

A Concise Characterization of 3D Simple Points

William Bogdanovic

Institut Gaspard-Monge, Université Gustave-Eiffel, France

Introduction

Simple points are fundamental in digital topology, particularly in 3D settings where tunnels and cavities introduce complexities absent in 2D images. Existing techniques, such as those relying on Euler characteristics or digital fundamental groups, have provided robust theoretical tools. However, these approaches often face computational bottlenecks when scaled to large datasets.

Fourey and Malgouyres propose a more localized approach based on *linking numbers*, a concept borrowed from knot theory. This avoids the exhaustive exploration of the fundamental group of the complement, offering a computationally efficient method for determining whether a point is topologically simple. Their work addresses prior gaps and provides a practical alternative to group-theoretic methods.

Résumé

Defining 3D Simple Points: A point in a 3D digital object is *simple*, if removing it does not alter the topological characteristics of either the object or its complement. Specifically, the connected components, tunnels, and cavities must remain unchanged after the point's removal.

Linking Numbers in Topological Validation: The innovation lies in substituting complex group isomorphism checks with the simpler notion of *linking numbers*. These numbers quantify the interweaving of two disjoint paths in 3D space. By analyzing these interlacings, the method detects whether removing a point might inadvertently create or destroy loops or tunnels. This numerical approach is often more computationally feasible than global group-theoretic verifications.

Core Conditions for Simplicity: Fourey and Malgouyres identify three primary conditions for a 3D point to be classified as simple:

1. The object retains the same number of connected components after removing the point.
2. The background maintains its connected components.
3. A local geometric condition, expressed through linking numbers, ensures no new loops or tunnels are introduced.

These conditions circumvent the need to ensure full isomorphism between the fundamental groups before and after point removal.

Critique & Implications

While the method offers clear computational advantages, its practical application raises some challenges.

Handling Complex and Noisy Data: In real-world datasets—like CT scans or simulation outputs—noise and irregularities are common. While the authors suggest examining local neighborhoods (e.g., $2 \times 2 \times 2$ voxel cubes), such regions may not always capture topological anomalies extending across larger regions. This limitation could necessitate additional checks or hybrid strategies in practice.

Adjacency and Connectivity Assumptions: The approach assumes standard voxel connectivity (6-, 18-, or 26-adjacency). However, non-cubic grids or irregular sampling patterns are frequent in fields like geology or fluid dynamics. Adapting linking number calculations to these contexts might require non-trivial modifications.

Implementation Challenges: Although linking numbers simplify topological validation, their computation demands precision, particularly near boundaries or in partial loops. Edge cases, where loops are only partially enclosed within the region of interest, pose an additional challenge. Moreover, existing digital topology libraries offer limited support for such calculations.

Scalability and Optimization Potential: On a positive note, the localized nature of linking number calculations lends itself well to parallel computation. This scalability could make the method highly suitable for modern multi-threaded architectures. Furthermore, extensions to higher dimensions or non-cubic grids seem feasible with appropriate adjacency definitions.

Significance and Future Directions: In tasks such as skeletonization, medial axis extraction, or morphological analysis, preserving topological properties during point removal is critical. Fourey and Malgouyres' approach replaces computationally demanding algebraic checks with numerical invariants, offering a significant efficiency boost. While it may not fully replace older methods in all scenarios, it provides a robust tool for many practical applications. Future work could focus on refining the method for irregular grids and improving robustness against noise.

References

1. S. Fourey and R. Malgouyres, "A concise characterization of 3D simple points," *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, vol. 125, no. 1, pp. 59–80, 2003. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-218X\(02\)00224-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-218X(02)00224-X).