



ITP323 IT RESEARCH METHODS
RESEARCH COMPLIANCE REPORT

PROJECT TITLE	
TEAM NAME	
DATE	

NO.	TEAM MEMBER (LN, FN Mi)	ROLE (Leader/Member)	EMAIL ADDRESS

Note: List the full name of the Team Leader in item 1.

NO.	RECOMMENDATION	PANEL MEMBER	ACTION TAKEN	REMARKS
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				

**** Researchers may add new rows if needed**

Note: All comments/suggestions and recommendations must be listed and accomplished.
Panel of Examiners will sign the compliance report once done.

Checked and Approved by:
PANEL OF EXAMINERS

Erlinda S. Casela – Abarintos, DIT
College Dean

Ronnie D. Luy, MIT
Assistant Dean

Denise Lou B. Punzalan, MSCS
CCS Research Coordinator

Reynaldo G. Bautista Jr., MSCS
BSIT Program Coordinator



PROJECT TITLE	
EVALUATOR	
SIGNATURE	

Excellent (5) 90% - 100%	Indicates superior performance, where the work exceeds expectations and demonstrates a high level of quality.
Good (4) 80% - 89%	Indicates solid performance, meeting most expectations with only minor issues.
Fair (3) 70% - 79%	Indicates satisfactory performance but requires improvement in several areas.
Poor (2) Below 70%	Indicates substandard performance, with significant areas needing improvement.

CRITERIA		5	4	3	2	1
I. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND OBJECTIVES						
1	The problem is clearly defined, well-motivated, and relevant to the field of Information Technology.					
2	Objectives are clear, specific, and aligned with the problem statement.					
II. LITERATURE REVIEW						
1	Comprehensive review of relevant literature, including key works and recent advancements.					
2	Demonstrates deep understanding and critical evaluation of the literature.					
III. INNOVATION AND CONTRIBUTION						
1	The proposal presents a novel and innovative approach that could significantly impact the field.					
2	The research has strong potential to contribute significantly to the field of Information Technology.					
IV. PRESENTATION AND COMMUNICATION						
1.	The presentation is well-organized, clear, and logically structured.					
2.	The presenters are confident, engaging, and communicates effectively with the audience.					
V. QUESTION AND ANSWER						
1.	The presenter responds to questions clearly, accurately, and demonstrates a strong understanding of the topic.					
2.	The presenter is receptive to feedback and thoughtfully considers suggestions.					