

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$  and  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For a set  $A$ , let  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  be the power set of  $A$ ,  $A^*$  and  $A^\omega$  be the sets of finite and infinite words over  $A$ , and we denote  $A^\infty = A^* \cup A^\omega$ . For a word  $\alpha \in A^\infty$  over a set  $A$ , let  $\alpha(i) \in A$  be the  $i$ -th element of  $\alpha$  ( $i \geq 0$ ). Let  $\langle u, w \rangle = u(0)w(0)u(1)w(1)\dots \in A^\infty$  for words  $u, w \in A^\infty$  and  $\langle B, C \rangle = \{\langle u, w \rangle \mid u \in B, w \in C\}$  for sets  $B, C \subseteq A^\infty$ . By  $|\beta|$ , we mean the cardinality of  $\beta$  if  $\beta$  is a set and the length of  $\beta$  if  $\beta$  is a finite sequence. We assume  $\varepsilon$  represents the empty sequence. For  $w \in (A \cup \{\varepsilon\})^\omega$ , let  $ef(w) \in A^\omega$  be an epsilon free sequence of  $w$ . That is,  $ef(w)(i) = w(i)$  if  $w(i) \in A$  and  $ef(w)(i) = \varepsilon$  if  $w(i) = \varepsilon$  for  $i \geq 0$ .

In this paper, disjoint sets  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}$ ,  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $\Gamma$  denote a (finite) input alphabet, an output alphabet and a stack alphabet, respectively, and  $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \cup \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$ . For a set  $\Gamma$ , let  $Com(\Gamma) = \{pop, skip\} \cup \{push(z) \mid z \in \Gamma\}$  be the set of stack commands over  $\Gamma$ . Let  $Z : Com(\Gamma) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  be a function defined as  $Z(pop, z) = \varepsilon$ ,  $Z(skip, z) = z$  and  $Z(push(z'), z) = z'z$ .

### 2.1 Transition Systems

**Definition 1.** A transition system (TS) is  $\mathcal{S} = (S, s_0, A, \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}, c)$  where

- $S$  is a (finite or infinite) set of states,
- $s_0 \in S$  is the initial state,
- $A$  is a (finite or infinite) alphabet,
- $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq S \times (A \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times S$  is a set of transition relation, written as  $s \rightarrow^a s'$  if  $(s, a, s') \in \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}$  and
- $c : S \rightarrow [n]$  is a coloring function where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A run of TS  $\mathcal{S} = (S, s_0, A, \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}, c)$  is a pair  $(\rho, w) \in S^\omega \times A^\omega$  that satisfies  $\rho(0) = s_0$  and  $\rho(i) \xrightarrow{w(i)} \rho(i+1)$  for  $i \geq 0$ . Let  $C : S^\omega \rightarrow [n]$  be a minimal coloring function such that  $C(\rho) = \min\{m \mid \text{there exist infinite numbers of } i \geq 0 \text{ such that } c(\rho(i)) = m\}$ . We call  $\mathcal{S}$  deterministic if  $s \xrightarrow{a} s_1$  and  $s \xrightarrow{a} s_2$  implies  $s_1 = s_2$  for all  $s, s_1, s_2 \in S$  and  $a \in A \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ . We define the language of  $\mathcal{S}$  as  $L(\mathcal{S}) = \{ef(w) \in A^\omega \mid \text{there exists a run } (\rho, w) \text{ such that } C(\rho) \text{ is even}\}$ .

## 3 Pushdown Transducers, Automata and Games

### 3.1 Pushdown Transducers

**Definition 2.** A pushdown transducer (PDT) over finite alphabets  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}$ ,  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathcal{T} = (P, p_0, z_0, \Delta)$  where  $P$  is a finite set of states,  $p_0 \in P$  is the initial state,  $z_0 \in \Gamma$  is the initial stack symbol and  $\Delta : P \times \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \times \Gamma \rightarrow P \times \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}} \times Com(\Gamma)$  is a finite set of deterministic transition rules having one of the following forms:

- $(p, a, z) \rightarrow (q, b, \text{pop})$  (pop rule)
- $(p, a, z) \rightarrow (q, b, \text{skip})$  (skip rule)
- $(p, a, z) \rightarrow (q, b, \text{push}(z))$  (push rule)

where  $p, q \in P$ ,  $a \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}$ ,  $b \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $z \in \Gamma$ .

For a state  $p \in P$  and a stack  $w \in \Gamma^*$ ,  $(p, w)$  is called a *configuration* or *instantaneous description* (abbreviated as *ID*) of PDT  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $ID_{\mathcal{T}}$  denote the set of all IDs of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $\Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}} \subseteq ID_{\mathcal{T}} \times \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}} \times ID_{\mathcal{T}}$  be the transition relation of  $\mathcal{T}$  that satisfies follows: For two IDs  $(p, u), (q, u') \in ID_{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $ab \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$ ,  $((p, u), ab, (q, u')) \in \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}$ , written as  $(p, w) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}^{ab} (q, w')$ , if there exist a rule  $r = (p, a, z) \rightarrow (q, b, \text{com}) \in \Delta$  and a sequence  $u \in \Gamma^*$  such that  $w = zu$  and  $w' = Z(\text{com}, z)u$ . If  $\mathcal{T}$  is clear from the context, we abbreviate  $\Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}^{ab}$  as  $\Rightarrow^{ab}$ . By definition, any ID  $(p, \varepsilon) \in ID_{\mathcal{T}}$  has no successor. That is, there is no transition from an ID with empty stack. We define a run and Language of PDT  $\mathcal{T}$  as those of deterministic TS  $(ID_{\mathcal{T}}, (q_0, z_0), \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}, c)$  where  $c(s) = 2$  for all  $s \in ID_{\mathcal{T}}$ . Let **PDT** be the class of PDT.

### 3.2 Pushdown Automata

**Definition 3.** A nondeterministic pushdown automata (NPDA) over finite alphabets  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}$ ,  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, Q_{\mathbb{I}}, Q_{\mathbb{O}}, q_0, z_0, c, \delta)$  where  $Q, Q_{\mathbb{I}}, Q_{\mathbb{O}}$  are finite sets of states that satisfy  $Q = Q_{\mathbb{I}} \cup Q_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $Q_{\mathbb{I}} \cap Q_{\mathbb{O}} = \emptyset$ ,  $q_0 \in Q_{\mathbb{I}}$  is the initial state,  $z_0 \in \Gamma$  is the initial stack symbol,  $c : Q \rightarrow [n]$  is a coloring function where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of priorities and  $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \text{Com}(\Gamma))$  is a finite set of transition rules, having one of the following forms:

- $(q_{\mathbb{X}}, a_{\mathbb{X}}, z) \rightarrow (q'_{\mathbb{X}}, \text{com})$  (input/output rules)
- $(q_{\mathbb{X}}, \varepsilon, z) \rightarrow (q'_{\mathbb{X}}, \text{com})$  ( $\varepsilon$  rules)

where  $(\mathbb{X}, \bar{\mathbb{X}}) \in \{(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{O}), (\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{I})\}$ ,  $q_{\mathbb{X}}, q'_{\mathbb{X}} \in Q_{\mathbb{X}}$ ,  $q_{\bar{\mathbb{X}}} \in Q_{\bar{\mathbb{X}}}$ ,  $a_{\mathbb{X}} \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{X}}$ ,  $z \in \Gamma$  and  $\text{com} \in \text{Com}(\Gamma)$ .

We define  $ID_{\mathcal{A}} = Q \times \Gamma^*$  and a transition relation  $\vdash_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq ID_{\mathcal{A}} \times (\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times ID_{\mathcal{A}}$  as  $((q, u), a, (q', u')) \in \vdash_{\mathcal{A}}$  iff there exist a rule  $(p, a, z) \rightarrow (q, \text{com}) \in \delta$  and a sequence  $u \in \Gamma^*$  such that  $w = zu$  and  $w' = Z(\text{com}, z)u$ . We write  $(q, u) \vdash_{\mathcal{A}}^a (q', u')$  iff  $((q, u), a, (q', u')) \in \vdash_{\mathcal{A}}$ . We write  $\vdash_{\mathcal{A}}^a$  as  $\vdash^a$  if  $\mathcal{A}$  is clear from context.

We call  $\mathcal{A}$   $\varepsilon$ -free if  $\mathcal{A}$  has no  $\varepsilon$  rule. We define a run and language as those of TS  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}} = (ID_{\mathcal{A}}, (q_0, z_0), \Sigma, \vdash_{\mathcal{A}}, c')$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  where  $c'((q, u)) = c(q)$  for every  $(q, u) \in ID_{\mathcal{A}}$ . We call a PDA  $\mathcal{A}$  deterministic if  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is deterministic, and then we write  $\mathcal{A}$  is DPDA. Let **DPDA** and **NPDA** be the class of  $\varepsilon$ -free DPDA and  $\varepsilon$ -free NPDA, respectively.

### 3.3 Pushdown Games

**Definition 4.** A Pushdown Games (PDG) of PDA  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, Q_{\mathbb{I}}, Q_{\mathbb{O}}, q_0, z_0, \delta, c)$  is  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}} = (V, V_{\mathbb{I}}, V_{\mathbb{O}}, E, C)$  where  $V = Q \times \Gamma^*$  is the set of vertices with  $V_{\mathbb{I}} =$

$Q_{\mathbb{I}} \times \Gamma^*, V_{\mathbb{O}} = Q_{\mathbb{O}} \times \Gamma^*, E \subseteq V \times V$  is the set of edges defined as  $E = \{(v, v') \mid v \vdash^a v' \text{ for some } a \in \Sigma\}$  and  $C : V \rightarrow [n]$  is the coloring function such that  $C((q, u)) = c(q)$  for all  $(q, u) \in V$ .

The game starts with some  $(q_0, z_0) \in V_{\mathbb{I}}$ . When the current vertex is  $v \in V_{\mathbb{I}}$ , Player I chooses a successor  $v' \in V_{\mathbb{O}}$  of  $v$  as the next vertice. When the current vertex is  $v \in V_{\mathbb{O}}$ , Player II chooses a successor  $v' \in V_{\mathbb{I}}$  of  $v$ . A finite or infinite sequence  $\rho \in V^\infty$  is valid if  $\rho(0) = (q_0, z_0)$  and satisfy  $(\rho(i-1), \rho(i)) \in E$  for every  $i \geq 1$ . A play of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is an infinite and valid sequence  $\rho \in V^\omega$ . A play  $\rho$  is winning for Player I iff  $\text{state}(\rho)$  is even.

By the definition of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , every choice of a successor by players can be also expressed as a choice of a pair  $(q, \text{com}) \in Q \times \text{Com}(\Gamma)$ . Furthermore, a choice of a successor can be expressed as a choice of  $a \in \Sigma$  if  $\mathcal{A}$  is deterministic. Thus, every valid sequence  $\rho \in V^\infty$  corresponds one-to-one with a sequence  $\tau \in (Q \times \text{Com}(\Gamma))^\infty$ . In detail, for every  $i \geq 0$ ,  $\rho(i) = (q, zu)$ ,  $\tau(i) = (q', \text{com})$  and  $\rho(i+1) = (q', Z(\text{com}, z)u)$  hold for some  $q, q' \in Q, z \in \Gamma, u \in \Gamma^*$  and  $\text{com} \in \text{Com}(\Gamma)$ . We call  $\tau$  valid if the corresponding  $\rho$  is valid.

**Theorem 5.** [Walukiewicz, 2001] *If player I has a winning strategy of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , we can construct a PDT  $\mathcal{T}$  over  $Q_{\mathbb{I}} \times \text{Com}(\Gamma), Q_{\mathbb{O}} \times \text{Com}(\Gamma)$  and an stack alphabet  $\Gamma'$  that gives a winning strategy of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . That is, for every  $\tau \in L(\mathcal{T})$ , the corresponding play  $\rho \in V^\infty$  is winning for Player I.*

When  $\mathcal{A}$  is deterministic, there is also a one-to-one correspondence between a valid sequence  $\rho \in V^\infty$  and a sequence of input and output alphabets  $u \in \Sigma^\infty$ . In detail, for every  $i \geq 0$ ,  $\rho(i) = (q, zu)$ ,  $\rho(i+1) = (q', Z(\text{com}, z)u)$  and  $(q, u(i), z) \rightarrow (q', \text{com}) \in \delta$  hold for some  $q, q' \in Q, z \in \Gamma, u \in \Gamma^*$  and  $\text{com} \in \text{Com}(\Gamma)$ .

By the correspondence, the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 6.** *A play  $\rho$  is winning for Player I iff the corresponding sequence  $w \in \Sigma^\omega$  of  $\rho$  satisfies  $w \in L(\mathcal{A})$ .*

In a similar way to Theorem 5, we can obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is deterministic and player I has a winning strategy of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , we can construct a PDT  $\mathcal{T}$  over  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $\Gamma'$  that gives a winning strategy of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . That is, for every  $w \in L(\mathcal{T})$ , the corresponding play  $\rho \in V^\infty$  is winning for Player I.*

## 4 Realizability problems for PDA and PDT

For a specification  $S$  and an implementation  $I$ , we write  $I \models S$  if  $L(I) \subseteq L(S)$ .

**Definition 8.** *Realizability problem  $\text{REAL}(S, \mathcal{I})$  for a class of specifications  $S$  and of implementations  $\mathcal{I}$ : For a specification  $S \in S$ , is there an implementation  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $I \models S$ ?*

**Theorem 9.**  $\text{REAL}(\text{DPDA}, \text{PDT})$  is decidable.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a given DPDA. By Lemmas 6 and 7, we can construct a PDT  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $\mathcal{T} \models \mathcal{A}$  if player I has a winning strategy for the game  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Because there is an algorithm for constructing  $\mathcal{T}$  [Walukiewucz, 2001],  $\text{REAL}(\text{DPDA}, \text{PDT})$  is decidable.

**Theorem 10.**  $\text{REAL}(\text{NPDA}, \text{PDT})$  is undecidable.

**Proof.** For NPDA, we reduce the problem from the universality problem of NPDA, which is undecidable. For a given NPDA  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, q_0, z_0, \delta, c)$  over  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$ , we can construct an NPDA  $\mathcal{A}' = (Q \cup Q', q_0, z_0, \delta', c')$  over  $\Sigma, \Sigma_0$  and  $\Gamma$  that satisfies  $L(\mathcal{A}) = \Sigma^\omega$  iff there exists  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $\mathcal{T} \models \mathcal{A}$ .  $\Sigma_0$  is an arbitrary alphabet,  $Q' = \{q'_i \mid i \in [n], q_i \in Q\}$  where  $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ ,  $c'(q_i) = c'(q'_i) = c(q_i)$  for all  $i \in [n]$  and  $\delta'$  satisfies that  $(q_i, a, z) \rightarrow (q_j, \text{com}) \in \delta$  iff  $(q_i, a, z) \rightarrow (q'_j, \text{com}) \in \delta'$ , and  $(q'_j, b, z) \rightarrow (q_j, \text{skip}) \in \delta'$  for all  $b \in \Sigma_0$ . By the construction of  $\mathcal{A}'$ ,  $L(\mathcal{A}') = \langle L(\mathcal{A}), \Sigma_0^\omega \rangle$  holds. If  $L(\mathcal{A}) = \Sigma^\omega$ , then  $L(\mathcal{A}') = \langle \Sigma^\omega, \Sigma_0^\omega \rangle$  and thus  $\mathcal{T} \models \mathcal{A}$  holds for every  $\mathcal{T}$ . If  $L(\mathcal{A}) \neq \Sigma^\omega$ , there exists a word  $w \in \Sigma^\omega$  such that  $w \notin L(\mathcal{A})$ . Every language of PDT contains a word  $\langle u, v \rangle$  for every  $u \in \Sigma^\omega$  and some  $v \in \Sigma_0^\omega$ , but  $\langle w, v \rangle \notin L(\mathcal{A}')$  for any  $v \in \Sigma_0^\omega$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{T} \not\models \mathcal{A}'$  holds for any PDT  $\mathcal{T}$ . In conclusion, this reduction holds and the realizability problem for PDT and NPDA is undecidable.

## 5 Register Pushdown Transducers and Register Pushdown Automata

### 5.1 Data words and registers

We assume a countable set  $D$  of *data values*. For finite alphabets  $\Sigma_I, \Sigma_0$  and a countable set  $D$ , an infinite sequence  $(a_1^I, d_1^I)(a_1^0, d_1^0) \dots \in ((\Sigma_I \times D) \cdot (\Sigma_0 \times D))^\omega$  is called a *data word*. We write  $DW(\Sigma_I, \Sigma_0, D) = ((\Sigma_I \times D) \cdot (\Sigma_0 \times D))^\omega$ .

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a mapping  $\theta : [k] \rightarrow D$  is called an *assignment* (of data values to  $k$  registers). Let  $\Theta_k$  denote the collection of assignments to  $k$  registers. We specify  $\perp \in D$  as the initial data value and  $\theta_\perp \in \Theta_k$  be the initial assignment such that  $\theta_\perp(i) = \perp$  for all  $i \in [k]$ .

We denote  $Tst_k = \mathcal{P}([k] \cup \{\text{top}\})$  and  $Asgn_k = \mathcal{P}([k])$  where  $\text{top} \notin \mathbb{N}$  is the unique symbol that represents a stack top value.  $Tst_k$  is the set of guard conditions. For  $tst \in Tst_k$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta_k$  and  $d, e \in D$ , we denote  $\theta, d, e \models tst$  if  $\theta(i) = d \Leftrightarrow i \in tst$  and  $e = d \Leftrightarrow \text{top} \in tst$  hold. (In definitions of register pushdown transducer (automaton) in the next section, the data values  $d$  and  $e$  represent an input data value and a stack top data value, respectively.)  $Asgn_k$  is the set of assignment conditions. For  $asgn \in Asgn_k$ ,  $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta_k$  and  $d \in D$ , let  $\theta[asgn \leftarrow d]$  be the assignment  $\theta'$  such that  $\theta'(i) = d$  for  $i \in asgn$  and  $\theta'(i) = \theta(i)$  for  $i \notin asgn$ . Let  $Z_D : Com([k]) \times \Theta_k \times D \rightarrow D^*$  be a function defined as  $Z_D(\text{pop}, \theta, d) = \varepsilon$ ,  $Z_D(\text{skip}, \theta, d) = d$  and  $Z_D(\text{push}(j), \theta, d) = \theta(j)d$ .

## 5.2 Register pushdown transducers

**Definition 11.** A  $k$ -register pushdown transducer ( $k$ -RPDT) over finite alphabets  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and an infinite set  $D$  of data values is  $\mathcal{T} = (P, p_0, \Delta)$  where  $P$  is a finite set of states,  $p_0 \in P$  is the initial state,  $\Delta : P \times \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \times Tst_k \rightarrow P \times \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}} \times Asgn_k \times [k] \times Com([k])$  is a finite set of deterministic transition rules.

$D$  is used as a stack alphabet. Let  $ID_{\mathcal{T}} = P \times \Theta_k \times D^*$  and  $\Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}} \subseteq ID_{\mathcal{T}} \times ((\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \times D) \cdot (\Sigma_{\mathbb{O}} \times D)) \times ID_{\mathcal{T}}$  be a transition relation of  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $((p, \theta, u), (a, d^{\mathbb{I}})(b, d^{\mathbb{O}}), (q, \theta', u')) \in \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}$  iff there exist a rule  $(p, a, tst) \rightarrow (q, b, asgn, j, com) \in \Delta$  a data value  $e \in D$ , and a sequence of data values  $w \in D^*$  where  $u = ew$  that satisfy the follows:  $d^{\mathbb{I}}, e, \theta \models tst$ ,  $\theta' = \theta[asgn \leftarrow d^{\mathbb{I}}]$ ,  $\theta'(j) = d^{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $u' = Z_D(com, \theta', e)w$ , and then we write  $(p, \theta, u) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}^{(a, d^{\mathbb{I}})(b, d^{\mathbb{O}})} (q, \theta', u')$ . If  $\mathcal{T}$  is clear from the context, we abbreviate  $\Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}^{(a, d^{\mathbb{I}})(b, d^{\mathbb{O}})}$  as  $\Rightarrow^{(a, d^{\mathbb{I}})(b, d^{\mathbb{O}})}$ .

The run and languages of  $\mathcal{T}$  is those of TS  $(ID_{\mathcal{T}}, (q_0, \theta_{\perp}, \perp), (\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \times D) \cdot (\Sigma_{\mathbb{O}} \times D), \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}}, c)$  where  $c(s) = 2$  for all  $s \in ID_{\mathcal{T}}$ . Let  $\mathbf{RPDT}[k]$  be the class of  $k$ -RPDT and  $\mathbf{RPDT} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbf{RPDT}[k]$ .

## 5.3 Register pushdown automata

**Definition 12.** A nondeterministic  $k$ -register pushdown automaton ( $k$ -NRPDA) over  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $D$  is  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, Q_{\mathbb{I}}, Q_{\mathbb{O}}, q_0, \delta, c)$ , where

- $Q$  is a finite set of states,
- $Q_{\mathbb{I}} \cup Q_{\mathbb{O}} = Q, Q_{\mathbb{I}} \cap Q_{\mathbb{O}} = \emptyset$ ,
- $q_0 \in Q$  is the initial state, and
- $\delta : Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times Tst_k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times Asgn_k \times Com([k]))$  is a transition function having one of the forms:
  - $(q_{\mathbb{X}}, a_{\mathbb{X}}, tst) \rightarrow (q_{\mathbb{X}}, asgn, com)$  (input rule)
  - $(q_{\mathbb{X}}, \varepsilon, tst) \rightarrow (q'_{\mathbb{X}}, asgn, com)$  ( $\varepsilon$  rule)
 where  $(\mathbb{X}, \overline{\mathbb{X}}) \in \{(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{O}), (\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{I})\}$ ,  $q_{\mathbb{X}}, q'_{\mathbb{X}} \in Q_{\mathbb{X}}, q_{\overline{\mathbb{X}}} \in Q_{\overline{\mathbb{X}}}, a_{\mathbb{X}} \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{X}}, tst \in Tst_k$ ,  $asgn \in Asgn_k$  and  $com \in Com([k])$ .
- $c : Q \rightarrow [n]$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of priorities.

Let  $ID_{\mathcal{A}} = Q \times \Theta_k \times D^*$ . We define a set of transition relation  $\vdash_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq ID_{\mathcal{A}} \times ((\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times D) \times ID_{\mathcal{A}}$  as satisfying  $((q, \theta, u), (a, d), (q', \theta', u')) \in \vdash_{\mathcal{A}}$ , written as  $(q, \theta, u) \vdash_{\mathcal{A}}^{(a, d)} (q', \theta', u')$ , iff there exist a rule  $(p, a, tst) \rightarrow (q, asgn, com) \in \delta$  a data value  $e \in D$ , and a sequence of data values  $w \in D^*$  where  $u = ew$  that satisfy the follows:  $d, e, \theta \models tst$ ,  $\theta' = \theta[asgn \leftarrow d]$  and  $u' = Z_D(com, \theta', e)w$ . We write  $\vdash_{\mathcal{A}}^{(a, d)}$  as  $\vdash^{(a, d)}$  if  $\mathcal{A}$  is clear from context. The run and language of  $k$ -DRPDA  $\mathcal{A}$  is those of TS  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}} = (ID_{\mathcal{A}}, (q_0, \theta_{\perp}, \perp), (\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times D, \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}}, c')$  where  $c'((q, \theta, u)) = c(q)$  for all  $(q, \theta, u) \in ID_{\mathcal{A}}$ . We call  $\mathcal{A}$  deterministic, or  $k$ -DRPDA, if  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is deterministic.

## 5.4 Classes of RPDA

An  $\varepsilon$ -free  $k$ -RPDA is an RPDA not having any  $\varepsilon$  rules. Let **DRPDA** and **NR-PDA** be the class of  $\varepsilon$ -free  $k$ -DRPDA and  $k$ -NRPDA for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , respectively. Let  $Com_v = \{pop, skip, push\}$  and  $v : Com([k]) \rightarrow Com$  be a function such that  $v(push(j)) = push$  for  $j \in [k]$  and  $v(com) = com$  otherwise. An visible  $k$ -RPDA is input and output alphabets are  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \times Com_v$  and  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{O}} \times Com_v$ , respectively, and every rule has one of the form  $(q, (a, v(com))) \rightarrow (q', asgn, com)$ . Let **DRPDAv** be the class of visible  $\varepsilon$ -free  $k$ -DRPDA for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , respectively.

## 6 Realizability problems for RPDA and RPDT

### 6.1 Finite actions

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we define the set of visible finite input actions as  $A_k^{\mathbb{I}} = \Sigma_{\mathbb{I}} \times \{skip\} \times Tst_k$  and the set of visible output actions as  $A_k^{\mathbb{O}} = \{(\sigma_o, v(com), asgn, j, com) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}} \times Com_v \times Asgn_k \times [k] \times Com([k])\}$  for  $k$ -RPDT. A sequence  $w = ((a_1^{\mathbb{I}}, skip), d_1^{\mathbb{I}})((a_1^{\mathbb{O}}, v(com_1)), d_1^{\mathbb{O}}) \cdots \in DW(\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, D)$  is compatible with a sequence  $\bar{a} = (a_1^{\mathbb{I}}, skip, tst_1)(a_1^{\mathbb{O}}, v(com_1), asgn_1, j_1, com_1) \cdots \in (A_k^{\mathbb{I}} A_k^{\mathbb{O}})^{\omega}$  if there exists a run  $(\rho, w)$  of  $k$ -RPDT satisfying follows: For all  $i \geq 1$ , let  $\rho(i-1) = (q, \theta, eu)$  and  $\rho(i) = (q', \theta', u'u)$  for some  $e \in D, u \in D^*$  and  $u' \in D^*$ . Then  $\theta, d_i^{\mathbb{I}}, e \models tst_i, \theta' = \theta[asgn_i \leftarrow d_i^{\mathbb{I}}], \theta'(j_1) = d_i^{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $u' = Z_D(com, \theta', e)$  hold. Let  $Comp(\bar{a}) = \{w \in DW(\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, D) \mid w \text{ is compatible with } \bar{a}\}$ . For specification  $S \subseteq DW(\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, D)$ , we define  $W_{S,k} = \{\bar{a} \mid Comp(\bar{a}) \subseteq S\}$ .

**Theorem 13.** *For a specification  $S \subseteq DW(\Sigma_{\mathbb{I}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{O}}, D)$ , the following statements are equivalent.*

- *There exists a  $k$ -RPDT  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $L(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq S$ .*
- *There exists a PDT  $\mathcal{T}'$  such that  $L(\mathcal{T}') \subseteq W_{S,k}$ .*

### 6.2 Decidability and undecidability of realizability problems

**Lemma 14.**  $L_k = \{w \otimes \bar{a} \mid w \in Comp(\bar{a})\}$  is definable as a language of  $(k+2)$ -DRPDA.

**Proof.** Let  $(k+2)$ -DRPDA  $\mathcal{A}_k = (\{p, q\} \cup (Asgn_k \times [k] \times Com([k])) \cup [k], \{p\}, \{q\} \cup (Asgn_k \times [k] \times Com([k])) \cup [k], p, \delta_k, c_k)$  over  $A_k^{\mathbb{I}}, A_k^{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $D$  where  $c_k(s) = 2$  for all state  $s$  and  $\delta_k$  consists of rules of the form

$$(p, (a_{\mathbb{I}}, skip, tst), tst) \rightarrow (q, \{k+1\}, skip) \quad (1)$$

$$(q, (a_{\mathbb{O}}, v(com), asgn, j, com), tst') \rightarrow ((asgn, j, com), \{k+2\}, skip) \quad (2)$$

$$((asgn, j, com), \varepsilon, \{k+1\} \cup tst') \rightarrow (j, asgn, com) \quad (3)$$

$$(j, \varepsilon, \{j, k+2\} \cup tst') \rightarrow (p, \emptyset, skip) \quad (4)$$

for all  $(a_{\mathbb{I}}, tst) \in A_k^{\mathbb{I}}, (a_{\mathbb{O}}, asgn, j, com) \in A_k^{\mathbb{O}}$  and  $tst' \in Tst_{k+2}$ . Then,  $L(\mathcal{A}_k) = L_k$  holds.

**Lemma 15.** For specification  $\mathcal{S}$  definable by some visible  $\varepsilon$ -free  $k'$ -DRPDA.  $L_{k,\bar{S}} = \{w \otimes \bar{a} \mid w \in \text{Comp}(\bar{a}) \cap \bar{S}\}$  is definable as a language of visible  $(k+k'+4)$ -DRPDA.

**Proof.** Let  $L_{\bar{S}} = \{w \otimes \bar{a} \mid w \in \bar{S}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{S}}$  be a visible  $\varepsilon$ -free  $k'$ -DRPDA such that  $L(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{S}}) = L_{\bar{S}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_k$  be a  $(k+2)$ -DRPDA defined in Lemma 14. Because  $L_{k,\bar{S}} = L_k \cap L_{\bar{S}}$  and both  $L_k$  and  $L_{\bar{S}}$  are visible DRPDA, it is enough to show we can construct visible  $(k+k'+4)$ -DRPDA  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $L(\mathcal{A}) = L(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{S}}) \cap L(\mathcal{A}_k)$ .

For simplicity, we rewrite  $\mathcal{A}_k$  as  $k_1$ -DRPDA  $\mathcal{A}_1 = (Q_1, Q_1^{\mathbb{I}}, Q_1^{\mathbb{O}}, q_1^0, \delta_1, c_1)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{S}}$  as  $k_2$ -DRPDA  $\mathcal{A}_2 = (Q_2, Q_2^{\mathbb{I}}, Q_2^{\mathbb{O}}, q_2^0, \delta_2, c_2)$ , but they satisfy that  $c_1(q)$  is even for all  $q \in Q_1$  and every rules in  $\delta_1$  forms triple sequential rules

$$(q_1, (a, v(\text{com}_1)), \text{tst}_1) \rightarrow (q_2, \text{asn}_1, \text{skip}) \quad (2')$$

$$(q_2, \varepsilon, \text{tst}_2) \rightarrow (q_3, \text{asn}_2, \text{com}_1) \quad (3')$$

$$(q_3, \varepsilon, \text{tst}_3) \rightarrow (q_4, \text{asn}_3, \text{skip}) \quad (4')$$

Note that (2'), (3') and (4') correspond to (2), (3) and (4), respectively, and (1) can be divided in three rules of the form (2'), (3') and (4').

We construct  $(k_1 + k_2 + 2)$ -DRPDA  $\mathcal{A} = (Q_1 \times Q_2 \times [5], Q_1^{\mathbb{I}} \times Q_2^{\mathbb{I}} \times [5], Q_1^{\mathbb{O}} \times Q_2^{\mathbb{O}} \times [5], (q_1^0, q_2^0, 1), \delta, c)$  where  $c((q_1, q_2, i)) = c_2(q_2)$  for all  $(q_1, q_2, i) \in Q$ . For all rules

- $(q_1, (a, v(\text{com}_1)), \text{tst}_1) \rightarrow (q_2, \text{asn}_1, \text{skip})$ ,
- $(q_2, \varepsilon, \text{tst}_2) \rightarrow (q_3, \text{asn}_2, \text{com}_1)$ ,
- $(q_3, \varepsilon, \text{tst}_3) \rightarrow (q_4, \text{asn}_3, \text{skip}) \in \delta_1$  and
- $(q, (a, v(\text{com})), \text{tst}) \rightarrow (q', \text{asn}, \text{com}) \in \delta_2$

( $v(\text{com}_1) = v(\text{com})$ ) for  $a \in \Sigma$ , let  $\text{tst}^{+k_1} = \{i + k_1 \mid i \in \text{tst}\} \cup \{\text{top} \mid \text{top} \in \text{tst} \setminus [k_1]\}$ ,  $\text{asn}^{+k_1} = \{i + k_1 \mid i \in \text{asn}\}$  and  $\text{com}^{+k_1} = \text{push}(j + k_1)$  if  $\text{com} = \text{push}(j)$  and  $\text{com}^{+k_1} = \text{com}$  otherwise, then  $\delta$  consists of the rules

- $((q_1, q, 1), \varepsilon, \text{tst}' \cup \{\text{top}\}) \rightarrow ((q_1, q, 2), \{k_1 + k_2 + 1\}, \text{pop})$
- $((q_1, q, 2), \varepsilon, \text{tst}' \cup \{\text{top}\}) \rightarrow ((q_1, q, 3), \{k_1 + k_2 + 2\}, \text{push}(k_1 + k_2 + 1))$
- $((q_1, q, 3), (a, v(\text{com}_1)), (\text{tst}_1 \cup \text{tst}^{+k_1}) \setminus \text{top} \cup \{k_1 + k_2 + t \mid t = 1 \text{ if } \text{top} \in \text{tst}_1 \text{ and } t = 2 \text{ if } \text{top} \in \text{tst}\}) \rightarrow ((q_2, q', 4), \text{asn}_1 \cup \text{asn}^{+k_1}, \text{com}^{+k_1})$
- $((q_2, q', 4), \varepsilon, \text{tst}_2 \cup \text{tst}') \rightarrow ((q_3, q', 5), \text{asn}_2, \text{com}_1)$
- $((q_3, q', 5), \varepsilon, \text{tst}_3 \cup \text{tst}') \rightarrow ((q_4, q', 0), \text{asn}_3, \text{skip})$

for all  $\text{tst}' \in \text{Tst}_{k_1+k_2+2}$ . Then,  $L(\mathcal{A}) = L(\mathcal{A}_1) \cap L(\mathcal{A}_2)$ .

**Lemma 16.**  $W_{S,k} = \overline{\text{Lab}(L_{\bar{S},k})}$ .

**Proof.** For every  $\bar{a} \in (A_k^{\mathbb{I}} A_k^{\mathbb{O}})^{\omega}$ ,  $\bar{a} \notin W_{S,k} \Leftrightarrow \text{Comp}(\bar{a}) \not\subseteq S \Leftrightarrow \exists w.w \in \text{Comp}(\bar{a}) \cap \bar{S} \Leftrightarrow \exists w.w \otimes \bar{a} \in L_{\bar{S},k} \Leftrightarrow \bar{a} \in \text{Lab}(L_{\bar{S},k})$ . Thus,  $W_{S,k} = \overline{\text{Lab}(L_{\bar{S},k})}$  holds.

**Theorem 17.** For all  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\text{REAL}(\text{DRPDAv}, \text{RPDT}[k])$  is decidable.

**Proof.** By Lemma 15,  $L_{\overline{S},k}$  is definable by some visible DRPDA. Because every language recognized by some visible DRPDA can be converted to the language of visible DPDA by taking a projection on its label,  $W_{S,k}$  is definable by some visible DPDA by Lemma 16. By Theorem 13, we can check  $\text{REAL}(\mathbf{DPDA}, \mathbf{PDT})$  for  $W_{S,k}$ , which is shown to be decidable in Theorem 9, instead of checking  $\text{REAL}(\mathbf{DRPDA}_v, \mathbf{RPDT}[k])$ .

**Theorem 18.** *For all  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\text{REAL}(\mathbf{NRPDA}, \mathbf{RPDT}[k])$  is undecidable.*

**Proof.** We can easily reduce the problem from  $\text{REAL}(\mathbf{NPDA}, \mathbf{PDT})$ , whose undecidability is proved in Theorem 10.

## 7 Conclusion

## References