

- Obj: 1. Technical Vocab: logical equivalence; ^{① 逆否} contrapositive; ^{② 永真命题, 重言式} tautology; ^{③ 矛盾} contradiction; ^{④ 谓词} predicate, quantifier
2. Key Logical equivalence rules: DeMorgan's, distributive law, implication breakout.
3. the contrapositive of an if-then statement
4. Negate an if-then statement
5. A compound proposition is a tautology, a contradiction, or neither
6. Logical symbols for "there exists" and "for all" (\exists , \forall)

9/5/23

② Double Negation law: $\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$

Lecture 3 Handout: Logical Equivalence

p = "I pet my cat" h = "my cat is happy"

Translate each English statement to logic, then complete the truth table for each.

1. If I pet my cat then she is happy. $p \rightarrow h$
2. If my cat is unhappy then I didn't pet her. $\neg h \rightarrow \neg p$
3. I didn't pet my cat or she is happy. $\neg p \vee h$

p	h	$\neg p$	$\neg h$	$p \rightarrow h$	$\neg h \rightarrow \neg p$	$\neg p \vee h$
T	T	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

Logical Equivalence

① Two compound propositions A, B are **logically equivalent** if they have the **same truth value** for any instantiation of their input truth values (i.e., if they have the **same truth table**).

Some notations for logical equivalence:

- "p, q are logically equivalent"
- $p \equiv q$
- $p \leftrightarrow q$
- "p if and only if q" (充要命题 即为逻辑等价)
- "p is necessary and sufficient for q"
- "p iff q"

3

DeMorgans Laws in Action (Words)

Negate each of the following:

Proposition 1: I will go to the store or I will go to the park. $s \vee p$
 Negation: It's not true that I will go to S or I will go to P
 Simplify: I will not go to S and I will not go to P.

③ DeMorgan's Law #1: $\neg(s \vee p) \equiv \neg s \wedge \neg p$
 (negating an or statement)

Proposition 2: I am 18 years old and I live in West Quad. $y \wedge w$
 Negation: It's not true that I am 18 and I live in West Quad.
 Simplify: I am not 18 and I don't live in West Quad.

④ DeMorgan's Law #2: $\neg(y \wedge w) \equiv \neg y \vee \neg w$
 (negating an and statement)

5

Useful Logical Equivalence Rules

$p \vee F \equiv p$ ⑤ Identity Law
 $p \vee T \equiv T$
 $p \wedge F \equiv F$
 $p \wedge T \equiv p$

Distributive Laws: ⑥ Distributive Law #1

$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$

$p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$

⑦ Distributive Law #2

DeMorgan's Laws:

$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$

"Implication breakout" rule: ⑩

$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$

Contrapositive: ⑨

$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

Negating an "implies": ⑪

$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$

Which of the following ALWAYS has the same truth value as $p \rightarrow q$?

- A) Converse: $q \rightarrow p$
 B) Inverse: $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$
 C) Contrapositive: $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

7

⑧ $(p \vee q) \vee r = p \vee (q \vee r)$
 $(p \wedge q) \wedge r = p \wedge (q \wedge r)$

Contrapositives

Statement:	"If p, then q"	$p \rightarrow q$
Contrapositive of statement:	"If not q, then not p"	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$		

Find the contrapositive of each statement:

- If it's Tuesday, then we have EECS 203 class. (easy)
- If you don't live in Michigan, then you don't live in Ann Arbor.
- If *not* p, then q. (Here p, q can stand for any propositions.)

Negate both sides and switch the order

9

Exercise:
Negating an "implies" statement

$$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv$$

Original statement:
"If x^2 is an integer, then x is an integer."
 $p \rightarrow q$

Find the negation of the statement, and express it in logic and in English.

$$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \Leftarrow \text{Implication breakdown}$$

$$\equiv p \wedge \neg q \Leftarrow \text{DeMorgan's}$$

11

Tautologies and Contradictions

A compound proposition is a **tautology** iff

(1) it's always true regardless of the input values

Example: $p \vee \neg p$

A compound proposition is a **contradiction** iff

(2) it's always false regardless of the input value

Example: $p \wedge \neg p$

Additional Exercises: Determine whether each statement is a tautology.

1. $(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$

2. $(\neg p \rightarrow F) \rightarrow p$

$$\equiv (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$$

$$\equiv T$$

 \therefore tautology

$$\equiv (T \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p$$

$$\equiv T$$

 \therefore tautology

T	P	$T \rightarrow p$	$(T \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p$
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T

Predicates & Quantifiers

A **predicate** is a statement with some variables unspecified (13)Ex. $P(x) = "x \text{ likes Bubble Tea}"$, $Q(x) = "2x = 7"$

Quantifiers:

(14) \forall means "for all", "for each", "for every", "there exists"

(15) \exists means "there exists"

(like a big chain of ANDs) (like a big chain of ORs)

	True iff ...	False iff ...
$\forall x P(x)$	$P(x)$ is <u>true</u> for <u>every x</u> in the domain	$P(x)$ is <u>false</u> for <u>at least one x</u> in the domain
$\exists x P(x)$	$P(x)$ is <u>true</u> for <u>at least one x</u> in the domain	$P(x)$ is <u>false</u> for <u>every x</u> in the domain

15

 $P(x) = "x \text{ likes Bubble Tea}"$ $\forall x P(x)$: Everyone likes ~ $\exists x P(x)$: Someone likes ~.