

PROBLEM SET 9

Due: Th, April 23, 6.00 PM

Topics: Self-adjoint operators, L^2 spaces, Hermite's operator

1. **Reading.** Sections 2.8, 2.9 (up to Theorem 2.14), 4.9, 4.10, 4.11 in Holland's book.
2. **Self-adjoint Operators.** Consider the complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2([0; 1]; dx)$ and the Laplace operator $\ell = -\partial_x^2$ with domain

$$D_\ell = \{\psi \in H^2([0; 1]; dx) : \psi(0) = \psi(1) \text{ and } \psi'(0) = \psi'(1)\}.$$

Prove that ℓ is self-adjoint by proceeding as follows:

- a) Using integration by parts, prove that for every $\phi \in D_\ell, \psi \in D_{\ell^*}$, we have that

$$0 = \partial_x \phi(0) (\overline{\psi(0)} - \overline{\psi(1)}) + \phi(0) (\overline{\partial_x \psi(1)} - \overline{\partial_x \psi(0)}).$$

- b) Now, given $\psi \in D_{\ell^*}$, we need to conclude that $\psi \in D_\ell$. Notice that the identity from the previous part holds true for **every** $\phi \in D_\ell$. Make smart choices to conclude that $\psi \in D_\ell$. This proves $D_\ell = D_{\ell^*}$ and hence that ℓ is self-adjoint.

3. **L^2 -Spaces.** Consider the space $L^2([0; 1]^2, dxdy)$, defined by

$$L^2([0; 1]^2, dxdy) = \left\{ \psi : [0; 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy |\psi(x, y)|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Denote by $(\varphi_p)_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ our standard orthonormal basis of $L^2([0; 1], dx)$, $\varphi_p(x) = e^{2\pi i p x}$. Using the basis elements φ_p , define a new sequence $(\varphi_p \otimes \varphi_q)_{p, q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$\varphi_p \otimes \varphi_q(x, y) = \varphi_p(x) \varphi_q(y) = e^{2\pi i p x} e^{2\pi i q y}$$

for all $x, y \in [0; 1]$. Prove that for every $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\varphi_p \otimes \varphi_q \in L^2([0; 1]^2, dx)$ and that $(\varphi_p \otimes \varphi_q)_{p, q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal sequence in $L^2([0; 1]^2, dxdy)$. Using the hint below, provide a semi-rigorous argument¹ why this sequence forms a complete orthonormal basis of $L^2([0; 1]^2, dxdy)$. It is called the *tensor product basis*.

Hint: It is a useful fact that if $(\zeta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a complete orthonormal basis in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and $\langle f, \zeta_k \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f = 0 \in \mathcal{H}$. Why is that?

3. **Hermite's Equation.** Read carefully Section 2.8 and have a look at Exercise 1 of section 2.9 in Holland's book: For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, consider the 2nd order linear ODE

$$y'' - xy' + ny = 0. \tag{1}$$

Use the power series expansion method to prove that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the differential equation (1) admits a *polynomial solution* H_n of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with the property that if n is even, the polynomial $x \mapsto H_n(x)$ has only non-zero coefficients for even powers of x and if n is odd, $x \mapsto H_n(x)$ has only non-zero coefficients for odd powers of x . Compute H_0 , H_1 and H_2 .

4. **Hermite's Operator** Prove that every polynomial is compatible with the Hermite operator $\ell(y) = -e^{x^2/2} \partial_x (e^{-x^2/2} \partial_x y)$, as defined in class.

¹Semi-rigorous means here that there are some details from Lebesgue integration that we, strictly speaking, do not know. Nevertheless, that's not going to keep us from providing a strong, intuitive argument!