

## 1 Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ . For a set  $A$ , let  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  be the power set of  $A$ ,  $A^*$  and  $A^\omega$  be the sets of finite and infinite words over  $A$ , and we denote  $A^\infty = A^* \cup A^\omega$ . For a word  $\alpha \in A^\infty$  over a set  $A$ , let  $\alpha(i) \in A$  be the  $i$ -th element of  $\alpha$  ( $i \geq 0$ ). Let  $\langle u, w \rangle = u(0)w(0)u(1)w(1)\dots \in A^\infty$  for words  $u, w \in A^\infty$  and  $\langle B, C \rangle = \{\langle u, w \rangle \mid u \in B, w \in C\}$  for sets  $B, C \subseteq A^\infty$ .

In this paper, disjoint sets  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $\Gamma$  denote a finite input alphabet, output alphabet and stack alphabet, respectively, and  $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \cup \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$ . For a set  $\Gamma$ , let  $Com(\Gamma) = \{pop, skip\} \cup \{push(z) \mid z \in \Gamma\}$  be the set of stack commands over  $\Gamma$ . Let  $Z : Com(\Gamma) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  be a function defined as  $Z(pop, z) = \varepsilon$ ,  $Z(skip, z) = z$  and  $Z(push(z'), z) = z'z$ . By  $|\beta|$ , we mean the cardinality of  $\beta$  if  $\beta$  is a set and the length of  $\beta$  if  $\beta$  is a finite sequence.

## 2 Pushdown Transducer and Pushdown Automata

### 2.1 Definitions

**Definition 1.** A pushdown transducer (PDT) over finite alphabets  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathcal{T} = (P, p_0, z_0, \Delta)$  where  $P$  is a finite set of states,  $p_0 \in P$  is the initial state,  $z_0 \in \Gamma$  is the initial stack symbol and  $\Delta : P \times \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \times \Gamma \rightarrow P \times \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}} \times Com(\Gamma)$  is a finite set of deterministic transition rules having one of the following forms:

- $(p, a, z) \rightarrow (q, b, pop)$  (pop rule)
- $(p, a, z) \rightarrow (q, b, skip)$  (skip rule)
- $(p, a, z) \rightarrow (q, b, push(z))$  (push rule)

where  $p, q \in P$ ,  $a \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}$ ,  $b \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $z \in \Gamma$ .

For a state  $p \in P$  and a stack  $w \in \Gamma^*$ ,  $(p, w)$  is called a *configuration* or *instantaneous description* (abbreviated as *ID*) of PDT  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $ID_{\mathcal{T}}$  denote the set of all IDs of  $\mathcal{T}$ . For two IDs  $(p, w), (q, w') \in ID_{\mathcal{T}}$ ,  $(a, b) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \times \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$ , we say that  $(p, w)$  can transit to  $(q, w')$  with an input  $a$  and an output  $b$ , written as  $(p, w) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}^{a, b} (q, w')$ , if there exist a rule  $r = (p, a, z) \rightarrow (q, b, com) \in \Delta$  and a sequence  $u \in \Gamma^*$  such that  $w = zu$  and  $w' = Z(com, z)u$ . If  $\mathcal{T}$  is clear from the context, we abbreviate  $\Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}^{a, b}$  as  $\Rightarrow^{a, b}$ . If a sequence of IDs  $(q_0, w_0), \dots, (q_n, w_n) \in ID_{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  satisfy  $(q_{i-1}, w_{i-1}) \Rightarrow^{a_i, b_i} (q_i, w_i)$  for all  $i \in [n]$ , we write  $(q_0, w_0) \Rightarrow^{w^{\mathbb{I}}, w^{\mathbb{O}}} (q_n, w_n)$  where  $w^{\mathbb{I}} = a_1 \dots a_n$  and  $w^{\mathbb{O}} = b_1 \dots b_n$ .

By definition, any ID  $(p, \varepsilon) \in ID_{\mathcal{T}}$  has no successor. That is, there is no transition from an ID with empty stack. A *run* of PDT  $\mathcal{T}$  is a pair  $(\rho, w)$  of an infinite sequence of IDs  $\rho \in (ID_{\mathcal{T}})^\omega$  and  $w = a_1 b_1 \dots \in (\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}})^\omega$  that satisfy  $\rho(i-1) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}^{a_i, b_i} \rho(i)$  for  $i \geq 1$ . Let  $RUN_{\mathcal{T}}$  denote the set of all runs of  $\mathcal{T}$ . We define  $L(\mathcal{T}) = \{w \in (\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}})^\omega \mid \text{there exists a run } (\rho, w) \text{ for some } \rho \in (ID_{\mathcal{T}})^\omega \text{ where } \rho(0) = (p_0, z_0)\}$ . Let **PDT** be the class of complete PDT.

**Definition 2.** A pushdown automata (PDA) over finite alphabets  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, Q_{\mathbb{I}}, Q_{\mathbb{O}}, q_0, z_0, c, \delta)$   $Q, Q_{\mathbb{I}}, Q_{\mathbb{O}}$  are finite sets of states that satisfy  $Q = Q_{\mathbb{I}} \cup Q_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $Q_{\mathbb{I}} \cap Q_{\mathbb{O}} = \emptyset$ ,  $q_0 \in Q_{\mathbb{I}}$  is the initial state,  $z_0 \in \Gamma$  is the initial stack symbol,  $c : Q \rightarrow [n]$  is a coloring function where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of priorities and  $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \text{Com}(\Gamma))$  is a finite set of transition rules, having one of the following forms:

- $(q_{\mathbb{I}}, a_{\mathbb{I}}, z) \rightarrow (q_{\mathbb{O}}, \text{com})$
- $(q_{\mathbb{O}}, a_{\mathbb{O}}, z) \rightarrow (q_{\mathbb{I}}, \text{com})$

where  $q_{\mathbb{I}} \in Q_{\mathbb{I}}, q_{\mathbb{O}} \in Q_{\mathbb{O}}, a_{\mathbb{I}} \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, a_{\mathbb{O}} \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, z \in \Gamma$  and  $\text{com} \in \text{Com}(\Gamma)$ .

We define an ID, transition relation and a run of  $\mathcal{A}$  in a similar way to those of  $\mathcal{T}$ . More concretely, we assume  $ID_{\mathcal{A}} = ID_{\mathcal{T}}$ ,  $(q, u) \vdash_{\mathcal{A}}^a (q', u')$  iff there exist a rule  $(p, a, z) \rightarrow (q, \text{com}) \in \delta$  and a sequence  $u \in \Gamma^*$  such that  $w = zu$  and  $w' = Z(\text{com}, z)u$ .

A run of PDA  $\mathcal{A}$  is a pair  $(\rho, w)$  of an infinite sequence of IDs  $\rho \in (ID_{\mathcal{A}})^\omega$  and  $w = a_1 a_2 \dots \in (\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}})^\omega$  that satisfy  $\rho(i-1) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}^{a_i} \rho(i)$  for  $i \geq 1$ . Let  $RUN_{\mathcal{A}}$  denote the set of all runs of  $\mathcal{A}$ . For  $\rho \in (ID_{\mathcal{A}})^\omega$ , let  $\text{state}(\rho) \subseteq Q$  be the set of states that occur infinitely in  $\rho$ .

We define  $L_N(\mathcal{A}) = \{w \in (\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}})^\omega \mid \text{there exists a run } (\rho, w) \in RUN_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ where } \rho(0) = (p_0, z_0) \text{ and } \min_{q \in Q} \{c(q) \mid q \in \text{state}(\rho)\} \text{ is even}\}$  and  $L_U(\mathcal{A}) = \{w \in (\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}})^\omega \mid \text{every run } (\rho, w) \in RUN_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ where } \rho(0) = (p_0, z_0) \text{ satisfies that } \min_{q \in Q} \{c(q) \mid q \in \text{state}(\rho)\} \text{ is even}\}$ .

We call a PDA  $\mathcal{A} = (P, p_0, z_0, \delta, c)$  deterministic if  $|\delta(p, a, z)| \leq 1$  for all  $p \in Q, a \in \Sigma$  and  $z \in \Gamma$ , nondeterministic if the language of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as  $L_N(\mathcal{A})$  and universal if the language of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as  $L_U(\mathcal{A})$ . For those cases, we denote  $\mathcal{A}$  as DPDA, NPDA and UPDA, respectively. Let **DPDA**, **NPDA** and **UPDA** be the class of DPDA, NPDA and UPDA, respectively.

**Definition 3.** A Pushdown Game (PDG) of PDA  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, Q_{\mathbb{I}}, Q_{\mathbb{O}}, q_0, z_0, \delta, c)$  is  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}} = (V, V_{\mathbb{I}}, V_{\mathbb{O}}, E, C)$  where  $V = Q \times \Gamma^*$ ,  $V_{\mathbb{I}} = Q_{\mathbb{I}} \times \Gamma^*$ ,  $V_{\mathbb{O}} = Q_{\mathbb{O}} \times \Gamma^*$  is the set of vertices,  $E \subseteq V \times V$  is the set of edges defined as  $E = \{(v, v') \mid v \vdash^a v' \text{ for some } a \in \Sigma\}$  and  $C : V \rightarrow [n]$  is the coloring function such that  $C((q, u)) = c(q)$  for all  $(q, u) \in V$ .

The game starts with some  $(q_0, z_0) \in V_{\mathbb{I}}$ . When the current vertice is  $v \in V_{\mathbb{I}}$ , Player I choose a successor  $v' \in V_{\mathbb{O}}$  of  $v$  as the next vertice. When the current vertice is  $v \in V_{\mathbb{O}}$ , Player II choose a successor  $v' \in V_{\mathbb{I}}$  of  $v$ . A finite or infinite sequence  $\rho \in V^\omega$  is valid if  $\rho(0) = (q_0, z_0)$  and satisfy  $(\rho(i-1), \rho(i)) \in E$  for every  $i \geq 1$ . A play of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is an infinite and valid sequence  $\rho \in V^\omega$ . A play  $\rho$  is winning for Player I iff  $\text{state}(\rho)$  is even.

As the definition of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , every choice of a successor by players can be also expressed as a choice of a pair  $(q, \text{com}) \in Q \times \text{Com}(\Gamma)$  and also  $a \in \Sigma$  if  $\mathcal{A}$  is deterministic. Thus, every valid sequence  $\rho \in V^\omega$  corresponds one-to-one with a sequence  $\tau \in (Q \times \text{Com}(\Gamma))^\omega$ . In detail, for every  $i \geq 0$ ,  $\rho(i) = (q, zu)$ ,  $\tau(i) = (q', \text{com})$  and  $\rho(i+1) = (q', Z(\text{com}, z)u)$  hold for some  $q, q' \in Q, z \in \Gamma, u \in \Gamma^*$  and  $\text{com} \in \text{Com}(\Gamma)$ . We call  $\tau$  valid if the corresponding  $\rho$  is valid.

**Theorem 4.** [Walukiewucz, 2001] *If player I has a winning strategy of  $\mathcal{G}_A$ , we can construct a PDT  $\mathcal{T}$  over  $Q_{\mathbb{I}} \times \text{Com}(\Gamma), Q_{\mathbb{O}} \times \text{Com}(\Gamma)$  and  $\Gamma$  that gives a winning strategy of  $\mathcal{G}_A$ . That is, for every  $\tau \in L(\mathcal{T})$ , the corresponding play  $\rho \in V^\infty$  is winning for Player I.*

When  $\mathcal{A}$  is deterministic, there is also an one-to-one correspondence between a valid sequence  $\rho \in V^\infty$  and a sequence of input and output alphabets  $u \in \Sigma^\infty$ . In detail, for every  $i \geq 0$ ,  $\rho(i) = (q, zu)$ ,  $\rho(i+1) = (q', Z(\text{com}, z)u)$  and  $(q, u(i), z) \rightarrow (q', \text{com}) \in \delta$  hold for some  $q, q' \in Q, z \in \Gamma, u \in \Gamma^*$  and  $\text{com} \in \text{Com}(\Gamma)$ .

By the correspondence, the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 5.** *A play  $\rho$  is winning for Player I iff the corresponding sequence  $w \in \Sigma^\omega$  of  $\rho$  satisfies  $w \in L(\mathcal{A})$ .*

In a similar way to Theorem 4, we can obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is deterministic and player I has a winning strategy of  $\mathcal{G}_A$ , we can construct a PDT  $\mathcal{T}$  over  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $\Gamma$  such that every play corresponded to some  $w \in L(\mathcal{T})$  is winning for Player I.*

For a specification  $S$  and an implementation  $I$ , we write  $I \models S$  if  $L(I) \subseteq L(S)$ .

**Definition 7.** *Realizability problem  $\text{REAL}(S, \mathcal{I})$  for a class of specifications  $\mathcal{S}$  and of implementations  $\mathcal{I}$ : For a specification  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , is there an implementation  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $I \models S$ ?*

**Theorem 8.**  $\text{REAL}(\text{DPDA}, \text{PDT})$  *is decidable.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a given DPDA. By Lemmas 5 and 6, we can construct PDT  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $\mathcal{T} \models \mathcal{A}$  if player I has a winning strategy for the game  $\mathcal{G}_A$ . Because the algorithm for constructing  $\mathcal{T}$  is finite steps as shown in [Walukiewucz, 2001],  $\text{REAL}(\text{DPDA}, \text{PDT})$  is decidable.

**Theorem 9.**  $\text{REAL}(\text{NPDA}, \text{PDT})$  *is undecidable.*

**Proof.** For NPDA, we reduce the problem from the universality problem of NPDA, which is undecidable. For a given NPDA  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, q_0, z_0, \delta, c)$  over  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$ , we can construct an NPDA  $\mathcal{A}' = (Q \cup Q', q_0, z_0, \delta', c')$  over  $\Sigma, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $\Gamma$  that satisfies  $L(\mathcal{A}) = \Sigma^\omega$  iff there exists  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $\mathcal{T} \models \mathcal{A}$ .  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  is an arbitrary alphabet,  $Q' = \{q'_i \mid i \in [n], q_i \in Q\}$  where  $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ ,  $c'(q_i) = c'(q'_i) = c(q_i)$  for all  $i \in [n]$  and  $\delta'$  satisfies that  $(q_i, a, z) \rightarrow (q_j, \text{com}) \in \delta$  iff  $(q_i, a, z) \rightarrow (q'_j, \text{com}) \in \delta'$ , and  $(q'_j, b, z) \rightarrow (q_j, \text{skip}) \in \delta'$  for all  $b \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$ . By the construction of  $\mathcal{A}'$ ,  $L(\mathcal{A}') = \langle L(\mathcal{A}), \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}^\omega \rangle$  holds. If  $L(\mathcal{A}) = \Sigma^\omega$ , then  $L(\mathcal{A}') = \langle \Sigma^\omega, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}^\omega \rangle$  and thus  $\mathcal{T} \models \mathcal{A}$  holds for every  $\mathcal{T}$ . If  $L(\mathcal{A}) \neq \Sigma^\omega$ , there exists a word  $w \in \Sigma^\omega$  such that  $w \notin L(\mathcal{A})$ . Every language of PDT contains a word  $\langle u, v \rangle$  for every  $u \in \Sigma^\omega$  and some  $v \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}^\omega$ , but  $\langle w, v \rangle \notin L(\mathcal{A}')$  for any  $v \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}^\omega$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{T} \not\models \mathcal{A}'$  holds for any PDT  $\mathcal{T}$ . In conclusion, this reduction holds and the realizability problem for PDT and NPDA is undecidable.

### 3 Register Pushdown Transducer and Register Pushdown Automata

#### 3.1 Data words and registers

We assume a countable set  $D$  of *data values*. For finite alphabets  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and a countable set  $D$ , an infinite sequence  $(a_1^{\mathbb{I}}, d_1)(a_1^{\mathbb{O}}, d'_1) \cdots \in ((\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \times D) \cdot (\Sigma_{\mathbb{O}} \times D))^{\omega}$  is called a *data word*. We write  $DW(\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, D) = ((\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \times D) \cdot (\Sigma_{\mathbb{O}} \times D))^{\omega}$ .

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a mapping  $\theta : [k] \rightarrow D$  is called an *assignment* (of data values to  $k$  registers) where  $[k] = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . For  $j_1 \cdots j_n \in [k]^*$ , we define  $\theta(j_1 \cdots j_n) = \theta(j_1) \cdots \theta(j_n)$  and  $\theta(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ . Let  $\Theta_k$  denote the collection of assignments to  $k$  registers. We denote  $Tst_k = \mathcal{P}([k] \cup \{top\})$  and  $Asgn_k = \mathcal{P}([k])$  where  $top \notin \mathbb{N}$  is the unique symbol that represents stack top value.  $Tst_k$  is the set of guard conditions. For  $tst \in Tst_k$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta_k$  and  $d, e \in D$ , we denote  $\theta, d, e \models tst$  if  $\theta(i) = d \Leftrightarrow i \in tst$  and  $e = d \Leftrightarrow top \in tst$  hold. (In definitions of register pushdown transducer (automaton) in the next section, the data values  $d$  and  $e$  represent input data value and stack top data value, respectively.)  $Asgn_k$  is the set of assignment conditions. For  $asgn \in Asgn_k$ ,  $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta_k$  and  $d \in D$ , we write  $\theta' = \theta[asgn \leftarrow d]$  if  $\theta'(i) = d$  for  $i \in asgn$  and  $\theta'(i) = \theta(i)$  for  $i \notin asgn$ . Let  $Z_D : Com([k]) \times \Theta_k \times D \rightarrow D^*$  be a function defined as  $Z_D(pop, \theta, d) = \varepsilon$ ,  $Z_D(skip, \theta, d) = d$  and  $Z_D(push(j), \theta, d) = \theta(j)d$ .

#### 3.2 Definitions

**Definition 10.** A  $k$ -register pushdown transducer ( $k$ -RPDT) over finite alphabets  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and an infinite set  $D$  of data values is  $\mathcal{T} = (P, p_0, \Delta)$  where  $P$  is a finite set of states,  $p_0 \in P$  is the initial state,  $\Delta : P \times \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \times Tst_k \rightarrow P \times \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}} \times Asgn_k \times [k] \times Com([k])$  is a finite set of deterministic transition rules.

$D$  is used as a stack alphabet. For a state  $q \in Q$ , an assignment  $\theta \in \Theta_k$  and a stack  $u \in D^*$ ,  $(q, \theta, u)$  is called a *configuration* or *instantaneous description* (abbreviated as *ID*) of  $k$ -RPDT  $\mathcal{T}$ . For two IDs  $(p, \theta, u), (q, \theta', u') \in ID_{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $(a, d^{\mathbb{I}}) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \times D, (b, d^{\mathbb{O}}) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}} \times D$ , we say that  $(p, \theta, u)$  can transit to  $(q, \theta', u')$  with an input  $(a, d^{\mathbb{I}})$  and an output  $(b, d^{\mathbb{O}})$ , written as  $(p, \theta, u) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}^{(a, d^{\mathbb{I}}), (b, d^{\mathbb{O}})} (q, \theta', u')$ , if there exist a rule  $(p, a, tst) \rightarrow (q, b, asgn, j, com) \in \Delta$  a data value  $e \in D$ , and a sequence of data values  $w \in D^*$  where  $u = ew$  that satisfy the follows:  $d^{\mathbb{I}}, e, \theta \models tst$ ,  $\theta' = \theta[asgn \leftarrow d^{\mathbb{I}}]$ ,  $\theta'(j) = d^{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $u' = Z_D(com, \theta', e)w$ .

If  $\mathcal{T}$  is clear from the context, we abbreviate  $\Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}^{(a, d^{\mathbb{I}}), (b, d^{\mathbb{O}})}$  as  $\Rightarrow^{(a, d^{\mathbb{I}}), (b, d^{\mathbb{O}})}$ .

A *run* of  $k$ -RPDT  $\mathcal{T}$  is a pair  $(\rho, u)$  of an infinite sequence of IDs  $\rho \in (ID_{\mathcal{T}})^{\omega}$  and data word  $u = (a_1^{\mathbb{I}}, d_1^{\mathbb{I}})(a_1^{\mathbb{O}}, d_1^{\mathbb{O}}) \cdots \in DW(\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, D)$  that satisfy  $\rho(i-1) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}^{(a_i^{\mathbb{I}}, d_i^{\mathbb{I}}), (a_i^{\mathbb{O}}, d_i^{\mathbb{O}})} \rho(i)$  for  $i \geq 1$ . We define  $L(\mathcal{T}) = \{u \in DW(\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, D) \mid \text{there exists a run } (\rho, u) \text{ for some } \rho \in (ID_{\mathcal{T}})^{\omega} \text{ which begins with the initial state } p_0\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{RPDT}[k]$  be the class of  $k$ -RPDT and  $\mathbf{RPDT} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbf{RPDT}[k]$ . Note that  $\mathbf{RPDT}[0] = \mathbf{PDT}$ .

### 3.3 Register automata

**Definition 11.** A  $k$ -register pushdown automaton ( $k$ -RPDA) over  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $D$  is  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, Q_{\mathbb{I}}, Q_{\mathbb{O}}, q_0, \delta, c)$ , where

- $Q$  is a finite set of states,
- $Q_{\mathbb{I}} \cup Q_{\mathbb{O}} = Q, Q_{\mathbb{I}} \cap Q_{\mathbb{O}} = \emptyset$ ,
- $q_0 \in Q$  is the initial state,
- $\delta : Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times Tst_k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times Asgn_k \times Com([k]))$  is a transition function having one of the forms:
  - $(q_{\mathbb{I}}, a_{\mathbb{I}}, tst) \rightarrow (q_{\mathbb{O}}, asgn, com)$  (input rule)
  - $(q_{\mathbb{O}}, a_{\mathbb{O}}, tst) \rightarrow (q_{\mathbb{I}}, asgn, com)$  (output rule)
  - $(q, \varepsilon, tst) \rightarrow (q', asgn, com)$  ( $\varepsilon$  rule)
 where  $q_{\mathbb{I}} \in Q_{\mathbb{I}}, q_{\mathbb{O}} \in Q_{\mathbb{O}}, q, q' \in Q, a_{\mathbb{I}} \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, a_{\mathbb{O}} \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, tst \in Tst_k, j \in [k]$  and  $com \in Com([k])$ .
- $c : Q \rightarrow [n]$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of priorities.

We define the set of IDs of  $\mathcal{A}$  as that of  $\mathcal{T}$ , i.e.,  $ID_{\mathcal{A}} = ID_{\mathcal{T}}$ . By a rule  $(q, a, tst) \rightarrow (q', asgn, com) \in \delta$  and  $(a, d) \in (\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times D$ , an ID  $(q, \theta, ew) \in ID_{\mathcal{A}}$  (where  $e \in D, w \in D^*$ ) can transit to  $(q', \theta[asgn \leftarrow d], \theta'(J)w)$ , written as  $(q, \theta, ew) \vdash_{\mathcal{A}}^{(a, d)} (q', \theta[asgn \leftarrow d], Z_D(com, \theta', e)w)$  where  $\vdash$  is the transition relation of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We write  $\vdash_{\mathcal{A}}^{(a, d)}$  as  $\vdash^{(a, d)}$  if  $\mathcal{A}$  is clear from context. We also define a run  $(\rho, u) \in (ID_{\mathcal{T}})^{\omega} \times ((\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times D)^{\omega}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  as satisfying  $\rho(i-1) \vdash_{\mathcal{A}}^{(a_i, d_i)} \rho(i)$  for  $i \geq 1$  where  $u = (a_1, d_1)(a_2, d_2) \cdots \in ((\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times D)^{\omega}$ . For  $\rho \in (ID_{\mathcal{T}})^{\omega}$ , let  $c(\rho) = \max\{j \mid \text{there exists } q \in Q \text{ which appears in } \rho \text{ infinitely and satisfies } c(q) = j\}$ . For  $w \in ((\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times D)^{\omega}$ , let  $ef(w) \in (\Sigma \times D)^{\omega}$  be an epsilon free sequence of  $w$ . That is,  $ef(w)(i) = w(i)$  if  $w(i) \in \Sigma \times D$  and  $ef(w)(i) = \varepsilon$  if  $w(i) \in \{\varepsilon\} \times D$  for  $i \geq 0$ .

We define  $L_N(\mathcal{A}) = \{ef(w) \in DW(\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, D) \mid \text{there exists a run } (\rho, w') \in RUN_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ where } \rho(0) = (p_0, z_0) \text{ and } \min_{q \in Q} \{c(q) \mid q \in state(\rho)\} \text{ is even}\}$  and  $L_U(\mathcal{A}) = \{ef(w) \in (\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}})^{\omega} \mid \text{every run } (\rho, w) \in RUN_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ where } \rho(0) = (p_0, z_0) \text{ satisfies that } \min_{q \in Q} \{c(q) \mid q \in state(\rho)\} \text{ is even}\}$ .

### 3.4 Classes of RPDA

$k$ -RPDA  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, q_0, \delta, c)$  is said to be deterministic if  $|\delta(q, a, tst) \cup \delta(q, \varepsilon, tst)| \leq 1$  for all  $q \in Q, a \in \Sigma, tst \in Tst_k$ , nondeterministic if the language of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as  $L_N(\mathcal{A})$  and universal if the language of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as  $L_U(\mathcal{A})$ . For those cases, we denote  $\mathcal{A}$  as  $k$ -DRPDA,  $k$ -NRPDA and  $k$ -URPDA, respectively. An  $\varepsilon$ -free  $k$ -RPDA is an RPDA not having any  $\varepsilon$  rules. Let **DRPDA**, **NRPDA** and **URPDA** be the class of  $\varepsilon$ -free  $k$ -DRPDA,  $k$ -NRPDA and  $k$ -URPDA for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , respectively.

Let  $Com_v = \{pop, skip, push\}$  and  $v : Com([k]) \rightarrow Com$  be a function such that  $v(push(j)) = push$  for  $j \in [k]$  and  $v(com) = com$  otherwise. An visible  $k$ -RPDA is input and output alphabets are  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \times Com_v$  and  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{O}} \times Com_v$ , respectively, and every rule has one of the form  $(q, (a, v(com))) \rightarrow (q', asgn, com)$ . Let **DRPDA<sub>v</sub>** be the class of visible  $\varepsilon$ -free  $k$ -DRPDA for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , respectively.

## 4 Realizability problems for Register Automata

### 4.1 Finite actions

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we define the set of visible finite input actions  $A_k^{\mathbb{I}} = \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \times \{\text{skip}\} \times Tst_k$  and output actions  $A_k^{\mathbb{O}} = \{(\sigma_o, v(\text{com}), \text{asgn}, j, \text{com}) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}} \times Com_v \times A_{\text{sgn}_k} \times [k] \times Com([k])\}$  for  $k$ -RPDT. A sequence  $w = ((a_1^{\mathbb{I}}, \text{skip}), d_1^{\mathbb{I}})((a_1^{\mathbb{O}}, v(\text{com}_1)), d_1^{\mathbb{O}}) \cdots \in DW(\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, D)$  is compatible with a sequence  $\bar{a} = (a_1^{\mathbb{I}}, \text{skip}, tst_1)(a_1^{\mathbb{O}}, v(\text{com}_1), \text{asgn}_1, j_1, \text{com}_1) \cdots \in (A_k^{\mathbb{I}} A_k^{\mathbb{O}})^{\omega}$  if there exists a run  $(\rho, w)$  of  $k$ -RPDT satisfying follows: For all  $i \geq 1$ , let  $\rho(i-1) = (q, \theta, eu)$  and  $\rho(i) = (q', \theta', u'u)$  for some  $e \in D, u \in D^*$  and  $u' \in D^*$ . Then  $\theta, d_i^{\mathbb{I}}, e \models tst_i, \theta' = \theta[\text{asgn}_i \leftarrow d_i^{\mathbb{I}}], \theta'(j_1) = d_i^{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $u' = Z_D(\text{com}, \theta', e)$  hold. Let  $Comp(\bar{a}) = \{w \in DW(\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, D) \mid w \text{ is compatible with } \bar{a}\}$ . For specification  $S \subseteq DW(\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, D)$ , we define  $W_{S,k} = \{\bar{a} \mid Comp(\bar{a}) \subseteq S\}$ .

**Theorem 12.** *For a specification  $S \subseteq DW(\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, D)$ , the following statements are equivalent.*

- *There exists a  $k$ -RPDT  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $L(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq S$ .*
- *There exists a PDT  $\mathcal{T}'$  such that  $L(\mathcal{T}') \subseteq W_{S,k}$ .*

### 4.2 Decidability and undecidability of realizability problems

**Lemma 13.**  $L_k = \{w \otimes \bar{a} \mid w \in Comp(\bar{a})\}$  is definable as a language of  $(k+2)$ -DRPDA.

**Proof.** Let  $(k+2)$ -DRPDA  $\mathcal{A}_k = (\{p, q\} \cup (A_{\text{sgn}_k} \times [k] \times Com([k])) \cup [k], \{p\}, \{q\} \cup (A_{\text{sgn}_k} \times [k] \times Com([k])) \cup [k], p, \delta_k, c_k)$  over  $A_k^{\mathbb{I}}, A_k^{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $D$  where  $c_k(s) = 2$  for all state  $s$  and  $\delta_k$  consists of rules of the form

$$(p, (a_{\mathbb{I}}, \text{skip}, tst), tst) \rightarrow (q, \{k+1\}, \text{skip}) \quad (1)$$

$$(q, (a_{\mathbb{O}}, v(\text{com}), \text{asgn}, j, \text{com}), tst') \rightarrow ((\text{asgn}, j, \text{com}), \{k+2\}, \text{skip}) \quad (2)$$

$$((\text{asgn}, j, \text{com}), \varepsilon, \{k+1\} \cup tst') \rightarrow (j, \text{asgn}, \text{com}) \quad (3)$$

$$(j, \varepsilon, \{j, k+2\} \cup tst') \rightarrow (p, \emptyset, \text{skip}) \quad (4)$$

for all  $(a_{\mathbb{I}}, tst) \in A_k^{\mathbb{I}}, (a_{\mathbb{O}}, \text{asgn}, j, \text{com}) \in A_k^{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $tst' \in Tst_{k+2}$ . Then,  $L(\mathcal{A}_k) = L_k$  holds.

**Lemma 14.** *For specification  $\mathcal{S}$  definable by some visible  $\varepsilon$ -free  $k'$ -DRPDA.  $L_{k, \bar{\mathcal{S}}} = \{w \otimes \bar{a} \mid w \in Comp(\bar{a}) \cap \bar{\mathcal{S}}\}$  is definable as a language of visible  $(k+k'+4)$ -DRPDA.*

**Proof.** Let  $L_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}} = \{w \otimes \bar{a} \mid w \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}}$  be a visible  $\varepsilon$ -free  $k'$ -DRPDA such that  $L(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}}) = L_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_k$  be a  $(k+2)$ -DRPDA defined in Lemma 13. Because  $L_{k, \bar{\mathcal{S}}} = L_k \cap L_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}}$  and both  $L_k$  and  $L_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}}$  are visible DRPDA, it is enough to show we can construct visible  $(k+k'+4)$ -DRPDA  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $L(\mathcal{A}) = L(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}}) \cap L(\mathcal{A}_k)$ .

For simplicity, we rewrite  $\mathcal{A}_k$  as  $k_1$ -DRPDA  $\mathcal{A}_1 = (Q_1, Q_1^{\mathbb{I}}, Q_1^{\mathbb{O}}, q_1^0, \delta_1, c_1)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{S}}$  as  $k_2$ -DRPDA  $\mathcal{A}_2 = (Q_2, Q_2^{\mathbb{I}}, Q_2^{\mathbb{O}}, q_2^0, \delta_2, c_2)$ , but they satisfy that  $c_1(q)$  is even for all  $q \in Q_1$  and every rules in  $\delta_1$  forms triple sequential rules

$$(q_1, (a, v(\text{com}_1)), \text{tst}_1) \rightarrow (q_2, \text{asgn}_1, \text{skip}) \quad (2')$$

$$(q_2, \varepsilon, \text{tst}_2) \rightarrow (q_3, \text{asgn}_2, \text{com}_1) \quad (3')$$

$$(q_3, \varepsilon, \text{tst}_3) \rightarrow (q_4, \text{asgn}_3, \text{skip}) \quad (4')$$

Note that (2'), (3') and (4') correspond to (2), (3) and (4), respectively, and (1) can be divided in three rules of the form (2'), (3') and (4').

We construct  $(k_1 + k_2 + 2)$ -DRPDA  $\mathcal{A} = (Q_1 \times Q_2 \times [5], Q_1^{\mathbb{I}} \times Q_2^{\mathbb{I}} \times [5], Q_1^{\mathbb{O}} \times Q_2^{\mathbb{O}} \times [5], (q_0^1, q_0^2, 1), \delta, c)$  where  $c((q_1, q_2, i)) = c_2(q_2)$  for all  $(q_1, q_2, i) \in Q$ . For all rules

- $(q_1, (a, v(\text{com}_1)), \text{tst}_1) \rightarrow (q_2, \text{asgn}_1, \text{skip})$ ,
- $(q_2, \varepsilon, \text{tst}_2) \rightarrow (q_3, \text{asgn}_2, \text{com}_1)$ ,
- $(q_3, \varepsilon, \text{tst}_3) \rightarrow (q_4, \text{asgn}_3, \text{skip}) \in \delta_1$  and
- $(q, (a, v(\text{com})), \text{tst}) \rightarrow (q', \text{asgn}, \text{com}) \in \delta_2$

( $v(\text{com}_1) = v(\text{com})$ ) for  $a \in \Sigma$ , let  $\text{tst}^{+k_1} = \{i + k_1 \mid i \in \text{tst}\} \cup \{\text{top} \mid \text{top} \in \text{tst} \setminus [k_1]\}$ ,  $\text{asgn}^{+k_1} = \{i + k_1 \mid i \in \text{asgn}\}$  and  $\text{com}^{+k_1} = \text{push}(j + k_1)$  if  $\text{com} = \text{push}(j)$  and  $\text{com}^{+k_1} = \text{com}$  otherwise, then  $\delta$  consists of the rules

- $((q_1, q, 1), \varepsilon, \text{tst}' \cup \{\text{top}\}) \rightarrow ((q_1, q, 2), \{k_1 + k_2 + 1\}, \text{pop})$
- $((q_1, q, 2), \varepsilon, \text{tst}' \cup \{\text{top}\}) \rightarrow ((q_1, q, 3), \{k_1 + k_2 + 2\}, \text{push}(k_1 + k_2 + 1))$
- $((q_1, q, 3), (a, v(\text{com}_1)), (\text{tst}_1 \cup \text{tst}^{+k_1}) \setminus \{\text{top} \cup \{k_1 + k_2 + t \mid t = 1 \text{ if } \text{top} \in \text{tst}_1 \text{ and } t = 2 \text{ if } \text{top} \in \text{tst}\}\}) \rightarrow ((q_2, q', 4), \text{asgn}_1 \cup \text{asgn}^{+k_1}, \text{com}^{+k_1})$
- $((q_2, q', 4), \varepsilon, \text{tst}_2 \cup \text{tst}') \rightarrow ((q_3, q', 5), \text{asgn}_2, \text{com}_1)$
- $((q_3, q', 5), \varepsilon, \text{tst}_3 \cup \text{tst}') \rightarrow ((q_4, q', 0), \text{asgn}_3, \text{skip})$

for all  $\text{tst}' \in \text{Tst}_{k_1+k_2+2}$ . Then,  $L(\mathcal{A}) = L(\mathcal{A}_1) \cap L(\mathcal{A}_2)$ .

**Lemma 15.**  $W_{S,k} = \overline{\text{Lab}(L_{\bar{S},k})}$ .

**Proof.** For every  $\bar{a} \in (A_k^{\mathbb{I}} A_k^{\mathbb{O}})^{\omega}$ ,  $\bar{a} \notin W_{S,k} \Leftrightarrow \text{Comp}(\bar{a}) \not\subseteq S \Leftrightarrow \exists w.w \in \text{Comp}(\bar{a}) \cap \bar{S} \Leftrightarrow \exists w.w \otimes \bar{a} \in L_{\bar{S},k} \Leftrightarrow \bar{a} \in \text{Lab}(L_{\bar{S},k})$ . Thus,  $W_{S,k} = \overline{\text{Lab}(L_{\bar{S},k})}$  holds.

**Theorem 16.** For all  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\text{REAL}(\text{DRPD}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}, \text{RPDT}[k])$  is decidable.

**Proof.** By Lemma 14,  $L_{\bar{S},k}$  is definable by some visible DRPDA. Because every language recognized by some visible DRPDA can be converted to the language of visible DPDA by taking a projection on its label,  $W_{S,k}$  is definable by some visible DPDA by Lemma 15. By Theorem 12, we can check  $\text{REAL}(\text{DPDA}, \text{PDT})$  for  $W_{S,k}$ , which is shown to be decidable in Theorem 8, instead of checking  $\text{REAL}(\text{DRPD}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}, \text{RPDT}[k])$ .

**Theorem 17.** For all  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\text{REAL}(\text{NRPDA}, \text{RPDT}[k])$  is undecidable.

**Proof.** We can easily reduce the problem from  $\text{REAL}(\text{NPDA}, \text{PDT})$ , whose undecidability is proved in Theorem 9.