines to also undergo rapid modification, although in some cases structural considerations (e.g., protein structure and antigen presentation) or unique adverse events (e.g., eosinophilic pulmonary inflammation induced by whole inactivated SARS virus vaccines at the time of viral challenge<sup>158</sup>) were required.

As noted above, the response to both EIDs was robust, with many academic laboratories and pharmaceutical firms initiating vaccine programs. Both illnesses are characterized by a changing epidemiology such that the time that a vaccine candidate had entered into clinical trials, the incidence of disease had significantly declined. For MERS-CoV the current endemic rate of incident infections, with few cases in any one region creates significant challenges, i.e., potentially requiring a large number of participants to demonstrate efficacy. The regional restriction of MERS-CoV coupled with an approximate 200–250 cases per year limits the commercial potential of any vaccine – likely a key factor in only a single vaccine entering clinical trials. While a Zika virus vaccine has greater commercial potential, the rapid increase and decline epidemic of cases in affected regions requires prognostication of where cases will occur in the future rather than the present since there is at least a 6-month lead time for logistic preparation, country-specific regulatory approvals, and local review board approvals.

## Conclusions

The Zika virus and MERS-CoV epidemics have required unique approaches to vaccine development. Both have promoted intense development efforts by numerous academic and commercial entities that have enabled rapid responses to the respective diseases. The challenges of these diseases and other EIDs pose unique challenges clinical trial design and vaccine development such that a comprehensive strategy, including adequate funding, is required to ensure that early enthusiasm and advancements of academic laboratories and many biotechnology companies continue through later development and do not wither on the vine.

## Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest

Dr. Maslow is Chief Medical Officer for GeneOne Life Science Inc., a developer of DNA vaccines and DNA therapeutics including vaccines

directed against MERS-CoV and Zika virus. Dr. Maslow owns stock or stock options in GeneOne. Dr. Maslow also owns stock in Inovio Pharmaceuticals, Inc., co-developer in MERS-CoV and Zika virus vaccines.

## Acknowledgments

The staff of GeneOne Life Science and VGXI are gratefully acknowledged for their support and assistance. The Morristown Medical Center medical library, Miriam Tziporah Maslow, and Maya Cohen are gratefully acknowledged for their assistance on the research efforts related to EIDS.

## References

- [1] Corman VM, Eckerle I, Bleicker T, Zaki A, Landt O, Eschbach-Bludau M, van Boheemen S, Gopal R, Ballhause M, Bestebroer TM, et al. Detection of a novel human coronavirus by real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction. *Euro Surveill.* 2012;17(39):20285. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1211721. PMID:23041020
- [2] Zaki AM, van Boheemen S, Bestebroer TM, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. Isolation of a novel coronavirus from a man with pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367(19):1814–20. PMID:23075143
- [3] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Update: Severe respiratory illness associated with Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) – worldwide, 2012–2013. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2013;62(23):480–3. doi:10.7326/M13-2486. PMID:23760190
- [4] Arabi Y, Arifi A, Balkhy H, Najm H, Aldawood A, Ghabashi A, Hawa H, Alothman A, Khaldi A, Al Raiy B. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus infection. *Ann Intern Med.* 2014;160:389–97. PMID:24474051
- [5] Guery B, Poissy J, el Mansouf L, Séjourné C, Ettahar N, Lemaire X, Vuotto F, Goffard A, Behillil S, Enouf V, et al. Clinical features and viral diagnosis of two cases of infection with Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus: A report of nosocomial transmission. *Lancet.* 2013;381(9885):2265–72. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60982-4. PMID:23727167
- [6] Assiri A, McGeer A, Perl TM, Price CS, Al-Rabeeh AA, Cummings DAT, Alabdullatif ZN, Assad M, Almulhim A, Makhdoom H, et al. Hospital outbreak of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;369(5):407–16. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1306742. PMID:23782161
- [7] Harriman K, Brosseau L, Trivedi K. Hospital-associated Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus infections. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;369(18):1761–2. doi:10.1056/NEJMc1311004. PMID:24171525
- [8] Kim KM, Ki M, Cho SI, Sung M, Hong JK, Cheong HK, Kim JH, Lee SE, Lee C, Lee KJ, et al. Epidemiologic features of the first MERS outbreak in Korea: Focus on Pyeongtaek St. Mary's Hospital. *Epidemiol Health.* 2015;37:e2015041. doi:10.4178/epih/e2015041. PMID:26725225
- [9] Oh M-d, Choe PG, Oh HS, Park WB, Lee M-S, Park J, Lee SK, Song JS, Kim NJ. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus super-spreading event involving 81 persons, Korea 2015. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2015;30:1701–5. doi:10.3346/jkms.2015.30.11.1701. PMID:26539018
- [10] Assiri A, Abedi GR, Saeed AA, Abdalla MA, Al-Masry M, Choudhry AJ, Lu X, Erdman DD, Tatti K, Binder AM, et al. Multi-facility outbreak of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome in Taif, Saudi Arabia. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2016;22(1):32–40. doi:10.3201/eid2201.151370. PMID:26692003
- [11] Drosten C, Meyer B, Muller MA, Corman VM, Al-Masri M, Hossain R, Madani H, Sieberg A, Bosch BJ, Lattwein E, et al. Transmission of MERS-coronavirus in household contacts. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;371:828–35. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1405858. PMID:25162889
- [12] Farag EA, Reusken CB, Haagmans BL, Mohran KA, Stalin Raj V, Pas SD, Voermans J, Smits SL, Godeke GJ, Al-Hajri MM, et al. High proportion of MERS-CoV shedding dromedaries at slaughterhouse with a potential epidemiological link to human cases, Qatar

2014. *Infect Ecol Epidemiol.* 2015;5:28305. doi:10.3402/iee.v5.28305. PMID:26183160
- [13] Azhar EI, El-Kafrawy SA, Farraj SA, Hassan AM, Al-Saeed MS, Hashem AM, Madani TA. Evidence for camel-to-human transmission of MERS coronavirus. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;370(26):2499-505. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1401505. PMID:24896817
  - [14] Drosten C, Kellam P, Memish ZA. Evidence of camel-to-human transmission of MERS coronavirus. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;371(14):1359-60. doi:10.1056/NEJMc1409847. PMID:25271615
  - [15] Hemida MG, Elmoslemany A, Al-Hizab F, Alnaeem A, Almathen F, Faye B, Chu DK, Perera RA, Peiris M. Dromedary camels and the transmission of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV). *Transbound Emerg Dis.* 2017;64(2):344-53. doi:10.1111/tbed.12401. PMID:26256102
  - [16] Cotten M, Watson SJ, Zumla A, Makhdoom HQ, Palser AL, Ong SH, Al Rabeah AA, Alhakeem RF, Assiri A, Al-Tawfiq JA, et al. Spread, circulation, and evolution of the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus. *MBio.* 2014;5(1):e01062-13. doi:10.1128/mBio.01062-13. PMID:24549846
  - [17] World Health Organization. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) – Thailand. Geneva, (SW): World Health Organization; 2016 [accessed 2016 Jan 30]. <http://www.who.int/csr/don/29-january-2016-mers-thailand/en/>
  - [18] Lee S. Costly lessons from the 2015 Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus outbreak in Korea. *J Prev Med Public Health.* 2015;48:274-6. doi:10.3961/jpmph.15.064. PMID:26639740
  - [19] van Boheemen S, de Graaf M, Lauber C, Bestebroer TM, Raj VS, Zaki AM, Osterhaus AD, Haagmans BL, Gorbalenya AE, Snijder EJ, et al. Genomic characterization of a newly discovered coronavirus associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome in humans. *MBio.* 2012;3(6):e00473-12. doi:10.1128/mBio.00473-12. PMID:23170002
  - [20] Memish ZA, Mishra N, Olival KJ, Fagbo SF, Kapoor V, Epstein JH, Alhakeem R, Durosinioun A, Al Asmari M, Islam A, et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in bats, Saudi Arabia. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2013;19(11):1819-23. doi:10.3201/eid1911.131172. PMID:24206838
  - [21] Raj VS, Mou H, Smits SL, Dekkers DH, Müller MA, Dijkman R, Muth D, Demmers JA, Zaki A, Fouchier RA, et al. Diptidyl peptidase 4 is a functional receptor for the emerging human coronavirus-EMC. *Nature.* 2013;495(7440):251-4. doi:10.1038/nature12005. PMID:23486063
  - [22] Wang Q, Qi J, Yuan Y, Xuan Y, Han P, Wan Y, Ji W, Li Y, Wu Y, Wang J, et al. Bat origins of MERS-CoV supported by bat coronavirus HKU4 usage of human receptor CD26. *Cell Host Microbe.* 2014;16(3):328-37. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2014.08.009. PMID:25211075
  - [23] Yang Y, Du L, Liu CB, Wang L, Ma C, Tang J, Baric RS, Jiang S, Li F. Receptor usage and cell entry of bat coronavirus HKU4 provide insight into bat-to-human transmission of MERS coronavirus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2014;111(34):12516-21. doi:10.1073/pnas.1405889111. PMID:25114257
  - [24] Li W, Moore MJ, Vasilieva N, Sui J, Wong SK, Berne MA, Soma-sundaran M, Sullivan JL, Luzuriaga K, Greenough TC, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. *Nature.* 2003;426(6965):450-4. doi:10.1038/nature02145. PMID:14647384
  - [25] Barlan A, Zhao J, Sarkar MK, Li K, McCray PB Jr, Perlman S, Gallagher T. Receptor variation and susceptibility to Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. *J Virol.* 2014;88(9):4953-61. doi:10.1128/JVI.00161-14. PMID:24554656
  - [26] van Doremalen N, Miazgowicz KL, Milne-Price S, Bushmaker T, Robertson S, Scott D, Kinne J, McLellan JS, Zhu J, Munster VJ. Host species restriction of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus through its receptor, diptidyl peptidase 4. *J Virol.* 2014;88(16):9220-32. doi:10.1128/JVI.00676-14. PMID:24899185
  - [27] Hemida MG, Chu DK, Poon LL, Perera RA, Alhammadi MA, Ng HY, Siu LY, Guan Y, Alnaeem A, Peiris M. MERS coronavirus in dromedary camel herd, Saudi Arabia. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2014;20(7):1231-4. doi:10.3201/eid2007.140571. PMID:24964193
  - [28] Meyer B, Muller MA, Corman VM, Reusken CB, Ritz D, Godeke GJ, Lattwein E, Kallies S, Siemens A, van Beek J, et al. Antibodies against MERS coronavirus in dromedary camels, United Arab Emirates, 2003 and 2013. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2014;20(4):552-9. doi:10.3201/eid2004.131746. PMID:24655412
  - [29] Woo PC, Lau SK, Wernery U, Wong EY, Tsang AK, Johnson B, Yip CC, Lau CC, Sivakumar S, Cai JP, et al. Novel betacoronavirus in dromedaries of the Middle East, 2013. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2014;20(4):560-72. doi:10.3201/eid2004.131769. PMID:24655427
  - [30] Yusof MF, Eltahir YM, Serhan WS, Hashem FM, Elsayed EA, Marzoug BA, Abdelazim AS, Bensalah OK, Al Muhairi SS. Prevalence of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) in dromedary camels in Abu Dhabi Emirate, United Arab Emirates. *Virus Genes.* 2015;50(3):509-13. doi:10.1007/s11262-015-1174-0. PMID:25653016
  - [31] Reusken CB, Messadi L, Feyisa A, Ularamu H, Godeke GJ, Dan-marwa A, Dawo F, Jemli M, Melaku S, Shamaki D, et al. Geographic distribution of MERS coronavirus among dromedary camels, Africa. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2014;20(8):1370-4. doi:10.3201/eid2008.140590. PMID:25062254
  - [32] Adney DR, van Doremalen N, Brown VR, Bushmaker T, Scott D, de Wit E, Bowen RA, Munster VJ. Replication and shedding of MERS-CoV in upper respiratory tract of inoculated dromedary camels. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2014;20(12):1999-2005. doi:10.3201/eid2012.141280. PMID:25418529
  - [33] Reusken CB. Infection of Alpaca in a region where MERS-CoV is endemic. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2016;22(6):1129-31. doi:10.3201/eid2206.152113. PMID:27070501
  - [34] Wang LF, Eaton BT. Bats, civets and the emergence of SARS. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.* 2007;315:325-44. doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2015.07.005. PMID:17848070
  - [35] van Doremalen N, Munster VJ. Animal models of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. *Antiviral Res.* 2015;122:28-38. PMID:26192750
  - [36] Muthumani K, Falzarano D, Reuschel EL, Tingey C, Flingai S, Villalare DO, Wise M, Patel A, Izmirly A, Aljuaid A, et al. A synthetic consensus anti-spike protein DNA vaccine induces protective immunity against Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in nonhuman primates. *Sci Transl Med.* 2015;7(301):301ra132. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aac7462. PMID:26290414
  - [37] Wang L, Shi W, Joyce MG, Modjarrad K, Zhang Y, Leung K, Lees CR, Zhou T, Yassine HM, Kanekiyo M, et al. Evaluation of candidate vaccine approaches for MERS-CoV. *Nat Commun.* 2015;6:7712. doi:10.1038/ncomms8712. PMID:26218507
  - [38] Falzarano D, de Wit E, Feldmann F, Rasmussen AL, Okumura A, Peng X, Thomas MJ, van Doremalen N, Haddock E, Nagy L, et al. Infection with MERS-CoV causes lethal pneumonia in the common marmoset. *PLoS Pathog.* 2014;10(8):e1004250. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004250. PMID:25144235
  - [39] Johnson RF, Via LE, Kumar MR, Cornish JP, Yellayi S, Huzella L, Postnikova E, Oberlander N, Bartos C, Ork BL, et al. Intratracheal exposure of common marmosets to MERS-CoV Jordan-n3/2012 or MERS-CoV EMC/2012 isolates does not result in lethal disease. *Virology.* 2015;485:422-30. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2015.07.013. PMID:26342468
  - [40] Agrawal AS, Garron T, Tao X, Peng BH, Wakamiya M, Chan TS, Couch RB, Tseng CT. Generation of a transgenic mouse model of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection and disease. *J Virol.* 2015;89(7):3659-70. doi:10.1128/JVI.03427-14. PMID:25589660
  - [41] Tao X, Garron T, Agrawal AS, Algaissi A, Peng BH, Wakamiya M, Chan TS, Lu L, Du L, Jiang S, et al. Characterization and demonstration of the value of a lethal mouse model of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus infection and disease. *J Virol.* 2015;90(1):57-67. doi:10.1128/JVI.02009-15. PMID:26446606
  - [42] Zhao G, Jiang Y, Qiu H, Gao T, Zeng Y, Guo Y, Yu H, Li J, Kou Z, Du L, et al. Multi-organ damage in human diptidyl peptidase 4 transgenic mice infected with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(12):e0145561. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145561. PMID:26701103
  - [43] Pascal KE, Coleman CM, Mujica AO, Kamat V, Badithe A, Fairhurst J, Hunt C, Strein J, Berrebi A, Sisk JM, et al. Pre- and

- postexposure efficacy of fully immunized antibodies against spike protein in a novel humanized mouse model of MERS-CoV infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015;112(28):8738-43. doi:10.1073/pnas.1510830112. PMID:26124093
- [44] Zhao J, Li K, Wohlford-Lenane C, Agnihothram SS, Fetta C, Zhao J, Gale MJ, Baric RS, Enjuanes L, Gallagher T, et al. Rapid generation of a mouse model for Middle East respiratory syndrome. *PNAS*. 2014;111:5
- [45] Cramer G, Durr PA, Klein R, Foord A, Yu M, Riddell S, Haining J, Johnson D, Hemida MG, Barr J, et al. Experimental infection and response to rechallenge of alpacas with Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2016;22(6):1071-3. doi:10.3201/eid2206.160007. PMID:27070733
- [46] Feiken DR, Alraddadi B, Qutub M, Shabouni O, Curns A, Oboho IK, Tomczyk SM, Wolff B, Watson JT, Madani TA. Association of higher MERS-CoV virus load with severe disease and death, Saudi Arabia, 2014. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2015;21(11):2029-35. doi:10.3201/eid2111.150764. PMID:26488195
- [47] Kim SY, Park SJ, Cho SY, Cha R-h, Jee H-G, Kim G, Shin HS, Kim Y, Jung YM, Yang JS, et al. Viral RNA in blood as indicator of severe outcome in Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus infection. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2016;22(10):1813-6. doi:10.3201/eid2210.160218. PMID:27479636
- [48] Corman VM, Albarrak AM, Omrani AS, Albarrak MM, Farah ME, Almasri M, Muth D, Sieberg A, Meyer B, Assiri AM, et al. Viral shedding and antibody response in 37 patients with Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;62(4):477-83. doi:10.1093/cid/civ951. PMID:26565003
- [49] Tang F, Quan Y, Xin ZT, Wrammert J, Ma MJ, Lv H, Wang TB, Yang H, Richardus JH, Liu W, et al. Lack of peripheral memory B cell responses in recovered patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome: A six-year follow-up study. *J Immunol*. 2011;186(12):7264-8. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0903490. PMID:21576510
- [50] Wu LP, Wang NC, Chang YH, Tian XY, Na DY, Zhang LY, Zheng L, Lan T, Wang LF, Liang GD. Duration of antibody responses after severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2007;13(10):1562-4. doi:10.3201/eid1310.070576. PMID:18258008
- [51] Channappanavar R, Fett C, Zhao J, Meyerholz DK, Perlman S. Virus-specific memory CD8 T cells provide substantial protection from lethal severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. *J Virol*. 2014;88(19):11034-44. doi:10.1128/JVI.01505-14. PMID:25056892
- [52] Zhao J, Zhao J, Perlman S. T cell responses are required for protection from clinical disease and for virus clearance in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-infected mice. *J Virol*. 2010;84(18):9318-25. doi:10.1128/JVI.01049-10. PMID:20610717
- [53] Park WB, Perera RA, Choe PG, Lau EHY, Choi SJ, Chun JY, Oh HS, Song KH, Bang JH, Kim ES, et al. Kinetics of serologic responses to MERS coronavirus infection in humans, South Korea. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2015;21(12):2186-9. doi:10.3201/eid2112.151421. PMID:26583829
- [54] Payne DC, Iblan I, Rha B, Alqasrawi S, Haddadin A, Al Nsour M, Alsannouri T, Ali SS, Harcourt J, Miao C, et al. Persistence of antibodies against Middle East Respiratory coronavirus. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2016;22(10):1824-6. doi:10.3201/eid2210.160706. PMID:27332149
- [55] Hemida MG, Al-Naeem A, Perera RA, Chin AW, Poon LL, Peiris M. Lack of middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus transmission from infected camels. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2015;21(4):699-701. doi:10.3201/eid2104.141949. PMID:25811546
- [56] Memish ZA, Alsahly A, Masri MA, Heil GL, Anderson BD, Peiris M, Khan SU, Gray GC. Sparse evidence of MERS-CoV infection among animal workers living in Southern Saudi Arabia during 2012. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses*. 2015;9(2):64-67. doi:10.1111/irv.12287. PMID:25470665
- [57] Excler JL, Delvecchio CJ, Wiley RE, Williams M, Yoon I-K, Modjarrad K, Boujelal M, Moorthy VS, Hersi AS, Kim JH. Toward developing a preventive MERS-CoV vaccine—report from a workshop organized by the Saudi Arabia Ministry of Health and the International Vaccine Institute, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, November 14–15, 2015. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2016;22(8):e1-e7. doi:10.3201/eid2208.160229. PMID:27649306
- [58] Modjarrad K, Moorthy VS, Ben Embarek P, Van Kerkhove M, Kim JA, Kieny MP. A roadmap for MERS-CoV research and product development: Report from a World Health Organization consultation. *Nat Med*. 2016;22(7):701-5. doi:10.1038/nm.4131. PMID:27387881
- [59] Kim E, Okada K, Kenniston T, Raj VS, AlHajri MM, Farag EA, AlHajri F, Osterhaus AD, Haagmans BL, Gambotto A. Immunogenicity of an adenoviral-based Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus vaccine in BALB/c mice. *Vaccine*. 2014;32(45):5975-82. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.08.058. PMID:25192975
- [60] Volz A, Kupke A, Song F, Jany S, Fux R, Shams-Eldin H, Schmidt J, Becker C, Eickmann M, Becker S, et al. Protective efficacy of recombinant modified vaccinia virus Ankara delivering Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus spike glycoprotein. *J Virol*. 2015;89(16):8651-6. doi:10.1128/JVI.00614-15. PMID:26018172
- [61] Malczyk AH, Kupke A, Pruffer S, Scheuplein VA, Hutzler S, Kreuz D, Beissert T, Bauer S, Hubich-Rau S, Tondera C, et al. A highly immunogenic and protective Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus vaccine based on a recombinant measles virus vaccine platform. *J Virol*. 2015;89(22):11654-67. doi:10.1128/JVI.01815-15. PMID:26355094
- [62] Lan J, Deng Y, Chen H, Lu G, Wang W, Guo X, Lu Z, Gao GF, Tan W. Tailoring subunit vaccine immunity with adjuvant combinations and delivery routes using the Middle East respiratory coronavirus (MERS-CoV) receptor-binding domain as an antigen. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(11):e112602. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112602. PMID:25405618
- [63] Zhang N, Tang J, Lu L, Jiang S, Du L. Receptor-binding domain-based subunit vaccines against MERS-CoV. *Virus Res*. 2015;202:151-9. doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2014.11.013. PMID:25445336
- [64] Du L, Tai W, Yang Y, Zhao G, Zhu Q, Sun S, Liu C, Tao X, Tseng CK, Perlman S, et al. Introduction of neutralizing immunogenicity index to the rational design of MERS coronavirus vaccines. *Nat Commun*. 2016;7:13473. doi:10.1038/ncomms13473. PMID:27874853
- [65] Coleman CM, Liu YV, Mu H, Taylor JK, Massare M, Flyer DC, Smith GE, Frieman MB. Purified coronavirus spike protein nanoparticles induce coronavirus neutralizing antibodies in mice. *Vaccine*. 2014;32(26):3169-74. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.04.016. PMID:24736006
- [66] Coleman CM, Venkatraman N, Liu T, Glenn YV, Smith GE, Flyer DC, Frieman MB. MERS-CoV spike nanoparticles protect mice from MERS-CoV infection. *Vaccine*. 2017;35(12):1586-9. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.02.012. PMID:28237499
- [67] Chi H, Zheng X, Wang X, Wang C, Wang H, Gai W, Perlman S, Yang S, Zhao J, Xia X. DNA vaccine encoding Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus S1 protein induces protective immune responses in mice. *Vaccine*. 2017;35:2069-75. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.02.063. PMID:28314561
- [68] Al-amri SS, Abbas AT, Siddiq LA, Alghamdi A, Sanki MA, Al-Muhanna MK, Albabbab RY, Axhar EI, Li X, Hashem AM. Immunogenicity of candidate MERS-CoV DNA vaccines based on the spike protein. *Sci Rep*. 2016;7:44875. doi:10.1038/srep44875
- [69] Henao-Restrepo AM, Camacho A, Longini IM, Watson CH, Edmunds WJ, Egger M, Carroll MW, Dean NE, Diatta I, Doumbia M, et al. Efficacy and effectiveness of an rVSV-vectored vaccine expressing Ebola surface glycoprotein: Interim results from the Guinea ring vaccination cluster-randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2017;389(10068):505-18. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)32621-6. PMID:28017403
- [70] Majumder MS, Kluberg SA, Mekaru SR, Brownstein JS. Mortality risk factors for Middle East respiratory syndrome outbreak, South Korea, 2015. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2015;21(11):2088-90. doi:10.3201/eid2111.151231. PMID:26488869
- [71] Fagbami AH. Zika virus infection in Nigeria: Virological and sero-epidemiological investigations in Oyo State. *J Hyg (Lond)*. 1979;83:213-9. doi:10.1017/S0022172400025997. PMID:489960
- [72] Geser A, Henderson BE, Christensen S. A multipurpose serological survey in Kenya. 2. Results of arbovirus serological tests. *Bull World Health Organ*. 1970;43:539-52. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0805715. PMID:5313066
- [73] Renaudet J, Ridet C, Ridet J, Adam C, Robin Y. Enquete serologique pour les arbovirus dans la population humaine du Senegal. *Bull Soc Pathol Exot Filiales*. 1978;71:131-40. PMID:33772



- [74] Smithburn KC. Neutralizing antibodies against certain recently isolated viruses in the sera of human beings residing in East Africa. *J Immunol.* 1952;69:223-34. PMID:14946416
- [75] Duffy MR, Chen T-H, Hancock WT, Powers AM, Kool JL, Lanciotti RS, Pretrick M, Marfel M, Holzbauer S, Dubray C, et al. Zika virus outbreak on Yap Island, Federated States of Micronesia. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;360(24):2536-43. PMID:19516034
- [76] Cao-Lormeau VM, Roche C, Teissier A, Robin E, Berry A-L, Mallet HP, Sall AA, Musso D. Zika virus, French Polynesia, South Pacific, 2013. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2014;20(6):1086-7. doi:10.3201/eid2006.140138
- [77] European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Rapid risk assessment: Zika virus infection outbreak, French Polynesia, 14 February 2014. In: Stockholm: ECDC; 2014
- [78] Musso D, Nilles EJ, Cao-Lormeau VM. Rapid spread of emerging Zika virus in the Pacific area. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2014;20(10):O595-6. doi:10.1111/1469-0691.12707. PMID:24909208
- [79] Campos GS, Bandeira AC, Sardi SI. Zika virus outbreak, Bahia Brazil. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2015;21(10):1885-6. doi:10.3201/eid2110.150847. PMID:26401719
- [80] Cardoso CW, Paploski IAD, Kikuti M, Rodrigues MS, Silva MMO, Campos GS, Sardi SI, Kitron U, Reis MG, Ribeiro GS. Outbreak of exanthematous illness associated with Zika, chikungunya, and dengue viruses, Salvador, Brazil. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2015;22(12):2274-6. doi:10.3201/eid2112.151167
- [81] Crowe K. Microcephaly cases in Brazil predate Zika virus outbreak, study says. CBC News, Toronto [CA]: CBC Radio-Canada; 2016. <http://www.cbc.ca/news/health/microcephaly-brazil-zika-reality-1.3442580> [accessed 2016 Sep 14].
- [82] Diallo D, Sall AA, Diagne CT, Faye O, Ba Y, Hanley KA, Buenemann M, Weaver SC, Diallo M. Zika virus emergence in mosquitoes in Southeastern Senegal, 2011. *PLoS One.* 2011;9(10):e109442. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109442
- [83] Haddow AJ, Williams MC, Woodall JP, Simpson DIH, Goma LKH. Twelve isolations of Zika virus from *Aedes (Stegomyia) africanus* (Theobald) taken in and above a Ugandan forest. *Bull World Health Organ.* 1964;31:57-69. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003188. PMID:14230895
- [84] Ledermann JP, Guillaumot L, Yug L, Saweyog SC, Tided M, Machieng P, Pretrick M, Marfel M, Griggs A, Bel M, et al. *Aedes hensilli* as a potential vector of chikungunya and Zika viruses. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2014;8(10):e3188. PMID:25299181
- [85] Marchette NJ, Garcia R, Rudnik A. Isolation of Zika virus from *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes in Malaysia. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1969;18(3):411-5. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.1969.18.411. PMID:4976739
- [86] Aliota MT, Peinado SA, Osorio JE, Bartholomay LC. *Culex pipiens* and *Aedes triseriatus* mosquito susceptibility to Zika virus. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2016;22(10):1857-9. doi:10.3201/eid2210.161082. PMID:27434194
- [87] Chouin-Carneiro T, Vega-Rua A, Vazeille M, Yebakima A, Girod R, Goindin D, Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Lourenço-de-Oliveira R, Failloux AB. Differential susceptibilities of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* from the Americas to Zika virus. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2016;10(3):e0004543. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004543. PMID:26938868
- [88] Thangamani S, Huang J, Hart CE, Guzman H, Tesh RB. Vertical transmission of Zika virus in mosquitoes. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2016;95(5):1169-73. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.16-0448. PMID:27573623
- [89] Atkinson B, Hearn P, Afrough B, Lumley S, Carter D, Aarons EJ, Simpson AJ, Brooks TJ, Hewson R. Zika virus in semen. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2016;22(6):940. doi:10.3201/eid2205.160107. PMID:27088817
- [90] Brooks RB, Carlos MP, Myers RA, White MG, Bobo-Lenoci T, Aplan D, Blythe D, Feldman KA. Likely sexual transmission of Zika virus from a man with no symptoms of infection, Maryland, 2016. *MMWR* 2016;65(34):915-6. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6534e2. PMID:27585037
- [91] Musso D, Roche C, Robin E, Nhan T, Teissier A, Cao-Lormeau VM. Potential sexual transmission of Zika virus. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2015;21(2):359-61. doi:10.3201/eid2102.141363. PMID:25625872
- [92] Besnard M, Lastere S, Teissier A, Cao-Lormeau VM, Musso D. Evidence of perinatal transmission of Zika virus, French Polynesia, December 2013 and February 2014. *Euro Surveill.* 2014;19(13):20751. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES2014.19.13.20751. PMID:24721538
- [93] Musso D, Roche C, Nhan TX, Robin E, Teissier A, Cao-Lormeau VM. Detection of Zika virus in saliva. *J Clin Virol.* 2015;68:53-55. doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2015.04.021. PMID:26071336
- [94] Focosi D, Maggi F, Pistello M. Zika virus: Implications for public health. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2016;63(2):227-33. doi:10.1093/cid/ciw210. PMID:27048745
- [95] Bell TM, Field RJ, Narang HK. Zika virus infection of the central nervous system of mice. *Arch Gesamte Virusforsch.* 1971;35(2):183-93. doi:10.1007/BF01249709. PMID:5002906
- [96] Cao-Lormeau VM, Blake A, Mons S, Lastere S, Roche C, Vanhomwegen J, Dub T, Baudouin L, Teissier A, Larre P, et al. Guillain-Barre Syndrome outbreak associated with Zika virus infection in French Polynesia: A case-control study. *Lancet.* 2016;387(10027):1531-9. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00562-6. PMID:26948433
- [97] Carteaux G, Maquart M, Bedet A, Contou D, Brugières P, Fourati S, Cleret de Langavant L, de Broucker T, Brun-Buisson C, Leparac-Goffart I, et al. Zika virus associated with meningoencephalitis. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374(16):1595-6. doi:10.1056/NEJMc1602964. PMID:26958738
- [98] Pan Am Health Organization. Epidemiological alert. Neurological syndrome, congenital malformations, and Zika virus infection. Implications for public health in the Americas. 1 December 2015. In: Washington (DC): World Health Organization, Pan American Health Organization; 2015
- [99] Ministério da Saude (Brazil). Ministério da Saúde investiga aumento de casos de microcefalia em Pernambuco. Brasília [BR]: Ministério da Saude (Brazil); 2015 [accessed 2016 Jan 30]. <http://portalsaude.saude.gov.br/index.php/cidadao/principal/agencia-saude/20629-ministerio-da-saude-investiga-aumento-de-casos-de-microcefalia-em-pernambuco>
- [100] Besnard M, Eyrolle-Guignot D, Guillaumette-Artur P, Lastere S, Bost-Bezeaud F, Marcellis L, Abadie V, Garel C, Moutard ML, Jouannic JM, et al. Congenital cerebral malformations and dysfunction in fetuses and newborns following the 2013 to 2014 Zika virus epidemic in French Polynesia. *Euro Surveill.* 2016;21(13):22-30. pii = 30181. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.13.30181. PMID:27063794
- [101] Nishiura H, Mizumoto K, Rock KS, Yasuda Y, Kinoshita R, Miyamatsu Y. A theoretical estimate of the risk of microcephaly during pregnancy with Zika virus infection. *Epidemics.* 2016;15:66-70. doi:10.1016/j.epidem.2016.03.001. PMID:27288540
- [102] Brasil P, Pereira JP, Moreira ME, Nogueira RMR, Damasceno L, Wakimoto M, Rabello RS, Valderramos SG, Halai UA, Salles TS, et al. Zika virus infection in pregnant women in Rio de Janeiro. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375(24):2321-2334. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1602412. PMID:26943629
- [103] European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Rapid risk assessment. Zika virus epidemic in the Americas: potential association with microcephaly and Guillain-Barre syndrome. Solna [SW]: ECDC; 2015 [accessed 2016 Jan 30]. <https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/media/en/publications/Publications/zika-virus-americas-association-with-microcephaly-rapid-risk-assessment.pdf>
- [104] Leal MC, Muniz LF, Ferreira TSA, Santos CM, Almeida LC, Van Der Linden V, Ramos RC, Rodrigues LC, Neto SS. Hearing loss in infants with microcephaly and evidence of congenital Zika virus infection - Brazil, November 2015 - May 2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2016;65(34):917-9. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6534e3. PMID:27585248
- [105] Adams Waldorf KM, Stencel-Baerenwald JE, Kapur RP, Studholme C, Boldenow E, Vornhagen J, Baldessari A, Dighe MK, Thiel J, Merillat S, et al. Fetal brain lesions after subcutaneous inoculation of Zika virus in a pregnant nonhuman primate. *Nat Med.* 2016;22(11):1256-9. doi:10.1038/nm.4193. PMID:27618651
- [106] Meaney-Delman D, Oduyebo T, Polen KND, White JL, Bingham AM, Slavinski SA, Heberlein-Larson L, St George K, Rakeman JL, Hills S, et al. Prolonged detection of Zika virus RNA in pregnant women. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;128(4):724-30. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000001625. PMID:27479770
- [107] Oliveira DBL, Almeida FJ, Durigon EL, Mendes EA, Braconi CT, Marchetti I, Andreata-Santos R, Cunha MP, Alves RP, Pereira LR,



- et al. Prolonged shedding of Zika virus associated with congenital infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(12):1202-4. doi:10.1056/NEJMc1607583. PMID:27653589
- [108] Sun LH. Miscarriages reported in 2 U.S. women with Zika virus, CDC says. In: phillycom. Washington (DC): Washington Post; 2016
- [109] Govero J, Esakky P, Scheaffer SM, Fernandez E, Drury A, Platt DJ, Gorman MJ, Richner JM, Caine EA, Salazar V, et al. Zika virus infection damages the testes in mice. *Nature*. 2016;540(15 December):438-42. doi:10.1038/nature20556. PMID:27798603
- [110] Huzly D, Hanselmann I, Schmidt-Chansit J, Panning M. High specificity of a novel Zika virus ELISA in European patients after exposure to different flaviviruses. *Euro Surveill*. 2016;21(16):pii = 30203. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.16.30203. PMID:27126052
- [111] Bingham AM, Cone M, Mock V, Heberlein-Larson L, Stanek D, Blackmore C, Likos A. Comparison of test results for Zika virus RNA in urine, serum, and saliva specimens from persons with travel-associated Zika virus disease - Florida, 2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2016;65(18):475-8. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6518e2. PMID:27171533
- [112] Shukla S, Hong SY, Chung SH, Kim MG. Rapid detection strategies for the global threat of Zika virus: Current state, new hypotheses, and limitations. *Front Microbiol*. 2016;7:1685. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.01685. PMID:27822207
- [113] Jeong YE, Cha GW, Cho JE, Lee EJ, Lee WJ. Viral and serologic kinetics in Zika virus-infected patients in South Korea. *Virol J*. 2017;14:70. doi:10.1186/s12985-017-0740-6. PMID:28388922
- [114] Gourinat A-C, O'Connor O, Calvez E, Goarant C, Dupont-Rouzeyrol M. Detection of Zika virus in urine. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2015;21(1):84-86. doi:10.3201/eid2101.140894. PMID:25530324
- [115] Patino-Barbosa AM, Medina I, Gil-Restrepo AF, Rodriguez-Morales AJ. Zika: Another sexually transmitted infection? *Sex Transm Infect*. 2015;91(5):359. doi:10.1136/sextrans-2015-052189. PMID:26113729
- [116] Fourcade C, Mansuy J-M, Dutertre M, Delpech M, Marchou B, Delobel P, Izopet J, Martin-Blondel G. Viral load kinetics of Zika virus in plasma, urine and saliva in a couple returning from Martinique, French West Indies. *J Clin Virol*. 2016;82:1-4. doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2016.06.011. PMID:27389909
- [117] Bowen JR, Quicke KM, Maddur MS, O'Neal JT, McDonald CE, Fedorova NB, Puri V, Shabman RS, Pulendran B, Suthar MS. Zika virus antagonizes Type I interferon responses during infection of human dendritic cells. *PLoS Pathog*. 2017;13(2):e1006164. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006164. PMID:28152048
- [118] Grant A, Ponia SS, Tripathi S, Balasubramaniam V, Miorin L, Sourisseau M, Schwarz MC, Sánchez-Seco MP, Evans MJ, Best SM, et al. Zika virus targets human STAT2 to inhibit Type I interferon signaling. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2016;19(6):882-90. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2016.05.009. PMID:27212660
- [119] Winkler CW, Myers LM, Woods TA, Messer RJ, Carmody AB, McNally KL, Scott DP, Hasenkrug KJ, Best SM, Peterson KE. Adaptive immune responses to Zika virus are important for controlling virus infection and preventing infection in brain and testes. *J Immunol*. 2017;198:3526-35. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1601949. PMID:28330900
- [120] Aid M, Abbink P, Larocca RA, Boyd M, Nityanandam R, Nanayakkara O, Martinot AJ, Moseley ET, Blass E, Borducchi EN, et al. Zika virus persistence in the central nervous system and lymph nodes of rhesus monkeys. *Cell*. 2017;169:610-20. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.04.008. PMID:28457610
- [121] Liang Q, Luo Z, Zeng J, Chen W, Foo SS, Lee SA, Ge J, Wang S, Goldman SA, Zlokovic BV. Zika virus NS4A and NS4B proteins deregulate Akt-mTOR signaling in human fetal neural stem cells to inhibit neurogenesis and induce autophagy. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2016;19:1-9. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2016.07.019. PMID:27392217
- [122] Anderson KB, Gibbons RV, Cummings DAT, Nisalak A, Green S, Libraty DH, Jarman RG, Srikiatkachorn A, Mammen MP, Darunee B, et al. A shorter time interval between first and second dengue infections is associated with protection from clinical illness in a school-based cohort from Thailand. *J Infect Dis*. 2014;209(1 February):360-8. doi:10.1093/infdis/jit436. PMID:23964110
- [123] Halstead SB, O'Rourke EJ. Dengue viruses and mononuclear phagocytes. I. Infection enhancement by non-neutralizing antibody. *J Exp Med*. 1977;146:201-17. doi:10.1084/jem.146.1.201. PMID:406347
- [124] Kliks SC, Nisalak A, Brandt WE, Wahl L, Burke DS. Antibody-dependent enhancement of dengue virus growth in human monocytes as a risk factor for dengue hemorrhagic fever. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 1989;40(4):444-51. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.1989.40.444. PMID:2712199
- [125] Endy TP, Nisalak A, Chunsuttitwat S, Vaughn DW, Green S, Ennis FA, Rothman AL, Libraty DH. Relationship of preexisting dengue virus (DV) neutralizing antibody levels to viremia and severity of disease in a prospective cohort study of DV infection in Thailand. *J Infect Dis*. 2004;189(6):990-1000. doi:10.1086/382280. PMID:14999601
- [126] Laoprasopwattana K, Libraty DH, Endy TP, Nisalak A, Chunsuttitwat S, Vaughn DW, Reed G, Ennis FA, Rothman AL, Green S. Dengue virus (DV) enhancing antibody activity in preillness plasma does not predict subsequent disease severity or viremia in secondary DV infection. *J Infect Dis*. 2005;192(3):510-9. doi:10.1086/431520. PMID:15995967
- [127] Henderson BE, Cheshire PP, Kirya GB, Lule M. Immunologic studies with yellow fever and selected African Group B arboviruses in rhesus and vervet monkeys. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 1970;19(1):110-8. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.1970.19.110. PMID:4984581
- [128] Brandt WE, McCown JM, Gentry MK, Russell PK. Infection enhancement of dengue type 2 virus in the U-937 human monocyte cell line by antibodies to flavivirus cross-reactive determinants. *Infect Immun*. 1982;36(3):1036-41. doi:10.1126/science.aal4365. PMID:6284641
- [129] Fagbami AH, Halstead SB, Marchette NJ, Larsen K. Cross-infection enhancement among African flaviviruses by immune mouse ascitic fluids. *Cytobios*. 1987;49:49-55. PMID:3028713
- [130] Bardina SV, Bunduc P, Tripathi S, Duehr J, Frere JJ, Brown JA, Nachbagauer R, Foster GA, Krysztos D, Tortorella D, et al. Enhancement of Zika virus pathogenesis by preexisting anti-flavivirus immunity. *Science*. 2017;356(6334):175-80. doi:10.1126/science.aal4365. PMID:28360135
- [131] Dejnirattisai W, Supasa P, Wongwiwat W, Rouvinski A, Barba-Spaeth G, Duangchinda T, Sakuntabhai A, Cao-Lormeau VM, Malasit P, Rey FA, et al. Dengue virus sero-cross-reactivity drives antibody dependent enhancement of infection with Zika virus. *Nat Immunol*. 2016;17(9):1102-8. doi:10.1038/ni.3515. PMID:27339099
- [132] Paul LM, Carlin ER, Jenkins MM, Tan AL, Barcellona CM, Nicholson CO, Michael SF, Isern S. Dengue virus antibodies enhance Zika virus infection. *Clin Transl Immunology*. 2016;5(12):e117. doi:10.1038/cti.2016.72. PMID:28090318
- [133] Barba-Spaeth G, Dejnirattisai W, Rouvinski A, Vaney MC, Medits I, Sharma A, Simon-Lorière E, Sakuntabhai A, Cao-Lormeau VM, Haouz A, et al. Structural basis of potent Zika-dengue virus antibody cross-neutralization. *Nature*. 2016;536(14):48-53. doi:10.1038/nature18938. PMID:27338953
- [134] Robbiani DF, Bozzacco L, Keefe JR, Khouri R, Olsen PC, Gazumyan A, Schaefer-Babajew D, Avila-Rios S, Nogueira L, Patel R, et al. Recurrent potent human neutralizing antibodies to Zika virus in Brazil and Mexico. *Cell*. 2017;169:597-609. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.04.024. PMID:28475892
- [135] Collins MH, McGowan E, Jari R, Young E, Lopez CA, Baric RS, Lazear HM, de Silva AM. Lack of durable cross-neutralizing antibodies against Zika virus from dengue virus infection. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2017;23(5):773-81. doi:10.3201/eid2305.161630. PMID:28418292
- [136] Stettler K, Beltramello M, Espinosa DA, Graham V, Cassotta A, Bianchi S, Vanzetta F, Minola A, Jaconi S, Mele F, et al. Specificity, cross-reactivity, and function of antibodies elicited by Zika virus infection. *Science*. 2016;353(6301):823-6. doi:10.1126/science.aaf8505. PMID:27417494
- [137] Halai UA, Nielsen-Saines K, Moreira ME, Sequeira PC, Junior P, Zin AA, Cherry JD, Gabaglia CR, Gaw SL, Adachi K, et al. Maternal Zika virus disease severity, virus load prior dengue antibodies and their relationship to birth outcomes. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;65(6):877-83. doi:10.1093/cid/cix472. PMID:28535184
- [138] Kennedy RB. Pushing forward with Zika vaccines. *EBioMedicine*. 2016;13:29-30. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.10.016. PMID:27751766

- [139] World Health Organization. Current Zika product pipeline. Current Zika product pipeline. Geneva (SW): World Health Organization; 2016 [accessed 2016 Sep 18]. <http://www.who.int/blueprint/priority-diseases/key-action/zika-rd-pipeline.pdf>
- [140] Abbink P, Larocca RA, De La Barrera RA, Bricault CA, Moseley ET, Boyd M, Kirilova M, Li Z, Ng'ang'a D, Nanayakkara O, et al. Protective efficacy of multiple vaccine platforms against Zika virus challenge in rhesus monkeys. *Science*. 2016;353(6304):1129-33. doi:10.1126/science.aah6157. PMID:27492477
- [141] Dowd KA, Ko S-Y, Morabito KM, Yang ES, Pelc RS, DeMaso CR, Castilho LR, Abbink P, Boyd M, Nityanandam R, et al. Rapid development of a DNA vaccine for Zika virus. *Science*. 2016;354(6309):237-40. doi:10.1126/science.aai9137. PMID:27708058
- [142] Kim E, Erdos G, Huang S, Kenniston T, Falo LD, Gambotto A. Preventive vaccines for Zika virus outbreak: Preliminary evaluation. *EBioMedicine*. 2016;13:315-20. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.09.028. PMID:27717627
- [143] Larocca RA, Abbink P, Peron JPS, de A. Zanolto PM, Iampietro MJ, Badamchi-Zadeh A, Boyd M, Ng'ang'a D, Kirilova M, Nityanandam R, et al. Vaccine protection against Zika virus from Brazil. *Nature*. 2016;536(7617):474-8. doi:10.1038/nature18952. PMID:27355570
- [144] Muthumani K, Griffin BD, Agarwal S, Kudchodkar S, Reuschel EL, Choi H, Kraynyak K, Duperret EK, Keaton AA, Chung C. In vivo protection against ZIKV infection and pathogenesis through passive antibody transfer and active immunization with a prMEnv DNA vaccine. *NPJ Vaccines*. 2016;1:16021. doi:10.1038/npjvaccines.2016.21
- [145] Pardi N, Hogan MJ, Pelc RS, Muramatsu H, Andersen H, DeMaso CR, Dowd KA, Sutherland LL, Searce RM, Parks R. Zika virus protection by a single low-dose nucleoside-modified mRNA vaccination. *Nature*. 2016;543(7644):248-51. doi:10.1038/nature21428
- [146] Richner JM, Himansu S, Dowd KA, Butler SL, Salazar V, Fox JM, Julander JG, Tang WW, Shrestha S, Pierson TC, et al. Modified mRNA vaccines protect against Zika virus infection. *Cell*. 2017;168(6):1114-25. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.02.017. PMID:28222903
- [147] Shan C, Muruato AE, Nunes BT, Luo H, Xie X, Medeiros DBA, Wakamiya M, Tesh RB, Barrett AD, Wang T, et al. A live-attenuated Zika virus vaccine candidate induces sterilizing immunity in mouse models. *Nat Med*. 2017;23(6):763-7. doi:10.1038/nm.4322. PMID:28394328
- [148] Halstead SB, O'Rourke EJ, Allison AC. Dengue viruses and mononuclear phagocytes. II. Identity of blood and tissue leukocytes in supporting in vitro infection. *J Exp Med*. 1977;146:218-29. doi:10.1084/jem.146.1.218. PMID:195000
- [149] Senaratne T, Wimalaratne H, Alahakoon DGS, Gunawardane N, Carr J, Noordeen F. Characterization of dengue virus infections in a sample of patients suggests unique clinical, immunological, and virological profiles that impact on the diagnosis of dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever. *J Med Virol*. 2016;88(10):1703-10. doi:10.1002/jmv.24525. PMID:26990973
- [150] Barcellos C, Xavier DR, Pavão AL, Boccolini CS, Pina FM, Romero R, Pedrosa M, Romero D, Romão AR. Increased hospitalizations for neuropathies as indicators of Zika virus infection, according to Health Information System data, Brazil. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2016;22(11):1994-1899. doi:10.3201/eid2211.160901
- [151] dos Santos T, Rodriguez A, Almiron M, Sanhueza A, Ramon P, de Oliveira WK, Coelho GE, Badaró R, Cortez J, Ospina M, et al. Zika virus and the Guillain-Barré Syndrome — Case series from seven countries. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(16):1598-601. doi:10.1056/NEJMc1609015. PMID:27579558
- [152] Oehler E, Watrin L, Larre P, Leparc Goffart I, Lastere S, Valour F, Baudouin L, Mallet H, Musso D, Ghawche F. Zika virus infection complicated by Guillain-Barre syndrome - case report, French Polynesia, December 2013. *Euro Surveill*. 2014;19(9):20720. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES2014.19.9.20720. PMID:24626205
- [153] de Oliveira WK, Carmo EH, Henriques CM, Coelho G, Vazquez E, Cortez-Escalante J, Molina J, Aldighieri S, Espinal MA, Dye C. Zika virus infection and associated neurologic disorders in Brazil. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376(16):1591-3. doi:10.1056/NEJMc1608612. PMID:28402236
- [154] Maslow JN. Vaccine development for emerging virulent infectious diseases. *Vaccine*. 2017;35:5437-43. PMID:28216184; doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.02.015.
- [155] European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Epidemiological update: Additional confirmed cases of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (novel coronavirus) in France, Saudi Arabia, and Tunisia. In. Stockholm (Sweden): European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; 2013
- [156] World Health Organization. Global alert and response (GAR): Novel coronavirus infection—update (Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus). In. Geneva (Switzerland): World Health Organization; 2013. Available at [http://www.who.int/csr/don/2013\\_05\\_23\\_ncov/en/](http://www.who.int/csr/don/2013_05_23_ncov/en/)
- [157] European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Updated rapid risk assessment: Severe respiratory disease associated with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV). In. Stockholm (Sweden): European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; 2013
- [158] Bolles M, Deming D, Long K, Agnihothram S, Whitmore A, Ferris M, Funkhouser W, Gralinski L, Totura A, Heise M, et al. A double-inactivated severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus vaccine provides incomplete protection in mice and induces increased eosinophilic proinflammatory pulmonary response upon challenge. *J Virol*. 2011;85(23):12201-15. doi:10.1128/JVI.06048-11. PMID:21937658