

**Problem Set 4**

CS265, Autumn 2022

Due: Friday 10/28 at 11:59pm on Gradescope

Group members: Rylan Schaeffer

Please follow the homework policies on the course website.

1. **(4 pt.)** Prove that  $(\mathbb{R}^3, \ell_2)$  cannot be embedded into  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \ell_2)$  with bounded distortion. In other words, there are no functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  and constants  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  such that the following inequality holds for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$\beta \|x - y\|_2 \leq \|f(x) - f(y)\|_2 \leq \alpha \beta \|x - y\|_2.$$

[**HINT:** Try a proof by contradiction. How should the grid  $G_n := \{(i, j, k) : i, j, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}\}$  be embedded?]

[**HINT:** A disc of radius  $r$  has area  $\pi r^2$ .]

**SOLUTION:** Consider the  $n^3$  points on the grid in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . For each pair of points  $x, y$ , note that  $\|x - y\|_2 \geq 1$ . For purposes of contradiction, assume the distortion constraints hold for  $f(\cdot)$ . From the lower distortion constraint, we know that:

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\|_2 \geq \beta \|x - y\|_2 \geq \beta > 0$$

since the minimum distance is 1. From this constraint, we can think of each grid point as mapped to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , surrounded by a disc with radius  $\geq \beta/2$ . The area covered by one of these discs is thus  $\geq \pi(\beta/2)^2$ , and with  $n^3$  disjoint discs, the total area  $A(n)$  covered must be:

$$A(n) \geq n^3 \pi (\beta/2)^2$$

Since the most efficient packing of disks is in a close-packed structure (see Wikipedia's Sphere Packing page), a lower bound on the radius  $R(n)$  of the circle that encompasses the necessary area is at least:

$$R(n) \geq \frac{n^{3/2} \beta}{2}$$

This means that the diameter  $D(n)$  is at least:

$$D(n) \geq n^{3/2} \beta$$

This means that there are two points  $a, b$  on the grid that have distance at least  $n^{3/2} \beta$ :

$$n^{3/2} \beta \leq \|f(a) - f(b)\|_2$$

Note that in our grid in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the maximum possible distance is  $\leq (n^2 + n^2 + n^2)^{1/2} = \sqrt{3}n$  from  $(0, 0, 0)$  to  $(n, n, n)$ . Under the upper bound distortion constraint, this means that  $\forall x, y$ :

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \alpha\beta n$$

This violates the upper bound distortion constraint because there is no constant  $\alpha$  such that  $\forall \alpha, x, y$ :

$$n^{3/2}\beta \leq \|f(x) - f(y)\|_2 \leq \alpha\beta\sqrt{3}n$$

Thus, we have our contradiction: it's impossible to satisfy both the upper and lower distortion bounds simultaneously.

2. **(4 pt.)** We showed that Bourgain's embedding allows us to embed an arbitrary metric space  $(X, d)$  with  $|X| = n$  into  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \ell_1)$  with target dimension  $k$  being  $O((\log n)^2)$  and distortion being  $O(\log n)$ . Moreover, the embedding can be computed efficiently using a randomized algorithm. Prove that the exact same embedding computed by the randomized algorithm also achieves  $O(\log n)$  distortion with high probability when the target metric is  $\ell_p$  for  $p > 1$ . We encourage you to emphasize only the differences from the proof in the lecture notes rather than copying the entire proof.

[**HINT:** Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  denote the relevant embedding. For any two points  $x, y \in X$ , we showed that  $\|f(x) - f(y)\|_1 \leq k \cdot d(x, y)$ . Can we say something similar about  $\|f(x) - f(y)\|_p$ ?]

[**HINT:** For any two points  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $p > 1$ , it holds that  $\|a - b\|_p \geq k^{(1/p)-1} \|a - b\|_1$ . This is a special case of Hölder's inequality.]

**SOLUTION:** We want to show both a lower bound and an upper bound on the distortion. The upper bound proof is similar to the proof in lecture, since  $|d(x, S_{ij}) - d(y, S_{ij})| \leq d(x, y)$  still holds as it depends on the original metric  $d$ , not  $\ell_p$ . Specifically:

$$|d(x, S_{ij}) - d(y, S_{ij})| \leq d(x, y) \Rightarrow |d(x, S_{ij}) - d(y, S_{ij})|^P \leq d(x, y)^P$$

And then in  $\ell_p$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - f(y)\|_p &= \sqrt[p]{\sum_{ij} |d(x, S_{ij}) - d(y, S_{ij})|^p} \\ &\leq \sqrt[p]{\sum_{ij} d(x, y)^p} \\ &= \sqrt[p]{k d(x, y)^p} \\ &= \sqrt[p]{k} d(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the upper bound. To establish the lower bound, recall that we showed in lecture that:

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\|_1 \geq \frac{k}{b \log n} d(x, y)$$

Using Hint 2, we have:

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\|_p \geq k^{1/p-1} \|f(x) - f(y)\|_1 \geq k^{1/p-1} \frac{k}{b \log n} d(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt[p]{k}}{b \log n} d(x, y)$$

The two bounds prove the claim:

$$\frac{\sqrt[p]{k}}{b \log n} d(x, y) \leq \|f(x) - f(y)\|_p \leq \sqrt[p]{k} d(x, y)$$

where  $\alpha = \sqrt[p]{k}$  is the scaling and  $O(\log n)$  is the distortion.

3. **(11 pt.) Johnson-Lindenstrauss with  $\pm 1$  entries:** In the lecture notes and videos we showed that a matrix of standard Gaussians can be used to get a dimension reducing map with very little distortion. However, a matrix of arbitrary real numbers can be cumbersome to store and compute with. In this problem you'll show that you can get essentially the same guarantees using random matrices with  $\pm 1$  entries. Throughout this problem, let  $A$  be an  $m \times d$  matrix whose entries are independently set to  $+1$  with probability  $1/2$  and otherwise to  $-1$ , and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be an arbitrary unit vector.<sup>1</sup>

In this problem, you can use the statements from previous subparts even if you do not successfully prove them.

- (a) **(2 pt.)** Show that  $\mathbb{E}[\|Az\|_2^2] = m$ .
- (b) **(2 pt.)** For  $Y \sim N(0, 1)$ , show that for any even  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[Y^k] \geq 1$ , and for odd  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[Y^k] = 0$ .  
**[HINT: There are many solutions to this. Try to find a short one!]**
- (c) **(2 pt.)** Prove that for any independent  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and independent  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ , if, for all integers  $k \geq 0$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$0 \leq \mathbb{E}[(X_i)^k] \leq \mathbb{E}[(Y_i)^k]$$

then for all integers  $p \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right)^p \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right)^p \right]$$

- (d) **(4 pt.)** Let  $B$  be an  $m \times d$  matrix whose entries are independently drawn from  $N(0, 1)$ . Prove that, for any  $t \geq 0$  and unit vector  $z$ , if  $\mathbb{E}[e^{t\|Bz\|_2^2}]$  is finite<sup>2</sup>, then

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{t\|Az\|_2^2}] \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{t\|Bz\|_2^2}]$$

**[HINT: For any random variable  $X$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X^k]$ ]**

- (e) **(1 pt.)** Show that, for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\Pr[\|Az\|_2^2 \geq m(1 + \epsilon)] \leq e^{-\Omega(m\epsilon^2)}.$$

<sup>1</sup>You may wonder why the proof from the lecture notes doesn't directly apply to  $\pm 1$  entries. This is because, when the entries are drawn from a normal distribution, we can use the rotational invariance of Gaussians to rotate  $z$  until it is a standard unit vector. That trick no longer applies if the entries are  $\pm 1$ .

<sup>2</sup>For the purpose of your solutions, feel free to ignore this "is finite."

If your proof is similar to that of Theorem 1 in lecture notes 8, we encourage you to emphasize only the differences from the proof in the lecture notes rather than copying the entire proof.

- (f) **(0 pt.) [Optional: this won't be graded.]** Show that, for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\Pr[\|Az\|_2^2 \leq m(1 - \epsilon)] \leq e^{-\Omega(m\epsilon^2)}.$$

**[HINT:** We recommend you first show that for any independent and nonnegative random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_m$ , defining  $S = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$ , the probability  $S \leq \mathbb{E}[S] - \Delta$  is at most  $\exp(-\Omega(\Delta^2 / \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}[X_i^2]))$ . To do so, use the inequality  $e^{-v} \leq 1 - v + v^2/2$  which holds for any  $v \geq 0$ . Feel free to use the fact that for  $Y \sim N(0, 1)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[Y^4] = 3$ .]

### SOLUTION:

- (a) First, note that the first moment of any element of  $A_{ij}$  is:

$$\mathbb{E}[A_{ij}] = \frac{1}{2}(-1) + \frac{1}{2}(1) = 0$$

and the second moment is:

$$\mathbb{E}[A_{ij}^2] = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1)^2 = 1$$

Using the trace, and linearity of expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}[\|Az\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr}[z^T A^T A z]] = \text{Tr}[z^T \mathbb{E}[A^T A] z] = \text{Tr}[z^T \text{diag}(\sum_i \mathbb{E}[A_{1i}^2], \dots, \sum_i \mathbb{E}[A_{di}^2]) z]$$

Substituting the second moment and using that  $z^T z = 1$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[\|Az\|_2^2] = \text{Tr}[z^T \text{diag}(m, \dots, m) z] = m \text{Tr}[z^T z] = m$$

- (b) For odd  $k \geq 0$ : Note that  $Y$  is symmetric about 0, meaning  $\mathbb{P}[Y = y] = \mathbb{P}[Y = -y]$ . Consequently, for any odd  $k$ , by symmetry,  $\mathbb{E}[Y^k] = \mathbb{E}[(-Y)^k] = -\mathbb{E}[Y^k]$ . The only value equal to its own negative is 0, meaning  $\mathbb{E}[Y^k] = 0$  for odd  $k \geq 0$ .

For even  $k \geq 0$ : We can use moment generating functions. Specifically, for the standard normal, the MGF is:

$$M_Y(t) = e^{t^2/2}$$

And recall that  $\mathbb{E}[Y^k] = \frac{d^k}{dt^k} M_Y(t) \big|_{t=0}$ . Computing the 0th derivative:

$$\mathbb{E}[Y^0] = 1$$

Computing the 2nd derivative:

$$\mathbb{E}[Y^2] = e^{0^2/2} + (0)^2 e^{t^2/2} = 1$$

For every even  $k$ , taking the derivative and applying the chain rule will annihilate some terms with  $t$  prefactors, meaning they'll survive when we evaluate at  $t = 0$ . For instance,  $k = 4$ :

$$\frac{d^4}{dt^4} M_y(t=0) = e^{t^2/2} + te^{t^2/2} + 2e^{t^2/2} + 2t^2e^{t^2/2} + 3t^2e^{t^2/2} + t^4e^{t^2/2} = 1 + 2 = 3 \geq 1$$

Since nothing will ever produce a negative prefactor and since we are only adding additional terms, and since the first term ( $k = 0$ ) is 1, the sum must be  $\geq 1$ . More specifically, the general rule for even  $k \geq 0$  is  $\mathbb{E}[Y^k] = k!! \geq 1$ .

- (c) Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are independent and suppose that  $\forall k \geq 0$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ :

$$0 \leq \mathbb{E}[(X_i)^k] \leq \mathbb{E}[(Y_i)^k]$$

Then by the Multinomial theorem, independence and linearity of expectation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(\sum_i X_i)^p] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=p} \binom{p}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} \prod_i X_i^{k_i}\right] \\ &= \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=p} \binom{p}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} \prod_i \mathbb{E}[X_i^{k_i}] \\ &\leq \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=p} \binom{p}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} \prod_i \mathbb{E}[Y_i^{k_i}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(\sum_i Y_i)^p] \end{aligned}$$

- (d) Let  $A$  be an  $m \times d$  matrix with entries drawn i.i.d. from  $\{-1, +1\}$  with probability  $1/2$  each, and  $B$  be an  $m \times d$  matrix with entries drawn i.i.d. from  $N(0, 1)$ . Let  $z$  be an arbitrary unit vector and assume:  $t \geq 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(t\|Bz\|_2^2)] < \infty$ . Our goal is to show:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{t\|Az\|_2^2}] \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{t\|Bz\|_2^2}]$$

We want to show the above, and we know from the Taylor series expansion of  $e$  that the above will hold if we can show that  $\forall k \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[(\|Az\|_2^2)^k] \leq \mathbb{E}[(\|Bz\|_2^2)^k]$$

We can write  $\|Az\|_2^2 = \sum_i^m (A_i z)^2$ . From 3c, we know that the above statement will hold if we can show that  $\forall k' \geq 0$ :

$$0 \leq \mathbb{E}[(A_i z)^{2k'}] \leq \mathbb{E}[(B_i z)^{2k'}]$$

Note that  $A_i z = \sum_j^d A_{ij} z_j$ . Re-applying 3c, the above will hold if  $\forall k'' \geq 0$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[(A_{ij}z_j)^{k''}] \leq \mathbb{E}[(B_{ij}z_j)^{k''}]$$

To show that this holds, we can use our result from 3b. Specifically, we know that for odd  $k$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[A_{ij}^k] = 0$  (by symmetry), and that for even  $k$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[A_{ij}^k] = 1$  (because for even  $k$ ,  $(-1)^k = (1)^k = 1$ ), and that for odd  $k$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[B_{ij}^k] = 0$ , and for even  $k$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[B_{ij}^k] \geq 1$ . Thus, for all  $k \geq 0$  and  $\forall i, j$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[A_{ij}^k] \leq \mathbb{E}[B_{ij}^k]$$

Note this also implies that:

$$\mathbb{E}[A_{ij}z_j] \leq \mathbb{E}[B_{ij}z_j]$$

since  $z_j$  is some constant, which also implies that:

$$\mathbb{E}[(A_{ij}z_j)^{k''}] \leq \mathbb{E}[(B_{ij}z_j)^{k''}]$$

“Recurring” back up our chain of reasoning, the goal statement holds.

(e) Per Chernoff:

$$\mathbb{P}[\|Az\|_2^2 \geq m(1 + \epsilon)] \leq \mathbb{P}[\left| \|Az\|_2^2 - m \right| \geq m\epsilon] \leq 2e^{-m\epsilon^2/3} = e^{\Omega(-m\epsilon^2)}$$