A Concise Characterization of 3D Simple Points

William Bogdanovic

Institute Gaspar Monge, Université Paris-Est Marne-la-Vallée, France

Introduction

Simple points play a key role in digital topology, especially in 3D where tunnels and cavities introduce extra complexity compared to 2D images. Past methods (e.g., using the Euler characteristic or digital fundamental groups) have been important but not always practical, since they can become computationally expensive for large data.

Fourey and Malgouyres propose an alternative that bypasses the complexity of examining the entire fundamental group of the complement. Instead, they rely on *linking numbers*—a concept borrowed from knot theory—to streamline the verification of whether removing a point alters the object's topology. Their approach addresses several open questions and presents a more concise way to characterize 3D simple points.

Résumé

Defining 3D Simple Points A point in a 3D digital object is *simple* if removing it does not change the topology of either the object itself or its complement. Concretely, one must ensure the number of connected components and the number of "tunnels" or "loops" remain the same before and after point deletion.

Linking Number and Its Role The key innovation is the shift away from checking complicated group isomorphisms. The *linking number*, borrowed from knot theory, measures how two disjoint 3D paths "interlace." By focusing on such local interlacings, one can detect whether hidden tunnels might appear or vanish if a given point is removed. Linking numbers are typically easier to compute than exploring the entire fundamental group of the object's complement.

The Main Theorem The authors argue that three main conditions suffice to define a 3D simple point:

1. The object (foreground) keeps the same number of connected components after point removal.
2. The background also remains unchanged in terms of connected components.
3. A certain local condition based on geodesic neighborhoods and linking numbers is satisfied (so that loops remain essentially the same).

These criteria avoid the need to verify that the fundamental groups of the object and its complement remain isomorphic—an approach that can be quite involved in 3D.

Critique & Implications

Although the method improves on older techniques by avoiding full fundamental group evaluations, certain factors may limit its adoption:

Handling Noisy or Complex Data : Real-world 3D datasets, such as those from medical imaging or scientific simulations, often contain artifacts or irregular boundaries. While the authors propose checking small "local" neighborhoods (e.g., $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cubes) to compute linking numbers, unusual topologies may extend beyond these regions. In heavily corrupted data, repeated local checks can still be insufficient or overly complex.

Choice of Adjacency and Connectivity : The paper mainly focuses on 6-, 18-, or 26-connectivity rules, ideal for uniform cubic grids. However, some volumetric datasets use alternative adjacency schemes or require multiple connectivity levels. Such scenarios might require more nuanced linking-number calculations, reducing the method's simplicity.

Implementation Complexity : Though linking numbers are easier to compute than fundamental group isomorphisms, careful coding is needed to handle partial loops and boundary effects. Edge cases must be treated consistently, and 3D digital topology libraries remain less common than 2D ones.

Potential for Optimization and Extensions : On the positive side, the framework is mathematically rigorous and computationally streamlined. Because checks occur in a bounded region, the method scales well with dataset size, lending itself to parallelization. Moreover, linking numbers could extend to non-cubic grids or higher dimensions if suitable adjacency definitions are introduced.

Overall Significance and Outlook : In tasks like thinning, skeletonization, or shape analysis, ensuring no hidden tunnels arise from local deletions is critical. By replacing group-theoretic checks with a local numeric invariant, Fourey and Malgouyres address longstanding concerns about preserving 3D topology. This approach may remain somewhat specialized, but its clarity and reduced computational load make it an important step forward. Whether it can fully replace older methods in highly irregular data remains to be seen, yet it is a valuable contribution that opens new avenues for robust 3D processing.

References

1. S. Fourey and R. Malgouyres, "A concise characterization of 3D simple points," *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, vol. 125, no. 1, pp. 59–80, 2003. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-218X(02)00224-X.