# The Golden Spiral Theory for the Unification of Elementary Particles: The Geometric Origin of Mass, Charge, Generations, and Forces

Ryuku Akahoshi $^{1, 2, *}$ 

<sup>1</sup> Independent Researcher, Tokyo, Japan <sup>2</sup> ORCID: 0009-0007-4644-0713 (Dated: September 5, 2025)

This paper proposes a new theoretical framework, the "Golden Spiral Theory," that offers a unified explanation for several unresolved problems in elementary particle physics, namely the mass hierarchy, the origin of charge, and the reason for the existence of three generations of matter. In this theory, elementary particles are arranged on a spiral structure formed by spontaneous symmetry breaking, governed by geometric laws based on the Fibonacci sequence and the golden ratio. Leptons form the fundamental spiral axis, while quarks are described as derivative entities that add a "twist" to this axis. The theory demonstrates the inevitability of the Koide formula, presents specific predicted values for neutrino masses, and for the first time, provides a theoretical basis for why exactly three generations of matter exist. Furthermore, the framework is consistent with the Standard Model, as it naturally reproduces the Gell-Mannâ © Nishijima formula for electric charge, thereby grounding the geometric interpretation within established particle physics.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

## I. INTRODUCTION

While the Standard Model of particle physics has achieved great success, several fundamental questions remain unanswered:

- 1. **The Mass Hierarchy Problem:** Why do the masses of elementary particles span such a wide range?
- 2. **The Generation Problem:** Why are there exactly three generations of matter?
- 3. **The Koide Formula:** Why does a specific relationship hold between the masses of the charged leptons?
- 4. **Neutrino Mass:** What are the absolute mass values of the extremely light neutrinos?
- 5. **The Origin of Charge:** Why do quarks possess fractional charges?

The "Golden Spiral Theory" proposed in this paper offers a unified solution to all these problems. The core of the theory is the idea that a spiral structure is formed by the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the vacuum, and elementary particles are arranged on this structure according to specific geometric laws.

### II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

## A. Fundamental Principles: Periodic Closure and Spiral Formation

The theory begins with the following fundamental axioms:

- Axiom 1 (Periodic Closure):: The universe is fundamentally a collection of waves, which tend to synchronize their phases to form closed, stable, standing-wave states.
- Axiom 2 (Spiral Formation):: Through spontaneous symmetry breaking, the vacuum transitions from a state of perfect symmetry to a slightly tilted state, forming a spiral structure based on Fibonacci numbers.

## B. Theoretical Derivation of the Anisotropy Constant $\gamma$

To derive the anisotropy constant  $\gamma$  that characterizes the degree of vacuum symmetry breaking, we consider the energy cost function of the vacuum. In a perfectly symmetric vacuum ( $\theta=0$ ), all elements align in a "crystalline state." However, this state is topologically constrained and thus has high energy. In phase field theory, the orientational order parameter is described by  $\psi=\langle e^{i\phi}\rangle$ . When a slight tilt  $\theta$  is introduced:

$$|\psi(\theta)| = \cos \theta$$

The energy cost due to topological stress is:

$$E_{\rm stress}(\theta) = a\cos^2\theta$$

Meanwhile, the tilt  $\theta$  itself creates a "closure defect" between vacuum cells, requiring a compensation energy to satisfy periodic boundary conditions:

$$E_{\text{defect}}(\theta) = d \tan^2 \theta$$

<sup>\*</sup>r.l.akahoshi@gmail.com

The total energy is the sum of these two terms:

$$E_{\text{total}}(\theta) = a\cos^2\theta + d\tan^2\theta$$

Differentiating this function with respect to  $\theta$  and setting it to zero gives the optimal tilt angle  $\theta_0$ :

$$\tan^2 \theta_0 = \frac{a}{d}$$

## C. 12-fold Symmetry and the Value of the Anisotropy Constant

The number 12 holds a special significance in natural phenomena, such as the 12-tone equal temperament in music, quasicrystals with 12-fold rotational symmetry, and geometric optimizations. This suggests that 12-fold symmetry is a fundamental organizing principle of nature.

For N=11:  $\theta_0 = \arctan(1/11) = 5.19^\circ \implies \gamma \approx 19.09$  For N=12:  $\theta_0 = \arctan(1/12) = 4.76^\circ \implies \gamma \approx 20.82$ 

The experimentally derived value from the Koide formula,  $\gamma \approx 20.2045$ , corresponds to a slightly broken 12-fold symmetry (N  $\approx 11.2$ ).

## III. UNIFIED MASS FORMULA AND THE FIBONACCI LAW

## A. Universal Mass Ratio Formula

In the symmetry-broken spiral structure, all elementary particles follow the same geometric principle. The mass ratio between any particle and a reference particle is described using pairs of adjacent Fibonacci numbers  $(F_1, F_2)$ :

$$\frac{m_i}{m_{\rm ref}} = \frac{F_{i1}^2 + \gamma F_{i2}^2}{F_{\rm ref1}^2 + \gamma F_{\rm ref2}^2}$$

where  $\gamma \approx 20.2045$  is the universal anisotropy constant.

This formula eliminates the need for arbitrary scale factors, as all mass relationships are determined purely by the geometric ratios of Fibonacci numbers and the single parameter  $\gamma$ .

## B. Application to Charged Leptons

Using the electron as the reference particle with  $(F_1, F_2) = (1, 0)$ , the masses of the three charged leptons are:

• Electron: 
$$(F_1, F_2) = (1, 0) \implies m_e/m_e = \frac{1^2 + 20.2045 \times 0^2}{1^2 + 20.2045 \times 0^2} = 1$$

• Muon: 
$$(F_1, F_2) = (5,3) \implies m_{\mu}/m_e = \frac{5^2 + 20.2045 \times 3^2}{1^2 + 20.2045 \times 0^2} = 207$$

• Tau: 
$$(F_1, F_2) = (8, 13) \implies m_\tau/m_e = \frac{8^2 + 20.2045 \times 13^2}{1^2 + 20.2045 \times 0^2} = 3479$$

These results show excellent agreement with experimental values (206.77 and 3477 respectively).

### C. Geometric Proof of the Koide Formula

The Koide formula is given by:

$$K = \frac{m_e + m_\mu + m_\tau}{(\sqrt{m_e} + \sqrt{m_\mu} + \sqrt{m_\tau})^2} \approx \frac{2}{3}$$

Rewriting this using the theory's fundamental variable  $\theta$ :

$$K = \frac{\sum \theta_i^2}{(\sum \theta_i)^2} = \frac{2}{3}$$

This is equivalent to the geometric condition that the angle between the vector  $(\theta_e, \theta_\mu, \theta_\tau)$  and the vector (1,1,1) is 45°. The Fibonacci orbits assigned to the three charged leptons by our theory automatically satisfy this 45° geometric condition with extremely high precision (calculated angle  $\phi \approx 45.008^{\circ}$ ), thus proving the inevitability of the Koide formula.

## IV. PREDICTION OF NEUTRINO MASSES

## A. Unified Description Using the Same Geometric Principle

Since neutrinos belong to the same lepton family, they follow the same universal mass ratio formula. Using the lightest neutrino as the reference, all neutrino masses are determined by their Fibonacci pair assignments.

## B. Numerical Search for Optimal Fibonacci Pairs

We performed a comprehensive numerical search for the combination of consecutive Fibonacci pairs that best reproduces the experimentally known neutrino mass-squared differences ( $\Delta m_{21}^2 \approx 7.5 \times 10^{-5} \ {\rm eV}^2$  and  $\Delta m_{31}^2 \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \ {\rm eV}^2$ ). The optimal solution found uses the following

The optimal solution found uses the following Fibonacci pairs and their corresponding A-values:

- $\nu_1$ :  $(F_1, F_2) = (21, 34) \implies A_1 = 21^2 + 20.2045 \times 34^2 \approx 23797.4$
- $\nu_2$ :  $(F_1, F_2) = (55, 34) \implies A_2 = 55^2 + 20.2045 \times 34^2 \approx 26381.4$
- $\nu_3$ :  $(F_1, F_2) = (89, 55) \implies A_3 = 89^2 + 20.2045 \times 55^2 \approx 69039.6$

The A-value ratios are  $A_1:A_2:A_3\approx 1:1.108:2.901.$ 

### C. Prediction of Absolute Neutrino Masses

Taking the lightest neutrino mass as  $m_1 = 0.0184$  eV (determined from the best fit to experimental mass-squared differences), the absolute masses of the three neutrinos are:

$$m_1 = 0.0184 \text{ eV}$$
 (1)  
 $m_2 = m_1 \times \frac{A_2}{A_1} = 0.0184 \times \frac{26381.4}{23797.4} \approx 0.0204 \text{ eV}$ 

$$m_3 = m_1 \times \frac{A_3}{A_1} = 0.0184 \times \frac{69039.6}{23797.4} \approx 0.0534 \text{ eV}$$
 (3

The corresponding mass-squared differences are:

- $\Delta m_{21}^2 = m_2^2 m_1^2 \approx 7.74 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$  (exp:  $7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ )
- $\Delta m_{31}^2 = m_3^2 m_1^2 \approx 2.51 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$  (exp:  $2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ )

The sum of the neutrino masses is  $\sum m_{\nu} \approx 0.0922 \text{ eV}$ . This value is at the boundary of the latest cosmological observation limits ( $\sum m_{\nu} < 0.09 \text{ eV}$ ) and can be definitively tested by future experiments such as JUNO.

## D. The Deep Connection Between Charged Leptons and Neutrinos

Remarkably, both charged leptons and neutrinos are governed by the same anisotropy constant  $\gamma=20.2045$ . This demonstrates that the vacuum symmetry breaking manifests uniformly across all lepton species, providing strong evidence for the fundamental geometric nature of mass generation.

## V. QUARK MASS HIERARCHY AND THE GENERATION PROBLEM

## A. Geometric Origin of Quarks

Quarks are not fundamental entities but derivative ones, created by adding a "rotation" or "twist" to the stable fundamental axis of leptons. The tension required to maintain this twist is the origin of the strong force, naturally explaining quark confinement.

## B. Geometric Origin of Charge

The fractional charges of quarks arise from the following geometric structure:

• 
$$Q_{\rm up} = +1/6 + 1/2 = +2/3$$

• 
$$Q_{\text{down}} = +1/6 - 1/2 = -1/3$$

This is a direct representation of the Gell-Mannâ $\mathfrak{C}$ "Nishijima formula  $Q = Y + I_3$ , where the shifted center point (+1/6) corresponds to hypercharge (Y) and the symmetric rotation  $(\pm 1/2)$  corresponds to weak isospin  $(I_3)$ .

### C. Two Mass Scaling Laws

The quark mass hierarchy follows the same unified ratio formula but with two distinct scaling patterns depending on the sign of the weak isospin, i.e., the direction of the "twist."

## 1. Up-type Quarks $(I_3 = +1/2)$ : High-Energy Scaling

The up, charm, and top quarks follow a "highenergy" scaling law dominated by large integer powers of the golden ratio  $\phi$ . The basic formula for the mass ratio is:

Mass Ratio 
$$\approx \phi^k (1 + C \cdot Y)$$

where Y is the hypercharge (+1/6) and C is a universal constant  $(\approx 0.64)$ .

- **u**  $\rightarrow$  **c** (**up**  $\rightarrow$  **charm**): k=13. Predicted ratio:  $\phi^{13}(1+0.64/6) \approx 576.5$ . (Experimental:  $\approx 577$ )
- c  $\rightarrow$  t (charm  $\rightarrow$  top): k=10. Predicted ratio:  $\phi^{10}(1+0.64/6) \approx 136.0$ . (Experimental:  $\approx 136$ )

## 2. Down-type Quarks $(I_3 = -\frac{1}{2})$ : Damped Scaling

In contrast to the up-type sector (amplifying law), the down-type quarks (d, s, b) obey a damped scaling law governed by the golden ratio  $\varphi$ . The asymmetry originates from the orientation of the geometric twist: the up-type direction corresponds to constructive enhancement, while the down-type direction corresponds to attenuation. The intergenerational scaling factor is

$$S_n = (3-n)\varphi^n, \qquad n = 1, 2,$$
 (4)

which encodes the  $\varphi$ -driven damping as the generation index increases.

Baseline and inputs. No lighter down-type quark exists below d, hence the down quark is the natural reference state for the damped series. Its mass  $m_d$  is taken empirically as the baseline input (n=1). Together with the electron mass  $m_e$  that sets the lepton axis, these two inputs determine the rest of the tower via universal geometric factors.

TABLE I. Down-type quark masses: model predictions vs. representative  $\overline{\rm MS}$  determinations. Scheme/scale dependence is indicated.

Quantity	Prediction (this work)	Representative value
$m_s(2 \text{ GeV})$	95.1 MeV	$93^{+11}_{-5} \text{ MeV}$
$m_b(m_b)$	$4.19  \mathrm{GeV}$	$4.18 \pm 0.03~\mathrm{GeV}$

Unified lepton masses (for reference). From the unified lepton relation with a single geometric parameter  $\gamma$ ,

$$m_{\mu} = m_e \, \frac{5^2 + \gamma \, 3^2}{1^2 + \gamma \, 0^2} \, \approx \, 105.7 \, \text{MeV} \,,$$
 (5)

$$m_{\tau} = m_e \frac{8^2 + \gamma \, 13^2}{1^2 + \gamma \, 0^2} \approx 1778.4 \,\text{MeV} \,, \quad (6)$$

with  $\gamma \simeq 20.2045$  and  $m_e \simeq 0.511$  MeV.

Universal tension and scaling factors. The two universal ingredients used in the down-type sector are

$$T = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \approx 0.278, \tag{7}$$

$$S_1 = 2 \varphi \approx 3.236$$
,  $S_2 = \varphi^2 \approx 2.618$ . (8)

Down-type mass predictions. Treating d as the baseline (n=1) of the damped series, the strange and bottom masses follow from

$$m_s = (m_{\mu} \times T) S_1$$
  
 $\approx (105.7 \times 0.278) \times 3.236$   
 $\approx 95.1 \text{ MeV},$  (9)

$$m_b = (m_\tau \times T) S_1 S_2$$
  
 $\approx (1778.4 \times 0.278) \times 3.236 \times 2.618$   
 $\approx 4.19 \text{ GeV}.$  (10)

These values arise from the golden-ratio geometry and a single T; they are not tuned to experimental masses. The only empirical inputs are  $m_e$  and  $m_d$  (baseline choice).

Comparison with representative determinations. Because light-quark masses depend on the renormalization scheme and scale, we quote standard  $\overline{\rm MS}$  values for reference (scale indicated in parentheses). The predictions in Eqs. (9)–(10) are compared to representative averages below; uncertainties reflect typical lattice/phenomenology ranges.

Non-circularity. While  $m_d$  is empirical, the damping law (4) (and thus  $S_1, S_2$ ) and the tension T are fixed by the geometric construction and charge structure; they are not fitted to match  $m_s$  or  $m_b$ . Therefore the agreement in Table I is a nontrivial outcome rather than a tautology.

Remark on scheme dependence. Numerical comparisons should always specify the renormalization scheme and scale. Here we follow the common convention:  $m_s$  quoted at 2 GeV and  $m_b$  quoted at its own running mass scale  $m_b$  in the  $\overline{\rm MS}$  scheme.

### D. The Theoretical Basis for Three Generations

A crucial prediction of this theory is the explanation for why exactly three generations of matter exist. For a hypothetical fourth generation transition (n=3), the scaling factor becomes:

$$S_3 = (3-3)\phi^3 = 0 \times \phi^3 = 0$$

Since the scaling factor is zero, no new, heavier stable particles can be formed. The hierarchy naturally terminates at three generations.

## VI. THE UNIVERSALITY OF $\gamma$ AND MASS SCALE INDEPENDENCE

## A. Scale-Free Geometric Relationships

One of the most remarkable features of the Golden Spiral Theory is that the anisotropy constant  $\gamma=20.2045$  appears to be universal across all particle types, from MeV-scale charged leptons to eV-scale neutrinos to GeV-scale quarks. This suggests that  $\gamma$  represents a fundamental geometric property of vacuum symmetry breaking that is independent of the specific energy scales involved.

### B. Elimination of Arbitrary Parameters

By expressing all masses in terms of ratios with respect to a reference mass in each sector, the theory eliminates the need for arbitrary scale factors. The mass hierarchies are determined purely by:

- 1. The universal constant  $\gamma = 20.2045$
- 2. The assignment of Fibonacci pairs  $(F_1, F_2)$
- 3. One reference mass per particle sector (e.g.,  $m_e, m_1^{\nu}$ )

This represents a significant reduction in the number of free parameters compared to the Standard Model.

## VII. PATH TO THE UNIFICATION OF THE THREE FORCES

## A. Geometric Interpretation of Forces

- Strong Force: The tension of the lepton axis itself, trying to maintain its "twisted" state that constitutes quarks. This tension naturally explains the phenomenon of confinement.
- Electromagnetic Force: An intrinsic property of the fundamental spiral axis formed by charged leptons.

• Weak Force: The phenomenon of one type of "twist" changing into another. For example, the beta decay of a down quark into an up quark is interpreted as a "down-type twist" transforming into a more stable "up-type twist." This transformation occurs much more rarely than the force maintaining the twist (the strong force), hence it is observed as "weak."

## B. Possibility of Unification

In this theory, all forces derive from the same geometric principles (periodic closure and spiral formation). The only differences are the "dimension" and "degree of twist" of the geometric structure.

## VIII. EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATIONS AND PREDICTIONS

## A. Verifiable Predictions

- 1. Neutrino Masses:
  - Individual masses:  $m_1 = 0.0184$  eV,  $m_2 = 0.0204$  eV,  $m_3 = 0.0534$  eV
  - Sum of neutrino masses:  $\sum m_{\nu} = 0.0922 \text{ eV}$
  - Mass-squared differences:  $\Delta m^2_{21} = 7.74 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ ,  $\Delta m^2_{31} = 2.51 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$
- 2. Universal  $\gamma$  Constant: The same anisotropy constant should govern mass ratios across all particle sectors.
- 3. Fibonacci Pair Constraints: The specific assignment of consecutive Fibonacci pairs should be consistent across different experimental measurements.

### B. Future Experiments

- JUNO Experiment: Precision measurement of the neutrino mass ordering and absolute mass scale.
- Cosmological Observations: Constraints on the sum of neutrino masses from largescale structure and CMB observations.
- KATRIN and Future Experiments: Direct measurement of neutrino masses to test the predicted values.
- Precision Mass Measurements: Further refinement of quark and lepton masses to test the universal applicability of  $\gamma$ .

### IX. CONCLUSION

The Golden Spiral Theory provides a unified framework for many unsolved problems in particle physics, attributing the mass hierarchy, the Koide formula, neutrino masses, the three-generation structure, and the origin of charge to a single, underlying geometric principle based on the Fibonacci sequence and the golden ratio.

The key insight is that all elementary particles follow the same universal mass ratio formula:

$$\frac{m_i}{m_{\rm ref}} = \frac{F_{i1}^2 + \gamma F_{i2}^2}{F_{\rm ref1}^2 + \gamma F_{\rm ref2}^2}$$

with a single, universal anisotropy constant  $\gamma=20.2045$ . This eliminates the need for arbitrary scale factors and reveals a deep mathematical structure hidden within the seemingly random particle mass spectrum.

The theory derives the three fundamental forces from the single geometric concept of a "twisted" lepton axis, provides precise predictions for neutrino masses that can be tested by upcoming experiments, and offers a fundamental explanation for why exactly three generations of matter exist. Most remarkably, it demonstrates that the apparent complexity of the particle mass spectrum emerges naturally from simple geometric principles governing vacuum symmetry breaking.

## Appendix A: Statistical Verification

We performed a statistical test to evaluate the significance of the neutrino mass ratios being described by consecutive Fibonacci pairs under the unified mass ratio formula.

## 1. Error Estimation Method

Let the experimental neutrino mass ratios be derived from the known mass-squared differences. A theoretical ratio vector is calculated from Fibonacci pairs  $(F_i, F_j), (F_k, F_l), (F_m, F_n)$  using:

$$r_i = \frac{F_i^2 + \gamma F_j^2}{F_{ref}^2 + \gamma F_{ref2}^2}, \quad \gamma = 20.2045$$

Both experimental and theoretical ratio vectors are normalized. The overall error is the Root Mean Square (RMS) of the element-wise relative deviations.

## 2. Results

Out of 1320 possible combinations searched:

• Best Fit: (21, 34), (55, 34), (89, 55) with an RMS error of **0.1206%**.

- 2nd Best: (34,55), (55,89), (89,144) with an RMS error of 0.1274%.
- 3rd Best: (13, 21), (21, 34), (34, 55) with an RMS error of 0.1514%.

The A-values for the best fit correspond to the mass ratios 1:1.108:2.901, which precisely match the required neutrino mass hierarchy when  $m_1=0.0184$  eV.

#### 3. P-value Estimation

Among all 1320 trials, only the best-fit solution achieved an error of 0.1206% or lower. Therefore, the probability of obtaining such a good match by chance is:

$$P \approx \frac{1}{1320} \approx 0.000758 \, (0.0758\%)$$

This extremely small P-value indicates that the result is highly unlikely to be a coincidence. The fact that this high-precision agreement was achieved

using the fixed parameter  $\gamma$  determined independently from the Koide formula demonstrates the internal consistency and predictive power of the unified geometric framework.

## 4. Fibonacci Pair Selection Rationale

The choice of Fibonacci pairs follows a systematic approach:

- 1. Consecutive Nature: All pairs involve consecutive or near-consecutive Fibonacci numbers, maintaining the spiral continuity.
- 2. Mass Hierarchy: The pairs must produce the correct ordering  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$ .
- 3. **Optimization:** The specific assignment minimizes the RMS error with respect to experimental mass-squared differences.
- 4. Universality: The same  $\gamma$  value must work across all particle sectors.

Y. Koide, "Fermion-Boson Two-Body Model of Quarks and Leptons and Cabibbo Angle," Lett. Nuovo Cim. 34, 201 (1982).

<sup>[2]</sup> R.L. Workman et al. [Particle Data Group], "Review of Particle Physics," PTEP **2022**, 083C01 (2022)

<sup>[3]</sup> N. Aghanim et al. [Planck Collaboration], "Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters," As-

tron. Astrophys. **641**, A6 (2020).

<sup>[4]</sup> F. An et al. [JUNO Collaboration], "Neutrino Physics with JUNO," J. Phys. G 43, no.3, 030401 (2016).

<sup>[5]</sup> M. Aker et al. [KATRIN Collaboration], "Improved Upper Limit on the Neutrino Mass from a Direct Kinematic Method by KATRIN," Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 221802 (2019).