ITP323 IT RESEARCH METHODS
RESEARCH FINAL PRESENTATION RUBRICS

PROJECT TITLE	
EVALUATOR	
SIGNATURE	

Scoring System

Excellent (5) **90% - 100%**	Indicates superior performance, where the work exceeds expectations and demonstrates a high level of quality.
Good (4) **80% - 89%**	Indicates solid performance, meeting most expectations with only minor issues.
Fair (3) **70% - 79%**	Indicates satisfactory performance but requires improvement in several areas.
Poor (2) **Below 70%**	Indicates substandard performance, with significant areas needing improvement.

CHAPTER 1: THE PROBLEM AND ITS BACKGROUND

		1	2	3	4	5
1	**Preliminary Pages:** All preliminary pages are accomplished, especially the list of figures and tables.					
2	**Background of the Study:** Clearly introduces the research topic, context, and importance.					
3	**Statement of the Problem:** The problem is specific, relevant, and justifies the need for the research.					
4	**Research Objectives:** Objectives are clearly stated, specific, and achievable.					
5	**Research Questions:** Research questions are aligned with objectives and logically framed.					
6	**Significance of the Study:** Highlights the study's contribution to the field or practical applications.					
7	**Scope and Delimitations:** Clearly defines boundaries and limitations of the research.					
8	**Definition of Terms:** All key terminologies are clearly defined and listed.					
9	**Review of Related Literature:** The literature reviewed is directly relevant to the research topic.					
10	**Framework of the Study:** Information is logically organized and flows coherently.					
	TOTAL:					

CHAPTER 2: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

		1	2	3	4	5
1	**Research Design:** The chosen design is appropriate for achieving the research objectives.					
2	**Participants/Sampling:** The sampling method and description of participants are clear and suitable.					
3	**Data Collection Methods:** The tools and procedures for data collection are well-explained and justified.					
4	**Ethical Considerations:** Ethical issues are addressed appropriately, including consent and confidentiality.					
5	**Statistical Treatment of Data:** Techniques for data analysis are appropriate and explained thoroughly.					
	TOTAL:					

OVERALL SCORING AND RECOMMENDATION
Note: Put a check mark (/) for your final overall score and evaluation.

5		CONDITIONAL PASS WITH NO REVISION
4		CONDITIONAL PASS WITH MINOR REVISION
3		CONDITIONAL PASS WITH MAJOR REVISION
2		RE-DEFENSE
1		FAIL

Evaluated by:

DENISE LOU B. PUNZALAN
Signature over printed name of evaluator

GORDON COLLEGE
COLLEGE OF COMPUTER STUDIES
Olongapo City

ITP323 IT RESEARCH METHODS
PEER TO PEER EVALUATION

NAME OF EVALUATOR:	
TEAM NAME:	

Please rate your team members on the relative contributions that were made in preparing and submitting your final project. Your ratings will not be disclosed to other students. Be honest in this evaluation.

Use one-to-four-point scale, where:

4 86- 90 – Superior
3 81 – 85 – Above Average
2 76 – 80 – Average
1 70 – 75 – Below Average

List of Team Members:
Note: Do not include yourself in evaluation. Make sure that all team members will be arranged alphabetically based on student's last name.

	NAME OF STUDENT (LN, FN, MI)	ROLE
M1		
M2		
M3		

	CRITERIA	M1	M2
1	Participated in class discussions or meetings		
2	Contributed useful ideas		
3	Reliable with meeting deadlines for work in progress and final project		
4	Respects other's opinions		
5	Knowledgeable about assignments and her/his role and fulfills that role		
6	Helped keep the others focused on the task		
7	Communicate with the team		
8	Attitude and cooperation with the team		
9	Quantity of work done		
10	Quality of work done		
TOTAL:			

Note: Total the score of each member.