1. (10 pts) Prove, using the definition of a least upper bound, that a subset E in an ordered set S cannot have two different least upper bounds. Hint: Suppose that both a and b were both least upper bounds of a set S. Show that a = b.

Let S be an ordered set and E be an arbitrary subset of S.

Suppose $a = \sup S$ and $b = \sup S$.

Without loss of generally, assume b < a, seeking contradiction.

Since a = supS, by definition of least upper bound, any element inS that is less than a is not an upper bound of E.

Therefore b is not an upper bound of ESince $b = \sup E$, wntradicts. Hence $b \ge a$.

Similarly, assume a < b, we will come to that a is not an upper bound of S which controdicts with $a = \sup E$. So $a \ge b$ Since $b \ge a$ and $b \le a$, b must equal to a.

- 2. (10 pts) Find the least upper bound and the greatest lower bound, if they exist, of the following subsets of Q. Also decide which sets have a maximum or minimum. Recall that the maximum (resp. minimum) of an order set is the largest (resp. smallest) element in it.
 - (a) $\{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
 - (b) $\{1/n : n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } n \neq 0\}.$
 - (c) $\{x : x = 0 \text{ or } x = 1/n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
 - (d) $\{1/n + (-1)^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

(a) LUB: 1
GLB: 0
maximum: 1
mininum; does

mininum; does not exist

(* this answer uses definition

Justification

(* this answer uses definition that

o≠N)

Penote the set by E.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ greater than $1, \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{n} = 1$ Therefore 1 is an upper bound of E,

Since $1 = 1 \in E$ 1 is also an maximum.

Let u be an upper bound of E with u < 1 $= 1 \in E$ is greater than u, contradicts

therefore by definition of LUB, $1 = \sup E$

Since $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 0$, o is an lower bound of E let w > 0 an arbitrary By the Archimedean property $n \in [cnow \ \exists \ some \ n \in \mathbb{N} \ st. \ nw > 1 \ \exists w > n$

so o = infEE has not minimal since if we assume some neN s.t. is the minimal of E, by taking nt1, This is small since (n-nti)>0. so contradicts > no minimal. (b) LUB: 1 GLB:-1 maximum: 1 minimum; -Justification Denote the set as S. For any n co with n EN TCOCI, 1ES Thatfore exactly the same as (a) we conclude that sup E =1, maximum is also 1. For any nowith new, to >1, TES Therefore to find the minimal and infE is to find the minimal and GLB of Note that the process is the same as finding the maximum and CUB of the set in (a)

Therefore any w>o is not an lower bound of E

So the minimal and inf E is I.

(c) LVB: 1 6LB: 0 maximum: 1 minimum : D

Justification,

Denote the set as E and the set in (a) no Ea. Note that E/Ea={03. And OZI, IE Ea So the LUB and maximum of E is 1, same as Ea. Let e E E be arbitrary, if e = to, then e= of for some n ∈ N, Since DEE, U is minimal of E and o is a lower bound of E Let fro be arbitrary. Note of E and of so f is not a lower bound of E

Therefore o = inf E.

(d) LUB: 3 GLB: -1 minimum: does not exist maximum; $\frac{3}{2}$ Twification Denote the set as E E= {0, =, -3, =, ... } Note that when n is odd, (-1) = -1, when n is even, $(-1)^n = 1$ Therefore we divide it into two subsets. == {\frac{1}{n}-1: n \in N and n \in \in \in \text{odd}} U {\frac{1}{n}+1: n \in N \text{ and n \in \in \in \text{even}} (Since n EN can only be even or odd, there) is no third care. yets as Ei, E2 respectfully Since I nEN and n is odd, n-1>-1 and n-1<0 for any nEN and n is even, $\pi+1>1$ and $\pi+1\leqslant 2$, Any elements of E2 is greater than all elements of E (herefore, The problem is to find

the maximal and LUB

of Ez and minimal and GLB of E1

It is exactly the same logic as (a)

Therefore we have
$$\sup E = \sup E_2 = \frac{3}{2}$$
 $\inf E = \inf E_1 = -1$
 $\max \max = \frac{3}{2}$
 $\min \max = \frac{3}{2}$
 $\min \max = \frac{3}{2}$
 $\sinh e \min \max = \frac{3}{2}$
 $\sinh e \min = \frac{3}{2}$

- 3. (10 pts) Suppose that A and B are two nonempty subsets of an ordered set S such that $x \leq y$ for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.
 - (a) Prove that $\sup A \leq y$ for all y in B.
 - (b) Prove that $\sup A \leq \inf B$.

(a) Let b be an arbitrary element of B.

Since $x \leq y$ for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, $x \leq b$ for all $x \in A$ By definition of upper bound, b is an upper bound of A.

Then by definition of least upper bound, $\sup A \leq a$.

Since a is arbitrary, we can conclude that for all $y \in B$, $\sup A \leq y$.

(b) Assume for sake of contradiction that sup A > inf B.

By definition of greatest lower bound, sup A is not a lower bound of B.

Therefore, there exists some b & B
such that b < sup A
Then by definition of least upper bound,
b is not an upper bound of A.

Therefore, there exists some a EA such that a > b.

This contradicts with x sy for all XEA and YEB.

Hence we have proved that sup A sinf B.