**1.** Basis step: We are told we can run one mile, so P(1) is true. Inductive step: Assume the inductive hypothesis, that we can run any number of miles from 1 to k. We must show that we can run k + 1 miles. If k = 1, then we are already told that we can run two miles. If k > 1, then the inductive hypothesis tells us that we can run k - 1 miles, so we can run (k-1)+2=k+1 miles. **3.** a) P(8) is true, because we can

(k-1)+2=k+1 miles. 3. a) P(8) is true, because we can form 8 cents of postage with one 3-cent stamp and one 5-cent stamp. P(9) is true, because we can form 9 cents of postage with three 3-cent stamps. P(10) is true, because we can form 10 cents of postage with two 5-cent stamps. b) The statement that using just 3-cent and 5-cent stamps we can form j cents postage for all j with 10 c 10 Assuming the inductive hypothesis, we can form 10 Ecause 10 c 10 Assuming the inductive hypothesis, we can form 10 Because 10 c 10 Assuming that 10 c 10 is true, that is, that we can form 10 cents of postage using just 3-cent and 5-cent stamps d) Because 10 Recause 1

true for every integer n greater than or equal to 8. 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, and all values greater than or equal to 30 **b)** Let P(n) be the statement that we can form n cents of postage using just 4-cent and 11-cent stamps. We want to prove that P(n) is true for all  $n \ge 30$ . For the basis step, 30 = 11 + 11 + 4 + 4. Assume that we can form k cents of postage (the inductive hypothesis); we will show how to form k + 1 cents of postage. If the k cents included an 11-cent stamp, then replace it by three 4-cent stamps. Otherwise, k cents was formed from just 4-cent stamps. Because k > 30, there must be at least eight 4-cent stamps involved. Replace eight 4-cent stamps by three 11-cent stamps, and we have formed k + 1 cents in postage. c) P(n) is the same as in part (b). To prove that P(n) is true for all  $n \ge 30$ , we check for the basis step that 30 = 11+11+4+4, 31 = 11+4+4+4+4+4, ductive step, assume the inductive hypothesis, that P(j) is true for all j with  $30 \le i \le k$ , where k is an arbitrary integer greater than or equal to 33. We want to show that P(k+1) is true. Because  $k-3 \ge 30$ , we know that P(k-3) is true, that is, that we can form k-3 cents of postage. Put one more 4-cent stamp on the envelope, and we have formed k + 1 cents of postage. In this proof, our inductive hypothesis was that P(i) was true for all values of j between 30 and k inclusive, rather than just that P(30) was true. 7. We can form all amounts except \$1 and

P(30) was true. 7. We can form all amounts except \$1 and \$3. Let P(n) be the statement that we can form n dollars using just 2-dollar and 5-dollar bills. We want to prove that P(n) is true for all  $n \ge 5$ . (It is clear that \$1 and \$3 cannot be formed and that \$2 and \$4 can be formed.) For the basis step, note that 5 = 5 and 6 = 2 + 2 + 2. Assume the inductive hypothesis, that P(j) is true for all j with  $5 \le j \le k$ , where k is an arbitrary integer greater than or equal to 6. We want to show that P(k+1) is true. Because  $k-1 \ge 5$ , we know that P(k-1) is true, that is, that we can form k-1 dollars. Add another 2-dollar bill, and we have formed k+1 dollars.

in our game) can win. 13. Let P(n) be the statement that exactly n-1 moves are required to assemble a puzzle with n pieces. Now P(1) is trivially true. Assume that P(j) is true for all  $j \le k$ , and consider a puzzle with k+1 pieces. The final move must be the joining of two blocks, of size j and k+1-j for some integer j with  $1 \le j \le k$ . By the inductive hypothesis, it required j-1 moves to construct the one block, and k+1-j-1=k-j moves to construct the other. Therefore, 1+(j-1)+(k-j)=k moves are required in all, so P(k+1) is true. 15. Let the Chomp board have n rows and n columns.

stronger statement  $\forall n \geq 4$  T(n) in Exercise 17. **25. a**) The inductive step here allows us to conclude that P(3), P(5), ... are all true, but we can conclude nothing about P(2), P(4), .... **b**) P(n) is true for all positive integers n, using strong induction. **c**) The inductive step here enables us to conclude that P(2), P(4), P(8), P(16), ... are all true, but we can conclude nothing about P(n) when n is not a power of 2. **d**) This is mathematical induction; we can conclude that P(n) is true for all positive integers n. **27.** Suppose, for a proof by contra-

**29.** The error is in going from the base case n = 0 to the next case, n = 1; we cannot write 1 as the sum of two smaller natural numbers. **31.** Assume that the well-ordering prop-