

## Math 412. Adventure sheet 8: More rings

DEFINITION:

- A **domain** is a commutative ring  $R$  in which  $0_R \neq 1_R$ , and that has the property that whenever  $ab = 0$  for  $a, b \in R$ , then either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .
- A **field** is a commutative ring  $R$  in which  $0_R \neq 1_R$  and every nonzero element has a multiplicative inverse.
- A **subring**  $S$  of a ring  $R$  is a subset which is also a ring *with the same*  $+, \times, 0$  and  $1$ . **Caution!** This definition differs from the book's because they do not assume rings contain a multiplicative identity!

DEFINITION: Fix a commutative ring  $R$ .

- The **polynomial ring over  $R$**  is the set

$$R[x] = \{a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n \mid a_i \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

with operations  $+$  and  $\times$  extended from those on the coefficients in  $R$  in the natural way.

- The **ring of  $n \times n$  matrices over  $R$**  is the set  $M_n(R)$  of  $n \times n$  matrices with coefficients in  $R$ , with “matrix addition” and “matrix multiplication” as  $+$  and  $\times$ .

### Part 1: Continuing practice.

A. WARM-UP: For each inclusion  $S \subseteq R$ , decide whether or not  $S$  is a subring of  $R$ .

- (1)  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (2) The set of even integers  $S = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (3)  $\mathbb{R}[x] \subseteq \mathbb{R}(x) := \left\{ \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \mid f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x], g \neq 0 \right\}$ .<sup>1</sup>
- (4) The set of diagonal matrices:

$$D := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

- (5) The set of integer matrices  $M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (6) The set of invertible real matrices

$$GL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mid ad - bc \neq 0, \text{ and } a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

- (7) Given a ring  $R$ , the set of constant polynomials  $R \subseteq R[x]$ .
- (8) The set of polynomials with integer coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}[x] \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x]$ .
- (9)  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[i]$
- (10) The imaginary integers  $\mathbb{Z}i = \{ni \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

### Solution.

- (1) No, no additive inverses.
- (2) No. missing multiplicative identity.
- (3) Yes.
- (4) yes.
- (5) yes.
- (6) No, no zero.
- (7) Yes.

<sup>1</sup> $\mathbb{R}(x)$  is the ring of rational functions.

- (8) Yes.
- (9) Yes.
- (10) No, no 1.

**B. FIND AN EXAMPLE OF:**

- (1) A noncommutative ring with a commutative subring.
- (2) An infinite ring with a finite subring.
- (3) A field that has a subring that is not a field.

**Solution.**

- (1) A6 above
- (2) Example 1:  $\mathbb{Z}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n[x]$   
Example 2:  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots$
- (3)  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$

**C. Let  $R = M_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$  be the ring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .**

- (1) What are  $0_R$  and  $1_R$ ?
- (2) How many elements are in  $R$ ?
- (3) Is  $R$  commutative?
- (4) Show that  $r + r = 0_R$  for every element  $r \in R$ .

**Solution.**

$$(1) 0_R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, 1_R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(2) 2^4 = 16$$

(3) No:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(4) This follows from the fact that this is true in every entry of a matrix in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

**D. SHORT PROOFS.**

- (1) Let  $R$  be a ring, and suppose that  $0_R = 1_R$ . Show that  $R = \{0_R\}$  is the ring with one element.
- (2) Prove that every field is a domain.
- (3) Prove that a subring of a domain is a domain. In particular, a subring of a field is a domain.
- (4) Let  $S$  be a subset of a ring  $R$ . Prove that  $S$  is a subring if and only if the inclusion map  $S \hookrightarrow R$  sending  $s \mapsto s$  is a ring homomorphism. Think carefully about the meaning of the symbols you are using in different contexts.
- (5) Show that if  $R$  is a domain, and  $x, y, z \in R$ , then  $xy = xz$  and  $x \neq 0$  implies  $y = z$ .

**Solution.**

- (1) It suffices to show that for any  $r \in R$ ,  $r = 0_R$ . Since  $r = r1_R = r0_R = 0_R$ , this is so.
- (2) Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field. Assume  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$  satisfy  $ab = 0$  but  $a \neq 0$ . Multiplication on the left by  $a^{-1}$  gives  $a^{-1}(ab) = (a^{-1}a)b = 1 \cdot b = b = 0$ . QED.
- (3) It is clear that a subring of a domain is a domain: assume  $a, b \in S \subset R$ , where  $R$  is a domain, and  $ab = 0$  in  $S$ . This also holds in the bigger ring  $R$ , so  $a = 0_R$  or  $b = 0_R$ , since  $R$  is a domain. Since  $0_R = 0_S$ , it follows that  $S$  is a domain too.

- (4) Call the inclusion map  $\phi$ . First, assume  $S \subset R$  is a subring. We need to prove  $\phi$  is a ring homomorphism. Since the 1 in  $R$  is the 1 of  $S$ , we know  $\phi(1_S) = 1_R$ . Also since  $\phi$  is the inclusion map,  $\phi(s_1 + s_2) = s_1 + s_2 = \phi(s_1) + \phi(s_2)$ . Ditto for multiplication. So  $\phi$  is a ring homomorphism. Conversely, assume that  $\phi : S \hookrightarrow R$  is a ring homomorphism. In particular  $S$  is a ring. Then  $1_S = \phi(1_S) = 1_R$ , so  $S$  and  $R$  have the same identity. Also  $\phi(s_1 +_S s_2) = \phi(s_1) +_R \phi(s_2) = s_1 +_R s_2$ . This is in  $S$ , since it is in the image of  $s_1 +_S s_2$  under  $\phi$ . So  $S$  is closed under the multiplication of  $R$ . Similarly,  $S$  is closed under the addition of  $R$ . Finally, for all  $s \in S$ , we have  $s +_R -s = 0_S$  using the addition in  $S$ . Applying  $\phi$ , we have  $\phi(s +_R -s) = \phi(0_S)$ , which means that  $\phi(s) +_R \phi(-s) = 0_R$ .
- (5) Let  $R$  be a domain.  $xy = xz$  implies  $xy - xz = 0$ , so  $x(y - z) = 0$  by the distributive property. It follows from the definition of domain that  $y - z = 0$ , so  $y = z$ .

## Part 2: Looking forward.

**THEOREM 4.3:** The polynomial  $R[x]$  is a domain if and only if  $R$  is a domain.

**THEOREM 4.5:** For any domain  $R$ , the **units** in  $R[x]$  are the units in the subring  $R$  of constant polynomials. In particular, if  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field, then the units in  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  are the nonzero constant polynomials.

E. POLYNOMIAL RING PRACTICE. Use Theorem 4.3 and 4.5 above where appropriate.

- (1) In  $\mathbb{Z}_8[x]$ , consider  $f = (1 + 3x)$  and  $g = (2x^2 + 4x^3)$ . Compute and simplify  $f + 4g$  and  $(3x)^3 + g$ . We abuse notation by representing congruence classes by any integer representative.
- (2) How many polynomials of degree less than 3 are there in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ ?
- (3) How many units are there in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ?
- (4) Suppose that  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  has degree 5. Find the degrees of the following polynomials:  $f - x$ ,  $f^2$ ,  $f + 4x^{51}$ ,  $f - 2x^5$ ,  $(x^2 + 1)f^3$ .
- (5) Does  $x^2 + 1$  have a multiplicative inverse in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ ?
- (6) In  $\mathbb{Z}_8[x]$ , compute  $(1 + 4x)(1 - 4x)$ . Is the hypothesis that  $R$  is a domain necessary in Theorem 4.5?

### Solution.

- (1)  $f + 4g = 1 + 3x$ .  $3x^3 + g = 7x^3 + 2x^2$ .
- (2)  $2^3 = 8$
- (3) By the theorem, the only units are the units in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which are  $\pm 1$ .
- (4) 5, 51, not enough information, 17
- (5) No, it is not a unit by the theorem.
- (6) It is 1! Yes, the hypothesis of domain is necessary.

F. PROOF OF THEOREM 4.5. Let  $R$  be a domain. Consider  $R$  as the subring of  $R[x]$  of constant polynomials.

- (1) Show that any unit in  $R$  is a unit in  $R[x]$ .
- (2) Explain why, for any  $f, g \in R[x]$ ,  $\deg(fg) = \deg f + \deg g$ . What if  $R$  is not a domain?
- (3) Prove that if  $f \in R[x]$  is a unit, then  $f$  is a constant polynomial.
- (4) Prove Theorem 4.5.
- (5) Find a formula for the number of units in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  where  $p$  is prime.

**Solution.**

- (1) There is some  $s \in R$  such that  $rs = 1$ . This  $s$  also lives in  $R[x]$ , and is an inverse for  $r$  there.
- (2) Whether  $R$  is a domain or not,  $\deg(fg) \leq \deg f + \deg g$  always holds, since when we expand the product  $fg$ , we can only get terms of degree at most  $\deg f + \deg g$ . If  $R$  is a domain, and  $f, g \neq 0$ , let  $f = ax^{\deg f} + f'$  and  $g = bx^{\deg g} + g'$ , where  $\deg f' < \deg f$ ,  $\deg g' < \deg g$ , and  $a, b \neq 0$ . Then  $fg = abx^{\deg f + \deg g} + \text{lower degree terms}$ , so  $\deg fg = \deg f + \deg g$ . If  $R$  was not a domain, we could have had  $ab = 0$ . E6 is an explicit example.
- (3) If  $f$  is a unit, there is some  $g$  such that  $fg = 1$ . Since  $\deg 1 = 0$ , and  $\deg f + \deg g = \deg fg = 0$ , we must have  $\deg f = 0$ .
- (4) We have already shown one implication in part 1. For the other, if  $f$  is a unit in  $R[x]$ , then  $f \in R$  by part 3. If  $fg = 1$ , then  $g$  is also a unit, hence also a constant. Thus,  $f$  is a constant with a constant inverse, so is a unit in  $R$ .
- (5) The units are exactly the units of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , which are the nonzero elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , of which there are exactly  $p - 1$ .